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#### Abstract

The positive mass theorem states that for asymptotically flat spacetimes, the ADM mass, defined by Arnowitt-Deser-Misner, is nonnegative. This theorem has been given several proofs, notably by Schoen-Yau, Witten, Geroch, and others. We generalize an argument of Jang which proves the positivity of the ADM mass of an asymptotically flat spacelike hypersurface ( $M^{3}, g$ ) with a single exterior region $M_{\text {ext }} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{1}(0)$. We focus on a quantity asymptotic to the Hawking quasi-local mass defined on level sets of a $p$-harmonic function, for $1<p<3$, and demonstrate a monoticity formula similar to the Geroch monotonicity formula. For $p=2$, we recover Jang's argument.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we announce a proof of the positive mass theorem and sketch out in large our future argument. Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold. An open submanifold $N \subseteq M$ is an asymptotically flat end of order $\tau$ if there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ for which the metric satisfies the decay conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right|=o\left(r^{-\tau}\right) ; \quad\left|\partial_{k}\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j}\right|=o\left(r^{-\tau-1}\right) ; \quad\left|\partial_{k} \partial_{l}\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j}\right|=o\left(r^{-\tau-2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say $M$ is asymptotically flat of order $\tau$ if there exists a compact set $K \subset M$ such that $M \backslash K=$ $N_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup N_{k}$ is the disjoint union of $k$ asymptotically flat ends of order $\tau$, and $R(g) \in L^{1}(M)$, where $R$ is the scalar curvature of $M$. For simplicity, we shall consider asymptotically flat manifolds with a single end $M_{\text {end }}$. Given such a manifold the $A D M$ mass is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}(M, g)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{S_{r}}\left(\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j, j}-\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{j j, i}\right) \nu^{i} \mathrm{~d} S_{r}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{r}$ is the sphere of radius $r$ in the coordinate system, $\nu$ is the normal vector to the sphere with respect to $\Phi_{*} g$, and $\mathrm{d} S_{r}$ is the volume form induced on the sphere by $\Phi_{*} g$. Bartnik [Ba] showed that for a manifold with a single end asymptotically flat of order $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$, this quantity
does not depend on the choice of $K$ or diffeomorphism $\Phi$, and thus is a geometric invariant. By [HI, Lemma 4.1], there exists an exterior region $M_{\text {ext }} \supset M_{\text {end }}$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ minus a finite number of balls with disjoint closure, with $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$ minimal. In the physical setting, $M$ is taken to be spacelike hypersurface of a Lorentzian manifold $\left(\mathcal{N}^{4}, \widetilde{g}\right)$, with timelike normal vector field $\xi$, obeying the dominant energy condition $\mu \geq|J|$, where $\mu=\mathcal{T}(\xi, \xi)$ is the mass-energy density and $J$, which satisfies $\widetilde{g}\left(J, \xi^{\prime}\right)=-\mathcal{T}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ for arbitrary $\xi^{\prime}$, is the momentum density [CP]. Here, $\mathcal{T}$ is the stress-energy tensor, which satisfies the Einstein field equation $\operatorname{Ric}(\widetilde{g})-\frac{1}{2} R(\widetilde{g})=8 \pi \mathcal{T}$. In the case where $M$ is a maximal surface this condition is equivalent to $R(g) \geq 0$. The main result is:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be a complete, asymptotically flat, manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature and a single end contained in an exterior region with boundary homeomorphic to a 2 sphere. Then $\mathfrak{m}(M, g) \geq 0$.

Outline of Proof. We follow the general idea developed in [Ja]. Suppose there exists positive solution $f$ to the $p$-Laplace equation on $M_{\text {ext }}$ for $1 \leq p \leq 3$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} f \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \operatorname{div}_{g}\left(|\nabla f|^{p-2} \nabla f\right)=0, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the Dirichlet boundary condition $f \equiv t_{0}$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$ for some $t_{0}>0$ and $f=0$ at infinity. Motivated by the fundamental solution $|x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define $r=f^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}$. We then consider level sets $\Sigma_{t}=r^{-1}(\{t\})$ and define for regular values of $r$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} t\left[\left(R_{\Sigma_{t}}-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(H-\frac{2|\nabla r|}{t}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\Sigma_{t}}$ and $H$ are the scalar and mean curvatures of $\Sigma_{t}$ respectively, and $\mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}$ is the volume form on $\Sigma_{t}$. Note that when $\Sigma_{t} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2}$, the first term in the integrand is related to the Hawking quasi-local mass by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}_{H}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{area}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)}{16 \pi}}\left(1-\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} H^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{t}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{area}\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)}{\operatorname{area}\left(\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2}\right)}} \cdot \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} t\left(R_{\Sigma_{t}}-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the sphere of radius $t$. The second term in (1.4) can be shown to be negligible for large $t$. Since we expect the Hawking quasi-local mass for 2 -spheres to approach the ADM mass, by choosing $t_{0}$ so that area $\left(\Sigma_{t}\right) / \operatorname{area}\left(\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$, we can obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t)=\mathfrak{m}(M, g)$. The argument proceeds by establishing $W\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ and the monotonicity of $W$. On an interval of regular values $W^{\prime}(t)$ is well-defined and is shown to be nonnegative. In general, however, there will be critical values for which $W$ is ill-defined. To handle this, we would like to consider the modificaton

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W}(t)=\left[W(t)-\frac{t}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]+\frac{t}{2}\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(X)$ and $\Gamma(X)$ are, respectively, the Euler characteristic and the set of connected components of a topological space $X$, and $|S|$ is the cardinality of a set $S$. We verify that $\widetilde{W}\left(t_{0}\right)=W\left(t_{0}\right)$ and that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{W}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t)$, as near the horizon and near infinity $\Sigma_{t}$ is homeomorphic to a

2 -sphere. Now suppose that $r$ has only isolated critical values. Then to examine the monotonicity of $W$ or $\widetilde{W}$, one only needs to study the potential jump discontinuities occuring at each critical value. Suppose that the potential jump discontinuities of $W$ only arise due to changes in the topology of $\Sigma_{t}$ across critical values. Then the first term $\left[W(t)-\frac{t}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right]$, which removes the explicit dependence of $W(t)$ on the topology of $\Sigma_{t}$, does not change across critical values. Thus we may calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}=W\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[W^{\prime}(t)+\left(2\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|-\chi\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{t_{i} \in \operatorname{Crit}(r)} t_{i}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{+}}\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|-\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{-}}\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|\right] \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that $W\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$, and as $W^{\prime}(t)$ is nonnegative and $2|\Gamma(X)|-\chi(X) \geq 0$ for orientable 2surfaces, the second term is nonnegative as well. The last term will be 0 by the maximum principle. Roughly speaking, an additional component can never exist, for it must bound a domain containing a maximum or minimum point not belonging to the level set, violating the maximum principle.

