

Ambidexterity §4

Peter J. Haine

November 12, 2018

1 Motivations

1.1 Notation. We write \mathbf{Spc} for the ∞ -category of spaces or ∞ -groupoids, \mathbf{Cat}_∞ for the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories, \mathbf{Sp} for the ∞ -category of spectra, and $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)}$ for the ∞ -category of $K(n)$ -local spectra (where $K(n)$ is a Morava K -theory).

Recall that the goal of [1] is to show that for any π -finite space X , prime number p , and Morava K -theory $K(n)$ (at p), the functors

$$\mathrm{colim}_X, \mathrm{lim}_X : \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)}$$

are (canonically) equivalent. If we let $f : X \rightarrow *$ denote the unique morphism, then:

- ▶ The diagonal functor $\mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)})$ is identified with the functor $f^* : \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)})$ given by precomposition with f .
- ▶ The functor colim_X is identified with the left adjoint $f_!$ of f^* , given by left Kan extension along f .
- ▶ The functor lim_X is identified with the right adjoint f_* of f^* , given by right Kan extension along f .

Rephrasing our problem, we're interested in studying the assignment

$$X \mapsto \begin{array}{ccc} & f_! & \\ \swarrow & \downarrow \perp & \searrow \\ \mathrm{Fun}(*, \mathbf{Sp}_{K(n)}) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathbf{Sp}_K) \\ \nwarrow & \downarrow \perp & \swarrow \\ & f_* & \end{array}$$

and determining when there is a natural equivalence $f_! \simeq f_*$.

- (1) To encode all the relevant functoriality in X , we should adopt the relative point of view and determine which morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Spc} have the property that $f_! \simeq f_*$.

- (2) For setting up the general theory, it is not really relevant that we are working with local systems of $K(n)$ -local spectra; we might as well consider any functor that assigns a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Spc} a chain of three adjunctions $f_! \dashv f^* \dashv f_*$.
- (3) The perspective we've taken is to assume that to any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Spc} , we have three adjoints $f_! \dashv f^* \dashv f_*$, and to try to construct an equivalence $\mathrm{Nm}_f: f_! \simeq f_*$. We can equivalently *not* assume the existence of the extreme right adjoint f_* (or, alternatively, the extreme left adjoint $f_!$), and try to exhibit $f_!$ as a right adjoint to f^* . That is, we might as well restrict our attention to functors $\mathbf{Spc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{ladj}}$, where $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{ladj}}$ is the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories and *left adjoint* functors (see [Notation 2.1](#)).
- (4) The fact that we're considering functors to $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{ladj}}$ with source the ∞ -category of spaces isn't particularly relevant for setting up the general theory; we can replace the ∞ -category of spaces with an essentially arbitrary index ∞ -category X .

There is one non-obvious fact particular to our situation that *is* relevant to the general theory ([Proposition 1.5](#)). First we recall the colimit formula for left Kan extensions.

1.2 Recollection (comma ∞ -categories). The *comma ∞ -category* $X \downarrow_Y Z$ associated to two functors $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ is the universal ∞ -category fitting into a lax-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \downarrow_Y Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{g} & Y. \end{array}$$

Explicitly, $X \downarrow_Y Z$ can be computed as the iterated pullback

$$(1.3) \quad X \downarrow_Y Z := X \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(\{0\}, Y)} \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, Y) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(\{1\}, Y)} Z.$$

Notice that since all morphisms are invertible in an ∞ -groupoid, if Y is an ∞ -groupoid, then the comma ∞ -category $X \downarrow_Y Z$ is simply given by the pullback

$$X \downarrow_Y Z := X \times_Y Z.$$

(This can also be seen from the formal description (1.3) by noting that an ∞ -category Y is an ∞ -groupoid if and only if evaluation at 0 or 1 defines an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, Y) \simeq Y$.)

1.4 Recollection (colimit formula for left Kan extensions). Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and let $L_X: X \rightarrow C$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be functors. Then for each object $y \in Y$, the value of the left Kan extension $\mathrm{Lan}_f L_X$ of L_X along f at y is given by the colimit

$$(\mathrm{Lan}_f L_X)(y) \simeq \mathrm{colim} \left(X \downarrow_Y \{y\} \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{L_X} C \right),$$

where $X \downarrow_Y \{y\}$ is the comma ∞ -category.

1.5 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.3.3]). *Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and*

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

a pullback square in \mathbf{Spc} . Then the associated square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}(X', C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_!} & \mathrm{Fun}(Y', C) \\ \bar{g}^* \uparrow & & \uparrow g^* \\ \mathrm{Fun}(X, C) & \xrightarrow{f_!} & \mathrm{Fun}(Y, C) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Note that by the universal property of the left Kan extension, for any local system $L_X: X \rightarrow C$ we have a natural transformation

$$\theta: \mathrm{Lan}_{\bar{f}}(L_X \circ \bar{g}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Lan}_f L_X) \circ g,$$

i.e., a natural transformation $\bar{f}_! \bar{g}^*(L_X) \rightarrow g^* f_!(L_X)$. We want to show that for each $y' \in Y'$, the morphism

$$\theta(y'): \mathrm{Lan}_{\bar{f}}(L_X \circ \bar{g})(y') \rightarrow (\mathrm{Lan}_f L_X)(g(y'))$$

is an equivalence.

