### Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

### Michael Gintz Mentor: Dr. Tanya Khovanova

PRIMES Conference, May 20 2017

Michael Gintz

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

May 20, 2017 1 / 16

# Defining a Graph



A graph is a set of points, some of which are connected by lines.

# Defining a Graph



A *graph* is a set of points, some of which are connected by lines. A *simple graph* has at most one connection between any two points, and no connection between a point and itself.

# Defining a Graph



A graph is a set of points, some of which are connected by lines.

A *simple graph* has at most one connection between any two points, and no connection between a point and itself.

There are many well-known graph theory problems:

- The Konigsberg Bridges Problem
- The Traveling Salesman
- The Four-Color Theorem

### Defining a Graph Algebra

An *algebra* is a vector space equipped with a multiplication operator.

• Our algebra includes 1.

- Our algebra includes 1.
- Our set of monomials is *linearly independent*: no monomial is a sum of multiples of other monomials.

- Our algebra includes 1.
- Our set of monomials is *linearly independent*: no monomial is a sum of multiples of other monomials.

• 
$$e_i^2 = -1$$
.

- Our algebra includes 1.
- Our set of monomials is *linearly independent*: no monomial is a sum of multiples of other monomials.
- $e_i^2 = -1$ .
- e<sub>i</sub> and e<sub>j</sub> anticommute (e<sub>i</sub>e<sub>j</sub> = -e<sub>j</sub>e<sub>i</sub>) when vertices i and j are connected: otherwise they commute (e<sub>i</sub>e<sub>j</sub> = e<sub>j</sub>e<sub>i</sub>).



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



### Definition

For a set  $\alpha = \{e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_k}\}$ , the monomial  $e_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $e_{i_1}...e_{i_k}$ .



### Definition

For a set  $\alpha = \{e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_k}\}$ , the monomial  $e_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $e_{i_1}...e_{i_k}$ .

 $e_1e_3 \cdot e_2e_3$ 



### Definition

For a set  $\alpha = \{e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_k}\}$ , the monomial  $e_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $e_{i_1}...e_{i_k}$ .

 $e_1e_3 \cdot e_2e_3 = -e_1e_2e_3e_3$ 



### Definition

For a set  $\alpha = \{e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_k}\}$ , the monomial  $e_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $e_{i_1}...e_{i_k}$ .

$$e_1e_3 \cdot e_2e_3 = -e_1e_2e_3e_3$$
$$= e_1e_2.$$



#### Definition

For a set  $\alpha = \{e_{i_1}, ..., e_{i_k}\}$ , the monomial  $e_{\alpha}$  is equal to  $e_{i_1}...e_{i_k}$ .

$$e_1e_3 \cdot e_2e_3 = -e_1e_2e_3e_3$$
$$= e_1e_2.$$

#### Theorem

Monomials  $e_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{\beta}$  anticommute if there exist an odd number of pairs of connected vertices with one in  $\alpha$  and one in  $\beta$ .

Michael Gintz

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

May 20, 2017 4 / 16

A D > A A P >

### Structure of a Graph Algebra

### Definition

The symmetric difference of two sets  $\alpha, \beta$  is defined as follows:

 $\alpha \triangle \beta = (\alpha \cup \beta) \backslash (\alpha \cap \beta).$ 

A (1) > A (2) > A

### Structure of a Graph Algebra

### Definition

The symmetric difference of two sets  $\alpha, \beta$  is defined as follows:

 $\alpha \triangle \beta = (\alpha \cup \beta) \backslash (\alpha \cap \beta).$ 

Multiplication is easily calculated up to a sign:

#### Lemma

For two sets  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , the product  $e_{\alpha}e_{\beta}$  is equal to  $\pm e_{\alpha \bigtriangleup \beta}$ .

### Structure of a Graph Algebra

### Definition

The symmetric difference of two sets  $\alpha, \beta$  is defined as follows:

 $\alpha \triangle \beta = (\alpha \cup \beta) \backslash (\alpha \cap \beta).$ 

Multiplication is easily calculated up to a sign:

#### Lemma

For two sets  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , the product  $e_{\alpha}e_{\beta}$  is equal to  $\pm e_{\alpha \bigtriangleup \beta}$ .

Mutiplying  $e_1e_3 \cdot e_2e_3$  always yields  $\pm e_1e_2$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_1 & \mathfrak{R} \\ e_2 & \mathfrak{R} = \pm e_1 e_2 \end{array}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

A Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a Lie bracket.

A Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a Lie bracket. Any Lie bracket must have certain properties.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

A Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a Lie bracket. Any Lie bracket must have certain properties.

It must be bilinear: [ax + by, z] = a[x, z] + b[y, z] for all a, b in our field (in our case ℂ).

A Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a Lie bracket.

Any Lie bracket must have certain properties.

- It must be bilinear: [ax + by, z] = a[x, z] + b[y, z] for all a, b in our field (in our case ℂ).
- It must be alternative: [x, x] = 0.

A Lie algebra is a vector space equipped with a Lie bracket. Any Lie bracket must have certain properties.