Our assumptions that the critical values of $r$ are isolated and that the potential jump discontinuities of $W$ only appear in the $\chi\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)$ term are unfounded, however. At best, we may guarantee that the set of critical values are contained in some interval $\left[t_{\min }, t_{\max }\right]$. We approximate $r$ on $r^{-1}\left(\left[t_{\min }, t_{\max }\right]\right)$ to obtain a Morse function $r_{\epsilon}$ satisfying some " $\epsilon$-close" criterion. As a result we must work with slightly perturbed functions $W_{\epsilon}, \widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}$ and level sets $\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}$. One now must show that
(a) the term $W_{\epsilon}(t)-\frac{t}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ does not change across critical values of $r_{\epsilon}$,
(b) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the integral $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t$ may be made arbitrarily small, and
(c) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the sum $\sum_{t_{i} \in \operatorname{Crit}(r)} t_{i}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{+}}\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|-\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{-}}\left|\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)\right|\right]$ may be made arbitrarily small.

For (c), we give an argument that relies on a "near"-maximum principle which allows us to pair positive and negative contributions from different critical points. The details of this argument are carried out in Section 5.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the $p$-Laplace boundary value problem and determine existence and regularity. In Section 3, we reintroduce the $W$ function and determine that its limiting value is equal to the ADM mass; in Section 4, we establish (a) and (b) for approximations $W_{\epsilon}$. In Section 5, we demonstrate the pairing argument and prove the positive mass theorem.
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## 2. $p$-Harmonic Functions on the Exterior Region

Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be an asymptotically flat manifold with a single end $M_{\text {end }}$ contained in an exterior region $M_{\text {ext }}$ with minimal boundary. Let $\Phi_{0}: M_{\text {ext }} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an embedding of the exterior region satisfiying the decay conditions (1.1). Assume that we may extend the diffeomorphism $\Phi_{0}$ to a coordinate system $\Phi: M_{\text {ext }} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

For $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{\delta}^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \subset L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ to be the subspace of functions for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p, \delta} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}|u|^{p} \sigma^{-\delta p-3} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(x)=\left(1+|\Phi(x)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the weight function. Proceeding, we can define weighted Sobolev spaces $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \subseteq L_{\delta}^{p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ to be the subspace of functions $u$ with weak derivative $\nabla u$ for with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p, \delta} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\|u\|_{p, \delta}+\|\nabla u\|_{p, \delta-1}<\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla u\|_{p, \delta-1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\left|\nabla_{i} u\right|^{p} \sigma^{-(\delta-1) p-3} \mathrm{~d} V\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definitions given are equivalent to those given in [Ba] for $n=3$. We define the subspace of trace zero functions $W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ to be the completion of $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ under the $\|\cdot\|_{1, p, \delta}$ norm. Without fullying defining a trace operator, we may meaningfully talk about solutions to a boundary value problem on $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$. Suppose that $\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}} \neq \varnothing$. Then for $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$, we say that $u \equiv 1$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$ if $u-\eta \in W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ for some(any $)^{1}$ smooth function $\eta \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$.

A function $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is said to be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation if for all smooth $\varphi$ compactly supported in the interior $M_{\text {ext }}{ }^{\circ}$ of the exterior region.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}\langle\nabla \varphi,| \nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u\right\rangle_{g} \mathrm{~d} V=0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central theorem in this section involves the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions. Shortly after, we will show our solution satisfies a regularity lemma, and give description of the asymptotic nature of solutions.

Theorem 2.1. Let $1<p<3$ and $1-3 / p<\delta<0$. Then there exists a weak solution $u \in$ $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ of the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions $u \equiv 1$.

The problem of existence and uniqueness of a solution to the $p$-Laplace equation may be rephrased in terms of finding a minimizer to the $p$-Dirichlet energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{p}[u] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{M_{\text {ext }}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]after which we proceed with the direct method in the calculus of variations [?]. Note that $|\nabla u|$ is in general only locally integrable, so we consider the energy to be a functional $\mathscr{E}_{p}: W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty]$.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ minimizes $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ with $\mathscr{E}_{p}[u]<\infty$, subject to the boundary condition $u \equiv 1$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$. Then $u$ is a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \subset M_{\text {ext }}{ }^{\circ}$. Consider the variation $u_{s}=u+s \varphi$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathscr{E}_{p}(s)=\mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{s}\right]$. Since the variation $\varphi$ has compact support, we may differentiate $\mathscr{E}_{p}$, which by minimality has

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left[\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}\left|\nabla u_{s}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V\right]_{s=0} & =\int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\left[p|\nabla u+s \nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \cdot\langle\nabla \varphi, \nabla u+\nabla s \varphi\rangle\right]_{s=0} \mathrm{~d} V . \\
& \left.=\left.\int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\langle\nabla \varphi,| \nabla u\right|^{p-2} \nabla u\right\rangle \mathrm{d} V . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $u$ is a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation.
The direct method requires one to produce a minimizing sequence of the $p$-Dirichlet energy and extract a subsequence convergent in some topology of $W_{\delta}^{1, p}$ where $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is lower semicontinuous, implying the limit is in fact a minimizer. The relevant topology will be the weak topology on $W_{\delta}^{1, p}$; therefore it is of interest to determine if such a subsequence can extracted via a weak compactness argument.

Lemma 2.3 (Hardy's Inequality). Let $1<p<3$. Then there exists a constant $C(p)$ such that for all $u \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}\left|\sigma^{-1} u\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V \leq C \cdot \int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If we let $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$, then since $M_{\text {ext }}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ minus a union of finitely many balls, we can consider $u$ as a function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, minus a finite number of balls. After a rigid motion, we may assume one of these balls contains the origin. If the number of balls is zero, then $M_{\text {ext }} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and we assume $u \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. Hardy's Inequality on Euclidean space then immediately gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}\left|\frac{u}{r}\right|^{p} d V_{e u c} \leq \frac{p}{n-p} \int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}|d| u \|_{g_{e u c}}^{2} d V_{e u c} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By asymptotic flatness, $g$ and $g_{\text {euc }}$ are uniformly equivalent on $M_{\text {ext }}$. Therefore, up to a constant, the above inequality must hold when $d V_{g_{e u c}}$ is replaced with $d V_{g}$. In addition, $g$ and $g_{e u c}$ are also uniformly equivalent on $M_{\text {ext }}$, so if we apply Kato's inequality we see that $\left.|d| u\right|_{g_{e} u c} \leq C|d| u \mid \|_{g} \leq$ $C|\nabla u|_{g}$. We have thus shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|r^{-1} u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lemma then immediately follows from the fact that $r$ and $\sigma$ are uniformly equivalent.
Lemma 2.4. Let $1<p<3$ and $\delta \geq 1-3 / p$. Then there exists a constant $C(p)$ such that $\|u\|_{1, p, \delta} \leq C \cdot \mathscr{E}_{p}[u]$ for all $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$.

Proof. Since $C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ is dense in $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ [Ba], it suffices to consider $u \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$. We may write for some $c(p)[\mathrm{Ba}$, Thm. 1.2(i)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p, \delta}=\|u\|_{p, \delta}+\|\nabla u\|_{p, \delta-1} \leq c \cdot\left[\|u\|_{p, 1-\frac{3}{p}}+\cdot\|\nabla u\|_{p,-\frac{3}{p}}\right] \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3), for some $C(p)$ we may write $\|\nabla u\|_{p, 1-3 / p}=\left\|\sigma^{-1} u\right\|_{p} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{p}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the norm on $L^{p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$. Furthermore, since $\|\cdot\|_{p,-3 / p}=\|\cdot\|_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p, \delta} \leq C^{\prime} \cdot\|\nabla u\|^{p}=C^{\prime} \cdot \mathscr{E}_{p}[u] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves the claim.
Lemma 2.5. Let $1<p<3$. Then $W_{\delta}^{1, p}$ is reflexive; in particular, every bounded sequence has a weakly convergent subsequence.

