

# A Particle System with Interlacing Pattern

## SPUR Final Paper, Summer 2013

Yanlin Zhao

Mentor Xin Sun

Project suggested by Scott Sheffield

July 31, 2013

### Abstract

Consider infinite many particles on the real line, infinite in both direction. At next step, we uniformly pick one point between every two consecutive particles to form the next generation of particles. In this way, we obtain Markov process with interlacing pattern. In this paper, we study some basic properties of this Markov chain, such as invariant measure, convergence, fluctuation and some possible generalization of this model.

### Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to my mentor Xin Sun. And we are very grateful to the helpful suggestions of Alexei Borodin, Ivan Gorin, Pavel Etingof, Jacob Fox, Vadim Gorin, Scott Sheffield, Samuel Watson and Wei Wu.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Elementary invariant measure</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Classification of invariant measure with finite moment</b>	<b>4</b>

<b>4</b>	<b>Convergence to invariant measure</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Replace uniform distribution by general distribution on <math>[0, 1]</math></b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Dynamics of a single particle</b>	<b>7</b>
6.1	Invariant initial condition . . . . .	7
6.2	Lattices initial condition . . . . .	8

# 1 Introduction

Interlacing pattern is a natural object in mathematics. It appears in representation theory as Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern, eigenvalues of sub-matrices of Hermitian matrices etc. Correspondingly, there are some very important random objects supported on interlacing patterns such as total asymmetric exclusion process, some Schur and Macdonald process which lead to various interacting particle systems, GUE corner process, lozenge tiling, bead model etc. For the probability related to interlacing patterns, we refer to [1], [2], [3], and reference therein. In this paper, we study a very natural Markov chain under the interlacing condition.

Consider Markov chain  $Y_n$  whose state space is  $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that it is locally finite and infinite in both direction. At time 0,  $Y_0 = \{x_i^0\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are infinite points on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If at time  $n$ ,  $Y_n = \{x_i^n\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , then  $Y_{n+1} = \{x_i^{n+1}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  will satisfy the two rules

Rule 1:  $x_i^n < x_i^{n+1} < x_{i+1}^n$

Rule 2:  $x_i^{n+1}$  will be independently distributed according to  $U(x_i^n, x_{i+1}^n)$ , where  $U(x_i^n, x_{i+1}^n)$  is the uniform distribution in the open interval  $(x_i^n, x_{i+1}^n)$ .

Denote  $X_i^n$  be the distribution of the gap between  $(x_i^n, x_{i+1}^n)$ , Since it is an Markov particle system, we are interested in two types of questions: equilibrium and dynamic. In the former direction, we give a family of ergodic invariant measure and show that all the invariant under some moment assumption are convex combination of these measure. This type of result is an analogue of that for exclusion process(see [4]). In the latter direction, we study the convergence to equilibrium under certain initial condition and the fluctuation of a single particle.

The paper is organized in the following way: in Section 2, we give a family of invariant measure of this Markov dynamic. In Section 3 we employ moment method to show that under certain moment condition, all the invariant mea-

sure are convex combination of the measure defined in Section 2. In Section 4 we show that the if the initial gaps form a stationary sequence satisfying certain moment condition, then it converges to equilibrium. In Section 5, we replace the uniform distribution by other distribution on  $[0, 1]$  and studied similar questions. In Section 6, we first show that if under extremal invariant measure the trace of one particle is actually a Poisson process. Then we study the fluctuation of on particle under the lattices initial condition. In particular we give the order the variance of the position of a particle.

## 2 Elementary invariant measure

**Theorem.** *If  $X(\rho)$  is a translational invariant measure which has independent and identically distributed gap  $X_i(\rho)$  whose density function is  $4\rho^2xe^{-2\rho x}$ , ( $x > 0$ ), then  $X(\rho)$  is a group of elementary invariant measure for the Markov process.*

*Proof.* We prove that  $X(\rho)$  has the following properties:

1.  $X_i(\rho)$  are mutually independent under the Markov process

Since  $X_i(\rho)$  are independent, we want to prove that  $X_i^1(\rho)$  are independent.