Since C has all colimits, by the pointwise formula for left Kan extensions ([Recollection 1.4](#)), for all $y' \in Y'$ we have

$$\mathrm{Lan}_{\bar{f}}(L_X \circ \bar{g})(y') \simeq \mathrm{colim} \left(X' \downarrow_{Y'} \{y'\} \longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} X \xrightarrow{L_X} C \right).$$

Since Y' is an ∞ -groupoid,

$$X' \downarrow_{Y'} \{y'\} \simeq X' \times_{Y'} \{y'\}$$

([Recollection 1.2](#)). Since the square (1.6) is a pullback square and Y is an ∞ -groupoid, we see that

$$X' \times_{Y'} \{y'\} \simeq X \times_Y \{g(y')\} \simeq X \downarrow_Y \{g(y')\}$$

([Recollection 1.2](#)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lan}_{\bar{f}}(L_X \circ \bar{g})(y') &\simeq \mathrm{colim} \left(X \downarrow_Y \{g(y')\} \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{L_X} C \right) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Lan}_f(L_X)(g(y')). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this equivalence is induced by θ . □

1.7 Remark. For an ∞ -category C with colimits, there is a version of [Proposition 1.5](#) involving the $(-)_*$ right adjoints that says that given the pullback square (1.6) in \mathbf{Spc} there's a natural equivalence

$$f^* g_* \simeq \bar{g}_* \bar{f}^*$$

of functors $\mathrm{Fun}(Y', C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(X, C)$. The proof is the same as [Proposition 1.5](#); one just uses the limit formula for right Kan extensions.

The identification $g^* f_! \simeq \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^*$ in [Proposition 1.5](#) is a *Beck–Chevalley condition*, which we examine in the next section.

2 Beck–Chevalley morphisms

2.1 Notation. We write $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{ladj}} \subset \mathrm{Cat}_\infty$ for the subcategory with objects any ∞ -category and morphisms functors $C \rightarrow D$ which are *left adjoints*. We usually write $f_! : C \rightarrow D$ for a left adjoint and $f^* : D \rightarrow C$ for its corresponding right adjoint. In this case, we write

$$\eta_f : \mathrm{id}_C \rightarrow f^* f_! \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_f : f_! f^* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_D$$

for the unit and counit of the adjunction $f_! \dashv f^*$, respectively.

2.2 Definition. Consider a commutative square σ

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_!} & D' \\ \bar{g}_! \downarrow & & \downarrow g_! \\ C & \xrightarrow{f_!} & D \end{array}$$

in $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{ladj}}$. The *Beck–Chevalley morphism* associated to the square σ is the composite natural transformation

$$\mathrm{BC}(\sigma) : \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* \eta_f} \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* f^* f_! \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{f}_! g^* \bar{f}^* f_! \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_f g^* f_!} g^* f_!,$$

where the middle equivalence comes from the identification of right adjoints

$$\bar{g}^* f^* \simeq g^* \bar{f}^*.$$

The Beck–Chevalley morphism is depicted diagrammatically as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_!} & D' \\ \bar{g}^* \uparrow & \searrow \mathrm{BC}(\sigma) & \uparrow g^* \\ C & \xrightarrow{f_!} & D. \end{array}$$

We say that the square (2.3) *satisfies the Beck–Chevalley condition* if the Beck–Chevalley morphism $\mathrm{BC}(\sigma) : \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* \rightarrow g^* f_!$ is an equivalence.

2.4 Remark. As in [Remark 1.7](#), there is a Beck–Chevalley morphism for the $(-)_*$ *right* adjoints: it is a natural transformation

$$(2.5) \quad f^* g_* \rightarrow \bar{g}_* \bar{f}^* .$$

This is the basechange morphism that one often sees in algebraic geometry, for example, in the smooth and proper basechange theorems for étale cohomology (see [3, Chapter VI Corollary 2.3 & Theorem 4.1]).

An alternative approach to ambidexterity is to dispose of the $(-)_!$ adjoints and instead work with the $(-)_*$ adjoints and the other Beck–Chevalley morphism (2.5).

2.6 Example. By giving a more careful proof of [Proposition 1.5](#), we can conclude that for any ∞ -category C with colimits and pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{Spc} , the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}(X', C) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_!} & \mathrm{Fun}(Y', C) \\ \bar{g}_! \downarrow & & \downarrow g_! \\ \mathrm{Fun}(X, C) & \xrightarrow{f_!} & \mathrm{Fun}(Y, C) \end{array}$$

satisfies the Beck–Chevalley condition. (See also [2].)

2.7 Observation. Please observe that given commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C' & \longrightarrow & D' & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & E, \end{array}$$

σ and τ (from left to right) in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{adj}}$, the Beck–Chevalley morphism of the outer rectangle is equivalent to natural transformation given by the *horizontal* composite of the Beck–Chevalley morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C' & \longrightarrow & D' & \longrightarrow & E' \\ \uparrow & \searrow \mathrm{BC}(\sigma) & \uparrow & \searrow \mathrm{BC}(\tau) & \uparrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & E. \end{array}$$

Similarly, given commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C'' & \longrightarrow & D'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C' & \longrightarrow & D' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D, \end{array}$$

σ' and σ (from top to bottom) in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$, the Beck–Chevalley morphism of the outer rectangle is equivalent to natural transformation given by the *vertical* composite of the Beck–Chevalley morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C'' & \longrightarrow & D'' \\ \uparrow \text{BC}(\sigma') & \searrow & \uparrow \\ C' & \longrightarrow & D' \\ \uparrow \text{BC}(\sigma) & \searrow & \uparrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & D. \end{array}$$

Given our generalizations and reformulations of our goal from §1, we are interested in considering functors $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ sending pullback squares to squares satisfying the Beck–Chevalley condition, and exhibiting the left adjoint $f_!$ of f^* as a right adjoint of f_* for a certain class of morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{X} .

