

On Nematic Liquid Crystal Flows

Fanghua Lin

Courant Institute, New York University

The University of Chicago – Carlos Meeting, Sept. 19–21,

2014

Outline

- 1 Brief review of the Ericksen-Leslie model
 - Static case: Oseen-Frank model
 - Dynamic case: Ericksen-Leslie model
- 2 Simplified EL system in dimensions two
- 3 Simplified EL system in higher dimensions
- 4 General Ericksen-Leslie system

Outline

- 1 **Brief review of the Ericksen-Leslie model**
 - Static case: Oseen-Frank model
 - Dynamic case: Ericksen-Leslie model
- 2 Simplified EL system in dimensions two
- 3 Simplified EL system in higher dimensions
- 4 General Ericksen-Leslie system

Mean orientation of molecule's optical axis,
 $d : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n = 2, 3),$
minimizes Oseen-Frank's bulk energy functional.

Oseen-Frank density: Assume $W(d, \nabla d)$ quadratic in ∇d ,

$$W(-d, -\nabla d) = W(Qd, Q\nabla dQ^t) = W(d, \nabla d), \quad Q \in O(3) \Rightarrow$$

$$2W(d, \nabla d) = k_1(\operatorname{div} d)^2 + k_2(d \cdot \operatorname{curl} d + \tau)^2 + k_3|d \times \operatorname{curl} d|^2 \\ + (k_2 + k_4)[\operatorname{tr}(\nabla d)^2 - (\operatorname{div} d)^2]$$

$k_1, k_2, k_3 > 0$: splay, twist, and bending constants, $k_2 \geq |k_4|$,
 $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. ($\tau \neq 0$: cholesterics; $\tau = 0$: nematics).

Observation (Oseen, Ericksen): $\int [\text{tr}(\nabla d)^2 - (\text{div} d)^2]$ depends on $d|_{\partial\Omega}$ (or null-Lagrange).

Equilibrium configuration: Given $g : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, there exists a d minimizing the energy functional $\mathcal{W}(d) = \int_{\Omega} W(d, \nabla d)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}(d) = \min \left\{ \mathcal{W}(e) : e \in W^{1,2}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2), e = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation (not necessarily elliptic):

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{W}}{\delta d} := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathcal{W}(d_t) = 0, \quad d_t = \frac{d + t\phi}{|d + t\phi|}, \quad \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Simple case: $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1, k_4 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(d) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla d|^2$

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{W}}{\delta d} = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d = 0 \text{ (harmonic map to } \mathbb{S}^2).$$

Regularity of minimizers

1. (Hardt-Lin-Kindelerhrer, 86's) $d \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Sigma, \mathbb{S}^2)$, with

$$\dim_H(\Sigma) < 1$$

It covers the point defects observed in experiments and numerical stimulations:

$$d(x) = \frac{x}{|x|} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \quad (\text{hedgehog})$$

2. (Open question) What's the optimal size estimate of the singular set Σ ? Is Σ a finite set?

Outline

- 1 **Brief review of the Ericksen-Leslie model**
 - Static case: Oseen-Frank model
 - **Dynamic case: Ericksen-Leslie model**
- 2 Simplified EL system in dimensions two
- 3 Simplified EL system in higher dimensions
- 4 General Ericksen-Leslie system

Hydrodynamics (Ericksen and Leslie, 1958-1968): Assume liquid is homogeneous (density $\rho = 1$), $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the fluid velocity, based on:

- i) Conservation of linear momentum,
- ii) Conservation of angular momentum,
- iii) Incompressibility of the fluid.

Ericksen-Leslie (EL) system takes the form:

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \sigma \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$d \times \left(\frac{\delta W}{\delta d} - \gamma_1 N - \gamma_2 A d \right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

σ is modeled by the phenomenological relation: $\sigma = \sigma^L + \sigma^E$.

i) σ^E – the elastic (Ericksen) stress: $\sigma^E = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial(\nabla d)} \cdot (\nabla d)^t$.

ii) σ^L – the viscous (Leslie) stress (α_i 's - Leslie constants):

$$\sigma^L(u, d) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(d \otimes d : A)d \otimes d + \alpha_2 d \otimes N + \alpha_3 N \otimes d \\ + \alpha_4 A + \alpha_5 d \otimes (A \cdot d) + \alpha_6 (A \cdot d) \otimes d, \end{cases}$$

$$A = \frac{\nabla u + (\nabla u)^t}{2}, N = d_t + u \cdot \nabla d + \omega \cdot d, \omega = \frac{\nabla u - (\nabla u)^t}{2}.$$

iii) $\frac{\delta W}{\delta d}$ – first order variation of W .