It suffice to prove that any consecutive  $k$  gap of  $X_i^1$  are independent. Assume  $f(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $X_i(\rho)$ . Then

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itx} 4\rho^2 x e^{-2\rho x} dx = \frac{1}{(1+ct)^2}, c = -i/2\rho$$

The characteristic function of  $X_i^1(\rho)$  is  $(\int_0^1 f(tu) du)^2 = f(t) = \frac{1}{(1+ct)^2}, c = -i/2\rho$ . The characteristic function of  $X_i^1 + \dots + X_{i+k-1}^1$  is  $(\int_0^1 f(tu) du)^2 f(t)^{k-1} = f(t)^k$ , therefore  $X_i^1$  are independent. Thus  $X_i^1(\rho)$  are independent.

2. The distribution of the gap  $X_i(\rho)$  are invariant under the Markov process.

Assume  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are any uniform distributions in  $[0, 1]$  and  $f(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $X_i(\rho)$ , then

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{(1+ct)^2}, c = -i/2\rho, \int_0^1 f(tu) du = \frac{1}{1+ct} f(t) = (\int_0^1 f(tu) du)^2$$

Since  $X_i(\rho)$  are mutual independent under the Markov process. Hence,  $(1 - U_1)X_i(\rho) + U_2X_{i+1}(\rho) \sim X_i(\rho)$

3.  $X(\rho)$  is translational invariant under the Markov process.  
 Since  $X(\rho)$  is translational invariant, by the definition of the model,  $X^1(\rho)$  is translational invariant, therefore at any time  $n$  the measure is translational invariant.  
 Thus,  $X_\rho$  is a group of translational invariant invariant measure of constant density  $\rho$  and the density function of the gap is  $4\rho^2 x e^{-2\rho x}$ , ( $x > 0$ ).  $\square$

### 3 Classification of invariant measure with finite moment

**Theorem.** Assume  $\mu$  is an invariant measure. The distribution of the gap is  $X_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $X_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$  has finite moment  $EX_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n}$ ;  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\exists$  constant  $c, s.t. \lim(E(X_i)^k / k!)^{\frac{1}{k}} < c$ , then  $\mu$  is a convex combination of  $X(\rho)$  of finite moment.

Lemma: If an invariant measure with gap distribution  $X_i$  has finite moment, then for any distinct  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\forall k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k, \exists A_k \in R, s.t. EX_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n} = \frac{(j_1+1)!(j_2+1)! \dots (j_n+1)!}{2^k} A_k$

*Proof.* Assume  $X_0^i = X_0$  is the distribution containing 0. For  $k=1$ , Since  $X_0^1$  is either generated by  $X_0, X_1$  (means  $X_0 = (1 - U_1)X_0 + U_2X_1$ ) or  $X_{-1}, X_0$ . Denote  $A = X_0$  is generated by  $X_0, X_1$ , then we have  $0 < P(A) < 1$  (if  $P(A)=0$  or 1, then it can't be an invariant measure). Since  $X_0$  is independent with  $X_i$  when  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then as  $|i| \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $EX_i$  is finite, we must have

$$\lim(EX_i - \frac{EX_i + EX_{i+1}}{2}) = \lim(EX_{i+1} - \frac{EX_i + EX_{i+1}}{2}) = 0$$

$$\text{Hence, } \lim(EX_{i+1} - EX_i) = 0$$

Therefore,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0, s.t. |EX_i - EX_{i+1}| < \epsilon$ , for any  $|i| > M$ .

Since  $X_0$  is arbitrarily chosen, if we choose  $j$  and  $k, s.t. j-i > M, k-i < -M$ , then we can conclude that  $|EX_i - EX_{i+1}| < \epsilon$  for any  $i$  and  $\epsilon$ , thus we let  $A_1 = EX_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$

For  $k > 1$ , set  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} = 2^k / (j_1+1)!(j_2+1)! \dots (j_n+1)! EX_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n}$   
 Then we only have to prove that for any distinct  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\forall k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k, Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  are equal.

