

Coxeter groups.

Let S be a finite set and let $(m_{ss'})_{(s,s') \in S \times S}$ be a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $m_{ss} = 1 \ \forall s$ and $m_{ss'} = m_{s's} \geq 2$ for $s \neq s'$.

(A Coxeter matrix). Let W be the group defined by the generators s ($s \in S$) and relations $(ss')^{m_{ss'}} = 1$ for any s, s' in S such that $m_{ss'} < \infty$. We say that W, S is a Coxeter group. In W we have $s^2 = 1$ for $s \in S$. There is a unique homomorphism $\text{sgn}: W \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\text{sgn}(s) = -1$ for $s \in S$.

For $w \in W$ let $|w|$ be the smallest integer $q \geq 0$ such that $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ with s_1, \dots, s_q in S . We then say that $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ is a reduced expression and $|w|$ is the length of w . Now $|1| = 0$, $|s| = 1$. (We have $s \neq 1$ since $\text{sgn}(s) = -1$.)

Let $w \in W$, $s \in S$. We have either

$|sw| = |w| + 1$ or $|sw| = |w| - 1$. (Use $|sw| \neq |w|$ since $\text{sgn } sw \neq \text{sgn } w$ and $|w| - 1 \leq |sw| \leq |w| + 1$). We have either $|ws| = |w| + 1$ or $|ws| = |w| - 1$.

1A)

The exchange condition in a Coxeter group: Let $w \in W, s \in S$ be such that $|sw| = |w| - 1$. Let $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ be a reduced expr. Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $s s_1 \dots s_{j-1} = s_1 s_2 \dots s_j$.
 (See Bourbaki, ch. IV).

Lemma Let $w \in W$, let s, t in S be such that $|swt| = |w|, |sw| = |wt|$. Then $sw = wt$.

Proof. Let $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ be a reduced expr. Assume first that $|wt| = q + 1$. Then $s_1 \dots s_q t$ is a red. exp. for wt . Now $|swt| = |wt| - 1$ hence by the exch. cond. there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ with $s s_1 s_2 \dots s_{i-1} = s_1 s_2 \dots s_i$ or else $s s_1 \dots s_q = s_1 \dots s_q t$. In the last case we are done. In the first case, $sw = s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \dots s_q$ hence $|sw| \leq q - 1$, contradicting $|sw| = |wt|$. Next assume $|wt| = q - 1$. Let $w' = wt$. Then $|sw't| = |w'|, |sw'| = |w't|$. We have $|w't| = |w'| + 1$ hence the first part of the proof applies and $sw' = w't$ so that $sw = wt$. \square

2) The reflection representation. Let E be \mathbb{R} -vector space with basis $\{e_s; s \in S\}$. For $s \in S$ define a linear map $\sigma_s: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$\sigma_s(e_{s'}) = e_{s'} + 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{s,s'}}\right) e_s \quad \forall s' \in S.$$

There is a unique homomorphism $\sigma: W \rightarrow GL(E)$ such that $\sigma(s) = \sigma_s$ for any $s \in S$.

(Easy to prove.)

Theorem (Tits). σ is injective.

Examples of Coxeter groups.

1) $W =$ group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$S = \{\sigma_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\sigma_i =$ transposition $(i, i+1)$.

We have $\sigma_i^2 = 1$, $(\sigma_i \sigma_j)^3 = 1$ if $|i-j|=1$,

$(\sigma_i \sigma_j)^2 = 1$ if $|i-j| \geq 2$. Let W' be the Coxeter group with generators S and relations above.

One can check that the image of W' in $GL(E)$ (ref. rep.) is W . Using Tits theorem, we deduce $W' = W$.

2) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $\tau_k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $z \rightarrow z+k$.

Let $n \geq 2$. Let \tilde{W} be the group of all

permutations $\sigma: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma \rho_n = \rho_n \sigma$.

