

1)

The Steinberg representation.

Let $G = GL(V)$ where V is an n -dim vector space $/\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of complete flags in V . Then G acts transitively on $\mathcal{B}$ and the orbits of G on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ are $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$, $\sigma \in S$, the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hecke algebra (algebra of functions on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ constant on G -orbits). It has basis $\{T_\sigma \mid \sigma \in S\}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the vector space of functions $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We have an algebra homomorphism (in fact isomorphism)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{F}), \quad T \mapsto [f \mapsto Tf], \\ (Tf)(B) &= \sum_{B' \in \mathcal{B}} T(B, B') f(B') \text{ for } T \in \mathcal{H}, f \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{End}_G(\mathcal{F})$ is the algebra of linear maps $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ commuting with the G -action on $\mathcal{F}$:

$$g : f \mapsto f', \quad f'(B) = f(g^{-1}(B)).$$

$$\text{Let } \Sigma = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid (T_{\sigma_i} + 1)(f) = 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n\}.$$

This is clearly a G -stable subspace of $\mathcal{F}$, called the Steinberg repres. of G .

2) We write (B, B') or instead $\sigma(B, B') \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$

We fix $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_* = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; (B, B_0) : w_0\}$

Here $w_0 \in S$ is defined by $1 \rightarrow n, 2 \rightarrow n-1, \dots, n \rightarrow 1$. Let Σ_* be the vector space of functions

$\mathcal{B}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We show LEMMA.

// The restriction $f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{B}_*}$ is an isomorphism
 $\Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_*$.

For any $f_* \in \Sigma_*$ we define $f \in \Sigma$ by

$$f(B) = \varepsilon_B \sum_{B'; (B', B) : w_0 \sigma^{-1}} f_*(B')$$

where $(B, B_0) : \sigma$, $\varepsilon_B = (-1)^{|\sigma w_0|}$. Note that each B' in the sum is automatically in $\mathcal{B}_*$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we show

$$(T_{\sigma_i} f)(B_1) + f(B_1) = 0 \quad \text{for any } B_1 \text{ with}$$

$$\text{Equivalently:} \quad (B_1, B_0) : \tau$$

3)

$$\sum_{\substack{\tilde{B}, B' \\ (B_1, \tilde{B}): \sigma_i \\ (B', \tilde{B}): w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1}}} \varepsilon_{\tilde{B}} f_*(B') + \sum_{\substack{B' \\ (B', B_1): w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1}}} \varepsilon_{B_1} f_*(B') \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$$(B', \tilde{B}): w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$$

where
 $(\tilde{B}, B_0): \tau$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\tilde{B}', B' \\ (B_1, \tilde{B}'): \sigma_i \\ (B', \tilde{B}): w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \sigma_i \\ (\tilde{B}', B_0): \tilde{\sigma}_i \tau}} \varepsilon_{\tilde{B}'} f_*(B')$$

we must show
 that if
 $(B', B_1): w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1}$ (1)
 or $w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \sigma_i$ (2)
 we have

$$\sum_{\tilde{B}}^{(A)} \varepsilon_{\tilde{B}} + \sum_{\tilde{B}}^{(B)} \varepsilon_{\tilde{B}} + \left\{ \varepsilon_{B_1} \text{ in case 1} \atop 0 \text{ in case 2} \right\} \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$$(B_1, \tilde{B}): \sigma_i \rightarrow (B_1, \tilde{B}): \sigma_i$$

$$\underbrace{B' \tilde{B} B_0}_{w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \tau} \quad \underbrace{B' \tilde{B} B_0}_{w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \sigma_i \quad \sigma_i \tau}$$

We denote by c the unique $\tilde{B}$ such that $B' \underbrace{\quad}_{w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \tau} \subset \cup B_0$
 and by c' the unique $\tilde{B}'$ such that $B' \underbrace{\quad}_{w_0 \tilde{\tau}^{-1} \sigma_i} \subset \cup B_0'$

4)

We must show

$$\varepsilon_c \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ if } (c, B_1) : \sigma_i \\ 0 \text{ if } (\cancel{c}, B_1) : \sigma_i \end{array} \right\} + \varepsilon_{c'} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ if } (c', B_1) : \sigma_i \\ 0 \text{ if } (\cancel{c'}, B_1) : \sigma_i \end{array} \right\} \\ + \varepsilon_{B_1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ in case 1} \\ 0 \text{ in case 2} \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

In case 1 we have $c = B_1$ and c' is such that $(c', B_1) : \sigma_i$. The required equality is

$$0 \varepsilon_{B_1} + 1 \varepsilon_{c'} + \varepsilon_{B_1} = 0 \quad ; \text{ it is clear.}$$

Assume we are in case 2. We have $c \neq B_1$ since

$$B_1, B_1 : w_0 \tilde{\tau} \sigma_i, \quad (B_1, c) : w_0 \tilde{\tau}.$$

$$B_1, B_0 : \tau, \quad c', B_0 : \sigma_i \tau. \text{ Moreover we have}$$

$$(c, c') : \sigma_i. \quad \text{If } (B_1, c) : \sigma_i \text{ then using}$$

$$(c, c') : \sigma_i, \quad B_1 \neq c', \text{ we deduce } (B_1, c') : \sigma_i. \text{ Similarly}$$

$$\text{if } (B_1, c') : \sigma_i \text{ then } (B_1, c) : \sigma_i. \text{ Thus}$$

$$(B_1, c) : \sigma_i \Leftrightarrow (B_1, c') : \sigma_i$$

If both $(B_1, c) : \sigma_i$, $(B_1, c') : \sigma_i$, the required identity is $\varepsilon_c + \varepsilon_{c'} = 0$ which is clear. If both $(B_1, c) \neq \sigma_i$,

$(B_1, c') \neq \sigma_i$ are false, the required identity is

$$0 + 0 = 0. \quad \square$$

5)

From the Lemma we have linear maps
 $R: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_*$, $f \mapsto f|_{\Sigma_*}$, $R': \Sigma_* \rightarrow \Sigma$, $g \mapsto g$ as in
 Lemma. Clearly, $RR' = 1$. Hence R is surjective.
 We show that R is injective. Let $f \in R$ be such
 that $f|_{\Sigma_*} = 0$. For $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(B, B_0): \sigma$
 we show that $f(B) = 0$ by descending induction on $|\sigma|$.
 When $|\sigma|$ is maximal, this holds by our assumption.
 Now assume that $\sigma \neq w_0$. We can find $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$
 such that $(B', B): \sigma_i$ implies $(B', B_0): \sigma_i \sigma$
 $k_i \sigma_i = |\sigma| + 1$. The sum of values of f over all such
 B' is equal to $-f(B)$. But $f(B') = 0$ by the
 ind. hypothesis, so that $f(B) = 0$. This proves the
 injectivity of R . We see that R is an
 isomorphism with inverse R' .

Lemma. The representation of G on Σ is
 irreducible

Proof. We have $\Sigma \neq 0$ since $\Sigma_* \neq 0$. Assume
 that $\Sigma = \Sigma' \oplus \Sigma''$ where Σ', Σ'' are nonzero G -stable
 subspaces. We can find $\lambda \in \text{End}_G(F)$ such that
 $\lambda = 1$ on Σ' , $\lambda = 0$ on Σ'' . Since $\text{End}_G(F) = \mathbb{C}$

6)

we see that some element $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ acts as 1 on E' and as 0 on E'' . By definition $T_i \in \mathcal{H}$ acts on E as -1 for all i . It follows that any element of $\mathcal{H}$ (and in particular ξ) acts on Σ as a scalar. This is a contradiction. The lemma is proved.