

# Properties of the Affine Grassmannian and its Equivariant Cohomology

Oliver Xia

August 31, 2025

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a reductive group over the complex numbers. The affine Grassmannian is an infinite-dimensional complex variety defined as  $\mathrm{Gr}_G = G(K)/G(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $K = \mathbb{C}((z))$  and  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ .

One of the fundamental roles of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$  arise in the study of the Langlands dual group  $\check{G}$  and its relation with  $G$ . A core question is how to construct  $\check{G}$  naturally from  $G$ . This is answered by the *geometric Satake equivalence*, which states that  $\mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})$ , the category of representations on the Langlands dual group, is tensor equivalent to  $\mathrm{Perv}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ , the category of perverse sheaves on  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$  with an equivariant  $G(\mathcal{O})$  action (see [6]). Therefore, the category  $\mathrm{Perv}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$  is crucial to understanding  $\check{G}$ .

The perverse sheaves are defined as a special class of complexes of sheaves with constructible cohomology. Then, one may ask if the equivariant derived category  $D_{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$  is also related to  $\check{G}$ . Bezrukavnikov and Finkelberg expressed this category as well as the loop-rotation equivariant version  $D_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$  in terms of representations on  $\check{G}$  [2, Thm. 5]. One important functor in their approach is taking equivariant cohomology, which takes a complex of sheaves in  $D_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$  into a complex of  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ -modules. Thus, it is important to understand the algebra  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to discuss some geometric properties of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$ , and to compute the equivariant cohomology  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ . Section 2 focuses on the geometry of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$ . Most importantly, we will discuss two stratifications of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$ . In section 3, we will briefly discuss some properties of equivariant cohomology, as well as the Atiyah-Bott localization theorem, which will be useful in section 4. Finally, in section 4, we compute the equivariant cohomology ring  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr})$ . The proof mostly follows [2, §3.1]. I will provide more details than in [2], and give my original proof of Proposition 4.5.

## Acknowledgments

This project is part of the UROP+ program at MIT. I would like to thank my mentor, Vasily Krylov, for providing assistance and insights throughout this project. I am grateful of Professor Roman Bezrukavnikov for suggesting this project, and of MIT Department of Mathematics for organizing this program.

## 2 Properties of Gr

### 2.1 Affine Grassmannian

Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group over the complex numbers. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $K = \mathbb{C}((z)) = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$ . The *affine Grassmannian* is  $\text{Gr}_G = G(K)/G(\mathcal{O})$ . When the context is clear, we often write  $\text{Gr} = \text{Gr}_G$ .

*Example 2.1.* For  $G = \text{GL}_n$ ,  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n} = \text{GL}_n(K)/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ . Since  $K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O})$ , an isomorphism  $K^n \rightarrow K^n$  is determined by its restriction to  $\mathcal{O}^n$ . Thus, the points in  $\text{GL}_n(K) = \text{Aut}(K^n)$  correspond to embeddings (of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules)  $\mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow K^n$ . Multiplying by  $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}^n)$  on the right does not change the image of this map, so we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_n(K)/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) &\rightarrow \{\text{sub-}\mathcal{O}\text{-modules of } K^n \text{ isomorphic to } \mathcal{O}^n\}, \\ g &\mapsto \text{im}(g|_{\mathcal{O}^n}). \end{aligned}$$

In short,  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$  can be identified with the space of  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattices in  $K^n$ .

Gr is infinite dimensional as a complex variety. It is (the  $\mathbb{C}$ -points of) an *ind-scheme*. An ind-scheme  $X$  is a union of subsets  $X_i$  indexed by a direct system  $i \in I$ , such that  $X_i$  are schemes, and for each arrow  $i \rightarrow j$ ,  $X_i$  is a closed subscheme of  $X_j$ . Say  $X$  is ind-projective if all  $X_i$  are projective.

*Remark.* In literature Gr is an ind-scheme. For our purposes, it is enough to consider the geometry of the  $\mathbb{C}$ -points. Our Gr is actually the  $\mathbb{C}$ -points of the scheme-theoretic Gr in literature.

**Proposition 2.2.**  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$  is an ind-projective scheme.

*Proof.* We will use the lattice description of  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$  in Example 2.1. Let  $\Lambda_0 = \mathcal{O}^n \subseteq K^n$  be the standard lattice.  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$  is a union of subspaces  $\text{Gr}^{(N)}$ , where

$$\text{Gr}^{(N)} = \{\Lambda \mid z^N \Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq z^{-N} \Lambda_0\}.$$

It suffices to show that  $\text{Gr}^{(N)}$  is projective.

