

Atlas of Lie Groups and Representations



www.liegroups.org

L-homomorphisms and lowest K-types

Jeffrey Adams and Alexandre Afgoustidis
Vogan conference, MIT
September 23, 2022

OVERVIEW

G : connected, complex reductive group

$G(\mathbb{R})$: real points

$W_{\mathbb{R}}$: Weil group of \mathbb{R}

$$W_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbb{C}^{\times}, j \rangle, (jzj^{-1} = \bar{z}, j^2 = -1)$$

${}^L G$: L-group of G

$\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$: admissible homomorphism

$\Pi(\phi) = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}$: L-packet of ϕ

Define: $W_{\mathbb{R},c} = \langle S^1, j \rangle$ be the (unique) **maximal compact subgroup** of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Question: [J.K. Yu, ~ 2000]: What does $\phi|_{W_{\mathbb{R},c}}$ tell you about the K-types of the representations in the L-packet $\Pi(\phi)$?

Answer : [Adams, ~ 2000]: That's an excellent question! I don't know.

This talk: a better answer.

The same question makes sense over a p -adic field.

ATLAS OF LIE GROUPS AND REPRESENTATIONS

Given: G and $\delta \in \text{Out}(G)$, $\delta^2 = 1$.

$\delta \leftrightarrow$ an inner class of real forms

Fix once and for all $T \subset B$ (Cartan and Borel subgroups)

Fokko du Cloux: T is **fixed, fixed fixed**

$X^* = X^*(T)$, $X_* = X_*(T)$ (character, co-character lattices)

G^\vee : connected, reductive complex group, dual to G

Comes with (T^\vee, B^\vee) , $X^*(T^\vee) = X_*(T)$, etc.

$\delta \mapsto \delta^\vee = -\delta^t$

Fix a pinning $(B, T, \{X_\alpha\})$, then

${}^\delta G : G \rtimes \langle \delta \rangle$

also:

${}^{\delta^\vee} G^\vee : \langle G^\vee, \delta^\vee \rangle$

ATLAS OF LIE GROUPS AND REPRESENTATIONS

Definition: a strong involution for G : $x \in G\delta, x^2 \in Z(G)$

$x \rightarrow \theta_x = \text{int}(x)$: $\theta_x(g) = xgx^{-1}$.

$$K_x = G^{\theta_x}$$

$x \rightarrow \theta_x$: $\{\text{strong involutions}\}/\sim \rightarrow \{\text{real forms}\}/\sim$

Definition: a representation of a strong involution x is a pair (x, π) - π an admissible (\mathfrak{g}, K_x) -module

Equivalence: $(x, \pi) \simeq (x', \pi')$ if there exists $g \in G, gxg^{-1} = x', \pi^g \simeq \pi'$

LANGLANDS PARAMETERS IN ATLAS: KGB

$$X = KGB(G, \delta) = \{x \in \text{Norm}_{G\delta}(T), x^2 \in Z(G)\} / \sim_T$$

$$x \in X \mapsto X[x] = \{x' \in KGB \mid x' \sim_G x\}$$

Theorem: $x \in X$:

There is a canonical bijection

$$X[x] \leftrightarrow K_x \backslash G/B$$

LANGLANDS PARAMETERS IN ATLAS

Definition An Atlas Parameter is:

$$\rho = (x, \lambda, \nu):$$

- 1) $x \in KGB(G, \delta)$
- 2) $\lambda \in (X^* + \rho)/(1 - \theta_x)X^*$
- 3) $\nu \in X_{\mathbb{C}}^*$

Definition: $\gamma(\rho) = \frac{1+\theta_x}{2}\lambda + \frac{1-\theta_x}{2}\nu$

Various conditions:

Always roots are for T (fixed) in G ; “real, imaginary,…” are with respect to θ_x

- 1) Standard: α imaginary $\Rightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0$
- 2) Non-zero: α simple, imaginary, compact $\Rightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \neq 0$
- 3) Final: ν weakly dominant, α real-simple, $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ is odd
- 4) Normal: $\langle \gamma, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$, α simple θ_x -complex $\Rightarrow \theta_x(\alpha)$ is positive

LANGLANDS PARAMETERS IN ATLAS

Equivalence:

$$0) (x, \lambda, \nu) \simeq (x, \lambda, \frac{1-\theta}{2}\nu)$$

$$1) (x, \lambda, \nu) \sim (s_\alpha x, s_\alpha \lambda, s_\alpha \nu) \quad (\alpha \text{ simple, } \theta_x\text{-complex})$$

$$2) (x, \lambda, \nu) \sim (x, w(\lambda + \rho_r) - \rho_r, w\nu) \quad (w \in W_r)$$

Attached to $p = (x, \lambda, \nu)$ is a standard (\mathfrak{g}, K_x) -module $I(p)$, which has a unique irreducible quotient $J(p)$.