2.8 Definition. Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks. A functor $C: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ is a *Beck–Chevalley functor* if for every pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{X} , the induced square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{X'} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_!} & C_{Y'} \\ \bar{g}_! \downarrow & & \downarrow g_! \\ C_X & \xrightarrow{f_!} & C_Y \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ satisfies the Beck–Chevalley condition.

2.9 Example. For any ∞ -category C with colimits, the functor

$$\mathbf{Fun}(-, C): \mathbf{Spc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj},$$

where the functoriality is in the $(-)_!$ adjoints, is a Beck–Chevalley functor.

2.10 Remark. Beck–Chevalley functors $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ are classified by functors $q: C \rightarrow X$ that are both cartesian and cocartesian fibrations and for each pullback square in X the associated square in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ satisfies the Beck–Chevalley condition. Such fibrations are called *Beck–Chevalley fibrations* [1, Definition 4.1.3].

3 The definition of ambidexterity & basic properties

In this section we define ambidexterity of morphisms in an ∞ -category X with pullbacks with respect to a Beck–Chevalley functor $C: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$. This definition is a rather intricate inductive definition; to warm up we recall how to define truncatedness in an ∞ -category with pullbacks as well as the universal property of the counit of an adjunction

3.1 Recollection ([HTT, Lemma 5.5.6.15]). Let X be an ∞ -category with finite limits (e.g., $X = \mathbf{Spc}$). For each integer $n \geq -2$ we define the class of *n -truncated* morphisms of X inductively as follows. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in X is *(-2) -truncated* if f is an equivalence. Now suppose that n -truncated morphisms in X have been defined for some integer $n \geq -2$. Then we say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in X is *$(n+1)$ -truncated* if the diagonal morphism $\delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ is n -truncated.

Note that if $X = \mathbf{Spc}$ is the ∞ -category of spaces, then a morphism f is n -truncated in the sense just defined if and only if the fibers of f are n -truncated spaces, so the notion just defined agrees with the classical notion of truncatedness.

3.2 Recollection (universal property of the (co)unit [HTT, Definition 5.2.2.7 & Proposition 5.2.2.8]). Given functors between ∞ -categories $f: C \rightleftarrows D: g$, the functor f is left adjoint to g if and only if there exists a natural transformation $\eta: \text{id}_C \rightarrow gf$ such that for every pair of objects $c \in C$ and $d \in D$, the composite

$$\text{Map}_D(f(c), d) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_C(gf(c), g(d)) \xrightarrow{\eta(c)^*} \text{Map}_C(c, g(d))$$

is an equivalence in \mathbf{Spc} . Dually, f is right adjoint to g if and only if there exists a natural transformation $\varepsilon: fg \rightarrow \text{id}_D$ such that for every pair of objects $c \in C$ and $d \in D$, the composite

$$\text{Map}_C(c, g(d)) \longrightarrow \text{Map}_D(f(c), fg(d)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(d)_*} \text{Map}_C(f(c), d)$$

is an equivalence in \mathbf{Spc} .

Our approach to exhibiting a left adjoint $f_! \dashv f^*$ as a right adjoint to f^* is to define a counit transformation that exhibits $f_!$ as right adjoint to f^* .

3.3 Construction (definition of ambidexterity [1, Construction 4.1.8]). Let X be an ∞ -category with pullbacks and $C: X \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{ladj}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor. We define the following data for each integer $n \geq -2$:

- (a_n) A class of morphisms in X which we call *n -ambidextrous morphisms* (with respect to the Beck–Chevalley functor C).

(b_n) For each n -ambidextrous morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{X} , a natural transformation $\mu_f^{(n)}: \text{id}_{C_Y} \rightarrow f_! f^*$ (well-defined up to equivalence) that exhibits $f_!$ as a right adjoint to f^* .

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{X} is (-2) -*ambidextrous* if f is an equivalence. In this case, we let $\mu_f^{(-2)} := \varepsilon_f^{-1}$ be an inverse to the counit $\varepsilon_f: f_! f^* \simeq \text{id}_{C_Y}$ of the adjunction $f_! \dashv f^*$.

Assume that (a_n) and (b_n) have been defined for some integer $n \geq -2$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathbf{X} , and write $\delta_f: X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ for the diagonal map, which fits into a commutative diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X & & & & \\ & \searrow^{\delta_f} & & & \\ & & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\ & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Write σ for the pullback square in the diagram (3.4), so that the Beck–Chevalley morphism $\text{BC}(\sigma): \text{pr}_{1,!} \text{pr}_2^* \rightarrow f^* f_!$ is an equivalence. We say that f is *weakly* $(n+1)$ -*ambidextrous* if the diagonal δ_f is n -ambidextrous. If f is weakly $(n+1)$ -ambidextrous, we define a natural transformation

$$\nu_f^{(n+1)}: f^* f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_X}$$

as the composite natural transformation

$$f^* f_! \xrightarrow{\sim_{\text{BC}(\sigma)^{-1}}} \text{pr}_{1,!} \text{pr}_2^* \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{1,!} \mu_{\delta_f}^{(n)} \text{pr}_2^*} \text{pr}_{1,!} \delta_{f,!} \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* \simeq \text{id}_{C_X} \circ \text{id}_{C_X} = \text{id}_{C_X} .$$

We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $(n+1)$ -*ambidextrous* if the following condition is satisfied: for every pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{X} , the map \bar{f} is weakly $(n+1)$ -ambidextrous and $\nu_{\bar{f}}^{(n+1)}: \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_{X'}}$ is the counit for an adjunction $\bar{f}^* \dashv \bar{f}_!$.