$z^{-N} \Lambda_0 / z^N \Lambda_0$  is a  $2Nn$ -dimensional vector space, and  $\Lambda / z^N \Lambda_0$  is its subspace. This gives an embedding of  $\text{Gr}^{(N)}$  into the usual Grassmannian  $\text{Gr}(2Nn) := \coprod_k \text{Gr}(k, 2Nn)$ . Note that  $z^{-N} \Lambda_0 / z^N \Lambda_0$  is equipped with a nilpotent operator  $z$ . A subspace correspond to some  $\Lambda \in \text{Gr}^{(N)}$  if and only if it is stable under  $z$ . Therefore  $\text{Gr}^{(N)}$  is a closed subscheme of  $\text{Gr}(2Nn)$  and is thus projective.  $\square$

*Remark.* In general,  $\text{Gr}_G$  is ind-projective for any reductive group  $G$ . This is shown by choosing a suitable embedding  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n$ , see [11, Prop. 1.2.6].

Another important example is  $\text{Gr}_T$  when  $T$  is a torus. First, note that  $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{G}_m} = K^\times / \mathcal{O}^\times = \{z^a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . For a torus  $T$ , if we fix an isomorphism  $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^n$ , then  $\text{Gr}_T \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ . A more natural description is given in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $T$  be a torus. Then  $\text{Gr}_T$  is isomorphic to the coweight lattice  $X_*(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T)$ , endowed with the discrete topology.

*Proof.* Let's define a map  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T) \rightarrow T(K)$ . For a morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] = \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow T$ , composing with  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$  gives you a  $K$ -point of  $T$ . To check that this gives an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_T$ , fix an isomorphism  $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^n$  and compute directly.  $\square$

For a coweight  $\lambda$ , we will denote the corresponding point in  $\text{Gr}_T$  by  $z^\lambda$ .

## 2.2 Affine Grassmannian of Borel subgroup

Let  $G$  be a reductive group with maximal torus and Borel subgroup  $T \subseteq B \subseteq G$ . In the last section, we have described the structure of  $\mathrm{Gr}_T$ . In this section, we will describe  $\mathrm{Gr}_B$ , which will be useful in proving certain properties of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *The map  $\mathrm{Gr}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$  is a bijection of sets (but not a homeomorphism!).*

*Proof.* We need  $B(K)/B(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(K)/G(\mathcal{O})$  to be surjective, or  $B(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow B(K) \backslash G(K)$  to be surjective. Let  $\mathcal{B} = B \backslash G$ .

First we will show that  $B(K) \backslash G(K) = \mathcal{B}(K)$ . Note that  $G(K) = G(\overline{K})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}$ , so we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow B(K) \rightarrow G(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K) \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), B(\overline{K})).$$

By the additive and multiplicative version of Hilbert's Theorem 90, and the fact that  $B$  has a filtration with successive quotients  $\mathbb{G}_a$  or  $\mathbb{G}_m$ , we have  $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), B(\overline{K})) = 0$ . Thus  $B(K) \backslash G(K) = \mathcal{B}(K)$ .  $B(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(\mathcal{O})$  is the image of  $G(\mathcal{O})$  in  $B(K) \backslash G(K) = \mathcal{B}(K)$ , so  $B(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O})$ . By the valuative criterion for properness,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$  is a bijection, so  $B(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow B(K) \backslash G(K)$  is a bijection.  $\square$

The structure of  $\mathrm{Gr}_B$  can be explicitly described. Let  $U$  be the unipotent radical of  $B$ .

**Proposition 2.5.**  $\mathrm{Gr}_B \cong \mathrm{Gr}_T \times \mathrm{Gr}_U$ . Furthermore  $\mathrm{Gr}_U \cong U[z^{-1}]_1 := \ker(U[z^{-1}] \rightarrow U)$ .

*Proof.* This is just a consequence of  $B = T \ltimes U$ . We have

$$B(K) = T(K)U(K) = \coprod z^\lambda T(\mathcal{O})U(K) = \coprod z^\lambda U(K)T(\mathcal{O})$$

so  $B(K)/B(\mathcal{O}) = \coprod z^\lambda U(K)/U(\mathcal{O})$ .

To understand  $U(K)/U(\mathcal{O})$ , notice that  $U$  has a filtration with successive quotients isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . Similarly as above, this reduces the computation to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_a(K)/\mathbb{G}_a(\mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]_1 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}z^{-n}$ .  $\square$

With Lemma 2.4, many set-wise properties of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$  can be checked on  $\mathrm{Gr}_B$ .