Theorem: The map $p \rightarrow J(p)$ is a bijection:

$$\{\text{parameters}\} / \sim \leftrightarrow \{\text{irreducible representations of strong involutions}\} / \sim$$

A FEW BASIC INVARIANTS

We say an infinitesimal character γ is *real* if $\gamma \in X^* \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

$$\rho = (x, \lambda, \nu)$$

1) The infinitesimal character of $J(\rho)$ is

$$\gamma(\rho) := \frac{1 + \theta_x}{2} \lambda + \frac{1 - \theta_x}{2} \nu$$

2) The central character of $J(x, \lambda, \nu)$ is: (R is the root lattice):

$$(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\nu}) \in (X^* + \rho) / [(1 - \theta_x)X^* + R], (X_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{-\theta_x}$$

3) $J(\rho)$ has real infinitesimal character $\Leftrightarrow \nu \in X_{\mathbb{R}}^*$

4) $J(\rho)$ is tempered $\Leftrightarrow \nu \in X_{i\mathbb{R}}^*$

TEMPIRIC REPRESENTATIONS

Definition:

- 1) A representation π is **tempiric** (temp-i-ric) if it is tempered, irreducible, with real infinitesimal character.
- 2) A (standard, final, non-zero) parameter $p = (x, \lambda, \nu)$ is tempiric if $J(p)$ is tempiric.

In other words

(x, λ, ν) is tempiric if and only if (it is standard, final, non-zero, and)
 $\nu = 0$.

[Note: suggestions of better terminology are welcome]

LANGLANDS PARAMETERS IN ATLAS: RESTRICTION TO K

Theorem (Vogan):

$G(\mathbb{R})$: real form, $K(\mathbb{R})$ maximal compact subgroup, with complexification K .

- 1) If π is tempiric it has a unique lowest K -type $LKT(\pi)$
- 2) The map $\pi \mapsto LKT(\pi)$ is a **bijection**:

$$\{\text{tempiric representations}\} \leftrightarrow \widehat{K}$$

Note: This miraculously takes care of the problem parametrizing the representations of the possibly **disconnected** group K

This is the starting point to understanding the K -structure of representations, in particular their lowest K -types

EXAMPLE: $PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$G(\mathbb{R}) = PGL(2, \mathbb{R}), K = O(2)$$

Tempiric π and their LKTs:

- 1) $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G(1)$: spherical principal series \mapsto trivial representation of K
- 2) $\pi = \text{Ind}_B^G(\text{sgn})$: non-spherical principal series \mapsto sgn representation of K
- 3) $\pi(\lambda)$ discrete series, $\lambda = k + \rho$ ($k = 0, 2, 4 \dots$) \mapsto two-dimensional irreducible of $SO(2)$ weights $\pm(k + 1)$

EMPIRIC REPRESENTATIONS

Basic Fact: $I(x, \lambda, \nu)$ and $I(x, \lambda, \nu')$ have same restriction to K
and $J(x, \lambda, \nu)$ and $J(x, \lambda, \nu')$ have same lowest K -type

Suppose (x, λ, ν) is a (non-zero, standard) **final** parameter

$$(x, \lambda, \nu) \rightarrow (x, \lambda, 0)$$

$I(x, \lambda, \nu)$ and $I(x, \lambda, 0)$ have the same K -types. . .

However: $(x, \lambda, 0)$ may **NOT** be final (and/or normal)

EXAMPLE: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

x : open orbit on G/B

$$\rho = (x, [0], [\nu]): \text{Ind}_B^G(\text{sgn} \otimes \nu)$$

K-types: $2\mathbb{Z} + 1$

Final condition:

$(\nu \geq 0)$: $\langle \nu, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ is odd

condition is empty if $\nu \neq 0$

If $\nu = 0$: $\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ is odd (which is false since $\lambda = [0]$)

Well known limit of discrete series picture:

$\text{Ind}_B^G(\text{sgn}, 0)$ is the direct sum of two limits of discrete series, with lowest K-types ± 1

FINALIZE

There is a well defined algorithm to replace a standard, non-zero, but non-final parameter p with a **set of** final parameters $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.

