

These notes summarize the material in §2.3–2.5 of [1] covered in lecture.

8.1 Divisors of automorphic forms

For a compact Riemann surface X we define

$$\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

and call elements of $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ **divisors with rational coefficients**.

Let $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice, so that $X_{\Gamma} := \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}^*$ is a compact Riemann surface, and let $\pi_{\Gamma}: \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}^* \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Let $f \in A_{2n}(\Gamma)$ be a nonzero automorphic form of weight $2n$, and let $P \in X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})$ and $z_p \in \pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}(P)$. In the previous lecture we defined

$$\text{ord}_P(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \text{ord}_{z_p}(f) & \text{if } P \text{ is an elliptic point of order } e, \\ \text{ord}_{\infty}(f|_{2n}\sigma^{-1}) & \text{if } P \text{ is a cusp and } \sigma z_p = \infty, \\ \text{ord}_{z_p}(f). & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here $\text{ord}_{\infty}(f|_{2n}\sigma^{-1})$ is the index m of the first nonzero coefficient a_m in the Fourier expansion $(f|_{2n}\sigma^{-1})(z) = \sum e^{2\pi imz/h}$ with $\pm \sigma \Gamma_{z_p} \sigma^{-1} = \pm \langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$. We also recall that $e = \#\Gamma_{z_p}/Z(\Gamma)$ is equal to the ramification index of the map π_{Γ} at e (the cardinality of $\pi_{\Gamma}^{-1}(Q)$ for every $Q \neq P$ in any sufficiently small neighborhood of P).

The lattice Γ has only finitely many inequivalent elliptic points and cusps (it is cofinite, hence geometrically finite), so we may define

$$\text{div}(f) := \sum_{P \in X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})} \text{ord}_P(f) P \in \text{Div}(X_{\Gamma})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

In the previous lecture we associated to f a meromorphic differential $\omega_f \in \Omega^n(X_{\Gamma})$ with

$$\text{ord}_P(\omega_f) = \begin{cases} \text{ord}_P(f) - n(1 - \frac{1}{e}) & \text{if } P \text{ is an elliptic point of order } e, \\ \text{ord}_P(f) - n & \text{if } P \text{ is a cusp,} \\ \text{ord}_P(f) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that unlike $\text{div}(f)$, the divisor $\text{div}(\omega_f) \in \text{Div}(X_{\Gamma})$ has integer coefficients (it is the divisor of a differential form), and the Riemann–Roch theorem implies

$$\deg(\text{div}(\omega_f)) = 2n(g - 1), \tag{1}$$

where $g := g(X_{\Gamma})$ is the genus of X_{Γ} , as is true for any meromorphic differential of degree n on a compact Riemann surface of genus g .

The following theorem follows immediately from the formulas above.

Theorem 8.1. *For $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and nonzero $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(f) &= \text{div}(\omega_f) + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{P \in X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right) P \\ \deg \text{div}(f) &= k(g - 1) + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{P \in X_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C})} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where e_p is the ramification index of P and $g = g(X_{\Gamma})$, with $1/e_p = 0$ when P is a cusp.

Corollary 8.2 (Sturm bound). Let $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice with $r \geq 1$ inequivalent cusps and $s \geq 0$ inequivalent elliptic points, and let g be the genus of X_Γ . Let x be a cusp of Γ and choose $\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\sigma x = \infty$. Suppose $f_1, f_2 \in M_k(\Gamma)$ have Fourier expansions

$$(f_1|_k\sigma^{-1}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{2\pi i n z/h} \quad \text{and} \quad (f_2|_k\sigma^{-1})(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{2\pi i n z/h}$$

at x , with $a_n = b_n$ for $n \leq k(g-1 + (r+s)/2)$. Then $f_1 = f_2$.