Similar to  $k=1$ ,  $\exists M > 0$ , s.t. when  $|i_1|, |i_2|, \dots, |i_k| > M$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim(E X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} - E((1-U_1)X_{i_1} + U_2 X_{i_1+1}) \dots ((1-U_k)X_{i_k} + U_{k+1}X_{i_k+1})) \\ &= \lim(E X_{i_1+1} X_{i_2+1} \dots X_{i_k+1} - E((1-U_1)X_{i_1} + U_2 X_{i_1+1}) \dots ((1-U_k)X_{i_k} + \\ & U_{k+1}X_{i_k+1})) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Therefore, similar to } k=1, \text{ we can conclude that } E X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_k} = E X_{i_1+1} X_{i_2+1} \dots X_{i_k+1} \\ &= E((1-U_1)X_{i_1} + U_2 X_{i_1+1}) \dots ((1-U_k)X_{i_k} + U_{k+1}X_{i_k+1}) \\ &= E((1-U_1)X_{i_1-1} + U_2 X_{i_1}) \dots ((1-U_k)X_{i_k-1} + U_{k+1}X_{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\exists a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}, b_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} \in \mathbb{R}$ , s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_{i_1} Y_{i_2} \dots Y_{i_k} = Y_{i_1+1} Y_{i_2+1} \dots Y_{i_k+1} \\ &= \sum_{j_t=i_t, i_t+1; t=1,2,\dots,k} a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} Y_{j_1} Y_{j_2} \dots Y_{j_k} \\ &= \sum_{j_t=i_t, i_t-1; t=1,2,\dots,k} b_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} Y_{j_1} Y_{j_2} \dots Y_{j_k} \\ &- (1) \end{aligned}$$

If we let  $X_i \sim X_i(\rho)$ , then  $E X_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n} = E X_{i_1}^{j_1} E X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots E X_{i_n}^{j_n} = 2^k / (j_1 + 1)!(j_2 + 1)! \dots (j_n + 1)!$

Therefore, we have  $Y_{i_1} Y_{i_2} \dots Y_{i_k} = 1$ .

Since  $a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}, b_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}$  are only related to  $U$ , therefore by (1) we have  $\sum a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} = \sum b_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k} = 1$ . -- (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Since } E((1-U)X)^i (UX)^j = E(1-U)^i U^j E X^{i+j} = \frac{i!j!}{(i+j)!} * \frac{(i+j+1)!}{2^{i+j}} Y^{i+j} = \\ & \frac{i!j!}{2^{i+j}} Y^{i+j} \end{aligned}$$

$E((1-U)X)^i E(UX)^j = \frac{1}{i+1} \frac{(i+1)!}{2^i} Y^i \frac{1}{j+1} \frac{(j+1)!}{2^j} Y^j \frac{i!j!}{2^{i+j}} Y^{i+j}$  Therefore, after we substitute  $E X_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n}$  by  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$ , the coefficient  $a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}, b_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_k}$  are all equal, and by (2) they are equal to  $\frac{1}{(j_1+1)(j_2+1)\dots(j_k+1)}$  (3).

Next by (1)(2)(3) we have  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} = \frac{1}{(j_1+1)(j_2+1)\dots(j_n+1)} \sum_{j_t=i_t, i_t+1; t=1,2,\dots,n} Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} = \frac{1}{(j_1+1)(j_2+1)\dots(j_n+1)} \sum_{j_t=i_t, i_t-1; t=1,2,\dots,n} Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  -- (\*), it suffice to prove that  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  are equal:

We prove by contradiction: If  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  are not equal, since  $Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  are finite.

Set  $A(m) = \{Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} \mid |i_n - i_1| = m\}$ , assume  $a(m) = \max\{a \in A(m)\}$ ,  $b(m) = \min\{b \in A(m)\}$ , then  $\exists$  minimal  $t > 0$ , s.t.,  $a(t+1) - a(t) > \epsilon$  or  $b(t+1) - b(t) < -\epsilon$ .

Assume we have  $a(t+1) - a(t) > \epsilon$  (For  $b(t+1) - b(t) < -\epsilon$ , the proof is similar), then by (\*) either  $\exists (j'_n + j'_1) < (j_n + j_1)$ ,  $Y_{i'_1}^{j'_1} Y_{i'_2}^{j'_2} \dots Y_{i'_n}^{j'_n} > Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  or  $a(t+2) - a(t+1) > \epsilon$  (if not we have  $0 > (j_2 + 1) \dots (j_{n-1} + 1)\epsilon - (j_2 + 1) \dots (j_{n-1} + 1)\epsilon = 0$  which leads to a contradiction.)