Inductive:

- 1) if p fails to be final because of a real-simple root α : replace p with the **Cayley transform** of p (1 or 2 terms)
- 2) if p fails to be normal because of a complex simple roots α : replace p with $s_\alpha \times p$ (a single parameter)

Atlas algorithm for computing lowest K-types:

$$p = (x, \lambda, \nu) \mapsto (x, \lambda, 0) \mapsto \text{Finalize}(x, \lambda, 0) = \{(x_1, \lambda_1, 0), \dots, (x_n, \lambda_n, 0)\}$$

Then $J(x, \lambda, \nu)$ has n LKTs: the lowest K-types of the tempiric representations $J(x_i, \lambda_i, 0)$

EXAMPLE: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

```
atlas> set G=SL(2,R)
atlas> set p=parameter(KGB(G,2),[0],[1])
atlas> p
Value: final parameter(x=2,lambda=[2]/1,nu=[1]/1)
atlas> set q=parameter(KGB(G,2),[0],[0])
atlas> q
Value: non-final parameter(x=2,lambda=[2]/1,nu=[0]/1)
atlas> finalize(q)
Value:
1*parameter(x=1,lambda=[0]/1,nu=[0]/1) [0]
1*parameter(x=0,lambda=[0]/1,nu=[0]/1) [0]
```

```
atlas> print_branch_irr_long (p,KGB(G,1),10)
```

m	x	lambda	hw	dim	height
1	1	[0]/1	[1]	1	0
1	0	[0]/1	[-1]	1	0
1	1	[2]/1	[3]	1	2
1	0	[2]/1	[-3]	1	2
1	1	[4]/1	[5]	1	4
1	0	[4]/1	[-5]	1	4

EXAMPLES

```
atlas> set G=Spin(4,4)
atlas> set p=all_parameters_gamma (G,G.rho)[2]
atlas> p
Value: final parameter(x=108,lambda=[1,2,1,1]/1,nu=[1,1,1,1]/1)
atlas> G.trivial
Value: final parameter(x=108,lambda=[1,1,1,1]/1,nu=[1,1,1,1]/1)
atlas> finalize(p*0)

1*parameter(x=7,lambda=[0,0,0,0]/1,nu=[0,0,0,0]/1) [0]
1*parameter(x=6,lambda=[0,0,0,0]/1,nu=[0,0,0,0]/1) [0]
1*parameter(x=5,lambda=[0,0,0,0]/1,nu=[0,0,0,0]/1) [0]
1*parameter(x=0,lambda=[0,0,0,0]/1,nu=[0,0,0,0]/1) [0]

atlas> for mu in LKTs(p) do prints(highest_weight(mu,KGB(G,0)), " "
((),KGB element #0,[ 1, 1, -1, -1 ]) 3
((),KGB element #0,[ -1, 1, 1, -1 ]) 3
((),KGB element #0,[ -1, 1, -1, 1 ]) 3
((),KGB element #0,[ 1, -1, 1, 1 ]) 3
```

WHAT ABOUT LANGLANDS PARAMETERS?

$$W_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \mathbb{C}^{\times}, j \rangle$$

Definition: $\Phi_0(G) = \{\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G\}$

$$\Pi_0 \ni \phi \mapsto \Pi(\phi) = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}$$

Complete Langlands parameters:

Roughly speaking the representations in $\Pi(\phi)$ are parametrized by characters of

$$\mathbb{S}_{\phi} = \text{Cent}_{G^{\vee}}(\phi) / \text{Cent}_{G^{\vee}}(\phi)^0$$

$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_{\phi}$: a certain cover of \mathbb{S}_{ϕ} .