If f is $(n+1)$ -ambidextrous, we let $\mu_f^{(n+1)}: \text{id}_{C_Y} \rightarrow f_! f^*$ denote a compatible unit for the adjunction

$$f^*: C_Y \rightleftarrows C_X : f_!$$

determined by $\nu_f^{(n+1)}$.

3.5 Definition ([1, Definition 4.1.1]). Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks and let $C: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{adj}$ be a Beck–Chevalley functor. We say that a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{X} is *(weakly) ambidextrous* (with respect to the Beck–Chevalley functor C) if f is (weakly) n -ambidextrous for some integer $n \geq -2$.

The following basic properties are easily deduced from the definitions.

3.6 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.1.10]). *Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{adj}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in \mathbf{X} . Then:*

- (3.6.1) *If f is weakly n -ambidextrous for some integer $n \geq -2$, then f is n -truncated.*
- (3.6.2) *For each integer $n \geq -2$, the class of n -ambidextrous morphisms are stable under pullback.*
- (3.6.3) *For each integer $n \geq -1$, the class of weakly n -ambidextrous morphisms are stable under pullback.*
- (3.6.4) *Let $-1 \leq m \leq n$ be integers. If f is weakly m -ambidextrous, then f is weakly n -ambidextrous. Moreover, the natural transformations $\nu_f^{(m)}, \nu_f^{(n)}: f^* f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_X}$ agree up to homotopy.*
- (3.6.5) *Let $-2 \leq m \leq n$ be integers. If f is m -ambidextrous, then f is n -ambidextrous. Moreover, the natural transformations $\mu_f^{(m)}, \mu_f^{(n)}: \text{id}_{C_Y} \rightarrow f_! f^*$ agree up to homotopy.*
- (3.6.6) *Let $-1 \leq m \leq n$ be integers. If f is (weakly) n -ambidextrous, then f is (weakly) m -ambidextrous if and only if f is m -truncated.*

3.7 Notation. Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{adj}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in \mathbf{X} that is weakly ambidextrous. Then we simply write ν_f for $\nu_f^{(n)}$ for some integer $n \geq -2$ such that f is weakly n -ambidextrous (so that ν_f is well-defined up to homotopy). If f is ambidextrous, we write μ_f for a compatible unit of ν_f .

3.8 Reformulation (the norm map [1, Remark 4.1.12]). Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{adj}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in \mathbf{X} . If $f^*: C_Y \rightarrow C_X$ admits a right adjoint, we denote the right adjoint to f^* by $f_*: C_X \rightarrow C_Y$. We then have an equivalence

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C_X, C_X)}(f^* f_!, \text{id}_{C_X}) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C_X, C_Y)}(f_!, f_*).$$

If f is weakly ambidextrous, we let $\text{Nm}_f: f_! \rightarrow f_*$ denote the image of $\nu_f: f^* f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_X}$ under the equivalence (3.9). We call Nm_f the *norm map* associated to f .

We can reformulate the definition of ambidexterity as follows. A weakly ambidextrous morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *ambidextrous* if and only if for every pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{X} , the following conditions are satisfied:

(3.8.1) The morphism \bar{f} is weakly ambidextrous.

(3.8.2) The functor $\bar{f}^* : C_{Y'} \rightarrow C_{X'}$ admits a right adjoint \bar{f}_* .

(3.8.3) The norm map $\text{Nm}_{\bar{f}} : \bar{f}_! \rightarrow \bar{f}_*$ is an equivalence.

4 Naturality properties of the norm

The goal of this section is to establish two naturality properties of the construction $f \mapsto \mu_f$ (or, equivalently, $f \mapsto \text{Nm}_f$).

4.1 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.2.1]). *Let \mathbf{X} be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{adj}}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and let τ be a pullback diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{X} . Then:

(4.1.1) *If f is weakly ambidextrous, then \bar{f} is weakly ambidextrous and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}^* \text{BC}(\tau)} & \bar{f}^* g^* f_! \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{g}^* f^* f_! \\ \nu_f \bar{g}^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{g}^* \nu_f \\ \bar{g}^* & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & \bar{g}^* \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

(4.1.2) *If f is ambidextrous, then \bar{f} is ambidextrous and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^* & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & g^* \\ \mu_f g^* \downarrow & & \downarrow g^* \mu_f \\ \bar{f}_! \bar{f}^* g^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* f^* \xrightarrow{\text{BC}(\tau)_{f^*}} g^* f_! f^* \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

4.2 Reformulation (Proposition 4.1 in terms of norms [1, Remark 4.2.3]). In the situation of Proposition 4.1, assume that f and \bar{f} are weakly ambidextrous and that the functors f^* and \bar{f}^* admit right adjoints f_* and \bar{f}_* . Then we can reformulate assertion (4.1.1) as follows: the morphism

$$\bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\tau)} g^* f_! \xrightarrow{g^* \text{Nm}_f} g^* f_* \longrightarrow \bar{f}^* \bar{g}^* .$$

is homotopic to $\text{Nm}_{\bar{f}} \bar{g}^*$, where the last morphism is the Beck–Chevalley morphism involving the $(-)_*$ adjoints (Remark 2.4).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. The statement that f is (weakly) ambidextrous implies that \bar{f} is (weakly) ambidextrous is immediate from the definitions.