Proof. Suppose $f_1 \neq f_2$. Then $f_1 - f_2$ and $\omega := \omega_{f_1 - f_2}$ are nonzero. Let $P = \pi_\Gamma(x)$, and let $n > k(g-1) + k(r+s)/2$ be the least positive integer for which $a_n \neq b_n$. Then

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(\omega) = \mathrm{ord}_P(f - g) - \frac{k}{2} = n - \frac{k}{2} > k(g-1 + (r+s-1)/2).$$

Now $f_1 - f_2$ is holomorphic, so $\mathrm{ord}_Q(\omega) \geq -k/2$ for every elliptic point or cusp $Q \neq P$, and $\mathrm{ord}_R(\omega) \geq 0$ for every ordinary point R . It follows that

$$\mathrm{deg}(\mathrm{div}(\omega)) > k(g-1 + (r+s-1)/2) - (r+s-1)k/2 = k(g-1)$$

but this contradicts the degree equality implied by Riemann–Roch (1), so $f_1 = f_2$. \square

Remark 8.3. This corollary has some remarkable implications. If $k(g-1) + k(r+s)/2 < 0$ the hypothesis always holds, implying that every $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ is zero. It also implies that every $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ is uniquely determined by a finite prefix of its Fourier expansion at any cusp. This yields an effective algorithm for testing whether two modular forms are equal or not, and if we fix a basis for the finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space $M_k(\Gamma)$ it allows us to explicitly compute the representation of any $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ as a linear combination of basis elements.

8.2 Odd weight

For odd k we may assume $-1 \notin \Gamma$, since $f|_k - 1 = -f$ is satisfied only when $f = 0$. Let $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$ be nonzero. Then $f^2 \in A_{2k}(\Gamma)$, and we define

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(f) := \mathrm{ord}_P(f^2)/2$$

and

$$\mathrm{div}(f) := \sum_{P \in X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})} \mathrm{ord}_P(f) P$$

Theorem 8.4. Let k be an odd integer and assume $-1 \notin \Gamma$. For each nonzero $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}(f) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{div}(\omega_{f^2}) + \frac{k}{2} \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_P}\right) P \\ \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{div}(f) &= k(g-1) + \frac{k}{2} \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_P}\right) \\ \mathrm{ord}_P(f) &\in \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } P \text{ is an irregular cusp} \\ \frac{1}{e_P} + \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } P \text{ is an elliptic point} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \mathrm{ord}_P(\omega_{f^2}) &\in \begin{cases} 1 + 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } P \text{ is a regular cusp} \\ 2\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where e_P is the ramification index at P with $1/e_P = 0$ if P is a cusp.

8.3 Computing the measure of X_Γ

As above, let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice and let $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^*$.

Lemma 8.5. *Let m be the lcm of the orders of all elliptic $P \in X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$. There is a nonzero $f \in A_{2m}(\Gamma)$ which has no zeros or poles at any cusp or any elliptic point in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $f \in A_{2m}(\Gamma)$ be nonzero. Then $\mathrm{div}(f) = \mathrm{div}(\omega_f) + \frac{k}{2} \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right) P \in \mathrm{Div}(X_\Gamma)$, since $k/2 = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is divisible by every $e_p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let S be the (finite) set of elliptic points and cusps in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, let $g := g(X_\Gamma)$, and choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$-\mathrm{deg}(\mathrm{div}(g)) - 1 + n > 2g - 2.$$

Fix $Q \notin S$ and consider the divisors $D_Q := -\mathrm{div}(g) + nQ$ and $D_P := -\mathrm{div}(g) - P + nQ$ for each $P \in S$. We have $D_Q \geq D_P$, so $L(D_Q) \supseteq L(D_P)$, and $\mathrm{deg}(D_Q) > \mathrm{deg}(D_P) > 2g - 2$, so

$$\dim L(D_Q) - \dim L(D_P) = \mathrm{deg}(D_Q) - \mathrm{deg}(D_P) = 1,$$

for all $P \in S$ by Riemann–Roch, since $\ell(D) = \mathrm{deg}(D) - g + 1$ whenever $\mathrm{deg}(D) > 2g - 2$.