3) Define $\chi: \tilde{W} \rightarrow Z$ by $\chi(\sigma) = \sum_{k \in X} (\sigma(k) - k)$

where X is a set of representatives for the residue classes mod n in Z . Note that $\chi(\sigma)$ does not depend on the choice of X and is a group homomorphism with image nZ . Let $\tilde{W}' = \ker \chi$. Then \tilde{W}' is generated by

$\{s_m \mid m \in Z/nZ\}$ where $s_m: Z \rightarrow Z$ is defined

$$\text{by } s_m(z) = \begin{cases} z+1 & \text{if } z = m \pmod{n} \\ z-1 & \text{if } z = m+1 \pmod{n} \\ z & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have $s_m^2 = 1$, $(s_m s_{m'})^3 = 1$ if $\begin{cases} m-m' \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n} \\ n \geq 3 \end{cases}$

$(s_m s_{m'})^2 = 1$ if $\begin{cases} m-m' \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n} \\ n \geq 3 \end{cases}$

\tilde{W}' is a Coxeter group defined by $\{s_m\}$ and these relations. (Same proof as for symmetric group, using reflection representation and Tits theorem.) We call \tilde{W}' the affine symmetric group

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter group

Let X be the set of all sequences

(s_1, \dots, s_r) in S such that $|s_1 \dots s_r| = 2$.

We regard X as the vertices of a graph in which

4) $(s_1, \dots, s_2), (s'_1, \dots, s'_2)$ are joined if one is obtained from the other by replacing m consecutive entries of form s, s', s, s', \dots by the m entries s', s, s', s, \dots where $s \neq s'$ in S are such that $m = m_{s, s'} < \infty$. (When $2=2'$ and $s_1 \dots s_2 = s'_1 \dots s'_2$)

Theorem (Matsumoto, Tits) Let $(s_1, \dots, s_2) \in X$
 $(s'_1, \dots, s'_2) \in K$. Assume $s_1 s_2 \dots s_2 = s'_1 \dots s'_2$.
 Then $(s_1, \dots, s_2), (s'_1, \dots, s'_2)$ are in the same connected component of the graph above.

Proof see: Bourbaki, Ch IV

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, v an indeterminate.

Let \mathcal{H} be the R -algebra defined by the generators $\{T_s, s \in S\}$ and the relations

$$(T_s - v)(T_s + v^{-1}) = 0 \text{ for } s \in S$$

$$T_s T_{s'} T_s \dots = T_{s'} T_s T_{s'} \dots$$

(both products with $m_{s, s'}$ factors) for any $s \neq s'$ in S such that $m_{s, s'} < \infty$

It is called the Iwahori-Hecke algebra

5)

For $w \in W$ we define $T_w \in \mathcal{H}$ by

$$T_w = T_{s_1} T_{s_2} \cdots T_{s_\ell} \quad \text{where } w = s_1 \cdots s_\ell \text{ is a}$$

reduced expression. This is independent of the choice of reduced expression, by Matsumoto-Tits. We have for $s \in S$, $w \in W$:

$$T_s T_w = \begin{cases} T_{sw} & \text{if } |sw| = |w| + 1 \\ T_{sw} + (v - v^{-1}) T_w & \text{if } |sw| = |w| - 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular the A -submodule of \mathcal{H} generated by $\{T_w; w \in W\}$ is a left ideal. It contains $1 = T_1$ hence it is $= \mathcal{H}$. Thus $\{T_w; w \in W\}$ generate \mathcal{H} as A -module.

Proposition. $\{T_w; w \in W\}$ is an A -basis of \mathcal{H}

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be the free A -module with basis $\{e_w; w \in W\}$. For $s \in S$ we define

A -linear maps $P_s, Q_s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$P_s(e_w) = \begin{cases} e_{sw} & \text{if } |sw| = |w| + 1 \\ e_{sw} + (v - v^{-1}) e_w & \text{if } |sw| = |w| - 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Q_s(e_w) = \begin{cases} e_{ws} & \text{if } |ws| = |w| + 1 \\ e_{ws} + (v - v^{-1}) e_w & \text{if } |ws| = |w| - 1. \end{cases}$$

6) We shall continue the proof assuming that

(*) $P_s Q_t = Q_t P_s$ for s, t in S .

Let \mathcal{U} be the A -subalgebra with 1 of $\text{End}(E)$ generated by $\{P_s, s \in S\}$. The map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ given by $\pi \rightarrow \pi(e_1)$ is surjective.

Indeed if $w = s_1 \dots s_2$ is a reduced expression in W then $e_w = P_{s_1} \dots P_{s_2} e_1$. Assume now that $\pi \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfies $\pi(e_1) = 0$. Let

$\pi' = Q_{s_2} \dots Q_{s_1}$. By (*) we have $\pi \pi' = \pi' \pi$

hence $0 = \pi' \pi(e_1) = \pi \pi'(e_1) = \pi(Q_{s_2} \dots Q_{s_1}(e_1)) = \pi(e_w)$. Since w is arbitrary, it follows

that $\pi = 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is injective, hence an isomorphism of A -modules.