- It must be bilinear: [ax + by, z] = a[x, z] + b[y, z] for all a, b in our field (in our case ℂ).
- It must be alternative: [x, x] = 0.
- It must satisfy the Jacobi Identity:

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0.$$

< 🗇 🕨 < 🖃 🕨

-

Since we have defined a multiplication function on the vector space of monomials, we can define our Lie bracket as the commutator of its operands:

$$[x,y]=xy-yx.$$

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Since we have defined a multiplication function on the vector space of monomials, we can define our Lie bracket as the commutator of its operands:

$$[x,y]=xy-yx.$$

We can define a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$  of an *n*-vertex graph *G*.

Since we have defined a multiplication function on the vector space of monomials, we can define our Lie bracket as the commutator of its operands:

$$[x,y]=xy-yx.$$

We can define a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$  of an *n*-vertex graph *G*.

• Every generator  $e_1, ..., e_n$  is in  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Since we have defined a multiplication function on the vector space of monomials, we can define our Lie bracket as the commutator of its operands:

$$[x,y]=xy-yx.$$

We can define a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$  of an *n*-vertex graph *G*.

- Every generator  $e_1, ..., e_n$  is in  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ .
- No Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$  contains every generator.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト



We can form the Lie algebra of the complete graph  $K_4$  by hand.

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

3 May 20, 2017 8 / 16

-

Image: A match a ma



We can form the Lie algebra of the complete graph  $K_4$  by hand. We must have monomials  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ .

-

Image: A match a ma



We can form the Lie algebra of the complete graph  $K_4$  by hand. We must have monomials  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ . We can combine each pair of generators using the Lie bracket:  $e_1e_2, e_1e_3, e_1e_4, e_2e_3, e_2e_4, e_3e_4$ .



We can form the Lie algebra of the complete graph  $K_4$  by hand. We must have monomials  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$ .

We can combine each pair of generators using the Lie bracket:

 $e_1e_2, e_1e_3, e_1e_4, e_2e_3, e_2e_4, e_3e_4.$ 

We can not have any more monomials, as every pair of monomials other than pairs of generators contain commuting monomials. Therefore our Lie Algebra has 10 dimensions.



We will create a series of alterations on our graph called a *swap* about vertex A with respect to B. This is denoted as  ${}_{A}G_{B}$ . We consider all vertices  $v \neq A, B$  connected to A.



We will create a series of alterations on our graph called a *swap* about vertex A with respect to B. This is denoted as  ${}_{A}G_{B}$ . We consider all vertices  $v \neq A, B$  connected to A.

• If v is connected to B, we remove the edge connecting v and B.



We will create a series of alterations on our graph called a *swap* about vertex A with respect to B. This is denoted as  ${}_{A}G_{B}$ . We consider all vertices  $v \neq A, B$  connected to A.

- If v is connected to B, we remove the edge connecting v and B.
- If v is not connected to B, we add an edge connecting v and B.



We will create a series of alterations on our graph called a *swap* about vertex A with respect to B. This is denoted as  ${}_{A}G_{B}$ . We consider all vertices  $v \neq A, B$  connected to A.

- If v is connected to B, we remove the edge connecting v and B.
- If v is not connected to B, we add an edge connecting v and B.

Swapping our graph always preserves its algebra:

Theorem

For all graphs G, algebras  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(_AG_B)$  are isomorphic.

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

Swapping our graph always preserves its algebra:

Theorem

For all graphs G, algebras  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(_AG_B)$  are isomorphic.

Sometimes, swapping our graph can preserve the Lie algebra as well:

### Theorem

For all graphs G, Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{L}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}({}_{A}G_{B})$  are isomorphic when A and B are connected.

### Removing Leaves from our Graph



Say we have a graph G with 2 leaves A, B connected to the same vertex.

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

May 20, 2017 11 / 16

### Removing Leaves from our Graph



Say we have a graph G with 2 leaves A, B connected to the same vertex. Theorem

$$\mathfrak{L}(G) = \mathfrak{L}(G \setminus A) \oplus \mathfrak{L}(G \setminus A).$$

		220	
101	н	lae.	

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

May 20, 2017 11 / 16

### Completely Classified Graphs



A path graph with n vertices has a Lie algebra isomorphic to a skew symmetric matrix Lie algebra with size n + 1.

May 20, 2017 12 / 16

### Completely Classified Graphs





A path graph with n vertices has a Lie algebra isomorphic to a skew symmetric matrix Lie algebra with size n + 1.

The Lie algebra of a complete graph with n vertices is isomorphic to that of a path graph with n vertices.

### More Completely Classified Graphs



The Lie algebra of a star graph with n vertices is the direct sum of  $2^{n-2}$  copies of a connected 2-vertex graph Lie algebra.

### More Completely Classified Graphs



The Lie algebra of a star graph with n vertices is the direct sum of  $2^{n-2}$  copies of a connected 2-vertex graph Lie algebra.



The Lie algebra of a n-2 vertex graph with 2 leaves attached to the same end (Dynkin diagram  $D_n$ ) is a direct sum of two copies of the Lie algebra of an n-1 vertex path graph.

-

Image: A math a math

• Generalize our decomposition move.

-

- Generalize our decomposition move.
- Create similar alterations to swaps.

- Generalize our decomposition move.
- Create similar alterations to swaps.
- Relate graph Lie algebras to matrix algebras.

### Acknowledgements

- Dr. Tanya Khovanova
- The PRIMES Program
- My parents

### Questions?

	220	
- 10		

Classifying Graph Lie Algebras

May 20, 2017 16 / 16

三 のへの

▲口> ▲圖> ▲屋> ▲屋>