# Endomorphisms of the sphere spectrum

Scribe notes from a talk by David Yang

## $19~\mathrm{Mar}~2014$

We will work over a perfect field k of characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

**Goal.** Compute  $\pi_0^s(S_{\bullet})$ , which will be the Grothendieck–Witt ring. To rephrase this, we will compute  $[S_{\bullet}, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}]$ .

### Transfer maps

Let C be a curve over k, and S a set of points in C. Let U be the complement of S; then we have a distinguished triangle

$$U \to C \to \coprod_{s \in S} \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge k(s).$$

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant sheaf, we get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \to \operatorname{Hom}(\coprod \mathbb{P}^1 \land k(s), H\mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, H\mathcal{F}) \to \dots$$

This left term is  $\operatorname{Hom}(\coprod S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \wedge k(s), H\mathcal{F})$ . Taking a colimit over all U, this becomes

$$0 \to H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(k(C), \mathcal{F}) \to \prod_{s \in C} H^0(k(s), \mathcal{F}_{-1}) \to H^1(C, \mathcal{F}) \to 0,$$

the last term being zero as fields have no nontrivial Nisnevich covers. The second nontrivial map in this sequence is the sum of all of the **transfer maps** 

$$H^0(k(C), \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(k(s), \mathcal{F}_{-1}).$$

This goes forward for an arbitrary smooth scheme X:

$$0 \to H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(k(X), \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial} \prod_{x \in X^{(1)}} H^0(k(x), \mathcal{F})$$

where  $X^{(1)}$  denotes the codimension-1 subschemes of X.

**Definition.** Define the **Milnor K-theory** of a field F by  $K^M_*(F) = \text{Tens}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F^{\times})/I$ , where I is generated by the elements  $a \otimes (1-a)$ .

We define transfer maps in Milnor K-theory as follows. For  $x \in X^{(1)}$ , write  $(\pi) = \mathfrak{m}_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ ; then we have

$$\partial_x(\{\pi, a_2, \dots, a_n\}) = \{\bar{a}_2, \dots, \bar{a}_n\} \in K_{n-1}^M(k(x)),$$

with  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^x$  yielding  $\bar{a}_i \in k(x)$ . For n = 1 we have  $K_1(k(X)) = k(X)^{\times}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni \partial_x(f) = \operatorname{div}_x(f) = \operatorname{ord}_x(f).$$

## Quadratic forms

Write  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  for a diagonal quadratic form.

- The **Grothendieck–Witt group** GW(k) is the Grothendieck Definition. group of isomorphism classes of quadratic forms over k; this is a ring under tensor product.
  - The Witt group W(k) is the quotient of the Grothendieck group by the "hyperbolic plane"  $\langle 1, -1 \rangle$ .

The Witt ring has an ideal I of quadratic forms of even rank. We now motivate the Steinberg relation. Define  $\langle \langle a \rangle \rangle = \langle 1, -a \rangle$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \langle \langle a \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 1 - a \rangle \rangle &= \langle \langle 1, -a \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 1, a - 1 \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle \langle 1, -a, a - 1, -a(a - 1) \rangle \rangle \\ &= h \oplus h = 0. \end{split}$$

Theorem (Milnor conjecture).

$$\frac{I^n}{I^{n+1}} = K_n^M(F)/2.$$

 $K_n^M$  and W are known to be strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1\text{-invariant}$  sheaves. The ideal  $I^n$ is also strictly  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, as we have this exact sequence from the Milnor conjecture

$$0 \to I^{n+1} \to I^n \to K_n^M \to 0,$$

and by induction we deduce this from the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance of  $K_n^M$  and W. Recall that we're trying to compute  $[S_0, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}]$ . Of course,  $S_0 = (\operatorname{Spec} k)_+$ . For every element  $a \in k^{\times}$ , we get a map  $[a] : \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathbb{G}_m$ . We have the Hopf map

$$\eta: \mathbb{A}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^1, \qquad (x, y) \mapsto (x: y),$$

and  $\mathbb{A}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\} \simeq S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$ , as we see from the elementary Nisnevich square

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2 \smallsetminus \{0\}$$

Let  $\langle a \rangle = 1 + \eta[a]$ . Then

- (1) [a][1-a] = 0,
- (2) < a > < b > = < ab >,
- (3)  $\eta < a > = < a > \eta$ ,
- (4)  $\eta h = 0.$

An ingredient here is the map  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0,1\} \to \mathbb{G}_m \land \mathbb{G}_m$  given as follows. We view  $\mathbb{G}_m \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$  as the affine plane with the coordinate axes removed and the lines x = 1, y = 1 collapsed to the basepoint. We now include  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$  as the line x + y = 1.



**Definition.** Define **Milnor–Witt K-theory**  $K^{MW}_{\bullet}$  as the ring generated by [a] and  $\eta$ , quotiented by relations (1) to (4).

#### Theorem.

$$K^{MW}_* \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} [S_0, \mathbb{G}_m^{\wedge i}].$$

Note that  $K_0^{MW} \cong GW(k)$ , and  $K_{-n}^{MW} \cong W(k)$ . Define the homotopy module  $J^n = I^n \times_{I^n/I^{n+1}} K_n^M$ .

Note that when we quotient Milnor–Witt K-theory by the relation  $\eta = 0$ , we recover Milnor K-theory.

**Definition.** Define Witt K-theory  $K_n^W$  as the quotient of Milnor-Witt Ktheory by the relation h = 0.

#### Theorem.

$$K_n^W = \begin{cases} W & n < 0\\ I^n & n \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

We now have a commutative diagram



Theorem. We have

**TT**7

$$K_n^W \cong I \otimes_W \ldots \otimes_W I / \text{ ideal generated by } a \otimes (1-a).$$

We effectively have to show the relation  $\langle \langle a^2 \rangle \rangle = 0$  using only the Steinberg relation; equivalently, we show  $\langle a \rangle = 1$ . We do this by rewriting this as

$$0 = 1 - \langle a \rangle^2 = (1 - \langle a \rangle)(1 - \langle -a \rangle)$$

and noting that

$$-a = \frac{1-a}{1-a^{-1}}.$$

We have also

$$I \otimes_W \ldots \otimes_W I/\text{ideal} \cong I^n$$
.

This is harder, requiring the Milnor conjecture. We can show

- (0) If  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle = 0$  in W, then  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle = 0$ .
- (1)  $\langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle ab, \dots \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle ab(a+b), \dots \rangle \rangle.$
- (2)  $\langle \langle ab, c, \ldots \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle a, b, \ldots \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle ac, b, \ldots \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle a, b, \ldots \rangle \rangle.$

**Definition** (Pfister Chain Equivalence). We take two elements  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle$  and  $\langle \langle a'_1, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \rangle$  to be **simply equivalent** if they're the same in all but two terms, and ???.

[The note-taker was unable to follow the last few minutes of the lecture.]