Proof. We follow the line of proof given in [Br, Prop. 8.1]. For $1<p<\infty$, the Lebesgue space $L^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}, \mu_{\delta}\right)=L_{\delta}^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$, where $\mu_{\delta}(U)=\int_{U} \sigma^{-\delta p-3} \mathrm{~d} V$, is reflexive [ Br , Thm. 4.10]. So consider the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \rightarrow L_{\delta}^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \times L_{\delta-1}^{p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}} ; \mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes $u \mapsto(u, \nabla u)$. From (2.1) it is clear that $T$ is an isometric embedding. Since $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is complete it follows that $\operatorname{im}(T)$ is complete and thus closed. Furthermore, since the product of reflexive spaces is reflexive, and closed subspaces of reflexive spaces are reflexive, it follows that $\operatorname{im}(T)$, and thus $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is reflexive(see [Br, Section 3.5] for an account of the properties of reflexive spaces). In particular, every bounded sequence in $W_{\delta}^{1, p}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence $[\mathrm{Br}$, Thm. 3.18].

Lemma 2.6. Let $1<p<3$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the $p$-Dirichlet energy functional $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous on $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$.

Proof. We follow the proof the Tonelli-Serrin theorem as done in [Ri, Thm. 2.6]. First, we prove the strong lower semicontinuity of $\mathscr{E}_{p}$. Consider the following well-known fact.

Fact 2.7. Let $a_{n}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Suppose there exists some real $a$ such that for every subsequence $b_{m}=a_{n_{m}}$ of $a_{n}$, there exists a further subsequence $b_{m_{k}}$ such that $a \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{m_{k}}$. Then $a \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$.
Now, let $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ be a norm-convergent sequence in $W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$, and $v_{m}=v_{n_{m}}$ be an arbitrary subsequence. Since $v_{m} \rightarrow u$, it follows from (2.1) that $\left\|\sigma^{-(\delta-1) p-3}\left|\nabla u-\nabla v_{m}\right|\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$; therefore there exists some subsequence $v_{m_{k}}$ for which $\sigma^{-(\delta-1) p-3}\left|\nabla u-\nabla u_{m_{k}}\right| \rightarrow 0$ pointwise almost everywhere, so $\nabla u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow \nabla u$ pointwise a.e. as well. Thus by Fatou's lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{p}[u]=\int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{M_{\mathrm{ext}}}\left|\nabla v_{m_{k}}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[v_{m_{k}}\right] \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (2.7), using the sequence $E_{n}=\mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{n}\right]$, we obtain $\mathscr{E}_{p}[u] \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{n}\right]$. Since $u_{n}$ was arbitrary, this shows the strong lower semicontinuity of $\mathscr{E}_{p}$.

To show weak sequential lower semicontinuity, we use the fact that a map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ on a Banach space is (weakly) lower semicontinuous if and only if the epigraph, denoted by epi $(f)=$ $\{(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is a (weakly) sequentially closed subset of $X \times \mathbb{R}$, and similarly, that $f$ is convex if and only if epi $(f)$ is convex. [Ri, Section 2.6]. Since $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is strongly lower semicontinuous, epi $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is closed in the norm topology. Furthermore, given $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in[0,1]$ such that $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{E}_{p}\left[\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2}\right]=\int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\left|\lambda_{1} \nabla u_{1}+\lambda_{2} \nabla u_{2}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V & \leq \lambda_{1} \int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V+\lambda_{2} \int_{M_{\text {ext }}}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} V \\
& =\lambda_{1} \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{1}\right]+\lambda_{2} \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{2}\right], \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

which holds by the convexity of the integrand. Thus epi $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is convex. In particular, as it is closed in the norm topology and convex, it is closed in the weak topology [Br, Theorem 3.7]. Thus $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.

With these lemmas proven, all the sufficient conditions needed in order to proceed with the direct method have been established:

Proof of Theorem (2.1). By (2.2), it suffices to show that there exists a minimizer of the energy $\mathscr{E}_{p}$, subject to the given boundary condition. Let $\mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)=\left\{v \in W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \mid v \equiv 1\right.$ on $\left.\partial M_{\text {ext }}\right\}$ be the space of admissible functions, and note that for any smooth $\eta \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ we may write $\mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)=\eta+W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$. In particular, $\inf _{\mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)} \mathscr{E}_{p} \leq \mathscr{E}_{p}[\eta]<\infty$, since $\eta$ has compact support. Now there exists a sequence of admissible functions $u_{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ such that $\mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{n}\right] \leq E_{0}+1 / n$, where $E_{0}=\inf _{\mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)} \mathscr{E}_{p}$. By $(2.4),\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p, \delta} \leq C \cdot \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{n}\right]$ for some constant $C(p)$, implying that $u_{n}$ is a bounded sequence. Hence by (2.5), there exists a weakly convergent subsequence $u_{n_{k}} \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ with weak limit $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$. However, the plane $\mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)=\eta+W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is clearly convex and is closed in the norm topology, since $W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is closed. Thus it is closed in the weak topology [ Br , Theorem 3.7]; in particular, $u \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$. By (2.6), $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous, implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0} \leq \mathscr{E}_{p}[u] \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathscr{E}_{p}\left[u_{n_{k}}\right]=E_{0} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $u \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \delta}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is a minimzer of $\mathscr{E}_{p}$ satisfying $u \equiv 1$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$.
Now since the $p$-Laplace equation $\Delta_{p} u=0$ takes on the divergence form $\operatorname{div} \vec{a}(x, u, \partial u)=0$, where $a(x, u, \partial u)=|g|^{-1}\left(g^{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} g^{k \ell} u_{\ell} \partial_{k}$. We then calculate for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{u_{m}}^{k} \xi^{j} \xi^{k} & =\frac{p-2}{2}|g|^{-1 / 2}\left(g^{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left(g^{i m} u_{i}\right)\left(g^{k \ell} u_{\ell}\right) \xi^{j} \xi^{k},  \tag{2.16}\\
\left|a_{u_{m}}^{k}\right| & =\frac{p-2}{2}|g|^{-1 / 2}\left(g^{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left(g^{i m} u_{i}\right)\left(g^{k \ell} u_{\ell}\right),  \tag{2.17}\\
\left|a_{x_{m}}^{k}\right| & =\partial_{m}\left(|g|^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(g^{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(g^{k \ell} u_{\ell}\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
& +\frac{p-2}{2}|g|^{-1 / 2}\left(g^{i j} u_{i} u_{j}\right)^{\frac{p-4}{2}}\left[\left(\partial_{m} g^{i j}\right) u_{i} u_{j}+2 g^{i j} u_{i m} u_{j}\right]\left(g^{k \ell} u_{\ell}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left|a_{u}^{k}\right|=0$. By asymptotic flatness, there exists continuous $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that the hypotheses of [DB, Thm. 2] are satisfied. It follows that $u \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ [DB].

