

These notes summarize the material in §2.1 of [1] presented in lecture.

## 6.1 Automorphic forms

**Definition 6.1.** The **automorphy factor**  $j: \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$j(\gamma, z) := cz + d, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

in other words, the denominator of  $\gamma z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ .

**Lemma 6.2** (cocycle relation). For all  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z \in \mathbf{H}$  we have

$$j(\gamma_1\gamma_2, z) = j(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 z)j(\gamma_2, z)$$

*Proof.* Check. □

**Definition 6.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ . The **weight- $k$  slash operator** for  $\gamma$  acts on functions  $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via

$$(f|_k\gamma)(z) := (\det \gamma)^{k/2} j(\gamma, z)^{-k} f(\gamma z).$$

We have  $(f + g)|_k\gamma = f|_k\gamma + g|_k\gamma$  and  $(cf)|_k\gamma = c(f|_k\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f, g: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , thus the map  $f \mapsto f|_k\gamma$  is a linear operator on the vector space of functions  $\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Moreover, for every  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$f|_k(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = (f|_k\gamma_1)|_k\gamma_2.$$

In other words, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  the weight- $k$  slash operator defines a linear right group action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  on the vector space of functions  $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

We can omit the factor  $(\det \gamma)^{k/2}$  if we only care about  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . For scalars  $a \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  we have  $f|_ka = \mathrm{sgn}(a)^k f$  (so scalars, including  $-1$ , act trivially only when  $k$  is even).

**Definition 6.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a lattice in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  (equivalently, a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind), and let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that a meromorphic function  $f: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an **automorphic function** of weight  $k$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  if for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

$$f|_k\gamma = f.$$

The  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of automorphic functions of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma$  is denoted  $\Omega_k(\Gamma)$ .

We note the following (easily checked) facts:

- If  $k$  is odd and  $-1 \in \Gamma$  then  $\Omega_k(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ , since  $f_k|-1 = -f$  when  $k$  is odd.
- For lattices  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  we have  $\Omega_k(\Gamma) \subseteq \Omega_k(\Gamma')$  (inclusions are reversed).
- If  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  and  $g \in \Omega_l(\Gamma)$  then  $fg \in \Omega_{k+l}(\Gamma)$ .
- For  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $f|_k\alpha \in \Omega_k(\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha)$ .

Recall that a **graded ring** is a ring  $R$  whose additive group is an internal direct sum

$$R = \bigoplus_{i \in I} R_i$$

indexed by a monoid  $I$  (typically  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) such that  $R_i R_j \subseteq R_{i+j}$  for all  $i, j \in I$ . Note that this means each  $R_i$  is an  $R_0$ -module.

Thus  $\Omega(\Gamma) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  is a graded ring and each  $\Omega_k(\Gamma)$  is an  $\Omega_0(\Gamma)$ -module (this generalizes the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space structure of  $\Omega_k(\Gamma)$ , which can be viewed as multiplication by constant functions  $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \Omega_0(\Gamma)$ ).

Suppose  $\Gamma$  has a cusp  $x$  with stabilizer  $\Gamma_x$ , and pick  $\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  so that  $\sigma x = \infty$ . Then

$$\pm \sigma \Gamma_x \sigma^{-1} = \pm \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for some  $h > 0$ . Recall that a cusp  $x$  with  $\sigma x = \infty$  is **regular** if  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pm h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma \Gamma_x \sigma^{-1}$  and **irregular** otherwise (in which case  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & \pm h \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma \Gamma_x \sigma^{-1}$ ). For  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  we have  $f|_k \sigma^{-1} \in \Omega_k(\sigma \Gamma \sigma^{-1})$ , and if  $x$  is regular this implies that

$$(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z+h) = \left( (f|_k \sigma^{-1})|_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)(z) = (f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbf{H}$ ; this also holds when  $x$  is irregular and  $k$  is even, since  $f|_k \sigma^{-1}|_k - 1 = f|_k \sigma^{-1}$  for even  $k$ . There is then a function  $g: \mathbb{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (where  $\mathbb{D}_0 := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |z| < 1\}$ ) such that

$$(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z) = g(e^{2\pi iz/h})$$

for all  $z \in \mathbf{H}$ . We say  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  is meromorphic/holomorphic/vanishing at  $x$  if  $g$  is meromorphic/holomorphic/vanishing at 0. For  $x$  irregular and  $k$  odd we adopt the same terminology, using the  $g$  for  $f^2$  (which has even weight  $2k$ ). This definition does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

If  $g(w) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n w^n$  is the Laurent series expansion of  $g$  in a neighborhood about 0, then

$$(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(x) = \sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n e^{2\pi iz/h}$$

on some neighborhood  $U_l := \{z \in \mathbf{H} : \mathrm{Im}(z) > l\}$  of  $\infty$ . We thus have

$$(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z+h) = \begin{cases} (f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z) & \text{if } k \text{ is even or } x \text{ is regular,} \\ -(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } x \text{ is irregular,} \end{cases}$$

which yields the **Fourier expansion** of  $f$  at the cusp  $x$ :

$$(f|_k \sigma^{-1})(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\text{even } n \geq 0} a_n e^{\pi inz/h} & \text{if } k \text{ is even or } x \text{ is regular,} \\ \sum_{\text{odd } n \geq 0} a_n e^{\pi inz/h} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd and } x \text{ is irregular.} \end{cases}$$

Writing this in terms of  $q := e^{2\pi inz}$  yields the  **$q$ -expansion** of  $f$  at the cusp  $x$ .