$$\gamma_1 = \alpha_3 - \alpha_2, \quad \gamma_2 = \alpha_6 - \alpha_5 \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_6 - \alpha_5 \quad (\text{Parodi's condition}) \quad (5)$$

One constant approx. of W:

$$W(d, \nabla d) = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\delta W}{\delta d} = (\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d), \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial(\nabla d)} = \nabla d,$$

$$\sigma^E = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial(\nabla d)} \cdot (\nabla d)^t = -\nabla d \odot \nabla d = -(\nabla_i d \cdot \nabla_j d)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

(EL) system can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) + \nabla \cdot (\sigma^L(u, d)) \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \\ N + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} Ad = \frac{1}{|\gamma_1|} (\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d) + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} (d^t Ad) d. \end{cases}$$

(6)

Consider $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. Set $\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2)$.

Energy law under Parodi's condition (Lin-Liu; W. Wang-P. Zhang-Z. Zhang):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\alpha_4 |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{|\gamma_1|} |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2 \right] \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1} \right) |\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{d} \otimes \mathbf{d}|^2 + \left(\alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1} \right) |\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d}|^2 \right] \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

provided $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$ satisfy

$$\gamma_1 < 0, \quad \alpha_1 - \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1} \geq 0, \quad \alpha_4 > 0, \quad \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 \geq -\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}. \tag{8}$$

Basic Questions:

- (A) Establish, in dimensions $n = 2, 3$, the global existence of Leray-Hopf type weak solutions to the Ericksen-Leslie system (EL (6)) under general initial-boundary conditions.
- (B) (Partial) regularity and uniqueness issues of suitable weak solutions of (EL (6)).
- (C) Global or local well-posedness of the (EL (6)) for rough initial data belonging to the largest possible function spaces.

Notation. Leray-Hopf's weak solutions refer to any weak solution in the energy space that satisfies a weak form of the above energy dissipation inequality (7).

Further Simplified EL system (L-, 1989's):

i) Neglect stretching, rigid rotation, and interacting Leslie:

$$\sigma^L(u, d) = \alpha_4 A \quad N = d_t + u \cdot \nabla d.$$

ii) $\gamma_1 = -1, \gamma_2 = 0.$

(EL(6)) becomes

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \alpha_4 \Delta u + \nabla P = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \quad (9)$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$d_t + u \cdot \nabla d = \underbrace{\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d}_{(11)} \quad (11)$$

Remark. (9)-(11) is strongly coupling between Navier-Stokes equation (NSE) and harmonic heat flow:

i) d constant \Rightarrow NSE.

ii) $u = 0 \Rightarrow$ harmonic heat flow to \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$d_t = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d, \text{ and } \underbrace{\Delta d \cdot \nabla d = 0}_{(stationarity)}.$$

Classical solutions (u, d) to (9)-(11) & (13)-(14) enjoy

Energy Dissipation Inequality:



$$\frac{d}{dt} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2)} \leq -2 \int_{\Omega} (\mu |\nabla u|^2 + |\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d|^2) \quad (12)$$

Proof. Multiplying (9) by u and (11) by $(\Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d)$ and integrating by parts a couple of times. □

Initial-boundary condition:

$$(u, d)|_{t=0} = (u_0, d_0) \in \mathbf{H} \times H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \quad (13)$$

$$(u, d)|_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} = (0, d_0) \in C^{2,\beta}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \quad (14)$$

$$H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) = \left\{ d \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \mid d(x) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{v : \nabla \cdot v = 0\} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \cap \{v : \nabla \cdot v = 0\} \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Scaling invariance: For $\lambda > 0$, if (u, P, d) solves (9)-(11), so does

$$(u_\lambda, P_\lambda, d_\lambda)(x, t) = (\lambda u, \lambda^2 P, d)(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$$

Dimensions:

$$u \sim -1, P \sim -2, d \sim 0, x \sim 1, \\ t \sim 2, P_r (= B_r^n \times [-r^2, 0]) \sim n + 2$$

"Naive" approach:

- i) Approximate initial data (u_0, d_0) by smooth $(u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)$.
- ii) Establish both lower bound of $T_\epsilon > 0$ and a priori estimates for short time smooth solutions $(u^\epsilon, d^\epsilon) : \Omega \times [0, T_\epsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^2$ to (9)-(11), in terms of the local energy profiles of $(u_0^\epsilon, d_0^\epsilon)$.
- iii) Good news: This approach works for the critical dimension $n = 2!$

1. $\exists (u_0^k, d_0^k) \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$, $\|(u_0^k - u_0, d_0^k - d_0)\|_{L^2 \times H^1} \rightarrow 0$.

$$\sup_k E_{r_0}(u_0^k, d_0^k) = \sup_k \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \int_{B_{r_0}(x) \cap \Omega} (|u_0^k|^2 + |\nabla d_0^k|^2) \leq \epsilon_0^2.$$

2. **Local energy inequality** and **global energy inequality** \Rightarrow
 $\exists T_k \geq c_0 r_0^2$, $(u^k, d^k) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, T_k], \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq c_0 r_0^2} E_{r_0}(u^k(t), d^k(t)) \leq 2\epsilon_0^2, \\ \int_0^{c_0 r_0^2} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u^k|^2 + |\Delta d^k + |\nabla d^k|^2 d^k|^2) \lesssim 1. \end{cases}$$

3. Ladyzhenskaya " \leq " & Calderon-Zygmund L^2 -est. on $P^k \Rightarrow$

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \int_{B_{r_0}(x) \times [0, c_0 r_0^2]} (|u^k|^4 + |\nabla u^k|^2 + |\nabla d^k|^4 + |\nabla^2 d^k|^2 + |P^k|^2) \lesssim \epsilon_0^2.$$

Ladyzhenskaya inequality ($n = 2$):

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^4 \lesssim \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x)} |f|^2 \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 + r^{-2} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x)} |f|^2 \right)^2$$

Equation of P :

$$\Delta P = -\operatorname{div}^2(u \otimes u + \nabla d \odot \nabla d)$$

Lemma 1 (ϵ_0 -regularity lemma: $n = 2$). There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $u \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(P_1, \mathbb{R}^2)$, $d \in L_t^2 H_x^2(P_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, and $P \in L^2(P_1)$ solves (9)-(11), satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, d, P, r) &:= \|u\|_{L^4(P_r)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(P_r)} + \|\nabla d\|_{L^4(P_r)} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla^2 d\|_{L^2(P_r)} + \|P\|_{L^2(P_r)} \leq \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for some $r > 0$, then $(u, d) \in C^\infty(P_{\frac{r}{2}})$, and

$$\|(u, \nabla d)\|_{C^k(P_{\frac{r}{2}})} \leq C(k)\epsilon_0 r^{-k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \quad (16)$$



Theorem 2 (regularity, with J. Lin, C.Y.Wang).

If $u \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\Omega_T, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $d \in L^2([0, T], H^2(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2))$ solves (9)-(11), then $(u, d) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T])$.

If $(u, d)|_{\partial\Omega} = (0, d_0)$ for $d_0 \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \cap C^{2,\beta}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ with $\beta \in (0, 1)$, then $(u, d) \in C_\beta^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, T])$.

Theorem 3 (existence, with J. Y. Lin, C.Y.Wang).

For $u_0 \in \mathbf{H}$, $d_0 \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \cap C^{2,\beta}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$, there exists $u \in L^\infty([0, \infty), \mathbf{H}) \cap L^2([0, \infty), \mathbf{J})$, $d \in L^\infty([0, \infty), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2))$ solve (9)-(14) such that

(i) $\exists L \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on (u_0, d_0) and $0 < T_1 < \dots < T_L$ s.t.

$$(u, d) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{T_i\}_{i=1}^L)) \cap C_\beta^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{T_i\}_{i=1}^L)).$$

(ii) Each T_i can be characterized by

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T_i} \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2)(y, t) dy \geq 8\pi, \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (17)$$

