

Talbot 2019 Talk 10

Speaker: Luciana Bonatto
Live Tex'd by Lucy Yang
Edited by: Luciana Bonatto

4/10/2019

BEYOND $W_{g,1}$

Let W a $2n$ -dimensional manifold, $n \geq 3$, compact, connected. When discussing general such manifolds, tangential structures become *essential*. Throughout the talk, let $\theta : B \rightarrow BO(2n)$ be a tangential structure and $\ell_W : W \rightarrow B$ a chosen θ -structure on W .

We define *the moduli space of W with θ -structures* to be

$$\mathcal{M}^\theta(W) := \text{Emb}(W, \mathbb{R}^\infty) \times \text{Bun}(TW, \theta^* \gamma_{2n}) / \text{Diff}(W)$$

As $B \text{Diff}(W)$ has a model given by the submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^∞ diffeomorphic to W , this moduli space $\mathcal{M}^\theta(W)$ has a model consisting of all submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^∞ diffeomorphic to W together with a choice of θ -structure.

If we fix a θ -structure ℓ_W , we denote by $\mathcal{M}^\theta(W, \ell_W)$ is the path component of $\mathcal{M}^\theta(W)$ containing ℓ_W .

When we worked with $W_{g,1}$'s, homological stability depended on *genus*. What do we use here?

$$\text{genus} = \max\{g \mid \exists \text{ embedding } e : \#_g S^n \times S^n \setminus D^{2n} \rightarrow W\}$$

when considering θ -structures, we require that such embeddings be *admissible*, i.e. that they respect the tangential structure.

1 THEOREMS

Theorem (A). *If ℓ_W is n -connected, B is simply-connected,*

$$\mathcal{M}^\theta(W, \ell_W) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty MT\theta$$

induces a homology isomorphism onto the path component of the image in degrees $\leq \frac{g-4}{3}$. If θ is spherical, in degrees $\leq \frac{g-3}{2}$.

Remark. θ is *spherical* if S^n possesses a θ -structure.

Theorem A depends on the very strong hypothesis that ℓ_W is n -connected, which means that for a fixed manifold W , it cannot be applied to any tangential structures. However, we can adapt this result to hold in a wider range of cases.

GENERAL TANGENTIAL STRUCTURES Given a tangential structure θ and ℓ_W a θ -structure on W which is not n -connected, we can use the Moore-Postnikov tower for ℓ_W :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B' & & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow & & \\ n\text{-connected cofibration} & & u & n\text{-coconnected fibration} & \\ W & \xrightarrow{\ell_W} & B & \xrightarrow{\theta} & BO(2n) \end{array}$$

which gives a new tangential structure $\theta' : B' \rightarrow B \rightarrow BO(2n)$ and the map $W \rightarrow B'$ is an n -connected θ' -structure for W .

Definition. We call *homotopy automorphisms over u* the topological monoid given by

$$h \text{Aut}(u) := \{\text{weak equivalences of } B' \text{ over } B\}$$

with multiplication given by composition.

There is an action of $h \text{Aut}(u)$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(W)$ by precomposing the θ -structures on W with such weak equivalences. This action gives us fiber sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} h \text{Aut}(u) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(W) \\ \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(W) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(W) \rightarrow B h \text{Aut}(u) \\ \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(W, \ell_W) &\rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(W, \ell_W) \rightarrow BH \end{aligned}$$

where $H \subset h \text{Aut}(u)$ is a submonoid.

Theorem (B). *If ℓ_W any θ -structure, W simply-connected, then*

$$\mathcal{M}^{\theta}(W, \ell_W) \rightarrow \Omega_0^{\infty} MT\theta' // h \text{Aut}(u)$$

induces a H_ -isomorphism in degrees $\leq \frac{g-4}{3}$. If θ spherical, then in degrees $\leq \frac{g-3}{2}$.*

These theorems are extremely important tools to understand the moduli space of manifolds, in particular because we know how to compute the cohomology of $\Omega^{\infty} MT\theta$ through different methods (for instance using the Thom isomorphism). Explicitly, we have:

Theorem. $H^*(\Omega^{\infty} MT\theta; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_c \mid c \text{ basis element of } H^{>d}(B; \mathbb{Q}^{w_1})]$

2 EXAMPLE

Let $V_d \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface determined by the roots of a nondegenerate homogeneous complex polynomial of degree d .

Fact. The diffeomorphism type of such a smooth V depends only on the degree d of the polynomial. The resulting $2n$ -dimensional manifold is what we denote V_d .