Recall that if f is weakly ambidextrous, then f is n -truncated for some integer $n \geq -2$ (3.6.1). We prove both (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) simultaneously by induction on the truncatedness of f , which we denote by n . If $n = -2$, then f is an equivalence, hence \bar{f} is an equivalence, and (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) are obvious.

So assume that $n \geq -1$ and that f (and hence \bar{f}) is weakly ambidextrous. Thus we have a pullback square ρ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\bar{f}}} & X' \times_{Y'} X' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta_f} & X \times_Y X, \end{array}$$

where the morphism π is induced by g and \bar{g} , and δ_f and $\delta_{\bar{f}}$ are $(n-1)$ -truncated and ambidextrous by the assumption that f is weakly ambidextrous. Let σ denote the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

let $\bar{\sigma}$ denote the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{pr}}_1} & X' \\ \bar{\text{pr}}_2 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y', \end{array}$$

and let ξ denote the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{pr}}_1} & X' \\ \pi \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X. \end{array}$$

Now consider the diagram

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\bar{\sigma})^{-1}} & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \bar{\text{pr}}_2^* \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\delta_f}} & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \delta_{\bar{f},!} \delta_{\bar{f}}^* \bar{\text{pr}}_2^* \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{g}^* \\ \downarrow \text{BC}(\tau) \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \bar{f}^* g^* f_! & & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \pi^* \text{pr}_2^* & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\delta_f}} & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \delta_{\bar{f},!} \delta_{\bar{f}}^* \pi^* \text{pr}_2^* & & \bar{g}^* \\ & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ & & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \pi^* \delta_{f,!} \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \delta_{\bar{f},!} \delta_{\bar{f}}^* \pi^* \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{g}^* \\ & & \downarrow \text{BC}(\rho) \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ & & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \pi^* \delta_{f,!} \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* & & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \pi^* \delta_{f,!} \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* & & \bar{g}^* \\ & & \downarrow \text{BC}(\xi) \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ \bar{g}^* f^* f_! & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\sigma)^{-1}} & \bar{g}^* \text{pr}_{1,!} \text{pr}_2^* & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\delta_f}} & \bar{g}^* \text{pr}_{1,!} \delta_{f,!} \delta_f^* \text{pr}_2^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{g}^* \end{array}$$

where the long composites at the top and bottom of the diagram are the definitions of $\nu_{\bar{f}} \bar{g}^*$ and $\bar{g}^* \nu_f$, respectively, and morphisms labeled with ' \sim ' and no other decorations are given by identification of adjoints. Our goal is to show that the outer rectangle of (4.4) commutes up to homotopy; we do this by showing that each sub-diagram commutes up to homotopy.

The diagrams in the middle column of (4.4) commute up to homotopy by the inductive hypothesis (for (4.1.2)). The upper-right diagram in (3.4) commutes because we're just identifying adjoints. The lower-right diagram in (3.4) commutes because Beck-Chevalley morphisms compose horizontally (Observation 2.7) and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\delta_{\bar{f}}} & X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{pr}}_1} & X' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta_f} & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \end{array}$$

where the long composites on the top and bottom are the identity on X' and X , respectively. To see that the left third rectangle in (3.4) commutes up to homotopy, it suffices to show that the diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\bar{\sigma})} & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \bar{\text{pr}}_2^* \bar{g}^* \\ \text{BC}(\tau) \wr \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ \bar{f}^* g^* f_! & & \bar{\text{pr}}_{1,!} \pi^* \text{pr}_2^* \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \text{BC}(\xi) \\ \bar{g}^* f^* f_! & \xleftarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\sigma)} & \bar{g}^* \text{pr}_{1,!} \text{pr}_2^* \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. This again follows from the fact that Beck–Chevalley morphisms compose vertically (**Observation 2.7**) and the fact that the outer rectangles of the two diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{pr}}_1} & X' \\ \pi \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \bar{g} \\ X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\ \text{pr}_2 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X' \times_{Y'} X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\text{pr}}_1} & X' \\ \bar{\text{pr}}_2 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\ \bar{g} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

are the same.

Now we prove the inductive step for (4.1.2). Assume that f is ambidextrous so that the natural transformations $\nu_f: f^* f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_X}$ and $\nu_{\bar{f}}: \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{C_{X'}}$ are counits of adjunctions $f^* \dashv f_!$ and $\bar{f}^* \dashv \bar{f}_!$. Then by the universal property of the unit μ_f , the composite map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C_{Y'}, C_{Y'})}(g^*, g^* f_! g^*) & \xrightarrow{-\circ f_!} & \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C_X, C_{Y'})}(g^* f_!, g^* f_! f^* f_!) \\ & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow (g^* f_! \nu_f) \circ - \\ & & \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(C_X, C_{Y'})}(g^* f_!, g^* f_!) \end{array}$$

is an equivalence. Moreover, by the triangle identity, the natural transformation $g^* \mu_f: g^* \rightarrow g^* f_! f^*$ corresponds to the identity $g^* f_! \rightarrow g^* f_!$ under the equivalence α . Thus proving that the diagram appearing in (4.1.2) commutes up to homotopy is equivalent to showing that the composite

$$g^* f_! \xrightarrow{\mu_f g^* f_!} \bar{f}_! \bar{f}^* g^* f_! \simeq \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* f^* f_! \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\tau) f^* f_!} g^* f_! f^* f_! \xrightarrow{g^* f_! \nu_f} g^* f_!$$

is homotopic to the identity. To prove this, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 g^* f_! & \xrightarrow{\mu_f} & \bar{f}_! \bar{f}^* g^* f_! & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f_! \bar{g}^* f^* f_! & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{BC}(\tau)} & g^* f_! f^* f_! & \xrightarrow{\nu_f} & g^* f_! \\
 \text{BC}(\tau) \uparrow \wr & & \text{BC}(\tau) \uparrow \wr & & \nu_f \downarrow & & \sim & \nearrow & \\
 \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\bar{f}}} & \bar{f}_! \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & \xrightarrow{\nu_{\bar{f}}} & \bar{f}_! \bar{g}^* & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The left-hand square and right-hand triangle obviously commute, and the middle square commutes by the inductive step for (4.1.1). To show that the top composite is homotopic to the identity, it suffices to show that the bottom composite is homotopic to the identity: this is true by the triangle identity since $\mu_{\bar{f}}$ and $\nu_{\bar{f}}$ are a compatible unit and counit. \square