(iii) $\exists x_k^i \rightarrow x_0^i \in \bar{\Omega}$, $t_k^i \uparrow T_i$, $r_k^i \downarrow 0$, constant $\neq \omega_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{S}^2)$
 harmonic map of finite energy such that

$$(u_k^i, d_k^i) \rightarrow (0, \omega_j) \text{ in } C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [-\infty, 0]),$$

$$\begin{cases} u_k^i(x, t) = r_k^i u(x_k^i + r_k^i x, t_k^i + (r_k^i)^2 t), \\ d_k^i(x, t) = d(x_k^i + r_k^i x, t_k^i + (r_k^i)^2 t). \end{cases}$$

(iv) $\exists t_k \uparrow +\infty$ and harmonic $d_\infty \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \cap C_{d_0}^{2,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{S}^2)$ s.t.

$$u(\cdot, t_k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H^1(\Omega), \quad d(\cdot, t_k) \rightharpoonup d_\infty \text{ in } H^1(\Omega),$$

$$|\nabla d(\cdot, t_k)|^2 dx \rightharpoonup |\nabla d_\infty|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^l 8\pi m_i \delta_{x_i} \quad (18)$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^l \subset \bar{\Omega}$, and $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^l \subset \mathbb{N}$.

(v) If either $\int_{\Omega} (|u_0|^2 + |\nabla d_0|^2) \leq 8\pi$ or $d_0^3 \geq 0$, then

$$(u, d) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times (0, +\infty)) \cap C_{\beta}^{2,1}(\bar{\Omega} \times (0, +\infty)),$$

$\exists t_k \uparrow +\infty$ and a harmonic $d_\infty \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2) \cap C_{d_0}^{2,\beta}(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{S}^2)$
s.t.

$$(u(\cdot, t_k), d(\cdot, t_k)) \rightarrow (0, d_\infty) \text{ in } C^2(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Theorem 4 (uniqueness, with C.Y.Wang).

For $n = 2$ and $0 < T < +\infty$, suppose that for $i = 1, 2$,
 $(u_i, d_i) \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\mathbb{R}_T^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \times (L_t^\infty H_x^1 \cap L_t^2 H_x^2)(\mathbb{R}_T^2, \mathbb{S}^2)$
solves (9)-(11), under the same initial-boundary condition
(13)-(14). Then $(u_1, d_1) \equiv (u_2, d_2)$.

“Tangible” approach (Ginzburg-Landau approximation)

For $\epsilon > 0$, consider the Ginzburg-Landau functional:

$$E_\epsilon(d) = \int_\Omega e_\epsilon(d) := \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} (1 - |d|^2)^2 \right), \quad d : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The system (9)-(11) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \mu \Delta u + \nabla P = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \\ d_t + u \cdot \nabla d = \Delta d + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d|^2) d. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Remark 1. L- & C. Liu (95-01's) showed, under (13)-(14),

- (i) Global well-posedness of (19) for $n = 2$, for $n = 3$ if $\mu \gg 1$;
- (ii) Partial regularity for suitable weak solutions of (19) for $n = 3$, similar to Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg on NSE.

Creation of defect measures as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$:

Let (u^ϵ, d^ϵ) be a sequence of solutions to (19) such that

$$u^\epsilon \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^2; d^\epsilon \rightharpoonup d \text{ in } H^1.$$

\Downarrow

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ \& } d_t + u \cdot \nabla d = \Delta d + |\nabla d|^2 d.$$

But u **may not** solve (9), since

$$(\nabla d^\epsilon \odot \nabla d^\epsilon) dxdt \rightharpoonup (\nabla d \odot \nabla d) dxdt + \nu \text{ as } \epsilon \downarrow 0,$$

for some $n \times n$ matrix ν of signed Radon measures in $\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ (defect measure).

Question 3: How large is the size of support of ν ? Under what conditions is $\text{supp}(\nu)$ empty or $d\nu i \nu$ is given by a gradient?

Theorem 5 (with C.Y.Wang, preprint). For $n = 2, 3$, there exists δ_0 such that if

$$|d_\epsilon| \leq 1, \quad d_\epsilon^3 \geq -1 + \delta, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

then $\nu \equiv 0$ and $(u_\epsilon, d_\epsilon) \rightarrow (u, d)$ strongly in $L^2 \times H^1$.

Theorem 6 (with C.Y.Wang, preprint).

For $n = 3$, if $(u_0, d_0) \in \mathbf{H} \times H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$, with $d_0^3 \geq 0$, then there is a Leray-Hopf type weak solution $(u, d) : \Omega \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ of the initial and boundary value problem of the simplified Ericksen-Leslie system (9)-(11).