It follows that the Riemann–Roch space

$$L(D_Q) = \{h \in \mathbb{C}(X_\Gamma) : h = 0 \text{ or } \mathrm{div}(h) + D_Q \geq 0\}$$

contains some $h \in \mathbb{C}(X_\Gamma) \simeq A_0(\Gamma)$ that does not lie in any of the $L(D_P)$, with $\mathrm{ord}_P(f/h) = 0$ for $P \in S$, since $\mathrm{ord}_P(h) = \mathrm{ord}_P(f)$ for $P \in S$. Then $f/h \in A_{2m}(\Gamma)$ has not zeros or poles on any cusp or elliptic point in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$. \square

Recall that $\nu(X_\Gamma)$ is defined as the measure of any (measurable) fundamental domain for Γ under the hyperbolic measure $dv(z) := \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$ on \mathbf{H} , where $z = x + iy$, which is induced by the Haar measure of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 8.6. *For any lattice $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \nu(X_\Gamma) = 2g - 2 + \sum_{P \in X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right),$$

where e_p is the ramification index of P , with $1/e_p = 0$ when P is a cusp, and g is the genus of X_Γ .

Proof sketch. Pick m and nonzero $f \in A_{2m}(\Gamma)$ via Lemma 8.5, and a measurable fundamental domain F for Γ such that f has no zeros or poles on ∂F . Let v_1, \dots, v_r be the vertices of F that are cusps, and pick curves C_1, \dots, C_r with C_i in a neighborhood of v_i such that $\pi_\Gamma(C_i)$ is a circle around $\pi(v_i)$ oriented counterclockwise. Let M be the compact set bounded by F and the curves C_1, \dots, C_r . Then

$$\nu(X_\Gamma) = \nu(F) = \lim_{C_i \rightarrow x_i} \int_M \frac{dx \wedge dy}{y^2},$$

where $C_i \rightarrow x_i$ means we are shrinking the neighborhoods of x_i in which the C_i lie so that the measure of the part of F outside M tends to zero. Applying Stokes Theorem yields

$$\int_M \frac{dx \wedge dy}{y^2} = \int_{\partial M} \frac{dz}{y} = \int_{\partial M} \left(\frac{dz}{y} + \frac{i}{m} d(\log f) \right) - \frac{i}{m} \int_{\partial M} d(\log f),$$

where ∂M is oriented counterclockwise. One can show that the integrand in the first term on the RHS is Γ -invariant, and since Γ acts on pairs of sides of F with opposite orientation, the integral tends to zero as the $C_i \rightarrow x_i$, and we have

$$v(X_\Gamma) = 2\pi \lim_{C_i \rightarrow x_i} -\frac{i}{m} \int_{\partial M} d(\log f) = \frac{2\pi}{m} \deg(\operatorname{div}(f))$$

by Cauchy's residue formula, since we can assume f has no zeros or poles on ∂M and its zeros and poles in F all lie inside M . Applying Theorem 8.1 yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} v(X_\Gamma) = \frac{1}{m} \left(2m(g-1) + m \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right) \right) = 2g - 2 + \sum_{P \in \mathbb{C}(X_\Gamma)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_p}\right). \quad \square$$

8.4 Dimension formulas

Let $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice. For $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X_\Gamma)_\mathbb{Q}$ we define

$$\lfloor D \rfloor := \sum_P \lfloor r_P \rfloor P$$

Lemma 8.7. *Let $\Gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice with regular cusps P_1, \dots, P_s and irregular cusps P_{s+1}, \dots, P_t . Let $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$ be nonzero. We have the following isomorphisms of \mathbb{C} -vector-spaces*

- $M_k(\Gamma) \simeq L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor)$;
- $S_k(\Gamma) \simeq \begin{cases} L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_t) \rfloor) & k \text{ even,} \\ L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_s + \frac{1}{2}P_{s+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}P_t) \rfloor) & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$

Proof. We have $A_k(\Gamma) = \{fh \mid h \in A_0(\Gamma)\}$, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} M_k &= \{fh : h \in A_0(\Gamma) : h = 0 \text{ or } \operatorname{div}(fh) \geq 0\} \\ &= \{h \in \mathbb{C}(X_\Gamma) : h = 0 \text{ or } \operatorname{div}(h) + \operatorname{div}(f) \geq 0\} \\ &= L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{div}(h) + \operatorname{div}(f) \geq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{div}(h) + \lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor \geq 0$.