Goal. To compute $H^*(\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d); \mathbb{Q})$ for $V_d \subset \mathbb{C}P^4$ a 6-dimensional manifold.

STRATEGY:

1. Understand the algebraic topology of V_d ;
2. Look at the Moore-Postnikov 3-stage for an orientation and apply Theorem A;
3. Use Theorem B to get a result about orientation.

2.1 ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY OF V_d

The cohomology of V_d can be understood through some classical results in Algebraic Topology and Algebraic Geometry.

Lefschetz hypersurface theorem tells us that $V_d \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{C}P^4$ is 3-connected. In particular, V_d is simply-connected and

$$H^0(V_d) = \mathbb{Z} \quad H^1(V_d) = 0 \quad H^2(V_d) = \mathbb{Z}\{t\}$$

By Poincaré duality,

$$H^6(V_d) = \mathbb{Z}\{u\} \quad H^5(V_d) = 0 \quad H^4(V_d) = \mathbb{Z}\{?\}$$

The Euler characteristic can be computed using methods from Algebraic Geometry, and we get

$$\chi(V_d) = d(10 - 10d + 5d^2 - d^3)$$

Combining all of the above we see that $H^3(V_d)$ is free of rank $4 - \chi(V_d) = d^4 - 5d^3 + 5d^2 - 10d + 4$.

In particular, this tells us what the genus of this manifold could be, since it is measured by the number of embedded $S^3 \times S^3$. A precise result on the genus can be obtained using the following:

Theorem (Wall's theorem). *If W simply-connected, 6-dimensional manifold, then there exists M such that $H_3(M)$ is finite and $W = M \#_g S^3 \times S^3$.*

In particular, applying this result for the V_d 's, we get that their genus is given by

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(\text{3rd Betti number}) = \frac{1}{2}(d^4 - 5d^3 + 5d^2 - 10d + 4)$$

2.2 MOORE-POSTNIKOV 3-STAGE

Given a choice of orientation $V_d \rightarrow BSO(6)$, we can take its Moore-Postnikov 3-stage

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B^d & \\ \ell_{V_d} \nearrow & & \searrow \theta_d \\ V_d & \longrightarrow & BSO(6) \end{array}$$

Where ℓ_{V_d} is a 3-connected cofibration and θ_d is a 3-coconnected fibration.

Applying Theorem A to θ_d : we have a H_* -isomorphism for $* \leq \frac{g-4}{3} = \frac{d^4+5d^3+10d^2-10d+4}{4}$

$$\mathcal{M}^{\theta_d}(V_d, \ell_{V_d}) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty MT\theta_d$$

which gives us an isomorphism in the same range between

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}^{\theta_d}(V_d, \ell_{V_d})) \xleftarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_c \mid c \text{ basis element of } H^{>d}(B^d; \mathbb{Q})] \quad (1)$$

What is $H^*(B^d; \mathbb{Q})$? By the (co)-connectivity hypotheses,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \pi_1(V_d) \cong \pi_1(B^d) \\ \mathbb{Z} &= \pi_2(V_d) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_2(B^d) \xrightarrow{?} \pi_2(BSO(6)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \pi_3(V_d) &\rightarrow \pi_3(B^d) \hookrightarrow \pi_3 BSO(6) = 0 \\ \pi_i(B^d) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_i BSO(6), \quad i \geq 4 \end{aligned}$$

These maps tell us basically everything about the homotopy groups of B^d , except in degree 2. So it remains to understand the map on π_2 , which is detected by w_2 , the 2nd Stiefel-Whitney class:

$$w_2 \equiv 5 - d \pmod{2}$$

Then the map will be different depending on d being even or odd, however in either case,

$$B^d \xrightarrow{h} BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$$

is a rational homotopy equivalence *over* $BSO(6)$. Therefore

$$H^*(B^d; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, e, t] \quad (2)$$

Combining 1 and 2, we get that

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}^{\theta_d}(V_d, \ell_{V_d}), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{t^i c} \mid c \text{ is a monomial in } p_1, p_2, e, \text{ with } |c| + 2i > 6]$$

in degrees $* \leq \frac{d^4 + 5d^3 + 10d^2 - 10d + 4}{4}$.