Since  $j_n + j_1 \leq k$  and  $(a(t+2) - a(t+1)) \geq \frac{2}{k}(a(t+1) - a(t))$  always holds, therefore  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a(m) = Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $|i_n - i_1| \rightarrow \infty$

, which leads to a contradiction with finite moment. Thus for any distinct  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\forall k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k, Y_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n}$  are equal.

Proof of the theorem: By lemma, if we set  $Y_i \sim \frac{X_i}{X_0(\rho)}$ . Then we have  $EY_{i_1}^{j_1} Y_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots Y_{i_n}^{j_n} =$

$A_k(j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k)$ . Since  $\exists$  constant  $c$ , s.t.  $\lim(E(X_i)^k/k!)^{\frac{1}{k}} < c$ , therefore  $Y_i$  equal to the same distribution  $Y$ .  $X_i \sim YX_0(\rho)$ , so the gap  $X_i$  is a convex combination of  $X_i(\rho)$ . Now we only have to prove that the distribution of 0 in  $X_0$  is unique, then  $\mu$  is a convex combination of  $X(\rho)$ . Assume  $X'$  is the distribution of the gap between 0 and  $x_1$ . Then, we have  $X' = X' + U_1 X_1$ , if  $X' < U_0 X_0$ ;  $X' - U_0 X_0$ , if  $X' > U_0 X_0$

Therefore,  $(X_0, X')$  forms a Markov chain and  $(X_0, X')$  is ergodic on  $\mathbb{R}^* \mathbb{R}$ . Thus the invariant joint distribution of the Markov process is unique.  $\square$

## 4 Convergence to invariant measure

**Theorem.** Consider initial measure  $\mu$  which has independent and identical distributed gap  $X_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If the initial gap  $X_i$  has finite moment  $E(X_i)^m, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mu$  converge to an invariant measure.

*Proof.* We only have to prove that for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}, \lim E(X_i)^m \exists$  and  $\exists$  constant  $c$ , s.t.  $\lim(E(X_i)^k/k!)^{\frac{1}{k}} < c$ .

We prove by induction on  $m$  that  $a_n = \lim E(X_i)^n \exists$ .

First,  $E(X_i^j) = E(X_i)$  exist. Since  $\mu$  is transitional invariant, we set  $\rho = 1/EX_i$ .

Assume for  $m < n, a_n = \lim E(X_i)^n = \frac{(n+1)!}{(2\rho)^n}$ , then for  $m = n$ , since  $E(X_i^j)$  are independent.  $(EX_i^j)^n = E((1 - U_1)X_1 + U_2 X_2)^n = \frac{1}{n+1} E(X_1)^n + \frac{1}{n+1} E(X_2)^n + \frac{n!(n-1)}{(2\rho)^n}$

Therefore,  $\lim E(X_i)^n = \frac{(n+1)!}{(2\rho)^n}$

Thus,  $\mu$  converge to  $X(\rho)$  which is an invariant measure.  $\square$

## 5 Replace uniform distribution by general distribution on $[0, 1]$

**Theorem.** If  $U$  is a general distribution on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $EU^2 + E(1-U)^2 \neq 1$ , then  $\exists$  invariant measure of the Markov process and all invariant measure are the

convex combination of a group of particular invariant measure  $X(U, \rho)$ .

*Proof.* In section 3, we have prove that if  $X_i$  is the gap of invariant measure  $X(U, \rho)$ , then for any distinct  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\forall k, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k$

If  $EX_{i_1}^{j_1} X_{i_2}^{j_2} \dots X_{i_n}^{j_n} \exists$ , then  $\exists A_k \in R$ , s.t.  $E(X_{i_1}/X(U, 1))^{j_1} (X_{i_2}/X(U, 1))^{j_2} \dots X(i_n X(U, 1))^{j_n} = A_k$

Therefore, consider the k-order moment  $EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}$ . Since  $EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}$  can

be written as the linear combination of  $EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k$ .