Lemma 2.8. Let $1<p<3$ and $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions given in Lemma (2.1). Suppose $U \subset M_{\mathrm{ext}}$ is an open subset of $M$ containing no critical points of $u$. Then $\left.u\right|_{U} \in C^{\infty}(U)$.

Proof. Let $K \subseteq U$ be compact. Then since $|\nabla u|$ is bounded below by a positive constant, the $p$-Laplace equation is uniformly elliptic and satisifies the conditions of [LU, Thm. 5.2, 6.3], which implies it is smooth.

We will use an argument based on the comparison principle for supersolutions and subsolutions of the $p$-Laplace equation to handle the asymptotic behavior of a solution $u$. On $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the fundamental solution of the $p$-Laplace equation is given by $|x|^{(p-n) /(p-1)}$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $1<p<3$ and $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions given in Lemma (2.1). Then the set of critical points Crit( $u$ ) is contained in a compact set $K$. In particular, $u$ is smooth on $M_{\text {ext }} \backslash K$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $1<p<3$ and $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions given in Lemma (2.1). Then $\nabla u \neq 0$ on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$. In particular, this implies the existence of an open set $U \supset \partial M_{\text {ext }}$ such that $\operatorname{Crit}(u) \cap U=\varnothing$.

Lemma 2.11. Let $1<p<3$ and $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right) \cap C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\mathrm{ext}}\right)$ be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions given in Lemma (2.1) such that $u$ is smooth on the complement $M_{\text {ext }} \backslash K$ of a compact set $K$. Let $r=u^{(p-1) /(p-3)}$. Then on $M_{\text {ext }} \backslash K$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|\nabla r|>0,  \tag{2.19}\\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\nabla r /|\nabla r|^{2}}|\nabla r|=0 . \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Hawking and ADM Masses

In this section we recall known expressions for the mass and introduce a new quantity whose limiting value is bounded above by the ADM mass. Let $M_{\text {end }}$ be the single end of an asymptotically flat manifold ( $M^{3}, g$ ) with coordinates $\Phi: M_{\text {end }} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ satisfying (1.1) for $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Recall that the $A D M$ mass is defined as

$$
\mathfrak{m}(M, g)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{S_{r}}\left(\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j, j}-\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{j j, i}\right) \nu^{i} \mathrm{~d} S_{r} .
$$

Given a 2-surface $\Sigma \subset M_{\text {end }}$ one has the Hawking quasi-local mass [Ha],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{H}}(\Sigma)=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{area}(\Sigma)}{16 \pi}}\left(1-\int_{\Sigma} H^{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is asymptotic to the ADM mass for coordinate spheres $\Sigma_{r}=S_{r}(0)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We have the following result, see $[\mathrm{Ba}, \text { Prop. } 4.1]^{2}$, which allows more generality in the choice of surface in (??).

[^1]Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be an asymptotically flat manifold with a single end $M_{\text {end }}$ and asymptotically flat coordinates $\Phi: M_{\text {end }} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{1}(0)$ satisfying (1.1) with $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an exhaustion of $M_{\text {end }}$ by compact sets such that $D_{k}$ is a smooth 3-manifold with boundary $\Sigma_{k}=\partial D_{k}$, and $\operatorname{area}_{g}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right)=O\left(r_{k}^{2}\right)$ as $r_{k} \rightarrow \infty$, where $r_{k}=\inf _{x \in \Sigma_{k}}|x|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}(M, g)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{k}}\left(\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{i j, j}-\left(\Phi_{*} g\right)_{j j, i}\right) \nu^{i} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{k}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \sigma_{k}$ is the volume form induced on $\Sigma_{k}$ by $g$.
Definition 3.2. Let $\left\{D_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho>\rho_{0}}$ be an exhaustion of $M_{\text {end }}$ by compact 3-manifolds with smooth boundary $\Sigma_{\rho}$. We say that $\left\{\Sigma_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho>\rho_{0}}$ approximates $\mathbb{S}_{\rho}^{2}$ (compare with "nearly round" in [MT, Def. 2.1]) if

1. there exists $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that $c_{1} \rho \leq|x| \leq c_{2} \rho$ for all $x \in \Sigma_{\rho}$,
2. $\operatorname{area}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right)=\operatorname{area}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\rho}^{2}\right)\left(1+O\left(\rho^{-\tau}\right)\right)$, where $\mathbb{S}_{\rho}^{2}=\{|x|=\rho\}$, and
3. $\sup _{\Sigma_{\rho}}|X-\rho \nu|=O\left(\rho^{1-\tau}\right)$, where $X=x^{i} \partial_{i}$ and $\nu$ is the unit normal vector to $\Sigma_{\rho}$.

Note that the third condition is stronger than what the hypotheses taken by [MT, Def. 4.1] imply, as (3.2) further restricts the "center" of the approximate family to be the origin. We now determine an asymptotic relation between the Hawking and ADM mass sufficient for our purposes; to do this, we adapt the method of argument in [MT, Thm. 2.1]. Before doing so, we define a notion of asymptotic behavior for functions defined on the $M_{\text {end }}$ using the index $\rho$.

Definition 3.3. Let $f: M_{\text {end }} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi:\left[\rho_{0}, \infty\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say that $f=O_{\rho}(\xi)$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ if there exists a constant $C$ and $\rho_{1} \geq \rho_{0}$ such that $f(x) \leq C \xi(\rho)$ for all $x \in \Sigma_{\rho}$ for $\rho \geq \rho_{1}$. Likewise, we say that $f=o_{\rho}(\xi(\rho))$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ if for every $c>0$ there exists $\rho_{1}(c) \geq \rho_{0}$ such that $f(x) \leq c \xi(\rho)$ for all $x \in \Sigma_{\rho}$ for $\rho \geq \rho_{1}(c)$.

It follows immediately that $O(|x|) \subseteq O_{\rho}(\rho)$ and $o(|x|) \subseteq o_{\rho}(\rho)$. Furthermore, the usual rules of arithmetic apply.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be manifold with a single asymptotically flat end $M_{\mathrm{end}}$ of order $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $\left\{D_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho>\rho_{0}}$ be an exhaustion of $M_{\text {end }}$ by compact 3 -manifolds with smooth boundary $\Sigma_{\rho}$ such that $\left\{\Sigma_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho>\rho_{0}}$ approximates $\mathbb{S}_{\rho}^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{ADM}}(M, g) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define the tensor $G=\frac{1}{2} R_{g} g-\operatorname{Ric}_{g}$. We wish to establish the inequality