4.5 Corollary (adjoint formulation of [Proposition 4.1](#) [1, Corollary 4.2.6]). *Let X be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C: X \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{ladj}}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and let τ be a pullback diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y' \\
 \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
 \end{array}$$

in X . Then:

(4.5.1) *If f is weakly ambidextrous, then \bar{f} is weakly ambidextrous and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{g}_! \bar{f}^* \bar{f}_! & \xrightarrow[\text{BC}(\tau)\bar{f}_!]{\sim} & f^* g_! \bar{f}_! & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f^* f_! \bar{g}_! \\
 \bar{g}_! \nu_f \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \nu_f \bar{g}_! \\
 \bar{g}_! & \xlongequal{\quad} & & & \bar{g}_!
 \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

(4.5.2) *If f is ambidextrous, then \bar{f} is ambidextrous and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 g_! & \xlongequal{\quad} & g_! & & \\
 g_! \mu_{\bar{f}} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \mu_f g_! \\
 g_! \bar{f}_! \bar{f}^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f_! \bar{g}_! \bar{f}^* & \xrightarrow{f_! \text{BC}(\tau)} & f_! f^* g_!
 \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

The proof of the following proposition is similar to the proof of [Proposition 4.1](#), though a little more involved.

4.6 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.2.2]). *Let X be an ∞ -category with pullbacks, $C: X \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{ladj}}$ a Beck–Chevalley functor, and suppose we are given morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ in X . Then:*

(4.1.1) If f and g are weakly ambidextrous, then gf is weakly ambidextrous and v_{gf} is homotopic to the composition

$$(gf)^*(gf)_! \simeq f^* g^* g_! f_! \xrightarrow{f^* v_{g f_!}} f^* f_! \xrightarrow{v_f} \text{id}_{C_X} .$$

commutes up to homotopy.

(4.1.2) If f and g are ambidextrous, then gf is ambidextrous and μ_{gf} is homotopic to the composition

$$\text{id}_{C_Z} \xrightarrow{\mu_g} g_! g^* \xrightarrow{g_! \mu_f g^*} g_! f_! f^* g^* \simeq (gf)_!(gf)^* .$$

4.7 Reformulation (Proposition 4.6 in terms of norms [1, Remark 4.2.4]). In the situation of Proposition 4.6, assume that f and g are weakly ambidextrous and that the functors f^* and g^* admit right adjoints f_* and g_* . Then $(gf)^*$ is left adjoint to $(gf)_* := g_* f_*$ and we can reformulate assertion (4.6.1) as follows: the norm $\text{Nm}_{gf} : (gf)_! \rightarrow (gf)_*$ is given by the composite

$$(gf)_! \simeq g_! f_! \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_g f_!} g_* f_! \xrightarrow{g_* \text{Nm}_f} g_* f_* \simeq (gf)_* .$$

5 Ambidexterity for local systems

So far we have considered ambidexterity for arbitrary Beck–Chevalley functors $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{ladj}}$. We now specify to the case of C -valued local systems, i.e., $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spc}$ and we consider the Beck–Chevalley functor $\text{Fun}(-, C) : \text{Spc} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{ladj}}$, where C is an ∞ -category with colimits (recall Proposition 1.5).

5.1 Definition ([1, Definition 4.3.4]). Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits.

- ▶ A space X is *weakly C -ambidextrous* if the unique morphism $f : X \rightarrow *$ is weakly C -ambidextrous (with respect to the Beck–Chevalley functor $\text{Fun}(-, C) : \text{Spc} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty^{\text{ladj}}$).
- ▶ A space X is *C -ambidextrous* if X is weakly C -ambidextrous and the natural transformation $v_f : f^* f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(X, C)}$ is the counit of an adunction (so that $f^* \dashv f_!$).

5.2 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.3.5]). Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in Spc . Then:

- (5.2.1) The morphism f is ambidextrous if and only if f is n -truncated for some integer $n \geq -2$ and each fiber X_y of f is C -ambidextrous.
- (5.2.2) The morphism f is weakly ambidextrous if and only if f is n -truncated for some integer $n \geq -2$ and each fiber X_y of f is weakly C -ambidextrous.

5.3 Corollary ([1, Corollary 4.3.6]). Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism between truncated spaces. If Y is C -ambidextrous and each fiber X_y of f is C -ambidextrous, then X is C -ambidextrous.

The proof of [Proposition 5.2](#) uses the following fact to reduce to proving the claim in a special case.

5.4 Lemma ([1, Lemma 4.3.8]). *Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and X a space. Then $\text{Fun}(X, C)$ is generated under colimits by objects of the form $x_{!}c$, where $x: * \rightarrow X$ is a point of X and $c \in C \simeq \text{Fun}(*, C)$.*

[Lemma 5.4](#) is also used in the proof of the following proposition.