2.3 CHANGE OF TANGENTIAL STRUCTURE

We now want to use what we know about $\mathcal{M}^{\theta_d}(V_d, \ell_{V_d})$ to understand $\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d)$, by using Theorem B. However, $hAut(-)$ is generally *hard* to understand, so to compare these two spaces, we will make use of the fact that B^d is rationally homotopy equivalent to $BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ over $BSO(6)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B^d & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}} BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \\ \ell_{V_d} \nearrow & & \searrow \theta_d \\ V_d & \xrightarrow{\quad} & BSO(6) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \mu \\ \end{array}$$

It is much easier to understand the homotopy automorphisms of $BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ over μ , so we will try to understand $\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d)$ by comparing it to $\mathcal{M}^{\mu}(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d})$. We will do this in two steps:

- (a) Comparing $\mathcal{M}^{\theta_a}(V_d, \ell_{V_d})$ with $\mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d})$. We have a fiber sequence

$$\mathcal{M}^{\theta_a}(V_d, \ell_{V_d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d}) \rightarrow BH \quad (3)$$

where $H \subseteq h \text{Aut}(h)$ is the subgroup that stabilizes that path component. Since h is a rational homotopy equivalence, $\pi_i(h \text{Aut}(h)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0, i > 0$ and $\pi_0(h \text{Aut}(h)) = 0$ (by obstruction theory). Hence the first map in 3 is a rational homotopy equivalence and

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d}); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{M}^{\theta_a}(V_d, \ell_{V_d}); \mathbb{Q})$$

- (b) Comparing $\mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d})$ with $\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d)$. Now we want to understand $h \text{Aut}(\mu)$. We have maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \mu \\ V_d & \longrightarrow & BSO(6) \end{array}$$

and we want a self map of $BSO(6) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ which respects the projection onto the first factor. We have no freedom in the first coordinate, only freedom in the second coordinate. Hence

$$h \text{Aut}(\mu) \cong \text{Map}(BSO(6), h \text{Aut}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)))$$

We can understand $h \text{Aut}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) \subset \text{Map}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2))$ looking at the following fibre sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_* := \text{Map}_*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(M_*) &\simeq H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) = \mathbb{Z} \\ \pi_1(M_*) &\simeq [S^1 \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)] \cong [K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)] = H^1(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) = 0 \\ \pi_i(M_*) &= 0 \quad i > 1 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us $h \text{Aut}(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\times \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$ and therefore

$$h \text{Aut}(\mu) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\times \rtimes \text{Map}(BSO(6), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\times \rtimes K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$$

If we just want to consider elements which stabilize our path component, do not include -1 (which reverses orientation). We have a fiber sequence

$$\mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d) \rightarrow \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) = K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \quad (4)$$

where we know the rational cohomology of the base and the fiber: by item (a) and section 2.2,

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}^\mu(V_d, h \circ \ell_{V_d}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{t^i c} | c \text{ is a monomial in } p_1, p_2, e, \text{ with } |c| + 2i > 6]$$

and

$$H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, 3); \mathbb{Q}) = \bigwedge [i_3]$$

This implies that the Serre spectral sequence associated to the fibration 4 has at most two non-zero columns: when $p = 0$ and $p = 3$. In particular, this implies that the only possible nontrivial differential is d_3 .

Result: $d_3(\kappa_{t^n e}) = i_3 \otimes n \cdot \kappa_{t^{n-1} e} \implies d_3$ is surjective!

Therefore we have

$$H^*(\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d); \mathbb{Q}) = \ker(d_3 \circ \mathbb{Q}[\kappa_{t^i c} | c \text{ is a monomial in } p_1, p_2, e, \text{ with } |c| + 2i > 6])$$

Remark. At first glance, it may seem from our computations that the cohomology of $\mathcal{M}^{or}(V_d)$ does not depend on d , however this is not the case. Actually, we can see that d_3 is immediately related to d , with $d_3(\kappa_{te}) = \chi(V_d)$, which is a function of d .

INTERLUDE BY OSCAR

What are these κ classes? How can they be defined intrinsically?

Look at $\mathcal{M}^\theta(W)$ classifies fiber bundles $W \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ and a θ -structure on the vertical tangent bundle $T_\pi E = \ker D\pi$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_\pi E & \longrightarrow & \theta^* \gamma & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\ell} & B & \xrightarrow{\theta} & BO(2n) \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ X & & & & \end{array}$$

Let $c \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$. Then $c(T_\pi E) = \ell^* c \in H^{|\ell|}(E; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\kappa_c(\pi) = \int_\pi c(T_\pi E) = \pi_! c(T_\pi E) \in H^{|\ell|-2n}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ where $\pi_!$ is referred to as (fiber integration, Gysin map, pushforward) give the *generalized Miller-Morita-Mumford classes*. This is the ‘down-to-earth’ way of describing them.