If we assume vector  $v = (EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k)$ , then we have

$v = Av$ ,  $A$  is a  $|v| * |v|$  matrix. We now have to prove that the linear equations have a non-zero solution.

In section 4, since  $EU^2 + E(1 - U)^2 \neq 1 \Rightarrow$

$EU^n + E(1 - U)^n \neq 1$ . Hence the initial coefficient of  $EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}, j_1 +$

$j_2 + \dots + j_n = k = 1 - EU^n - E(1 - U)^n > 0$ . We have prove that if

we start from an initial measure  $\mu$  which has i.i.d gap distribution  $X_i$ , then

$\lim EX_1^{j_1} X_2^{j_2} \dots X_n^{j_n}, j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_n = k \exists$ . Therefore, the solution of the

linear equations exist and must be a distribution of the limit measure which

is also an invariant measure. Thus, all invariant measure are the convex

combination of a group of particular invariant measure  $X(U, \rho)$  satisfying

$EX^n = E((1 - U_1)X_1 + U_2 X_2)^n \Leftrightarrow X(U, \rho) = (1 - U_1)X(U, \rho) + U_2 X(U, \rho)$ .  $\square$

## 6 Dynamics of a single particle

### 6.1 Invariant initial condition

**Theorem.** *If we start from an invariant measure  $X(\rho)$ , then the fluctuation of a particular point  $x_0^n$  is a Poisson process.*

*Proof.* Assume  $x_0^0 = 0$ . Then  $x_0^n = U_0 X_0^0 + U_1 X_0^1 + \dots + U_n X_0^n$ .  $U_i$  are independent uniform distribution on  $[0, 1]$ , suppose  $g(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $U_i X_0^i$ .

$$g(t) = \int_0^1 f(tu) du = 1/(1 - i/2\rho)$$

Therefore,  $U_i X_0^i$  have the same distribution which is an exponential distribution

with density function  $2\rho e^{-2\rho x}, x > 0$ . We want to prove that  $U_i X_0^i$  are

mutually independent: In section 2, we have found that  $f(t) = g(t)^2$  and  $X_i^n$

are mutually independent, therefore  $U_i X_0^i$  is independent with  $(1 - U_i)X_0^i$ .

Hence,  $U_0X_0^0$  is independent with  $U_1X_0^1 = U_1((1 - U_0)X_0^0 + U_0'X_1^0)$ . Assume for  $m \leq n$   $U_0X_0^0, \dots, U_mX_0^m$  are mutually independent. Then for  $m=n$ , since  $U_nX_0^n = U_n((1 - U_{n-1})X_0^{n-1} + U_{n-1}'X_1^{n-1})$ ,  $U_0X_0^0, \dots, U_{n-1}X_0^{n-1}$  are independent with  $X_1^{n-1}, U_{n-1}', U_n$ . Similarly we have  $U_{n-1}X_0^{n-1}$  and  $(1 - U_{n-1})X_0^{n-1}$  are independent. Thus,  $U_0X_0^0, \dots, U_nX_0^n$  are mutually independent. Therefore  $x_0^n$  is a Poisson process. Thus we have  $\frac{x_i^n}{\sigma_n} \sim$  normal distribution.  $\square$

## 6.2 Lattices initial condition

In this section, we study the dynamic of the interlacing particle system under the lattice initial condition, which means  $Y_0 = \{x_i = i, i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Theorem.** Assume the distribution is  $U$  and  $EU = a, EU^2 = b, a^2 < b \leq a$ , We have there exists constant  $c_1, c_2$ , s.t.,  $0 < c_1 < \frac{\text{Var}(x_0^n)}{n/\log n} < c_2$

*Proof.* Assume  $\sigma_n^2$  is the deviation of  $x^n = x_i^n, x = x_i^{n-1}, y = x_{i+1}^{n-1}$ .  $EU = a, EU^2 = b, Ex^n = an$

$$x^n = x + U(y - x), x' = x + (y - x)/2$$

$$\text{Then we have } \sigma_n^2 = E(x + U(y - x))^2 - (an)^2$$

$$= (1 - 2a + b)Ex^2 + bEy^2 + 2(a - b)Exy - (an)^2$$

$$= (1 - 2a + b + 2(a - b))[\sigma_{n-1}^2 + (a(n - 1))^2] + b[\sigma_{n-1}^2 + (a(n - 1) + 1)^2] + 2(a - b)Ex(y - x) - (an)^2$$

$$= \sigma_{n-1}^2 + (a^2 + b - 2ab) + 2(a - b)E(x'(y - x) - an) - (a - b)E(y - x)^2 \quad (1)$$