5.5 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.3.9]). *Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and X a truncated space. Let $f: X \rightarrow *$ denote the unique morphism. Then X is C -ambidextrous if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(5.5.1) *X is weakly C -ambidextrous (i.e., $\text{Map}_X(x, x')$ is C -ambidextrous for all $x, x' \in X$).*

(5.5.2) *The pullback functor f^* admits a right adjoint f_* .*

(5.5.3) *The functor f_* preserves colimits.*

Proof. If X is C -ambidextrous, then (5.5.1) is obvious and (5.5.2) and (5.5.3) follow from the fact that the left adjoint $f_!$ of f^* is also right adjoint to f^* .

Now assume that (5.5.1)–(5.5.3) are satisfied. Using (5.5.2), the counit $v_f: f^*f_! \rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(X, C)}$ corresponds to the norm map $\text{Nm}_X: f_! \rightarrow f_*$ ([Reformulation 3.8](#)), and our goal is to show that Nm_f is an equivalence. That is, we want to show that for every local system $L: X \rightarrow C$, the natural transformation

$$\text{Nm}_f(L): f_!L \rightarrow f_*L$$

is an equivalence in C . By assumption (5.5.3), the collection of objects $L \in \text{Fun}(X, C)$ for which $\text{Nm}_f(L)$ is an equivalence is closed under colimits, so by [Lemma 5.4](#) we are reduced to the case where $L = x_{!}c$ for $x: * \rightarrow X$ a point and $c \in C$. By assumption (5.5.1), for every point $x \in X$ the morphism $x: * \rightarrow X$ is C -ambidextrous. By [Reformulation 4.7](#), the composite

$$c \simeq (fx)_{!}c \simeq f_!L \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_f(L)} f_*L \xrightarrow{f_*\text{Nm}_x(L)} f_*x_*c \simeq (fx)_*c \simeq c$$

is homotopic to the identity. Since $x: * \rightarrow X$ is X -ambidextrous, the norm Nm_x is an equivalence, hence Nm_f is an equivalence as well. \square

5.6 Remark. Note that [Proposition 5.5](#) gives a characterization of (weakly) C -ambidextrous morphisms that doesn't explicitly mention the natural transformations v_f or μ_f .

6 Semiadditivity & ambidexterity of Eilenberg–MacLane spaces

6.1 Definition ([1, Definition 4.4.1]). Let $n \geq -2$ be an integer. A space X is a *finite n -type* if X is π -finite and n -truncated.

6.2 Definition ([1, Definition 4.4.2]). Let C be an ∞ -category with colimits and $n \geq -2$ be an integer. We say that C is n -*semiadditive* if every finite n -type is C -ambidextrous.

6.3 Examples.

(6.3.1) Since a space X is a finite (-2) -type if and only if X is contractible, every ∞ -category with colimits is (-2) -semiadditive.

(6.3.2) Since the only (-1) -types are contractible and empty spaces, an ∞ -category with colimits C is (-1) -semiadditive if and only if C is pointed.

(6.3.3) Since a space X is a finite 0 -type if and only if X is equivalent to a finite set, an ∞ -category with colimits is 0 -semiadditive if and only if C is semiadditive in the usual sense, i.e., the finite products in C are also finite coproducts.

(6.3.4) Any *stable* ∞ -category with colimits is 0 -semiadditive.

6.4 Notation ([1, Notation 4.4.15]). Let C be a 0 -semiadditive ∞ -category with colimits and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Write $[n]: \text{id}_C \rightarrow \text{id}_C$ for the natural transformation determined by the composite

$$c \xrightarrow{\Delta} c^{\times n} \simeq c^{\sqcup n} \xrightarrow{\nabla} c$$

of the diagonal and codiagonal for each object $c \in C$.

6.5 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.4.16]). Let C be a 0 -semiadditive ∞ -category with limits and assume that there exists a prime number p with the following property:

(6.5.1) For every integer $n \geq 1$ which is relatively prime to p , the natural transformation $[n]: \text{id}_C \rightarrow \text{id}_C$ is an equivalence

Then C is 1 -semiadditive if and only if the Eilenberg–MacLane space $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, 1)$ is C -ambidextrous.

Proof. The fact that the 1 -semiadditivity of C implies that $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, 1)$ is C -ambidextrous is immediate from the definition of 1 -semiadditivity.

For the other direction, suppose that $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, 1)$ is C -ambidextrous. Let X be a finite 1 -type; our goal is to show that X is C -ambidextrous. By applying [Corollary 5.3](#) to the map $X \rightarrow \pi_0(X)$, we can reduce to the case where X is connected, so that $X \simeq BG$ for some finite group G .

Now we reduce to the case where G is a P -group. Let $P \subset G$ be a p -Sylow subgroup, and consider the maps $g: BP \rightarrow BG$ induced by the inclusion $P \hookrightarrow G$ and $f: BG \rightarrow *$. Then g is equivalent to a covering space with finite fibers, hence is C -ambidextrous since C is 0 -semiadditive. We want to show that $\text{Nm}_f: f_! \rightarrow f_*$ is an equivalence. Let $L \in \text{Fun}(BG, C)$ and let α denote the composite

$$\alpha: L \longrightarrow g_* g^* L \simeq g_! g^* L \longrightarrow L,$$

where the middle equivalence comes from the fact that g is ambidextrous. We claim that α is an equivalence. To prove this, it suffices to show that for every point $x \in BG$, the