For $\delta \in (0, 2)$ and $L_1, L_2 > 0$, define

$$d_\epsilon \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \in \mathbf{X}(\delta, L_1, L_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Delta d_\epsilon + \frac{(1-|d_\epsilon|^2)}{\epsilon^2} d_\epsilon = f_\epsilon \\ |d_\epsilon| \leq 1, d_\epsilon^3 \geq -1 + \delta \\ \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq L_1 \\ E_\epsilon(d_\epsilon) = \int_\Omega e_\epsilon(d_\epsilon) \leq L_2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. $\mathbf{X}(\delta, L_1, L_2)$ is precompact in $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Sketch of proof: Assume $d_\epsilon \rightharpoonup d$ in H^1 , and

$$e_\epsilon(d_\epsilon) dx \rightharpoonup \mu := \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d|^2 dx + \nu$$

for some Radon measure $\nu \geq 0$.

$$\nu \equiv 0$$

Step 1 (almost monotonicity). $d_\epsilon \in \mathbf{X}(\delta, L_1, L_2)$ satisfies

$$\Phi_\epsilon(R) \geq \Phi_\epsilon(r) + \int_{B_R \setminus B_r} |x|^{-1} \left| \frac{\partial d_\epsilon}{\partial |x|} \right|^2, \quad \forall 0 < r \leq R, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\Phi_\epsilon(r) := \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} (e_\epsilon(d_\epsilon) - \langle x \cdot \nabla d_\epsilon, f_\epsilon \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} |x| |f_\epsilon|^2.$$

Step 2 (δ_0 -compactness). $\exists \delta_0 > 0, \alpha_0 \in (0, 1), C_0 > 0$ such that for $d_\epsilon \in \mathbf{X}(\delta, L_1, L_2)$, it holds

$$\Phi_\epsilon(r_0) \leq \delta_0 \Rightarrow d_\epsilon \rightarrow d \text{ in } H^1(B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}) \text{ and } \nu = 0 \text{ in } B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}.$$

Proof. $\omega_\epsilon = \frac{d_\epsilon}{|d_\epsilon|}$ solves

$$\operatorname{div}(|d_\epsilon|^2 \nabla \omega_\epsilon \times \omega_\epsilon) = \operatorname{div}(\nabla d_\epsilon \times d_\epsilon) = \tau_\epsilon \times d_\epsilon.$$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{cases} [\omega_\epsilon]_{C^{\alpha_0}(B_{\frac{r_0}{2}})} \leq C_0 \\ \|\nabla \omega_\epsilon\|_{L^p(B_{\frac{r_0}{2}})} \lesssim \left[\|\nabla \omega_\epsilon\|_{L^2(B_{r_0})} + \|\tau_\epsilon\|_{L^2(B_{r_0})} \right], \end{cases}$$

for $2 < p < 3$.

Step 3 (almost monotonicity for μ)

$$\Theta^1(\mu, r) := \frac{1}{r} \mu(B_r) \leq \Theta^1(\mu, R) + C_0(R - r), \quad \forall 0 < r \leq R. \quad (21)$$

$\Rightarrow \Theta^1(\mu) = \lim_{r \downarrow 0} \Theta^1(\mu, r)$ exists and is USC.

Step 4 (concentration set)

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \Theta^1(\mu) \geq \delta_0 \right\}$$

is 1-rectifiable, closed subset, with $H^1(\Sigma) < +\infty$.

$\text{supp}(\nu) \subset \Sigma$; and $\delta_0 \leq \Theta^1(\nu, x) \leq C_0$, H^1 a.e. $x \in \Sigma$.

Step 5 (stratification and blow-up). Pick generic $x_0 \in \Sigma$:

$$\lim_{r_i \downarrow 0} r_i^{-1} \int_{B_{r_i}(x_0)} |\nabla d|^2 = 0; \Theta^1(\nu, \cdot) \text{ is } H^1 \text{ approx. continu. at } x_0,$$

and Σ has a tangent line at x_0 .

Set $d_i(x) = d_{\epsilon_i}(x_0 + r_i x)$, $\nu_i(A) = r_i^{-1} \nu(x_0 + r_i A)$. Then

$$\nu_i \rightarrow \nu_0 := \theta_0 H^1 LY, \quad e_{\epsilon_i}(d_i) dx \rightarrow \nu_0 = \theta_0 H^1 LY,$$

for some $\theta_0 > 0$ and line $Y = \{(0, 0, x_3) : x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

$$(20) \Rightarrow \int_{B_2} \left| \frac{\partial d_i}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow \exists \omega(x) = \omega(x_1, x_2) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{-1+\delta}^2$ nontrivial, smooth harmonic map. This is impossible.