Substitute  $a$  by  $1-a, b$  by  $1-2a+b$ , then  $U$  is change to  $1-U$ : due to symmetry we also have:

$$\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_{n-1}^2 + ((1-a)^2 + b - 2(1-a)b) + 2(a-b)E(y'(y-x) - an) - (a-b)E(y-x)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{By (1)(2)} \Rightarrow \sigma_n^2 = \sigma_{n-1}^2 + (a - a^2) - (a - b)E(y - x)^2$$

$$= \sigma_{n-1}^2 + (a - a^2) - (a - b)EX_0^{n-1}^2 - (3)$$

Set  $a_i^k = EX_0^k X_i^k$ , then  $EX_0^{n-1} = a_0^{n-1}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0^{k+1} &= (1 - 2(a - b))a_0^k + 2(a - a^2)a_1^k \\ a_1^{k+1} &= (1 - a - b)a_0^k + (1 - 2a + 2a^2)a_1^k + (a - a^2)a_2^k \\ a_i^{k+1} &= (a - a^2)a_{i-1}^k + (1 - 2a + 2a^2)a_i^k + (a - a^2)a_{i+1}^k, i > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\forall i, a_i^0 = 1$ , Hence, assume  $a_0^n = A_n a_0^0 + B_n a_1^0 + \dots = A_n + B_n + \dots$  ( $A_0 = 1, B_0 = 0$ )

Set  $S_n = A_n + B_n + \dots$ . Then  $a_0^n = S_n$  and  $S_n = S_{n-1} + (2b - 2a^2)A_{n-1} - (b - a^2)B_{n-1}$  By(\*),  $A_n = (1 - 2(a - b))A_{n-1} + (a - b)B_{n-1} \Rightarrow$

$$EY_0^{n-1} = a_0^{n-1} = S_{n-1} = \frac{a - a^2}{a - b} - \frac{b - a^2}{a - b} A_{n-1} \quad (4)$$

By(3)(4)  $\Rightarrow \sigma_n^2 = \sigma_{n-1}^2 + (b - a^2)A_{n-1}$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_n^2 = (b - a^2) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$$

Thus, we only have to find the estimation of  $t_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k$  By(\*),  $A_n$  is derived by two sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+2} &= 2bA_{n+1} + \frac{1 - 2b}{4}(B_0A_n + B_1A_{n-1} + \dots + B_nA_0) \\ B_{n+2} &= 1/2B_{n+1} + 1/16(B_0B_n + B_1B_{n-1} + \dots + B_nB_0) \end{aligned}$$

$A_0 = 1, A_1 = 1 - 2(a - b), B_0 = 0, B_1 = 2(a - a^2)$  First it is easily to prove that  $\exists$  constant  $c_1, c_2, s.t., c_1 < \frac{4 - B_n}{1/n} < c_2$

Therefore,  $\exists$  constant  $c_1, c_2, s.t. c_1 < \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k}{\text{the sum of all the entries of } A^{-1}} < c_2$  where A is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & \dots & 1/n \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 1/(n-1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Set J=

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the sum all the entries of  $A^{-1}$  is the coefficient of  $x$  on  $(nf(x) - f'(x))$ ,  $f(x) = -\frac{x}{\log(1-x)}$ , which is  $\sim \frac{n}{\log n}$   $\square$

## References

- [1] Alexei Borodin and Ivan Corwin. Macdonald processes. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, pages 1–176, 2011.
- [2] Cédric Boutillier. The bead model and limit behaviors of dimer models. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(1):107–142, 2009.
- [3] Patrik L Ferrari. Dimers and orthogonal polynomials: connections with random matrices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.3212*, 2010.
- [4] Thomas M Liggett. *Interacting particle systems*. Springer, 2005.