**Theorem 2.6.**  *$T$  acts on  $G(K)$  by left multiplication, inducing an action on  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$ . The  $T$ -fixed points of  $\mathrm{Gr}_G$  are  $\mathrm{Gr}_T$ .*

*Proof.* Since the map  $\mathrm{Gr}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$  is  $T$ -equivariant, it suffices to find the  $T$ -fixed points of  $\mathrm{Gr}_B$ . Suppose  $x \in \mathrm{Gr}_B^T$ . Then  $x$  can be uniquely represented as  $z^\lambda y$  where  $y \in U[z^{-1}]_1$ . For  $t \in T$ ,

$$tx = tz^\lambda y = z^\lambda ty = z^\lambda tyt^{-1}$$

(remember we quotient by  $B(\mathcal{O})$ ). Since  $tyt^{-1} \in U[z^{-1}]_1$ , by uniqueness we get  $tyt^{-1} = y$  for all  $t$ , so  $y = 1$ . Thus  $x = z^\lambda$  which proves  $\mathrm{Gr}_B^T \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}_T$ . Clearly  $\mathrm{Gr}_T \subseteq \mathrm{Gr}_B^T$ . Thus  $\mathrm{Gr}_T = \mathrm{Gr}_B^T = \mathrm{Gr}_G^T$ .  $\square$

### 2.3 Stratification with $\text{Gr}^\lambda$

In this section, we will discuss the orbits of  $G(\mathcal{O})$  acting on  $\text{Gr}$  by multiplying on the left. These are the double cosets  $G(\mathcal{O}) \backslash G(K) / G(\mathcal{O})$ . We have the Cartan decomposition (see [10, §3.3.3])

$$G(K) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in X_*(T)^+} G(\mathcal{O}) z^\lambda G(\mathcal{O}).$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is a positive coweight. As a result, we have

**Theorem 2.7.** *The orbits of the  $G(\mathcal{O})$ -action on  $\text{Gr}$  are  $\text{Gr}^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) \cdot z^\lambda$  for dominant coweights  $\lambda$ .  $\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in X_*(T)^+} \text{Gr}^\lambda$ .*

*Example 2.8.* Assume  $G = \text{GL}_n$ . Recall that the points in  $\text{Gr}_{\text{GL}_n}$  are identified with  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattices in  $K^n$ . For a dominant coweight  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ ,  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ ,  $z^\lambda$  corresponds to the lattice with basis  $z^{\lambda_1} e_1, \dots, z^{\lambda_n} e_n$ .

To see that  $\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup G(\mathcal{O}) z^\lambda$ , we need to show that any lattice can be transformed to some  $z^\lambda$  via action of  $G(\mathcal{O})$ . For a lattice with basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , write each  $v_i$  in coordinates

$$v_i = v_{i1} e_1 + \dots + v_{in} e_n.$$

Let  $a_{ij}$  be the  $z$ -adic valuation of  $v_{ij}$  (i.e. the lowest degree of  $z$  in  $v_{ij}$ ), and let  $a = a_{i_0 j_0}$  be the lowest among all  $a_{ij}$ . Then there is a transformation in  $G(\mathcal{O})$  which sends  $v_{i_0}$  to  $z^a e_n$ , and eliminates the  $z^a$  terms in other  $v_j$ . Repeating this process turns our basis into a basis of the form  $z^{\lambda_1} e_1, \dots, z^{\lambda_n} e_n$ .

**Theorem 2.9.**  *$\text{Gr}^\lambda$  is a smooth, quasi-projective variety of dimension  $2\langle \rho, \lambda \rangle$ , where  $\rho$  is the half-sum of positive roots.*

*Proof.* The orbit space  $\text{Gr}^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O}) \cdot z^\lambda$  is a quotient of  $G(\mathcal{O})$  by the stabilizer of  $z^\lambda$ . Thus, the tangent space of  $\text{Gr}^\lambda$  at  $z^\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) / \text{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(z^\lambda)$ .