Using this isomorphism, we transport the algebra structure of \mathcal{U} to an algebra structure on \mathcal{E} with unit element e_1 .

For this algebra structure we have

$$P_s(e_1) \pi(e_1) = P_s(\pi(e_1)) \text{ for } s \in S, \pi \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Hence $e_s e_w = P_s(e_w)$ for $s \in S, w \in W$.

7) It follows that

$$e_s e_w = \begin{cases} e_{sw} & \text{if } |sw| = |w| + 1 \\ e_{sw} + (v - v^{-1}) e_w & \text{if } |sw| = |w| - 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ is a red.-expr. then $e_w = e_{s_1} \dots e_{s_q}$. Thus if $s \neq s'$ in S are

such that $m = m_{s,s'} < \infty$ then

$$e_s e_{s'} e_s \dots = e_{s'} e_s e_{s'} \dots \quad (\text{both products have}$$

m factors), i.e. $e_{s s s' \dots} = e_{s' s s \dots}$ (we use that $s s s' \dots$, $s' s s' \dots$ with m factors are reduced expressions, see (**) below.) We have

$$e_s^2 = 1 + (v - v^{-1}) e_s \text{ for } s \in S. \text{ Thus there is a}$$

unique algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ preserving

1 such that $T_s \rightarrow e_s, \forall s \in S$. It takes T_w to

e_w for any $w \in W$. It follows that $\{T_w\}$ is an

\mathbb{Z} -basis.

We now prove (*). Let $w \in W$. We have six cases

1) swt, sw, wt, w have length $q+2, q+1, q+1, q$.

$$\text{Then } P_s Q_t(e_w) = Q_t P_s(e_w) = e_{swt}.$$

2) w, sw, wt, swt have length $q+2, q+1, q+1, q$.

8) Then

$$P_s Q_t e_w = Q_t P_s e_w = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{sw} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{wt} + (v - 1)^2 e_w.$$

3) wt, swt, w, sw have lengths $q+2, q+1, q+1, q$

Then

$$P_s Q_t e_w = Q_t P_s e_w = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{wt}$$

4) sw, swt, w, wt have lengths $q+2, q+1, q+1, q$

Then

$$P_s Q_t e_w = Q_t P_s e_w = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{sw}$$

5) swt, w, wt, sw have lengths $q+1, q+1, q, q$

Then

$$P_s Q_t (e_w) = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{sw} + (v - v^{-1})^2 e_w$$

$$Q_t P_s (e_w) = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{wt} + (v - v^{-1})^2 e_w$$

6) sw, wt, w, swt have lengths $q+1, q+1, q, q$

Then

$$P_s Q_t (e_w) = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{wt}$$

$$Q_t P_s (e_w) = e_{swt} + (v - v^{-1}) e_{sw}.$$

In cases 5), 6) we have $sw = wt$, by an earlier lemma. \square

9)

Let $s \neq s'$ in S be such that $m = m_{ss'} < \infty$.
 Then ss' has order m . (This follows
 by showing that the image of ss' in the
 reflection repres. has order m .) We show

(**) $ss's \dots$ (m factors) is a reduced expression.

Let $s_k = s's's \dots$ (k factors) $s'_k = s's's \dots$ (k factors)

Since ss' has order m , the elements

$s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m, s'_0, \dots, s'_m$ are distinct except for

$s_0 = s'_0, s_m = s'_m$. Assume that $s_k = s's's \dots$ is

a red. exp but $s'_{k+1} = s's \dots$ is not (for some
 $k < m$). By the exchange condition we have

$s'_{k+1} = \underbrace{ss' \dots}_{k-1} \dots$ with two factors omitted.

But the s'_{k+1} is equal to some s_ℓ or s'_ℓ

with $\ell \leq k-1$. This is absurd. We see that

s_k red. exp. $\Rightarrow s'_{k+1}$ red. exp. (for $k < m$).

Similarly, s'_k red. exp. $\Rightarrow s_{k+1}$ red. exp. (for $k < m$).

From this we see by induction that

each s_k, s'_k is a red. exp. for $k \leq m$.

This proves (**).