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right) \leq \lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} G(\rho \nu, \nu) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{ADM}}(M, g)
$$

where $\nu$ is the unit normal to $\Sigma_{\rho}$. To study the curvatures we apply the Koszul formula $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{j k, i}+g_{k i, j}-g_{i j, k}\right)$ and use the fact that $g_{i j, k}=o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-1-\tau}\right)$ and $g_{i j, k l}=o\left(|x|^{-2-\tau}\right)=o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-\tau}\right)$ to
obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j}=R_{i k j}^{k} & =\partial_{k} \Gamma_{j i}^{k}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{k i}^{k}+\Gamma_{k \ell}^{k} \Gamma_{j i}^{l}-\Gamma_{j l}^{k} \Gamma_{k i}^{\ell}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\partial_{k} \Gamma_{j i}^{k}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{k i}^{k}+o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i k, j k}+g_{k j, i k}-g_{j i, k k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i k, k j}+g_{k k, i j}-g_{k i, k j}\right)+o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i k, j k}+g_{k j, i k}-g_{j i, k k}-g_{k k, i j}\right)+o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $R_{i j}=o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-\tau}\right)$. One also gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=g^{i j} R_{i j}=R_{i i}+\left(g^{i j}-\delta^{i j}\right) R_{i j}=R_{i i}+o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right)=g_{i j, i j}+g_{i i, j j}+o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to calculate $G$ we "extend" the metric coefficients $g_{i j}$ to smooth functions defined on all of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $\eta: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth cutoff function such that $\eta \equiv 0$ on $\overline{B_{1}}$ and $\eta_{m} \equiv 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash B_{2}$. Define the functions

$$
\bar{g}_{i j}(x)= \begin{cases}\eta(x) g_{i j}(x) & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \overline{B_{1}}  \tag{3.9}\\ 0 & \text { if } x \in \overline{B_{1}}\end{cases}
$$

Now for $\rho \geq \rho_{1}$, where $B_{2}(0) \subseteq D_{\rho_{1}}$, we may calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i k, j k}+g_{k j, i k}-g_{j i, k k}-g_{k k, i j}\right) x^{i} \nu^{j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\rho}  \tag{3.10}\\
= & \int_{D_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{j}\left[\left(\bar{g}_{i k, j k}+\bar{g}_{k j, i k}-\bar{g}_{j i, k k}-\bar{g}_{k k, i j}\right) x^{i}\right] \mathrm{d} V  \tag{3.11}\\
= & \int_{D_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{g}_{i k, j k j}+\bar{g}_{k j, i k j}-\bar{g}_{j i, k k j}-\bar{g}_{k k, i j j}\right) x^{i} \mathrm{~d} V+\int_{D_{\rho}}\left(\bar{g}_{k j, k j}-\bar{g}_{k k, j j}\right) \mathrm{d} V  \tag{3.12}\\
= & \int_{D_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{g}_{k j, i k j}-\bar{g}_{k k, i j j}\right) x^{i} \mathrm{~d} V+\int_{D_{\rho}}\left(\bar{g}_{k j, k j}-\bar{g}_{k k, j j}\right) \mathrm{d} V  \tag{3.13}\\
= & \int_{D_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i}\left[\left(\bar{g}_{k j, k j}-\bar{g}_{k k, j j}\right) x^{i}\right] \mathrm{d} V+\int_{D_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{g}_{k k, j j}-\bar{g}_{k j, k j}\right) \mathrm{d} V  \tag{3.14}\\
= & \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{k j, k j}-g_{k k, j j}\right) x^{i} \nu^{i} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\rho}+\int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{k k, j}-g_{k j, k}\right) \nu^{j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\rho}, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=o\left(\rho^{-2-2 \tau}\right) \operatorname{area}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right)=o\left(\rho^{-2 \tau}\right)=o(1)$, since $\tau \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, since $\left|x^{i}-\rho \nu^{i}\right|=O_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-\tau}\right)$, and $g_{i j, k l}=o_{\rho}\left(\rho^{-2-\tau}\right)$, we find from (3.10), (3.15), and (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} G(\rho \nu, \nu) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}}\left(g_{k k, j}-g_{k j, k}\right) \nu^{j} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\rho}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{ADM}}(M, g) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the Gauss-Codazzi equations to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} G(\rho \nu, \nu) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=\rho \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}}\left[\frac{1}{2} R-\operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu)\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=\frac{\rho}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}}[R_{\Sigma_{\rho}}-\frac{1}{2} H_{\rho}^{2}+\underbrace{\left(\left\|A_{\rho}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} H_{\rho}^{2}\right)}_{\geq 0}] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\Sigma_{\rho}}$ and $H_{\rho}$ are the scalar and mean curvatures on $\Sigma_{\rho}$ respectively, and $A_{\rho}$ is the second fundamental form on $\Sigma_{\rho}$. Finally, the area estimate in (3.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} G(\rho \nu, \nu) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho} \geq \lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{area}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right)}{16 \pi}} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}}\left[R_{\Sigma_{\rho}}-\frac{1}{2} H_{\rho}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho}=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the conclusion follows.
Note that the second condition in (3.2) will not be necessary for our purposes; it will suffice to only show the first and the third conditions hold, along with the weaker condition area $\left(\Sigma_{\rho}\right)=O\left(\rho^{2}\right)$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{\rho}} \rho\left[R_{\Sigma_{\rho}}-\frac{1}{2} H_{\rho}^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\rho} \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{ADM}}(M, g) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our setting however, the second condition can be shown to follow from the third, so (3.4) still holds.

### 3.1. Level Sets of a $p$-Harmonic Function

Consider the function $r(x)=\tau_{0} \cdot u(x)^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}$, where $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \cap C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ is a solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying the boundary conditions in (2.1), and $\tau_{0}>0$ is some undetermined constant. Then by (2.9), $r$ is smooth outside some compact set $K \supseteq M_{\text {ext }} \backslash M_{\text {end }}$. It follows that $t$ is a regular value of $r$ for $t>t_{\max }=\sup _{K} r$. We wish to show that for a particular value of $\tau_{0}$, the family of surfaces $\left\{\Sigma_{t}\right\}_{t>t_{\max }}$ approximates $\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2}$, where $\Sigma_{t}=r^{-1}(\{t\})$.

In general, let $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}=r^{-1}\left(\left\{t \geq t_{0}\right\}\right)$, and $\Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}=r^{-1}\left(\left\{t \leq t_{0}\right\}\right)$, and define $\Sigma_{t>t_{0}}$ and $\Sigma_{t<t_{0}}$ similarly.
Lemma 3.5. There exists $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that $c_{1} r(x) \leq|x| \leq c_{2} r(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma_{t>t_{\max }}$.
Lemma 3.6. Suppose $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|\nabla r|=1$. Then area $\left(\Sigma_{t}\right)=\operatorname{area}\left(\mathbb{S}_{t}^{2}\right)\left(1+O\left(t^{-\tau}\right)\right)$.
Lemma 3.7. Suppose $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|\nabla r|=1$. Then $\sup _{\Sigma_{t}}|X-t \nu|=O\left(t^{1-\tau}\right)$, where $X=x^{i} \partial_{i}$ and $\nu$ is the unit normal vector to $\Sigma_{t}$.

In view of these lemmas, we choose $\tau_{0}=\left(\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|\nabla r|\right)^{-1}$, which is possible by (2.11). Now, define for regular values $t>t_{\text {min }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}} t\left[\left(R_{\Sigma_{t}}-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(H-\frac{2|\nabla r|}{t}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As noted in the Introduction, we seek to relate this quantity to the Hawking quasi-local mass or ADM mass and afterwards produce a monotonicity formula.
Lemma 3.8. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a Riemannian manifold $M$. Define $s=\lambda f^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}$ for some constant $\lambda>0$, and set $\nu=\nabla s /|\nabla s|, \varphi=1 /|\nabla s|$. Let $\Sigma$ be a regular level set of $s$. Then on $\Sigma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\varphi \nu}|\nabla s|=\frac{1}{p-1}\left[\frac{2|\nabla s|}{s}-H\right]+\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{s^{2}}{|\nabla s|^{p-1}} \cdot \Delta_{p} f, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature of $\Sigma$.