We have

$$\text{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(z^\lambda) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \mid \text{Ad } z^\lambda(X) \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

Write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , then  $\mathfrak{t}(K)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(K)$  are  $\text{Ad } z^\lambda$ -invariant. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(K) &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \\ \mathfrak{g}_\alpha(\mathcal{O}) &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} z^n \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Ad } z^\lambda$  fixes  $\mathfrak{t}(K)$  and acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(K)$  by multiplying  $z^{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle}$ . Thus,  $X \in \text{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(z^\lambda)$  iff the projection of  $X$  to  $\mathfrak{g}(K)$  is contained in  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0, n \geq -\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle} z^n \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) / \text{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})}(z^\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha: \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle < 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus z \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \dots \oplus z^{-\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle - 1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Its dimension is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} -\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = 2\langle \rho, \lambda \rangle.$$

Notice that for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $z^n \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is always contained in the stabilizer. This shows in fact  $\text{Gr}^\lambda = G(\mathbb{C}[z]/z^N) \cdot z^\lambda$ , where  $N > \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$  for all  $\alpha$ . Thus  $\text{Gr}^\lambda$  has a transitive action of a finite-dimensional algebraic group, so it is smooth and quasi-projective.  $\square$

Let  $\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$  be the closure of  $\text{Gr}^\lambda$  in  $\text{Gr}$ . Then  $\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$  is projective. We also have  $\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \lambda} \text{Gr}^\mu$ , see [11, Prop. 2.1.5].

Consider the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\text{Gr}$  induced by the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $K$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $z \mapsto tz$ . Then the action on  $\mathcal{O}$  has limit in  $\mathbb{C}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,  $\text{Gr}^\lambda = G(\mathcal{O})z^\lambda$  has limit in  $Gz^\lambda$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . We obtain a corollary of Theorem 2.7,

**Corollary 2.10.** *The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  fixed points of  $\text{Gr}$  are  $G \cdot z^\lambda$  for positive coweights  $\lambda$ .*

The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action allows us to describe the geometry of  $\text{Gr}^\lambda$  further.  $\text{Gr}^\lambda$  is non-singular with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action that contracts it to  $G \cdot z^\lambda$ . By Bialynicki-Birula theorem [3, Thm. 4.1],  $\text{Gr}^\lambda \rightarrow G \cdot z^\lambda$  is an affine fibration. Now, notice that  $\text{stab}_G(z^\lambda)$  is a parabolic subgroup, so  $G \cdot z^\lambda$  is a partial flag variety.

## 2.4 Description of $\text{Gr}$ as $G$ -torsors

In this section, we find an alternative way to define  $\text{Gr}$  as  $G$ -torsors on a curve together with a trivialization away from a point. We will see that this gives a partition of  $\text{Gr}$  into its  $G[z^{-1}]$ -orbits.

Let  $D = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathring{D} = \text{Spec } K$ .  $D$  is known as the formal disk. Denote by  $\mathcal{E}^0$  the trivial  $G$ -torsor over  $D$ . The following theorem provides an alternative way to define  $\text{Gr}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.**  *$\text{Gr}$  corresponds to the set of  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $G$ -torsor over  $D$ , and  $\sigma$  is a trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathring{D}$  ( $\sigma : \mathcal{E}|_{\mathring{D}} \cong \mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathring{D}}$ ).*

To prove this we need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.12.** *All  $G$ -torsors on  $D$  are trivial.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow D$  be a  $G$ -torsor. Since  $G$  is smooth, the morphism  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow D$  is also smooth. Let  $D_n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z]/z^n$ . Then we have embeddings

$$\text{pt} = D_0 \subseteq D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq D$$

and  $D = \varinjlim D_n$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{E}|_{D_0}$  is trivial. Pick a section  $D_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow D$  is smooth, by the infinitesimal lifting property (see [7, Ex. II.8.6]), a section  $D_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  extends to a section  $D_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . We obtain compatible sections  $D_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  which give a section  $D \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore the torsor  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.11.* By lemma, there is a trivialization  $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0$ . Then

$$\sigma \phi^{-1} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathring{D}}) = G(K).$$

Note that  $\phi$  can be chosen up to  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0) = G(\mathcal{O})$ , so  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$  determines a well-defined element in  $G(K)/G(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Gr}$ . Conversely, an element of  $\text{Gr}$  also determines  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve with a point  $x \in X$ .  $\text{Gr}$  corresponds to the set of  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $G$ -torsor over  $X$ , and  $\sigma$  is a trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $X \setminus \{x\}$ .*

*Proof.* There is a map  $D \rightarrow X$  whose reduced image is the point  $\{x\}$ . Explicitly, the embedding  $\{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  is isomorphic to  $\{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  locally, and the map  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  corresponds to  $\mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ ,  $t \mapsto z$ .