10)

Let $\bar{} : R \rightarrow R$ be the ring involution such that $\overline{v^n} = v^{-n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $s \in S$, $T_s \in \mathcal{J}$ is invertible:

$T_s^{-1} = T_s - (v - v^{-1})$. It follows that for any

$w \in W$, $T_w \in \mathcal{J}$ is invertible: if $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ is a reduced exp. then $T_w^{-1} = T_{s_q}^{-1} \dots T_{s_1}^{-1}$.

Lemma. There is a unique ring homomorphism $\bar{} : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ such that $\overline{aT_s} = \bar{a}T_s^{-1}$ for any $a \in A$, $s \in S$. This is an involution. It takes T_w to T_w^{-1} for any $w \in W$.

Proof

We have

$$(T_s^{-1} - v^{-1})(T_s^{-1} + v) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \in S$$

$$T_s^{-1} T_t^{-1} T_s^{-1} \dots = T_t^{-1} T_s^{-1} T_t^{-1} \dots \quad (\text{both}$$

products have m factors) for any $s \neq t$ in S

such that $m = m_{s,t} < \infty$. This implies the first sentence. Let $s \in S$. Applying $\bar{}$

to $T_s \widehat{T_s} = 1$ we obtain $\overline{T_s} \overline{\widehat{T_s}} = 1$. We have also $\widehat{T_s} T_s = 1$ hence $\overline{\widehat{T_s}} = T_s$. Hence the square of $\bar{}$ is 1. If $w = s_1 \dots s_q$ is a red exp. then

$$\overline{T_w} = \overline{T_{s_1}} \dots \overline{T_{s_q}} = T_{s_1}^{-1} \dots T_{s_q}^{-1} = (T_{s_q} \dots T_{s_1})^{-1} = T_w^{-1} \quad \square$$

11) For any $w \in W$ we write uniquely
 $\overline{T}_w = \sum_y r_{yw} T_y$, finite sum; $r_{yw} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma For any x, z in W we have

$$\sum_y \overline{r}_{xy} r_{yz} = \delta_{xz}$$

Proof $\overline{T}_z = \overline{\overline{T}_z} = \overline{\sum_y r_{yz} T_y} = \sum_y r_{yz} \overline{T}_y$
 $= \sum_{y,x} r_{yz} \overline{r}_{xy} T_x. \quad \square$

We define a partial order \leq on W by
 $y \leq w$ if either $y = w$ or $|y| < |w|$.

Lemma (a) If $r_{yw} \neq 0$ then $y \leq w$:

(b) $r_{w,w} = 1$.

Proof We prove (a) by induction on $|w|$.

If $|w| = 0$ then $\overline{T}_w = \overline{T}_1 = T_1$ and the result is clear. Now assume that $|w| > 1$.

We can write $w = w' w''$ where

$$|w| = |w'| + |w''|, \quad |w'| < |w|, \quad |w''| < |w|.$$

12) We have

$$\overline{T}_w = \overline{T}_w, \overline{T}_{w''} = \sum_{y' \leq w'} \overline{\pi}_{y'w'} \overline{T}_{y'} \sum_{y'' \leq w''} \overline{\pi}_{y''w''} \overline{T}_{y''}.$$

We have

$$\overline{T}_{y'} \overline{T}_{y''} = \text{lin comb. of } \overline{T}_y, |y| \leq |y'| + |y''|.$$

If $y' \neq w'$, or $y'' \neq w''$ then $|y'| < |w'|$ or $|y''| < |w''|$ and $|y| < |w'| + w''| = |w|$.

If $y' = w'$ and $y'' = w''$ then $\overline{T}_{y'} \overline{T}_{y''} = \overline{T}_w$

Thus \overline{T}_w is a lin. comb. of \overline{T}_w and of \overline{T}_y with $|y| < |w|$. This proves (a).

The same argument shows that

$\overline{\pi}_{w,w} = \overline{\pi}_{w'w'} \overline{\pi}_{w''w''}$ which by induction is 1. This proves (b).

13) Let $A_{\leq 0} = Z[v^{-1}] \subset A$, $A_{< 0} = v^{-1}Z[v^{-1}]$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{\leq 0} = \bigoplus_w A_{\leq 0} T_w$, $\mathcal{H}_{< 0} = \bigoplus_w A_{< 0} T_w$

Theorem (a) Let $w \in W$. There is a unique element $c_w \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}$ such that $\overline{c_w} = c_w$ and $c_w = T_w \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{< 0}}$.