LANGLANDS PARAMETERS

Definition:

$X(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\phi)$: set of characters of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\phi$

Definition:

$$\Phi_0(G, \delta) = \{\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G\}$$

$$\Phi(G, \delta) = \{(\phi, \chi) \mid \phi \in \Phi_0, \chi \in X(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\phi)\}$$

LANGLANDS CLASSIFICATION

Theorem: (Adams/Barbasch/Vogan 1992):

There is a canonical bijection between:

$$\Phi(G, \delta)/G^\vee \longleftrightarrow \{(x, \pi)\} / \sim$$

π an irreducible representation of the strong involution x

Note: $(\phi, \chi = 1) \mapsto$ a generic representation of the quasisplit group.

Note: The classical result for a fixed real form $G(\mathbb{R})$ is:

Fix $x_0, K = K_{x_0} \leftrightarrow G(\mathbb{R})$.

$\{(x, \pi) \mid x \sim x_0\} / \sim \leftrightarrow \{\text{irreducible admissible representations of } G(\mathbb{R})\}$

Note: Replace $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\phi$ with \mathbb{S}_ϕ , restrict to subset of *pure* strong real forms (the quasisplit form is always pure).

TEMPERIC PARAMETERS

Basic fact: ϕ is tempiric $\Leftrightarrow \phi|_{\mathbb{R}^+} = 1$

Example: $G = PGL(2, \mathbb{R})$, ${}^L G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$,

Spherical principal series:

$$\phi(z) = \text{diag}(|z|^\nu, |z|^{-\nu})$$

Tempered: $\nu \in i\mathbb{R}$

Real infinitesimal character: $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$

Both: $\nu = 0$.

$$1 \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R},c} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow 1$$

(canonically split)

$W_{\mathbb{R},c}$ is the unique maximal compact subgroup of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$

EMPIRIC PARAMETERS

Recall:

$\Phi(G, \delta) = \{(\phi, \chi)\}$ where $\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$, χ is a character of S_{ϕ} (not \tilde{S}_{ϕ}).

Definition:

$\Phi_c(G, \delta) = \{(\phi_c, \chi)\}$ where $\phi_c : W_{\mathbb{R},c} \rightarrow {}^L G$, χ is a character of S_{ϕ_c}

$(\phi_c, \chi) \mapsto \mu(\phi_c, \chi)$: lowest K-type of $\pi(\phi_c, \chi)$

Definition: $\hat{K}_{\text{all}} = \{(x, \mu) \mid x \in X, \mu \in \hat{K}_x\} / G$

Corollary of the preceding Theorem:

The map $(\phi_c, \chi) \mapsto \mu(\phi_c, \chi)$ gives a bijection:

$$\Phi_c / G^{\vee} \longleftrightarrow \hat{K}_{\text{all}}$$

RHS: x is a (pure) strong involution, μ is an irreducible finite dimensional representation of K_x .

RESTRICTING TO K

There is an obvious map (restriction): $\Phi_0(G) \rightarrow \Phi_{c,0}(G)$:

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi_c = \phi|_{W_{\mathbb{R},c}}$$

So, given ϕ :

$$\phi \mapsto \{\pi(\phi, \chi) \mid \chi \in X(\mathbb{S}_\phi)\}$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi_c \mapsto \{\mu(\phi_c, \tau) \mid \tau \in X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi_c})\}$$

What is the relationship?

RESTRICTING TO K

$$\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G, \mapsto \phi_c : W_{\mathbb{R},c} \rightarrow {}^L G$$

$$\phi_c(W_{\mathbb{R},c}) \subset \phi(W_{\mathbb{R}})$$

$$\text{Cent}_{G^\vee}(\phi(W_{\mathbb{R}})) \subset \text{Cent}_{G^\vee}(\phi_c(W_{\mathbb{R},c}))$$

$$\mathbb{S}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(\phi_c)$$

Proposition: The map $\mathbb{S}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(\phi_c)$ is injective.

(Shelstad proves a closely related statement)

THE MAIN RESULT

Given $\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$

$\phi \rightarrow \phi_c = \phi|_{W_{\mathbb{R},c}}$

$$\mathbb{S}_{\phi} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\phi_c}$$

induces $\Gamma : X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi_c}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi})$

Theorem: (Adams/Afgoustidis):

Suppose $\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$ is tempered, and $\chi \in X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi})$.