A point in  $\text{Gr}$  corresponds to  $(\mathcal{E}_D, \sigma)$ , a  $G$ -torsor on  $D$  together with a trivialization on  $\hat{D}$ . We would like to extend this to a torsor on  $X$ .  $X \setminus \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  and  $D \rightarrow X$  are a fpqc cover. The trivial  $G$ -torsor on  $X \setminus x$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_D$  on  $D$ , and the gluing function  $\sigma$  together define a  $G$ -torsor on  $X$  by fpqc descent (see [9, Section 023R]).  $\sigma$  extends to a trivialization on  $X \setminus \{x\}$ .  $\square$

Consider the case  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Take  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$  where  $\sigma$  is a trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0$ . Choose a trivialization  $\phi : \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \infty}$ . Then  $\sigma\phi^{-1}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}}$  determines an element of

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}}) = G[z, z^{-1}].$$

Here,  $\phi$  can be chosen in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \infty}) = G[z]$ , so we obtain a map

$$\text{Gr} \rightarrow G[z, z^{-1}]/G[z].$$

Similar as before, this is an isomorphism.

From Theorem 2.13, we immediately obtain a map  $\text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(X)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma) \mapsto \mathcal{E}$ . This leads us to the decomposition of  $\text{Gr}$  into its  $G[z^{-1}]$ -orbits.

**Theorem 2.14.**  $\text{Gr} = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in X_*(T)^+} G[z^{-1}] \cdot z^\lambda$ . *The subvarieties  $G[z^{-1}] \cdot z^\lambda$  are fibers of the map  $\text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}, \sigma) \mapsto \mathcal{E}$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ , the choice of  $\sigma$  can be changed by  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0}) = G[z^{-1}]$ . Following the previous discussion,  $\mathcal{E}$  is obtained by gluing trivial torsors on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \infty$  with the gluing function  $\sigma\phi^{-1}$ . The action of  $G[z^{-1}]$  multiplies  $\sigma$  by an automorphism in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}^0|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus 0})$ , which does not change the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore the orbits of  $G[z^{-1}]$  correspond to  $\text{Bun}_G(\mathbb{P}^1)$ .

It suffices to show that isomorphism classes of  $G$ -torsors on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  are indexed by dominant coweights. This is proved in [8, §4.2].  $\square$

*Example 2.15.* For  $G = \text{GL}_n$ , the  $G$ -torsors are rank  $n$  vector bundles. We have  $X_*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Write  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  where  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . The orbit  $G[z^{-1}]z^\lambda$  correspond to the vector bundle  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}(\lambda_n)$ . By Birkhoff-Grothendieck theorem, all vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  are of this form.

## 3 Equivariant cohomology and localization theorem

### 3.1 Equivariant cohomology of algebraic groups

In this section I will review some basic facts about equivariant cohomology. For a group  $G$ , there is an ind-variety  $EG$  on which  $G$  acts freely. Let  $BG = EG/G$ , then  $EG \rightarrow BG$  is the universal  $G$ -torsor. Let  $G$  act on a space  $X$ . The equivariant cohomology is  $H_G^*(X) = H^*(X \times^G EG)$ . We will write  $H_G^* := H_G^*(\text{pt}) = H^*(BG)$ . The projection  $X \rightarrow \text{pt}$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map, so it induces  $H_G^* \rightarrow H_G^*(X)$ , making  $H_G^*(X)$  a module over  $H_G^*$ .

For a torus  $T$  of rank  $r$ , a character can be seen as a  $T$ -action on a line. That gives a line bundle on  $BT$ . It's first Chern class is an element of  $H^2(BT) = H_T^2$ . This defines a map  $X^*(T) \rightarrow H_T^2$ , which is an isomorphism after  $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ .  $H_T^*$  is generated by  $H_T^2$ , so  $H_T^* = \mathbb{C}[t]$  is a polynomial algebra with  $r$  generators in degree 2.

For a reductive group  $G$  with maximal torus  $T$  and Weyl group  $W$ , There is an embedding  $H_G^* \rightarrow H_T^*$  induced by  $BT \rightarrow BG$ . In fact, we have  $H_G^* = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}]^W$ . By Chevalley-Shephard-Todd theorem, this is a polynomial ring, and thus

$$H_G^* = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}]^W = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}/W] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$$

where the degrees of  $x_i$  are even. Let  $\deg(x_i) = 2d_i$ . The numbers  $d_i$  have important properties, for example,  $H^*(G)$  is an exterior algebra with generators in degree  $2d_i - 1$ , see [5, §46.1].