Proof. Assume at first that $\lambda=1$. We may calculate $\nabla f=\nabla s^{\frac{p-3}{p-1}}=\frac{p-3}{p-1} \cdot s^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} \nabla s$. Now by the product rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} f=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla f|^{p-2} \nabla f\right)=\frac{p-3}{p-1}\left[\left(|\nabla s|^{p-2} s^{-2}\right) \operatorname{div}(\nabla s)+\left\langle\operatorname{grad}\left(|\nabla s|^{p-2} s^{-2}\right), \nabla s\right\rangle\right] \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\operatorname{div}(\nabla s)=\Delta_{2} s$ is the usual 2-Laplacian and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad}\left(|\nabla s|^{p-2} s^{-2}\right)=-2 s^{-3}|\nabla s|^{p-2} \nabla s+(p-2) s^{-2}|\nabla s|^{p-3} \operatorname{grad}|\nabla s| \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} f=\frac{|\nabla s|^{p-1}}{s^{2}}\left(\frac{p-3}{p-1}\right)\left[(p-2) \nabla_{\phi \nu}|\nabla s|+\frac{\Delta_{2} s}{|\nabla s|}-\frac{2|\nabla s|}{s}\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the mean curvature of $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\operatorname{tr} A=\frac{{\operatorname{tr~} \operatorname{Hess}_{s}^{M}-\operatorname{Hess}_{s}^{M}(\nu, \nu)}_{|\nabla s|}^{|\nabla s|} \frac{\Delta_{2} s}{|\nabla s|}-\nabla_{\varphi \nu}|\nabla s| . . . . . .}{} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into (3.24) and rearrangment yields the conclusion for $\lambda=1$. Now one verifies that (3.21) is invariant under the scaling $s \mapsto \lambda s$.

Our main result in this subsection is:
Lemma 3.9. $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{ADM}}(M, g)$.

## 4. Morse Approximations to a $p$-Harmonic Function

In this section we study approximations by Morse functions to the function $r$ defined in the previous section. Following the program detailed in the Introduction, we introduce modifications to $W$ and show an approximate monotonicty formula.

Lemma 4.1. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on a Riemannian $n$-manifold $M$ where $n>2$. Suppose $p_{0} \in M$ is a nondegenerate critical point of $f$ with value $t_{0}$, and that there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $f^{-1}\left(\left[t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}+\varepsilon\right]\right)$ is compact and contains no critical point of $f$ other than $p$. Let $\Omega_{t}=f^{-1}(\{t\})$ and $\omega_{t}$ be the volume form on $\Omega_{t}$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}+\varepsilon\right]-\left\{t_{0}\right\}$. For a smooth function $\eta: f^{-1}\left(\left[t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}+\varepsilon\right]\right)-\left\{p_{0}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\eta}(t)=\int_{\Omega_{t}} \eta \cdot \omega_{t} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}+\varepsilon\right)-\left\{t_{0}\right\}$. Let $(U, \psi)$ be a Morse chart around $p_{0}$. If $\eta=O\left(|\psi|^{2-n}\right)$ as $\psi(x) \rightarrow 0$, then $I_{\eta}$ is continuous at $t$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, let $t_{0}=0$, assume that $\overline{B_{1}} \subset \psi(U)$, and give $f$ the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=t_{0}-|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}|^{2} ; \quad \mathbf{x}=\left(\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{k}\right), \mathbf{y}=\left(\psi_{k+1}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mu:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying the properties:

1. $\mu(0)>0$ and $\mu^{(j)}(0)=0$ for all $j \geq 1$.
2. $\mu(x)=0$ for all $x \geq 1$.
3. $-1<\mu^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$.

Using this we define the family of functions $\mu_{\delta}(x)=\delta \mu(x / \delta)$ for $\delta \in(0,1]$. Define $F_{\delta}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F_{\delta}(p)= \begin{cases}f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})+\mu_{\delta}\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}|^{2}\right) & \text { on } U  \tag{4.3}\\ f(p) & \text { on } M-U\end{cases}
$$

One checks easily that $F_{\delta}$ is smooth. We calculate that on $U$,

$$
\frac{\partial F_{\delta}}{\partial \psi_{i}}=2 \psi_{i} \cdot\left[\mu_{\delta}^{\prime}\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{2}+|\mathbf{y}|^{2}\right) \pm 1\right]
$$

which only vanishes when $\psi_{i}=0$. It follows that the only critical point of $F_{\delta}$ in $U$ is $p_{0}$. Since $F_{\delta} \equiv f$ on $M-U$, the critical points of $F_{\delta}$ and $f$ coincide. Since $F_{1}\left(p_{0}\right)>f\left(p_{0}\right)=0$, it follows that 0 is not a critical value of $F_{1}$, and thus lies in some interval $\left[-\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ of regular values. Since on $B_{\sqrt{\delta}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\delta \cdot F_{1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{\delta} \equiv f$ outside of $B_{\sqrt{\delta}}$, it follows that $\left[-\delta \varepsilon_{1}, \delta \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ is an interval of regular values of $F_{\delta}$. Using these intervals we define $I_{\eta}^{\delta}:\left[-\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\delta \in[0,1]$ by

$$
I_{\eta}^{\delta}(\tau)= \begin{cases}\int_{\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta}} \eta \cdot \omega_{\tau}^{\delta} & \text { if } \delta>0  \tag{4.5}\\ \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{-}} I_{\eta}(t) & \text { if } \delta=0 \text { and } \tau \leq 0 \\ \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} I_{\eta}(t) & \text { if } \delta=0 \text { and } \tau>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta}=F_{\delta}^{-1}(\{\tau \delta\})$ and $\omega_{\tau}^{\delta}$ is the volume form on $\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta}$. Now one has $\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta}-B_{\sqrt{\delta}}=\Omega_{\tau \delta}-B_{\sqrt{\delta}}$ for $\tau \in\left[-\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$ and $\delta \in(0,1]$, which implies for $\tau \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{\eta}^{\delta}(\tau)-I_{\eta}(\tau \delta)\right| \leq \int_{\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta} \cap B_{\sqrt{\delta}}}|\eta| \cdot \omega_{\tau}^{\delta}+\int_{\Omega_{\tau \delta} \cap B_{\sqrt{\delta}}}|\eta| \cdot \omega_{\tau \delta} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{\tau}^{\delta}=\Omega_{\tau}^{\delta} \cap B_{\sqrt{\delta}}$ and $T_{\tau}^{\delta}=\Omega_{\tau \delta} \cap B_{\sqrt{\delta}}$. Then by the scaling properties of $F_{\delta}$ and $f$,