Now consider $fh \in M_k(\Gamma)$ with $h \in A_0(\Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{C}(X)$. Then

$$fh \in S_k(\Gamma) \iff \operatorname{ord}_{P_i}(fh) > 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t$$

If k is even then

$$\begin{aligned} fh \in S_k(\Gamma) &\iff \operatorname{ord}_{P_i}(fh) \geq 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ &\iff \operatorname{ord}_{P_i}(f) + \operatorname{ord}_{P_i}(h) - 1 \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ &\iff h \in L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_t) \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

which implies $S_k(\Gamma) \simeq L(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_t) \rfloor)$. For k odd a similar argument works, except we use the bound $\operatorname{ord}_{P_i}(fh) \geq 1/2$ for $s < i \leq t$. \square

Let e_1, \dots, e_r be the orders of the elliptic points and t the number of cusps in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$. Define

$$d := 2g - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) + t,$$

let $g := g(X_\Gamma)$, and fix a nonzero $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$. Theorem 8.1 implies that

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(f)) = \frac{k}{2}d \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) = k(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor t$$

and we have $d = \nu(X_\Gamma)/(2\pi) > 0$, by Theorem 8.6. We now consider $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

- $k < 0$: we have $\deg(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) \leq \deg(\operatorname{div}(f)) = kd/2 < 0$ so $\dim M_k(\Gamma) = \dim S_k(\Gamma) = 0$.
- $k = 0$: we have $f \in \mathbb{C}(X_\Gamma)^\times$ and $\dim M_0(\Gamma) = \ell(\operatorname{div}(f)) = 1$ and

$$\dim S_0(\Gamma) = \ell(\operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_t)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

- $k = 2$: we have $S_2(\Gamma) \simeq \Omega_0^1(X_\Gamma)$, as shown in Lecture 7, so $\dim S_2(\Gamma) = \ell(\operatorname{div}(\omega_f)) = g$, and $M_k(\Gamma) \simeq S_k(\Gamma)$ if $t = 0$, otherwise Riemann–Roch implies

$$\dim M_k(\Gamma) = \ell(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) = \deg(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) - g + 1 + \ell(\operatorname{div}(\omega_1) - \lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) = g - 1 + t.$$

- For $k > 2$. Fix any nonzero $f \in A_k(\Gamma)$ and let $D = \operatorname{div}(f) - (P_1 + \dots + P_t)$, where P_1, \dots, P_t are the cusps in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\deg(D) = \deg(\operatorname{div}(f)) - t$ and

$$\deg(\lfloor D \rfloor) = k(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) \right\rfloor + \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right)t \geq \frac{k-2}{2}d + (2g-2) > 2g-2.$$

Lemma 8.7 and Riemann–Roch imply $\dim S_k(\Gamma) = \ell(\lfloor D \rfloor) = \deg(\lfloor D \rfloor) - g + 1$, and we also have $\dim M_k(\Gamma) = \dim S_k(\Gamma) + \ell(\lfloor \operatorname{div}(f) \rfloor) - \ell(\lfloor D \rfloor) = \dim S_k(\Gamma) + t$.

This yields the following theorem.

Theorem 8.8. *Let $\Gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice, let e_1, \dots, e_r be the orders of the elliptic points in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, let t be the number of cusps in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, let g be the genus of X_Γ and let $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\dim S_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < 0 \text{ or } k = 0, t > 0 \\ 1 & k = t = 0 \\ g & k = 2 \\ (k-1)(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) \right\rfloor + \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right)t & k > 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim M_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < 0 \\ 1 & k = 0 \\ g & k = 2, t = 0 \\ g - 1 + t & k = 2, t > 0 \\ \dim S_k(\Gamma) + t & k > 2 \end{cases}$$

For odd $k \neq 1$ a similar series of calculations yields the following result.

Theorem 8.9. Let $\Gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice with $-1 \notin \Gamma$, let e_1, \dots, e_r be the orders of the elliptic points in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, let u and v be the number of regular and irregular cusps in $X_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$, let g be the genus of X_Γ and let $k \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\dim S_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < 0 \\ (k-1)(g-1) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{e_i}\right) \right\rfloor + \frac{k-2}{2}u + \frac{k-1}{2}v & k \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim M_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & k < 0 \\ \dim S_1(\Gamma) + \frac{u}{2} & k = 1 \\ \dim S_k(\Gamma) + \frac{u}{2} & k \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [1, Theorem 2.5.3] □

Remark 8.10. No general formula is known for $\dim S_1(\Gamma)$, even for congruence subgroups.

References

[1] Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular forms*, Springer, 2006.