### 3.2 Change of group

This lemma will be used in Section 4.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $G$  acts on  $X$ , and  $H \subseteq G$  is a subgroup. Further suppose that  $H_G^* \rightarrow H_H^*$  is flat. Then  $H_H^*(X) = H_G^*(X) \otimes_{H_G^*} H_H^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H$  acts on  $EG$  freely, we can assume  $EH = EG$ . There is a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times^H EG & \longrightarrow & BH \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times^G EG & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array}$$

The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence of this diagram gives a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*} = \mathrm{Tor}_{H_G^*}^{*,*}(H_G^*(X), H_H^*) \Rightarrow H_H^*(X).$$

When  $H_H^*$  is flat over  $H_G^*$ , all Tor terms vanish, so  $H_H^*(X) = H_G^*(X) \otimes_{H_G^*} H_H^*$ . □

### 3.3 Atiyah-Bott localization theorem

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let a torus  $T$  act on a quasi-projective variety  $X$ . The restriction map  $H_T^*(X) \rightarrow H_T^*(X^T)$  is an isomorphism after inverting non-zero elements of  $H_T^*$ . In other words, the kernel and cokernel are torsion  $H_T^*$ -modules.*

*Proof.* See [4]. □

## 4 Equivariant cohomology of Gr

The equivariant cohomology  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr})$  has been determined by Bezrukavnikov and Finkelberg [2]. In this section I will present their result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *There is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta] \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr})$ .*

Here,  $N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta$  is the deformation to the normal cone of the diagonal embedding  $\mathfrak{t}/W \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W$ . This will be defined in Section 4.1. The map  $\alpha^*$  will be defined in Section 4.3.

## 4.1 Deformation to the normal cone

For a closed subscheme  $Z \subseteq X$  with ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$ , the normal cone can be defined purely algebraically.  $C_X Z = \text{Spec}_X \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{I}^n / \mathcal{I}^{n+1}$ . This is similar to the tubular neighborhood in topology. However, unlike the tubular neighborhood, the normal cone does not embed in  $X$ . To compensate for this, we define an object called the deformation to the normal cone.

The *deformation to the normal cone*  $N_X Z$  is defined as

$$\text{Spec}_X \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}^{-n} t^n.$$

Here,  $\mathcal{I}^n = \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $n \leq 0$ .

Note the map  $N_X Z \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ . Over 0, this is the normal cone  $C_X Z \rightarrow X$ , and away from 0 this is an isomorphism. Another way to describe the deformation to the normal cone is the affine open subset of  $\text{Bl}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}(Z \times \{0\}) = \text{Proj}_X \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{I}, t)^n$  where  $t \neq 0$ .

This particular case for affine spaces is important to us.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $V \cong \mathbb{A}^n$  and  $W \cong \mathbb{A}^m$ , then*

$$N_{V \times W} W = \{(x, y, t, z) \in V \times W \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times V \mid tz = x\}.$$

The map  $N_{V \times W} W \rightarrow V \times W \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is  $(x, y, t, z) \mapsto (x, y, t)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $W = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . Then  $W \subseteq V \times W$  is given by the ideal  $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Recall that we have

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_n] / (x_i z_j - x_j z_i)$$

as a graded algebra, where  $\deg(z_i) = 1$ . Thus

$$\bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I^{-n} t^n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_n, t] / (x_i z_j - x_j z_i, tz_i - x_i).$$

The relations  $x_i z_j - x_j z_i$  are redundant. The Spec of this algebra is the scheme above.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose  $V \cong \mathbb{A}^n$  is the affine space, and  $V \xrightarrow{\Delta} V \times V$  is the diagonal embedding. Then*

$$N_{V \times V} \Delta = \{(x, y, t, z) \in V \times V \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times V \mid tz = x - y\}.$$

The map  $N_{V \times V} \Delta \rightarrow V \times V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is  $(x, y, t, z) \mapsto (x, y, t)$ .

The following corollary will be useful in Section 4.

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $f, g : X \rightarrow V$  and  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  satisfy  $f(x) = g(x)$  when  $p(x) = 0$ , then the map  $(f, g, p) : X \rightarrow V \times V \times \mathbb{A}^1$  factors as  $X \rightarrow N_{V \times V} \Delta \rightarrow V \times V \times \mathbb{A}^1$ .*

*Proof.* We need to find  $h : X \rightarrow V$  such that  $ph = f - g$ .

We can assume  $X$  is affine. By choosing a coordinate for  $V$  and working with each coordinate, we can assume  $V \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{A}^1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . The statement translates to the following. We have  $p, f - g \in \mathcal{O}_X$  such that the zero locus of  $p$  is contained in the zero locus of  $f - g$ , then there exists  $h \in \mathcal{O}_X$  such that  $ph = f - g$ . This is clearly true as  $f - g \in (p)$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Graded dimension

Recall that  $H^*(G)$  is an exterior algebra with generators in degrees  $2d_i - 1$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *The graded dimension of  $H^*(\text{Gr})$  is the same as that of  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$  where  $\deg t_i = 2d_i - 2$ .*

*Remark.* The following proof does not determine the ring structure of  $H^*(\text{Gr})$ . In fact  $H^*(\text{Gr})$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_r]$ , see Ginzburg.