$(\phi, \chi) \mapsto \pi = \pi(\phi, \chi)$ irreducible, tempered

Then the lowest K-types for $\pi(\phi, \chi)$ are parametrized by the fiber of Γ :

$$LKTs(\pi(\phi, \chi)) = \{\mu(\phi_c, \tau) \mid \Gamma(\tau) = \chi\}$$

SKETCH OF PROOF

The proof is by induction: we follow the steps in the **finalize** algorithm applied to ϕ_c . We prove injectivity $\mathbb{S}_\phi \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_c$ and the main Theorem at the same time.

The key step is a single Cayley transform.

INVOLUTIONS OF T^\vee

Key technical point: ϕ goes to an involution of T^\vee .

$\phi(j)$ is such an involution. This is *not* then one we need.

When γ is singular there is a choice:

Definition: $\phi \mapsto \tau^\vee$, an involution of T^\vee :

take the *most split* choice (corresponding to the most compact choice on the group side)

CAYLEY TRANSFORM

With this choice, let τ be the dual involution of T .

Suppose ϕ' is obtained from ϕ by a single real Cayley transform c_α .

Suppose τ is a (twisted) involution of T^\vee ($\tau \in W\delta^\vee$, $\tau^2 = 1$)

\mathbb{S}_{τ^\vee} : the component group of $(T^\vee)^{\tau^\vee}$

[Note: $\mathbb{S}_\phi = \mathbb{S}_{\tau^\vee} / \{m_\beta \mid \langle \gamma, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0\}$]

Then $X(\mathbb{S}_{\tau^\vee})$ acts simply transitively on X_τ (to be precise $X_\tau(z_{\rho^\vee})$).

Easy fact: given ϕ , τ^\vee as above:

$$X(\mathbb{S}_\phi) \hookrightarrow X(\mathbb{S}_{\tau^\vee}) \leftrightarrow X_\tau$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi'}) & \longrightarrow & X(\mathbb{S}_\phi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\tau'}(z_{\rho^\vee}, \alpha) & \xrightarrow{c_\alpha} & X_\tau(z_{\rho^\vee}) \end{array}$$

$$X_\tau(z) = \{x \in X, x^2 = z, p(x) = \tau \in W\delta\}$$

EXAMPLE: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$$

$$G^\vee = PGL(2) = SO(3)$$

$$\phi(z) = \text{diag}(|z|^\nu, |z|^{-\nu}, 1)$$

$$\phi(j) = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1)$$

$$\nu \neq 0: \text{Cent}(\phi) = \mathbb{C}^\times, \mathbb{S}_\phi = 1$$

$$\phi_c(\nu = 0): \text{Cent}(\phi_c) = O(2), \mathbb{S}_{\phi_c} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\nu \neq 0: \tau^\vee = 1 \text{ (no choice)}$$

$\nu = 0$: ϕ is conjugate to

$$\phi'(z) = 1, \phi'_c(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } \tau^\vee = s_\alpha$$

EXAMPLE: $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{x_0, x_1\} & \longrightarrow & x_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{x_0, x_1\} & \longrightarrow & x_2 \end{array}$$

THE LITERATURE

Knapp-Stein: $R_{\sigma, \nu}$: defined in terms of the Plancherel measure, intertwining operators; reducibility of tempered representations

Vogan: algebraic definition: reduction to the quasisplit case, R_δ

Shelstad/Langlands: The group R_ϕ defined on the dual side:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\phi_M} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\phi \rightarrow R_\phi \rightarrow 1$$

$$R_\phi \simeq R(\sigma, \nu)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}_{\phi_M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}_{\phi} & \longrightarrow & R(\sigma, \nu) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}_{\phi_{c_M}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}_{\phi_c} & \longrightarrow & R(\sigma, 1) \longrightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes (not obvious):

$$\mathbb{S}_{\phi_c} / \mathbb{S}_{\phi} \simeq R(\sigma, 1) / R(\sigma, \nu)$$

CONCLUSION

$\phi : W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow {}^L G$, tempered

$\phi \mapsto \phi_c$

$\Gamma : X(\mathbb{S}_{\phi_c}) \rightarrow X(S_{\phi})$

$(\phi, \chi) \in \Pi(G, \delta) \mapsto \pi(\phi, \chi)$

Then:

$$LKTs(\pi(\phi, \chi)) = \{\mu(\phi_c, \tau) \mid \Gamma(\tau) = \chi\}$$

Question/Hope: is this the “right” formulation: does it generalize to the p -adic case?

Thank you (again) David - for everything!