morphism $x^* \alpha: x^* L \rightarrow x^* L$ is an equivalence. Unwinding the definitions, we see that $x^* \alpha$ is given by the morphism $[\#(G/P)]: x^* L \rightarrow x^* L$. Since P is a p -Sylow subgroup of G , the number $\#(G/P)$ is relatively prime to p , so that $[\#(G/P)]$ is an equivalence by assumption (6.5.1). Since α is an equivalence, we see that L is a retract of $g_! g^* L$. Hence to see that $\text{Nm}_f(L)$ is an equivalence, it suffices to prove that $\text{Nm}_f(g_! g^* L)$ is an equivalence. We may therefore assume that $L = g_! L'$ for some $L' \in \text{Fun}(BP, C)$. Consider the composite

$$\text{Nm}_{fg}(L'): (fg)_! L' \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_f(g_! L')} f_* g_! L' \xrightarrow{f_* \text{Nm}_g(L')} (fg)_* L',$$

where the second morphism is an equivalence since g is C -ambidextrous. By the 2-of-3 property we see that to prove that Nm_f is an equivalence, it suffices to prove that $\text{Nm}_{fg}(L')$ is an equivalence, so we can replace G by P and assume that G is a p -group.

With this reduction, we now proceed by induction on the cardinality of the p -group G . If G is trivial, there is nothing to prove. For the induction step, we can choose a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ of order p . It follows from the inductive hypothesis that $B(G/N)$ is C -ambidextrous. We have a fiber sequence

$$K(\mathbf{Z}/p, 1) \simeq BN \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow B(G/N),$$

so an application of [Corollary 5.3](#) and the assumption that $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, 1)$ is C -ambidextrous show that BG is C -ambidextrous, completing the proof. \square

6.6 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.4.17]). *Let C be a 0-semiadditive ∞ -category with limits and p be a prime number. If the natural transformation $[p]: \text{id}_C \rightarrow \text{id}_C$ is an equivalence, then for every finite p -group G , the Eilenberg–MacLane space BG is C -ambidextrous.*

Proof. As in the proof of [Proposition 6.5](#), we can reduce to the case where $G = \mathbf{Z}/p$. Consider the maps $g: * \rightarrow BG$ given by any point and $f: BG \rightarrow *$, so that g is equivalent to a covering space with finite fibers (namely the projection $EG \rightarrow BG$). We need to show that $\text{Nm}_f: f_! \rightarrow f_*$ is an equivalence. Let $L \in \text{Fun}(BG, C)$ and let α denote the composite

$$\alpha: L \longrightarrow g_* g^* L \simeq g_! g^* L \longrightarrow L,$$

where the middle equivalence comes from the fact that g is ambidextrous. As in the proof of [Proposition 6.5](#), we see that for each $x \in BG$, the map $x^* \alpha: x^* L \rightarrow x^* L$ is homotopic to $[p]: x^* L \rightarrow x^* L$, hence an equivalence by assumption. Thus L is a retract of $g_!(g^* L)$. Thus it suffices to show that Nm_f induces an equivalence $f_! g_*(g^* L) \simeq f_* g_!(g^* L)$. Set $L' := g^* L$. Since $fg = \text{id}_*$ the composite map

$$\text{Nm}_{fg}: L' \simeq (fg)_! L' \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_f} f_* g_! L' \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_g} (fg)_* L' \simeq L'$$

is an equivalence. By the 2-of-3 property, we are reduced to proving that Nm_g induces an equivalence $f_* g_! L' \simeq f_* g_* L'$. This follows from the assumption that C is 0-semiadditive. \square

6.7 Corollary ([1, Corollary 4.4.18]). *Let C be a 0-semiadditive ∞ -category with limits. If for each integer $n \geq 1$ the natural transformation $[n]: \mathrm{id}_C \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_C$ is an equivalence, then C is 1-semiadditive.*

6.8 Proposition ([1, Proposition 4.4.20]). *Let C be a stable ∞ -category with limits and colimits and let p be a prime number such that the natural transformation $[p]: \mathrm{id}_C \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_C$ is an equivalence. Then the Eilenberg–MacLane spaces $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, m)$ are C -ambidextrous for $m \geq 1$.*

6.9 Corollary ([1, Corollary 4.4.21]). *Let C be a stable ∞ -category with limits and colimits. Assume that for each object $c \in C$, the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{Ext}_C^0(c, c)$ is a \mathbf{Q} -algebra. Then C is n -semiadditive for every integer $n \geq -2$.*

6.10 Example ([1, Example 4.4.22]). *Let R be an E_1 -ring spectrum with the property that $\pi_0(R)$ is a \mathbf{Q} -vector space. Then the ∞ -category of left R -module spectra is n -semiadditive for every integer $n \geq -2$.*

6.11 Corollary ([1, Corollary 4.4.23]). *Let C be a stable ∞ -category with limits and colimits and p a prime number. Assume that for each object $c \in C$, the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{Ext}_C^0(c, c)$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ -module. Then C is n -semiadditive if and only if the Eilenberg–MacLane spaces $K(\mathbf{Z}/p, m)$ are C -ambidextrous for $1 \leq m \leq n$.*

References

- HTT J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009, vol. 170, pp. xviii+925, ISBN: 978-0-691-14049-0; 0-691-14049-9.
1. M. J. Hopkins and J. Lurie, *Ambidexterity in $K(n)$ -local stable homotopy theory*, Preprint available at math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/Ambidexterity.pdf, Dec. 2013.
 2. *Math overflow question 286886: Pointwise evaluation of the Beck–Chevalley map in ∞ -categories*, MO:286886, Nov. 2017.
 3. J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980, vol. 33, pp. xiii+323, ISBN: 0-691-08238-3.