(b) $\{c_w \mid w \in W\}$ is an $A_{\leq 0}$ -basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}$ and an A -basis of \mathcal{H} .

We construct for any x such that $x \leq w$ an element $u_x \in A_{\leq 0}$ such that

(c) $u_w = 1$

(d) $u_x \in A_{\leq 0}$, $\overline{u_x} - u_x = \sum_{\substack{y \\ x < y \leq w}} r_{xy} u_y$ for $x < w$

We argue by induction on $|w| - |x|$. If $|w| - |x| = 0$ then $x = w$ and we set $u_w = 1$.

Assume now that $|w| - |x| > 0$. Then the right hand side of the equality in (d) is defined. We

denote by $a_x \in A$. We have

$$a_x + \overline{a_x} = \sum_{\substack{y \\ x < y \leq w}} r_{xy} u_y + \sum_{\substack{y \\ x < y \leq w}} \overline{r_{xy}} \overline{u_y}$$

14)

$$= \sum_{\substack{y \\ x < y \leq w}} r_{xy} u_y + \sum_{\substack{y \\ x < y \leq w}} \bar{r}_{xy} \left(u_y + \sum_{\substack{z \\ y < z \leq w}} r_{yz} u_z \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{z \\ x < z \leq w}} r_{xz} u_z + \sum_{\substack{z \\ x < z \leq w}} \bar{r}_{xz} u_z + \sum_{\substack{z; \\ y; \\ x < y < z}} \bar{r}_{xy} r_{yz} u_z$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{z; \\ y; \\ x < y < z}} \bar{r}_{xy} r_{yz} u_z \quad (\text{using } r_{yy} = 1)$$

$$= \sum_{z; x < z \leq w} \delta_{xz} u_z = 0. \quad (\text{using an earlier lemma})$$

Since $a_x + \bar{a}_x = 0$ we have $a_x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_n v^n$ (finite sum) where $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $\gamma_n + \gamma_{-n} = 0$ and in particular $\gamma_0 = 0$. We set $u_x = \sum_{n \geq 0} \gamma_n v^n \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}$ so that $\bar{u}_x - u_x = a_x$. This completes the inductive definition of u_x .

We set $c_w = \sum_y u_y T_y \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}$. Clearly $c_w = T_w \bmod \mathcal{H}_{\leq 0}$. We have

$$\bar{c}_w = \sum_y \bar{u}_y \bar{T}_y = \sum_y \bar{u}_y \sum_{\substack{x \\ x < y}} \bar{r}_{xy} T_x = \sum_{x < w} \left(\sum_y \bar{r}_{xy} \bar{u}_y \right) T_x$$

$$= \sum_{x < w} u_x T_x = c_w. \quad \text{The existence of } c_w \text{ is proved.}$$

15) To prove uniqueness it is enough to verify:

(e) If $h \in \mathcal{H}_{<0}$ satisfies $\bar{h} = h$, then $h = 0$.

We can write uniquely $h = \sum_y f_y T_y$, $f_y \in \mathcal{R}_{<0}$.

Assume that not all f_y are 0. We can find

$l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$Y_0 = \{ y \in W; f_y \neq 0, |y| = l_0 \} \neq \emptyset$$

$$\{ y \in W; f_y \neq 0, |y| > l_0 \} = \emptyset$$

$$\text{Now } \sum_y f_y T_y = \sum_y \bar{f}_y T_y \text{ implies}$$

$$\sum_{y \in Y_0} f_y T_y = \sum_{y \in Y_0} \bar{f}_y T_y \pmod{\sum_{|y| < l_0} A T_y}$$

hence $\bar{f}_y = f_y$ for $y \in Y_0$. Since $f_y \in \mathcal{R}_{<0}$ it follows that $f_y = 0$ for $y \in Y_0$, contradiction. Thus (e) holds.

Thus part (a) of the Theorem holds.

Now the elements c_w are related to T_w by a triangular matrix with 1 on diagonal.

Hence the c_w form an \mathcal{R} -basis. \square .

For any $w \in W$ we set $c_w = \sum_{y \leq w} p_{y,w} T_y$ where $p_{y,w} \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq 0}$. We have

$$p_{y,y} = 1, \quad p_{y,w} \in \mathcal{R}_{<0} \text{ if } y < w.$$

16)

one can show that for y, w :

$$P_{y,w} = r^{|w|-|y|} p_{y,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^2]$$