

03/20/18. Bayesian estimation = "x|y" viewpoint

ex. $y = Ax + e$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$e \sim N(0, \Sigma)$

$\Sigma = E e e^T$

prior $x \sim N(0, \Sigma_p)$

uniform: $\Sigma_p \rightarrow \infty$

(\rightarrow indep. e)

likelihood $P(y|x) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(y-Ax)^T \Sigma^{-1}(y-Ax)\right]$

posterior $P(x|y) \sim P(x,y) = P(y|x)P(x)$

$\sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(y-Ax)^T \Sigma^{-1}(y-Ax) - \frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma_p^{-1}x\right]$

Maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimator:

$\nabla_x (-\ln P(x|y)) = A^T \Sigma^{-1}(Ax - y) + \Sigma_p^{-1}x = 0$

$x_{MAP} = (A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1})^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1} y$

$= R A^T \Sigma^{-1} y$, $R^{-1} = A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1}$

$= \hat{x}_{MAP}(y)$, an estimator in the Bayesian sense, random like y.

usual LS when $\Sigma_p^{-1} \rightarrow 0$
 $\Sigma^{-1} = \sigma^2 I$

Complete square in $P(x|y)$: (\$)

$P(x|y) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x - R A^T \Sigma^{-1} y)^T R^{-1}(x - R A^T \Sigma^{-1} y)\right]$

$E[x|y] := E_{x|y} x = R A^T \Sigma^{-1} y = \hat{x}_{MAP}$ (posterior mean)

$Cov[x|y] := E_{x|y} (x - E[x|y])(x - E[x|y])^T = R$

or, more suggestively, $R = \Sigma_{posterior}$

$\Sigma_{posterior}^{-1} = A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_{prior}^{-1}$

$f(x) = -\ln P(x|y)$, $\nabla f(x) = R^{-1}$ uniform over y.

Def. MSE (Bayesian), or Bayes risk of an estimator $\hat{x}(y)$.

$$\text{MSE} = \mathbb{E}_{x,y} \|\hat{x}(y) - x\|^2$$

↳ over the joint distribution of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x,y} f(x,y) &= \int_{x,y} f(x,y) p(x,y) dx dy \\ &\quad \text{↳ } p(y|x)p(x) \text{ or } p(x|y)p(y) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbb{E}_{y|x} f(x,y) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y \left[\mathbb{E}_{x|y} f(x,y) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Def $\hat{x} = \text{argmin}_x \text{MSE}$ is called minimum MSE (MMSE) estimator.

Prop. $\hat{x}(y) = \mathbb{E}_{x|y} x = \int x p(x|y) dx = \mathbb{E}[x|y]$
(posterior mean)

Pf. $\text{MSE} = \mathbb{E}_y \mathbb{E}_{x|y} (\hat{x}(y) - x)^T (\hat{x}(y) - x)$

$$= \mathbb{E}_y \int (\hat{x}(y) - x)^T (\hat{x}(y) - x) p(x|y) dx$$

$$\nabla_{\hat{x}(y)} = 2 \int (\hat{x}(y) - x) p(x|y) dx = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{x}(y) = \int x p(x|y) dx = \mathbb{E}_{x|y} x$$

is a minimizer for fixed y

\Rightarrow the random variable $\hat{x}(y) = \mathbb{E}_{x|y} x$
 $y \sim p(y)$ (marginal), gives rise to
the smallest $\mathbb{E}_y [\dots]$.

□

Remark: $E_{xy} [E_{xy} x - x] = E_y E_{xy} x - E_{xy} x = 0 \rightarrow$ unbiased.

then $MSE = E_{xy} (x - E_{xy} x)^T (x - E_{xy} x)$
 $= E_y \text{tr Cov}[xy]$
 (posterior covariance)

Cond: MMSE = posterior mean $E[xy]$
 = MAP in the case of the example.

ex. (Same!) $y = Ax + e$ $A \in R^{m \times m}$

Postulate $\hat{x}(y) = By$ for some $B \in R^{m \times m}$

$MSE = E_{xy} (By - x)^T (By - x).$

$\nabla_B MSE = 2 E_{xy} (By - x) y^T = 0$

$\Rightarrow B = (E_{xy} xy^T) (E_{xy} yy^T)^{-1}$

with $E_{xy} xy^T = E_{xy} x x^T A^T + E_{xy} x e^T$
 $\int x e^T p(x) dx = \sum_p$
 (marginal = prior)

$E_{xy} yy^T = E_{xy} A x x^T A^T + 0 + E_{xy} e e^T$
 $= A \Sigma_p A^T + \Sigma$

$\Rightarrow B = (E_{xy} x x^T A^T (A E_{xy} x x^T A^T + E_{xy} e e^T)^{-1})$
 $= (\Sigma_p A^T (A \Sigma_p A^T + \Sigma)^{-1})$

this is in fact the same as $(A \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1})^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1}$
 that we had seen earlier!

better when $\Sigma_p^{-1} \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Pf. } (A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1}) B (A \Sigma_p A^T + \Sigma) \\
&= (A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1}) \Sigma_p A^T \\
&= A^T \Sigma^{-1} A \Sigma_p A^T + A^T \\
&= A^T \Sigma^{-1} (A \Sigma_p A^T + \Sigma) \\
&\Rightarrow B = (A^T \Sigma^{-1} A + \Sigma_p^{-1})^{-1} A^T \Sigma^{-1} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Wiener filter - Apply to signal processing situation where

$$A x[j] = \sum g[j-k] x[k] = g * x[j]$$

$$(E y y^T)_{jk} = E y[j] y[k] = R_{yy}[j-k], \text{ autocorrelation, if stationary}$$

$$(E x y^T)_{jk} = R_{xy}[j-k], \text{ cross-correlation}$$

$$B_{kl} = h[k-l], \quad B g[k] = \sum h[k-l] y[l] \text{ (filtering)}$$

$$\sum_k (E y y^T)_{jk} B_{kl} = (E x y^T)_{jl}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_k R_{yy}[j-k] h[k-l] = R_{xy}[j-l]$$

$$\boxed{\sum_k R_{yy}[j-k] h[k] = R_{xy}[j]} \quad \downarrow \text{pick } l=0$$

(normal equations of MMSE)
 If no boundary issues (IIR filters),
 pass to the z-domain:

$$S_{yy}(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{yy}[j] z^j$$

$$H(z) = \sum h[j] z^j$$

$$S_{xy}(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{xy}[j] z^j$$

then $S_{yy}(z) H(z) = S_{xy}(z)$

$H(z) = S_{xy}(z) / S_{yy}(z)$

or, if starting from

$$B = E_{xx}^T A^T (A E_{xx}^T A^T + E_{ee}^T)^{-1}$$

$$H(z) = \frac{S_{xxx}(z) G(1/z)}{S_{xx}(z) G(z) G(1/z) + S_{ee}(z)}$$

Concl: Fourier domain (or z-domain) diagonalizes the matrices in the expression of the MAP/MMSE estimator, in the case of stationary processes and filtering.

Ref: 6.041, Signals, Systems, and Inference, Chap. 11, as of 2010 on OCW