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & =\delta \cdot F_{1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right) \text { for }(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in B_{\sqrt{\delta}} \Longrightarrow S_{\tau}^{\delta}=\sqrt{\delta} \cdot S_{\tau}^{1}  \tag{4.7}\\
f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & =\delta \cdot f\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\sqrt{\delta}}, \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right) \text { for }(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in B_{\sqrt{\delta}} \Longrightarrow T_{\tau}^{\delta}=\sqrt{\delta} \cdot T_{\tau}^{1} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we may write for some constant $c(\tau)>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|I_{\eta}^{\delta}(\tau)-I_{\eta}(\tau \delta)\right| & \leq \int_{S_{\tau}^{\delta}}|\eta| \cdot \omega_{\tau}^{\delta}+\int_{T_{\tau}^{\delta}}|\eta| \cdot \omega_{\tau \delta}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq \sup _{S_{\tau}^{\delta}}|\eta| \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(\sqrt{\delta} \cdot S_{\tau}^{1}\right)+\sup _{T_{\tau}^{\delta}}|\eta| \cdot \operatorname{vol}\left(\sqrt{\delta} \cdot T_{\tau}^{1}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& \leq c(\tau) \cdot \delta^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left[\sup _{S_{\tau}^{\delta}} \rho^{2-n}+\sup _{T_{\tau}^{\delta}} \rho^{2-n}\right] . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\tau \neq 0$ we have $\sup _{T_{\tau}^{\delta}} \rho^{2-n}=|\tau \delta|^{2-n}$, and by scaling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{S_{\tau}^{\delta}} \rho^{2-n}=\delta^{2-n} \cdot \sup _{\bigcup_{\tau \in[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]} S_{\tau}^{1}} \rho^{2-n} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together this shows that for $\tau \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{\eta}^{\delta}(\tau)-I_{\eta}(\tau \delta)\right| \leq C(\tau)\left(1+|\tau|^{2-n}\right) \sqrt{\delta} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking a limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0^{ \pm}$, this implies the pointwise convergence of $I_{\eta}^{\delta}(\tau)$ to $I_{\eta}^{0}(\tau)$ for $\tau \neq 0$. A scaling lemma will ultimately produce a uniform $c(\tau)$, which should rely on the fact that $S_{\tau}^{\delta}$ is a "continuous" family of surfaces in some sense. Furthermore, a more clever way to handle the term $\int_{T_{\tau}^{\delta}}|\eta| \cdot \omega_{\tau \delta}$, which should not blow up as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, will ultimately yield uniform convergence, implying the conclusion.

Lemma 4.2. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a Morse function on a Riemannian manifold $M$ and let $s=f^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}$. Let $x_{0}$ be a critical point of $f$ and $(U, \psi)$ be a Morse chart around $x_{0}$. Then $|\nabla s|=O(|\psi|)$ and $|H|=O\left(|\psi|^{-1}\right)$ as $\psi(x) \rightarrow 0$, where $H(x)$ is the mean curvature of the level set of $s$ at a point $x$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $K \subset M_{\text {ext }}$ be compact, and $u \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \cap C_{\text {loc }}^{1, \alpha}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ be a weak solution to the $p$-Laplace equation satisfying (2.1). Then for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a Morse function $u_{\epsilon} \in W_{\delta}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right) \cap C^{\infty}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$ such that $u \equiv u_{\epsilon}$ on $M_{\text {ext }} \backslash K, \inf _{K} u_{\epsilon}>1, \sup _{K}\left\|u-u_{\epsilon}\right\|<\epsilon$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{K} \frac{r_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}}\left(\frac{2}{r_{\epsilon}}-\frac{H_{\epsilon}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} V\right|<\epsilon \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{\epsilon}=\tau_{0} \cdot u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{p-1}{p-3}}$ and $H_{\epsilon}$ is the mean curvature of a level set of $r_{\epsilon}$ at a point.
Proof.
For $\epsilon>0$, take such an approximation $u_{\epsilon}$ with respect to a compact set $K$ such that $\operatorname{Crit}(f) \subseteq K$ and $\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}}=\varnothing$, which exists by (2.9) and (2.10). Then we may define for all regular values $t \geq \tau_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\epsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}} t\left[\left(R_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(H-\frac{2\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{t}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}=r_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\{t\})$. By the the maximum principle, $u>1$ on $M_{\text {ext }}$. Then since $u_{\epsilon}>1$ on $K$, and $u \equiv u_{\epsilon}$ on $M_{\text {ext }} \backslash K$, we have $u_{\epsilon}>1$ on $M_{\text {ext }}{ }^{\circ}$ as well. Thus $\Sigma_{\tau_{0}}=\Sigma_{\tau_{0}}^{\epsilon}=\partial M_{\text {ext }}$. In particular, we may calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \pi} \int_{\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}}} \tau_{0}\left[R_{\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}}}+\frac{2|\nabla r|^{2}}{\tau_{0}^{2}}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\tau_{0}}=\frac{\tau_{0}}{2}+\frac{1}{8 \pi \tau_{0}} \int_{\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}}}|\nabla r|^{2} d \sigma_{\tau_{0}}>0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definitionally, it is clear that $W_{\epsilon}(t)=W(t)$ for $t>\sup _{K} r$, so $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W_{\epsilon}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t) \leq$ $\mathfrak{m}(M, g)$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ be an closed interval of regular values of $r_{\epsilon}$. Then for $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}}\left(\frac{2}{t}-\frac{H}{\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that in this interval, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\epsilon}(t)=\frac{t}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}{t}-H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu=\nabla r_{\epsilon} /\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|$ and $\varphi=1 /\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|$. Since $r_{\epsilon}$ is proper and has no critical values in $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, there exists a flow along the vector field $\phi \nu$ pushing $\Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ diffeomorphically onto $\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Thus the topology of $\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}$ does not change in $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Furthermore, we may differentiate under the integral to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)+\frac{1}{8 \pi}\left[\int_{\Sigma_{t}}\left(\frac{|\nabla r|}{t}-H\right) \mathcal{L}_{\nu}\left(\mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}\right)+\int_{\Sigma_{t}} \mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu}\left(\frac{|\nabla r|^{2}}{r}-H|\nabla r|\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}\right] . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of area is $\mathcal{L}_{\nu}\left(\mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}\right)=H \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{t}$. Now we separately calculate using (3.8),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}{r_{\epsilon}}\right) & =\frac{2\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right| \mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu}\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{r_{\epsilon}}-\frac{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}{r_{\epsilon}^{2}}  \tag{4.20}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{4\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}{r_{\epsilon}^{2}}-\frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \frac{2 H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{r_{\epsilon}}+\frac{2}{p-3} \cdot \frac{r}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}} \cdot \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu}\left(H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|\right) & =\mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu}\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot H+\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu} H  \tag{4.22}\\
& =\frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \frac{2 H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{r_{\epsilon}}-\frac{1}{p-1} \cdot H^{2}+\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{r_{\epsilon}^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-1}} \cdot H \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}+\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu} H . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Together, this gives

