

# From Algebraic Cobordism to Motivic Cohomology, Part III

Scribe notes from a talk by Dylan Wilson

21 Mar 2014

**Plan.** Let  $f : MGL/(a_0, a_1, \dots) \rightarrow H\mathbb{Z}$  be the map of the previous lectures.

1.  $MGL_{\leq 0} \simeq H\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \simeq (1/\eta)_{\leq 0}$ .
2.  $H\mathbb{Q} \wedge f$  is an equivalence.
3.  $H\mathbb{Z}/\ell \wedge f$  is an equivalence.

Assuming these steps, we can run the following argument.

*Proof of thm.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & MGL/(a_0, a_1, \dots) \xrightarrow{f} H\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta \uparrow & & \\ \Omega^{1,0}H\mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

We want to show that  $\alpha \sim 0$  and that any section  $s$  of  $\delta$  satisfies  $s \sim 0$ .

We know (from steps 2 and 3) that  $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge F \simeq *$ . Note that  $MGL/(a_0, a_1, \dots)$  and  $\Omega^{1,0}H\mathbb{Z}$  are homotopy  $MGL$ -modules.

**Lemma.** *Suppose that  $H\mathbb{Z} \wedge F \simeq *$ , and that  $X$  is a homotopy  $MGL$ -module. If  $X$  is  $r$ -connective for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $[F, X] = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the homotopy t-structure, reduce to  $[\Sigma^{p,0}F, \kappa_n X] = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{p,0}F & \longrightarrow & \kappa_n X \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \Sigma^{p,0}F \wedge_{x_0} MGL & \longrightarrow & \kappa_n X \wedge \kappa_0 MGL \end{array}$$

□

Now

$$F \wedge \kappa_0 MGL \simeq F \wedge \kappa_0 H\mathbb{Z} \simeq F \wedge H\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \simeq 0,$$

as desired. □

**Theorem.**  $H\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} \simeq (1/\eta)_{\leq 0}$ .

*Proof.* We want to show that this sequence is exact:

$$\pi_{n-1,n-1}1 \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi_{n,n}1 \rightarrow \pi_{n,n}H\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_{n-1,n-1}1 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \pi_{n,n}1 & \longrightarrow & K_{-n}^m(L) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \searrow & \simeq \downarrow \lambda & & \\ & & & & \pi_{n,n}H\mathbb{Z} & & \end{array}$$

□

**Computation.** We want to compute  $H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}MGL/(a_0, a_1, \dots)$ .

1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{h_R} & R[b_0, b_1, \dots] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MGL_{**} & \longrightarrow & HR_{**}MGL \end{array}$$

2.  $L \simeq \mathbb{Z}[a_0, a_1, \dots]$ , and

$$h_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_n) = \begin{cases} \ell \cdot b_n & n = \ell^i - 1 \\ b_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

modulo decomposables.

**Plan.** —

- I.  $H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}$  as an  $\mathcal{A}_{**}$  comodule algebra.
- II. See what happens inductively as we kill  $x_i$ , the  $a_n$ s with  $n \neq \ell^i - 1$ .
- III. Kill  $\ell$ -typical elements.

$H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}BGL \simeq H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}[[c_1, c_2, \dots]]$ , a Hopf algebra with

$$\Delta(c_n) = \sum_{i+j=n} c_i \otimes c_j.$$

Check that  $H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}BGL = H\mathbb{Z}/\ell[\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots]$  as Hopf algebras, with  $\beta_i$  dual to  $c_1^2$ .

By the Thom isomorphism,

$$H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}MGL = H\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}[b_1, b_2, \dots], \quad |b_n| = (2n - 2, n - 1).$$

In the action of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  on  $H^{**}P^{**}$ , the  $Q_i$  act trivially, and the  $P^i$  are defined by the Cartan formula.

**Theorem.** We describe the coaction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}MGL & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{A}_{**} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}} \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}MGL \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & P_{**} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}} \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}MGL &
 \end{array}$$

$\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}MGL \simeq P_{**}[x_i]$  as comodule algebras and  $L$ -modules.

**Corollary.**  $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell_{**}(MGL/(x_1, x_2, \dots)) \simeq P_{**}$  as  $\mathcal{A}_{**}$ -comodule algebras.

**Theorem.** Let  $I$  be a set of integers  $\geq 0$ , and  $v_i = a_{\ell^i-1}$ . There is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}^{**}/(Q_i \mid i \notin I) &\simeq \mathbb{H}\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{**}(MGL/(x, v_i \mid i \in I)), \\
 [\phi] &\mapsto \phi(\theta),
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta$  the Thom class.

**Remark.** For  $I = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , we get  $H^{**}MGL/(a_0, \dots) \simeq \mathcal{A}^{**}/Q_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose inductively that this is true for  $I$ ; pick  $r \notin I$ . Let  $E = MGL/(x, v_i \mid i \in I)$ . Then

$$H^{**}(E/v_r) \simeq H^{**}E \square_{H^{**}MGL} H^{**}(MGL/v_r).$$

□

We now come to the last step.

$$\Sigma^? MGL \xrightarrow{v_r} MGL \rightarrow MGL/v_r.$$

We want to understand the relationship between  $Q_r$ ,  $v_r$ ,  $\delta = c \circ b$ , and  $\theta$ . In fact

$$\theta \circ \delta = Q_r \circ \theta$$

up to a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$ .