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Gr}$  is the loop space of the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Up to homotopy types, it may as well be the loop space of  $G$ . Consider the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence for the path-loop fibration,

$$E_2^{*,*} = \text{Tor}_{*,*}^{H^*(G)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow H^*(\text{Gr}).$$

Write  $H^*(G) = \Lambda^\bullet V$ , where the basis elements of  $V$  are in degrees  $2d_1 - 1, \dots, 2d_r - 1$ , respectively. To compute the Tor in question, notice that the following is a resolution of  $\mathbb{C}$  with projective  $\Lambda^\bullet V$ -modules (this is due to the Koszul duality of the exterior algebra and the symmetric algebra, see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet V \otimes S^n V \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet V \otimes S^2 V \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet V \otimes V \rightarrow \Lambda^\bullet V, \\ d : (x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k) \otimes (y_1 \cdots y_i) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i (x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k \wedge y_j) \otimes (y_1 \cdots \widehat{y}_j \cdots y_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{Tor}_p^{\Lambda^\bullet V}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = S^p V$ . Note that  $V$  has generators in odd degrees, so  $S^p V$  are in the odd degrees when  $p$  is odd, and even degrees when  $p$  is even. This shows there cannot be differentials on  $E_2$ . We have  $H^k(\text{Gr}) \cong \bigoplus_{q-p=k} \text{Tor}_{p,q}^{\Lambda^\bullet V}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ , so

$$H^*(\text{Gr}) \cong \bigoplus_p S^p V[p] = S^\bullet(V[1]).$$

□

From here, we can deduce the graded dimension of  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *The graded dimension of  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$  coincides with the graded dimension of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r, \hbar]$  with  $\deg x_i = 2d_i$ ,  $\deg y_i = 2d_i - 2$ , and  $\deg \hbar = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^* \cong H_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^* = H_G^* \otimes H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^*$ . Next, notice that the spectral sequence  $H^*(\text{Gr}) \otimes H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^* \Rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$  collapses as everything is in even degree, so this follows from Proposition 4.5. □

## 4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1

We have a map  $f : H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^* \rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$ . There is another map  $g : H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^* \rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$  coming from the right action of  $G(\mathcal{O})$  on  $G(K)$ . To see the symmetry of  $f$  and  $g$ , notice that we can write

$$H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr}) = H_{(G(\mathcal{O}) \times G(\mathcal{O})) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(G(K)),$$

where  $G(\mathcal{O}) \times G(\mathcal{O})$  acts on  $G(K)$  by  $(x, y) : a \mapsto xay^{-1}$ . The two projections  $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2 : (G(\mathcal{O}) \times G(\mathcal{O})) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O})$  induce the maps  $f$  and  $g$ .

Let  $p : \mathbb{C}[\hbar] = H_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^* \rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$ . Notice that  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$  is a commutative algebra as it is nonzero only in even degrees, so we can let  $X = \text{Spec} H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr})$ . Also note that  $H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^* = H_G^* = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}/W]$ . Denote by  $f^\#, g^\#, p^\#$  also the maps corresponding to  $f, g, p$  on affine schemes, then we get

$$(f^\#, g^\#, p^\#) : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

Consider the composition of  $(f, g, p)$  with

$$\phi_\lambda : H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{r} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{i_\lambda^*} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$$

where  $i_\lambda^*$  is the restriction to the point  $z^\lambda$ .

$\phi_\lambda f$  is the map  $H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^* \rightarrow H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$  induced by  $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O})$ . This composition is the same as  $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow T \hookrightarrow G(\mathcal{O})$ , so in terms of schemes,  $\phi_\lambda f$  corresponds to the projection  $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W$ .

Next consider  $\phi_\lambda g : H_{G(\mathcal{O})}^* \rightarrow H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$ . Under the action of  $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $G(K)$ ,  $z^\lambda$  is not a fixed point, but is transformed to a point in  $z^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})$ . Specifically, for  $(x, a) \in T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ , one can check that  $(x, a) \cdot z^\lambda = z^\lambda x\lambda(a)$ , where  $x\lambda(a) \in G(\mathcal{O})$ . Therefore the map  $\phi_\lambda g$  is induced by  $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $(x, a) \mapsto x\lambda(a)$ . The corresponding map of schemes is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 &\rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W, \\ (x, a) &\mapsto x + a\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,  $\phi_\lambda p : \mathbb{C}[\hbar] \rightarrow H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$  corresponds to the map of schemes  $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Let

$$\phi = \prod \phi_\lambda : H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{r} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\text{Gr}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \prod_{\lambda \in X_*(T)} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*.$$