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cdot H^{2}-2 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{2 H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{t}+\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{4\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}}{t^{2}}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \\
& -\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{3}{4} H^{2}+\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right| \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\varphi \nu} H\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}}\left(\frac{2}{t}-\frac{H}{\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} . \tag{4.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Simplifying and applying the traced Riccati equation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\left(\frac{1}{p-1}-\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(H^{2}-\frac{2\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|}{t}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\|h\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} H^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} R_{M}+\frac{\Delta_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}} \varphi}{\varphi}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \\
& +\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}}\left(\frac{2}{t}-\frac{H}{\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since each of the terms in the first integrand are nonnegative, the inequality follows.
Define $\widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}(t)=W_{\epsilon}(t)-t\left[\frac{1}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right]$. Note that the additional term may be interpreted as the number of handles of the level set(see [Ja]).
Lemma 4.5. $\widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=W\left(\tau_{0}\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} W(t)$.
Proof. Since $\partial M_{\mathrm{ext}} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2}$, it immediately follows that $\widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=W\left(\tau_{0}\right)>0$. Now pick $t_{0}>t_{\max }$. Then there exists a flow along the vector field $\phi \nu$ yielding diffeomorphisms from $\Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ to $\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. Thus one has a deformation retract $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ which may be extended to a retract $\mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}$. It follows that $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\chi\left(\Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \cup \mathbb{B}^{3}\right)=1$. For compact 3-manifolds $N$, one has $\chi(\partial N)=2 \chi(N)$; in particular, $\chi\left(\Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}\right)=\chi\left(\partial\left(\Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \cup \mathbb{B}^{3}\right)\right)=2 \chi\left(\Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \cup \mathbb{B}^{3}\right)=\chi\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$.

Now let $Q$ be a connected component of $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$. Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $Q$ is compact. Then there exists a point $x \in \bar{Q}$ such that $r_{\epsilon}(x)=\sup _{Q} r_{\epsilon}$. But then the flow starting at $x$ yields a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow \Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ such that $\gamma(0)=x$ and $\gamma(1)=y$ with $r_{\epsilon}(y)>t_{0}$. By path-connectedness, $y \in Q$ and $r_{\epsilon}(y)>r_{\epsilon}(x)=\sup _{Q} r_{\epsilon}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $Q$ is noncompact, and in particular, unbounded as $Q$ is closed. Now since $\Sigma_{t<t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ is bounded, there exists a ball $B_{R}(0)$ such that $\Sigma_{t \leq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \subset B_{R}(0)$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{R}(0) \subset \Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$. Finally, assume for the sake of contradiction that $Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}$ are distinct connected components of $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$. Then without loss of generality, since $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{R}(0)$ is connected, we may assume $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{R}(0) \subseteq Q_{1}$. Then as $Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}=\varnothing$, we must have $Q_{2} \subseteq B_{R}(0)$, implying $Q_{2}$ is bounded and hence compact, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$ is connected. By the deformation retract $\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}$, we obtain $\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t_{0}}^{\epsilon}\right)=\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t \geq t_{0}}^{\epsilon}\right)=\Gamma\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$. The conclusion follows.

We think of $\left[\frac{1}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right]$ as a "topological" coefficient. As described in the Introduction, we would like to better understand $W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ by first moving the discontinuous nature of $W_{\epsilon}$ into a single term.

Lemma 4.6. Define $V_{\epsilon}(t)=W_{\epsilon}(t)-\frac{t}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$. Then $V_{\epsilon}$ admits a continuous extension to all of $\left[\tau_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. Let $\eta_{\epsilon}=\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} / r_{\epsilon}-H\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|$. Then for regular values $t$, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\epsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}} \eta_{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t$ is contained in an interval of regular values, it is clear that $V_{\epsilon}$ is continuous at $t$. As $u_{\epsilon}$ is a Morse function, the critical values of $u_{\epsilon}$ are isolated and are strictly greater that $\tau_{0}$. Hence for a crtical value $s_{0}$ of $u_{\epsilon}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\left(\left[s_{0}-\delta, s_{0}+\delta\right]\right)$ is compact and contains no critical point of $f$ other than $u_{\epsilon}^{-1}\left(\left\{s_{0}\right\}\right)$. By (4.2) and (4.1), we observe that $V_{\varepsilon}\left(\tau_{0} \cdot s^{(p-1) /(p-3)}\right)$ may be extended continuously at $s=s_{0}$. It follows that $V_{\epsilon}$ may be extended continuously to all critical values.

## 5. A Proof of the Positive Mass Theorem

We recall the statement of (5.1) and give its proof.
Theorem 5.1. Let $\left(M^{3}, g\right)$ be a complete, asymptotically flat, manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature and a single end contained in an exterior region with boundary homeomorphic to a 2 sphere. Then $\mathfrak{m}(M, g) \geq 0$.

Proof. We have from our previous discussions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}(M, g) \geq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[V_{\epsilon}(t)+\frac{t}{2} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right]=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[V_{\epsilon}(t)+\frac{t}{2}\left(\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\leq t}^{\epsilon}\right)+\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\geq t}^{\epsilon}\right)-1\right)\right] . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (4.6) we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{m}(M, g) \geq \widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+\int_{\left[\tau_{0}, \infty\right)}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} V_{\epsilon}}{\mathrm{d} t}+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t & +\sum_{i} \frac{t_{i}}{2}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{+}} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\leq t}^{\epsilon}\right)-\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{-}} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\leq t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i} \frac{t_{i}}{2}\left[\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{+}} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\geq t}^{\epsilon}\right)-\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}^{-}} \Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\geq t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right], \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sums are over critical values $t_{i}$. Now $\widetilde{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=W\left(\tau_{0}\right)$, and wherever defined, $V_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)=$ $W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{4} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)$. For ease, we make the redefinitions $\Gamma_{\leq}(t)=\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\leq t}^{\epsilon}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{\geq}(t)=\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{\geq t}^{\epsilon}\right)$. Then we may rewrite the inequality as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{m}(M, g) \geq W\left(\tau_{0}\right)+\int_{\tau}^{\infty} W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau}^{\infty}\left[\Gamma\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \chi\left(\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t & +\sum_{i} \frac{t_{i}}{2}\left[\Gamma_{\leq}\left(t_{i}+\right)-\Gamma_{\leq}\left(t_{i}-\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i} \frac{t_{i}}{2}\left[\Gamma_{\geq}\left(t_{i}+\right)-\Gamma_{\geq}\left(t_{i}-\right)\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is positive by (4.16), and the the third term is nonnegative since $\Gamma(X)-\frac{1}{2} \chi(X) \geq 0$ for 2 -surfaces. By (4.4),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\tau}^{\infty} W_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t & =\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma_{t}^{\epsilon}}\left[\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-2}}\left(\frac{2}{t}-\frac{H}{\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}\right] \mathrm{d} \sigma_{t}^{\epsilon} \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{8 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{t \leq t_{\max }}}\left[\frac{1}{p-3} \cdot \frac{t^{2}}{\left|\nabla r_{\epsilon}\right|^{p-3}}\left(\frac{2}{t}-\frac{H}{\left|\nabla r_{\varepsilon}\right|}\right) \Delta_{p} u_{\epsilon}\right] \mathrm{d} V \\
& \geq-\frac{\epsilon}{8 \pi} . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It remains to handle the discontinuities arising from changes in the number of connected components of the level sets. In spirit, the core of this argument is the same "near"-maximum principle used by Jang [Ja]. However, our formulation in terms of sublevel and superlevel sets simplifies matters.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since compactly supported smooth functions which vanish on $\partial M_{\text {ext }}$ are in $W_{\delta, 0}^{1, p}\left(M_{\text {ext }}\right)$, "some" may be replaced with "any."

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that the first condition in [Ba] is redundant and the connectedness assumption may be relaxed.