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 6:

Lemma 1. Assume $u_\epsilon \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2)$ and $d_\epsilon \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1)$ solve the transported GL:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t d_\epsilon + u_\epsilon \cdot \nabla d_\epsilon = \Delta d_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}(1 - |d_\epsilon|^2)d_\epsilon & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \nabla \cdot u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ d_\epsilon = g_\epsilon & \text{on } \partial_p(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+). \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

If $g_\epsilon \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies

$$|g_\epsilon(x)| \leq 1 \text{ and } g_\epsilon^3(x) \geq 0, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Then

$$|d_\epsilon(x, t)| \leq 1 \text{ and } d_\epsilon^3(x, t) \geq 0, \text{ a.e. } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Global energy inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \sup_{0 < t < +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left[|u_{\epsilon}|^2 + |\nabla d_{\epsilon}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_{\epsilon}|^2)^2 \right] \\ & + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left[|\nabla u_{\epsilon}|^2 + |\Delta d_{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_{\epsilon}|^2) d_{\epsilon}|^2 \right] \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} (|u_0|^2 + |\nabla d_0|^2) := C_0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$\Rightarrow \exists p > 3$ such that for $0 < T < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left[\|\partial_t u_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}([0, T], L^{\frac{5}{4}}) + L^2([0, T], H^{-1}) + L^2([0, T], W^{-1, p})} \right. \\ & \left. + \|\partial_t d_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2([0, T], L^2) + L^{\frac{5}{4}}([0, T], L^{\frac{5}{4}})} \right] < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Aubin-Lions' Lemma $\Rightarrow \exists u \in L_t^\infty L_x^2 \cap L_t^2 H_x^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $d \in L_t^\infty H_x^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (u_\epsilon, d_\epsilon) \rightarrow (u, d) \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+), \\ (\nabla u_\epsilon, \nabla d_\epsilon) \rightarrow (\nabla u, \nabla d) \text{ in } L_t^2 L_x^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+). \end{cases}$$

Fatou's lemma \Rightarrow

$$\int_0^\infty \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \left| \Delta d_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2) d_\epsilon \right|^2 \leq C_0. \quad (25)$$

For $\Lambda \gg 1$, define

$$G_\Lambda^T := \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \left| \Delta d_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2) d_\epsilon \right|^2(t) \leq \Lambda \right\},$$

$$B_\Lambda^T = [0, T] \setminus G_\Lambda^T \Rightarrow |B_\Lambda^T| \leq \frac{C_0}{\Lambda}.$$

Claim 1. For any $t \in G_\Lambda^T$, it holds

$$d_\epsilon(t) \rightarrow d(t) \text{ in } H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega), \quad e_\epsilon(d_\epsilon(t)) \, dx \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} |\nabla d(t)|^2 \, dx. \quad (26)$$

Proof. For $t \in G_\Lambda^T$, since

$$\{d_\epsilon(t)\} \subset \mathbf{X}(C_0, \Lambda, 1; \Omega),$$

by the precompactness theorem, (26) holds. □

Claim 2. $|d_\epsilon(x, t)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and $\omega_\epsilon := \frac{d_\epsilon}{|d_\epsilon|} \rightarrow d$ in $L^2(G_\Lambda^T, H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega))$.

Claim 3. For $t \in G_\Lambda^T$, $\omega_\epsilon(t) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2, \frac{5}{4}}(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and

$$\left\| \omega_\epsilon(t) \right\|_{W^{2, \frac{5}{4}}(K)} \leq C(\delta_0, K), \quad \forall K \subset\subset \Omega. \quad (27)$$

Claim 4. It holds

$$\left\| \nabla \omega_\epsilon - \nabla d \right\|_{L^2(K \times G_\lambda^T)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall K \subset\subset \Omega. \quad (28)$$

Proof. $W_{\text{loc}}^{2, \frac{5}{4}}(\Omega) \subset H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ compact, $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \subset H_{\text{loc}}^{-2}(\Omega)$.
Applying Aubin-Lions' Lemma yields Claim 4. □