By the above computation, the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_\lambda \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\phi^\#} & X \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow (f^\#, g^\#, p^\#) \\ \mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathbb{A}^1 \end{array}$$

where  $m_\lambda : \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is given by  $(x, a) \mapsto (x, x + a\lambda, a)$ . In particular  $m$  is dominant as  $X_*(T)$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{t}$  in the Zarisky topology. As a result  $(f^\#, g^\#, p^\#) \circ \phi^\#$  is also dominant.

Notice that  $m$ , as well as  $(f^\#, g^\#, p^\#) \circ \phi^\#$  satisfy the condition of Corollary 4.4. Thus,  $(f^\#, g^\#, p^\#) \circ$

$\phi^\sharp$  factors through  $\pi : N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\coprod_{\lambda} \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \longrightarrow & N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta \\
i^\sharp \downarrow & \nearrow \alpha & \\
\mathrm{Spec} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}) & & \\
r^\sharp \downarrow & \nearrow \pi & \\
X & & \\
(f^\sharp, g^\sharp, p^\sharp) \downarrow & & \\
\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathbb{A}^1 & & 
\end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.6, the  $T \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed points of  $\mathrm{Gr}$  are  $z^\lambda$  for coweights  $\lambda$ . By Atiyah-Bott localization theorem (Theorem 3.2),  $i^*$  has torsion kernel and cokernel. Therefore there is a lift drawn as the dashed arrow  $\mathrm{Spec} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta$ . Next,  $r$  is injective as it is just the map

$$H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}) \rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr}) \otimes_{H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*} H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$$

by Proposition 3.1 (in fact,  $H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^* \rightarrow H_{T \times \mathbb{C}^\times}^*$  is flat as the latter is a free module over the former). Therefore,  $r^\sharp$  is surjective, so  $(f^\sharp, g^\sharp, p^\sharp)$  also satisfy the conditions of Corollary 4.4. Thus, there is a map  $\alpha : X \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta$  commuting with the rest of the diagram.

The morphism  $(f^\sharp, g^\sharp, p^\sharp)$  is dominant, and  $\pi$  is an isomorphism away from 0, so  $\alpha$  is dominant. Thus, the induced map on rings  $\alpha^* : \mathbb{C}[N_{\mathfrak{t}/W \times \mathfrak{t}/W} \Delta] \rightarrow H_{G(\mathcal{O}) \rtimes \mathbb{C}^\times}^*(\mathrm{Gr})$  is injective. By Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.6, the graded dimensions of both algebras coincide with that of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_r, \hbar]$  where  $\deg x_i = 2d_i$ ,  $\deg y_i = 2d_i - 2$ , and  $\deg \hbar = 2$ . We conclude that  $\alpha^*$  is an isomorphism.

## References

- [1] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel. “Koszul Duality Patterns in Representation Theory”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 9.2 (1996), pp. 473–527. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2152867>.
- [2] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Michael Finkelberg. *Equivariant Satake category and Kostant-Whittaker reduction*. 2008. arXiv: 0707.3799 [math.RT].
- [3] A. Bialynicki-Birula. “Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups”. In: *Annals of Mathematics*. 2nd ser. 98.3 (Nov. 1973), pp. 480–497. DOI: 10.2307/1970915. URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1970915>.
- [4] Michel Brion and Michèle Vergne. *On the localization theorem in equivariant cohomology*. 1997. arXiv: dg-ga/9711003 [dg-ga].
- [5] Pavel Etingof. *Lie groups and Lie algebras*. 2024. arXiv: 2201.09397 [math.RT].
- [6] Victor Ginzburg. *Perverse sheaves on a Loop group and Langlands’ duality*. 2000. arXiv: alg-geom/9511007 [alg-geom].

- [7] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1977. ISBN: 978-0-387-90244-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-3849-0.
- [8] A. Ramanathan. “Deformations of principal bundles on the projective line”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 71.1 (Feb. 1983), pp. 165–191. DOI: 10.1007/BF01393340. URL: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01393340>.
- [9] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2025.
- [10] J. Tits. “Reductive groups over local fields”. In: *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*. Vol. XXXIII. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 29–69. ISBN: 0-8218-1435-4.
- [11] Xinwen Zhu. *An introduction to affine Grassmannians and the geometric Satake equivalence*. 2016. arXiv: 1603.05593 [math.AG].