Claim 5. It holds

$$\int_{K \times G_\lambda^T} \left(\|\nabla |d_\epsilon|\|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2)^2 \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall K \subset\subset \Omega. \quad (29)$$

Combining (28) and (29) yields

$$\left\| \nabla d_\epsilon - \nabla d \right\|_{L^2(K \times G_\lambda^T)}^2 + \int_{K \times G_\lambda^T} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2)^2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (30)$$

Claim 6. It holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \nabla d_\epsilon - \nabla d \right\|_{L^2(K \times B_\lambda^T)}^2 + \int_{K \times B_\lambda^T} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2)^2 \\ & \leq \left(2 \sup_{t>0} \int_\Omega e_\epsilon(d_\epsilon)(t) \right) |B_\lambda^T| \leq C\lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Putting all these estimates together yields we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K \times [0, T]} |\nabla d_\epsilon - \nabla d|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (1 - |d_\epsilon|^2)^2 \leq C\lambda^{-1}. \quad (32)$$

□

Well-posedness for rough initial data:

Definition 1. $f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\left[f \right]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^n} \int_{B_r(x)} |f - c| \right\} < +\infty.$$

$f \in \text{BMO}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\exists (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i},$$

$$\left[f \right]_{\text{BMO}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n [f_i]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} : f = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \right\}.$$

Theorem 7(C.Y.Wang et al) $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$ s.t. if $(u_0, d_0) \in \text{BMO}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has

$$\boxed{\left[u_0 \right]_{\text{BMO}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left[d_0 \right]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon_0,} \quad (33)$$

$\exists ! (u, d) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ of (9)-(11), with $(u, d)|_{t=0} = (u_0, d_0)$.

Remark 2. (i) For $n \geq 2$, (33) holds, provided

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \left\{ r^{2-n} \int_{B_r(x)} (|u_0|^2 + |\nabla d_0|^2) \right\} \leq \epsilon_0^2.$$

(ii) Reduces to Koch-Tataru's classical theorem on NSE.

(iii) Answer an open question by Sverak on harmonic heat flow.

General Ericksen-Leslie system in 2D:

Consider the Ericksen-Leslie system in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{d} \odot \nabla \mathbf{d}) + \nabla \cdot (\sigma^L(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{d})) \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \\ N + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{d} = \frac{1}{|\gamma_1|} (\Delta \mathbf{d} + |\nabla \mathbf{d}|^2 \mathbf{d}) + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} (\mathbf{d}^t \mathbf{A} \mathbf{d}) \mathbf{d}. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Assume

$$\gamma_1 = \alpha_3 - \alpha_2, \quad \gamma_2 = \alpha_6 - \alpha_5 \quad (35)$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_6 - \alpha_5 \quad (\text{Parodi's condition}) \quad (36)$$

and

$$\gamma_1 < 0, \quad \alpha_1 - \frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1} \geq 0, \quad \alpha_4 > 0, \quad \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 \geq -\frac{\gamma_2^2}{\gamma_1}. \quad (37)$$

The ϵ_0 -regularity lemma also hold for (34):

Theorem 8 (existence of Ericksen-Leslie, with J. Huang, C. Y. Wang).

For $n = 2$, assume (35), (36), and (37). For any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ and $d_0 \in H_{e_0}^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{S}^2)$, there exists a global weak solution (u, d) to EL (34) along with the initial condition (u_0, d_0) , such that

(i) $\exists T_0 = 0 < T_1 < \dots < T_L < T_{L+1} = +\infty$ such that

$$(u, d) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times ((0 + \infty) \setminus \{T_i\}_{i=0}^{L+1}), \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2).$$

(ii) $u \in L_t^\infty L^2 \cap L_t^2 H^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, +\infty))$, $d \in L_t^\infty H_{e_0}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and

$$d \in \bigcap_{\delta > 0} L_t^2([T_1, T_{i+1} - \delta], H_{e_0}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, L + 1.$$

(iii) Each T_i can be characterized by

$$\limmax_{t \uparrow T_i} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{B_r(x)} (|u|^2 + |\nabla d|^2)(t) \geq 8\pi, \quad \forall r > 0.$$

(iv) $\mathcal{E}(0) \leq 8\pi$ or $d_0^3 \geq 0 \Rightarrow (u, d) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, +\infty))$.