**Definition 6.5.** We call an automorphic function  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$

- an **automorphic form** (of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma$ ) if  $f$  is meromorphic at every cusp of  $\Gamma$ ;
- a **modular form** (of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma$ ) if  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbf{H}$  and at every cusp of  $\Gamma$ ;
- a **cusp form** (of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma$ ) if  $f$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbf{H}$  and vanishes at every cusp of  $\Gamma$ ;

The  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces of automorphic/modular/cusp forms of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma$  are denoted  $A_k(\Gamma)$ ,  $M_k(\Gamma)$ ,  $S_k(\Gamma)$ , respectively. For lattices  $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$  of finite index we get corresponding inclusions in the reverse directions (when  $\Gamma$  has cusps this is not immediate, see [1, Lemma 2.1.3] for a proof), and we always have the inclusions

$$S_k(\Gamma) \subseteq M_k(\Gamma) \subseteq A_k(\Gamma) \subseteq \Omega_k(\Gamma).$$

If  $\Gamma$  has no cusps then  $\Omega_k(\Gamma) = A_k(\Gamma)$  and  $M_k(\Gamma) = S_k(\Gamma)$ , and for any  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  the map  $f \mapsto f|_k \alpha$  induces isomorphisms

$$A_k(\Gamma) \simeq A_k(\Gamma^\alpha), \quad M_k(\Gamma) \simeq M_k(\Gamma^\alpha), \quad S_k(\Gamma) \simeq S_k(\Gamma^\alpha),$$

where  $\Gamma^\alpha := \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$ . The product of two automorphic/modular/cusp forms of weights  $k$  and  $l$  is an automorphic/modular/cusp of weight  $k+l$  and we thus have graded rings

$$A(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k(\Gamma), \quad M(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k(\Gamma), \quad S(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_k(\Gamma).$$

The ratio of automorphic forms of weight  $k$  and  $l$  is an automorphic form of weight  $k-l$ , thus  $A(\Gamma)$  is a field, as is its subspace  $A_0(\Gamma)$ , and all the  $A_k(\Gamma)$  are  $A_0(\Gamma)$ -vector spaces. The field  $A_0(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to the field of meromorphic functions on the Riemann surface  $X_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^*$ .

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$  be holomorphic on  $\mathbf{H}$ . If  $f(z) = O(\mathrm{Im}(z)^{-\nu})$  as  $\mathrm{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly with respect to  $\mathrm{Re}(z)$ , for some  $\nu > 0$  then  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ , and if this holds with  $\nu < k$  then  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* This is [1, Thm. 2.1.4]. □

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma)$ . Then  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$  if and only if  $f(z)\mathrm{Im}(z)^{k/2}$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{H}$ .*

*Proof.* This is [1, Thm. 2.1.5]. □

**Corollary 6.8.** *Let  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\pi i n z / h}$  be the Fourier expansion of a cusp form  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$  at a cusp of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $a_n = O(n^{k/2})$ .*

**Remark 6.9.** The bound in Corollary 6.8 can be improved to  $a_n = O(n^{(k-1)/2})$  when  $\Gamma$  is a congruence subgroup [1, Thm. 4.5.17].

## 6.2 Automorphic forms with character

**Definition 6.10.** Let  $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a lattice. A **character** of  $\Gamma$  is a group homomorphism  $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  with finite image. This implies  $\chi(\Gamma) = \langle \zeta_n \rangle$ , where  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ ;  $n$  is the **order** of  $\chi$ .

**Definition 6.11.** a **Dirichlet character**  $\varepsilon$  is a periodic totally multiplicative function  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , equivalently, the extension by zero of a character of  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  for some **modulus**  $N$ .

Dirichlet characters  $\varepsilon$  are commonly used to define characters of  $\Gamma_0(N)$  via

$$\chi \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) := \varepsilon(d).$$

**Definition 6.12.** Let  $\chi$  be a character of a lattice  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . An automorphic function  $f \in \Omega_k(\Gamma_\chi)$  which satisfies

$$f|_k \gamma = \chi(\gamma)f$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is an **automorphic function for  $\Gamma$  with character  $\chi$** , and we use  $\Omega_k(\Gamma, \chi)$  to denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of all such functions. We similarly define the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$A(\Gamma, \chi) := A(\Gamma_\chi) \cap \Omega_k(\Gamma, \chi), \quad M(\Gamma, \chi) := M(\Gamma_\chi) \cap \Omega_k(\Gamma, \chi), \quad S(\Gamma, \chi) := S(\Gamma_\chi) \cap \Omega_k(\Gamma, \chi),$$

of automorphic/modular/cusp forms for  $\Gamma$  with character  $\chi$ .

Note that  $\Gamma_\chi$  has finite index in  $\Gamma$ , hence the same cusps as  $\Gamma$  (see [1, Cor. 1.5.5]), so automorphic forms in  $A(\Gamma, \chi)$  are meromorphic at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ , modular forms in  $M(\Gamma, \chi)$  are holomorphic at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ , and cusp forms in  $S(\Gamma, \chi)$  vanish at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ .

For any finite index  $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$  we have corresponding inclusions

$$A(\Gamma, \chi) \subseteq A(\Gamma', \chi'), \quad M(\Gamma, \chi) \subseteq M(\Gamma', \chi'), \quad S(\Gamma, \chi) \subseteq S(\Gamma', \chi')$$

where  $\chi'$  is the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\Gamma'$ . If  $f \in A_k(\Gamma, \chi)$  and  $g \in A_l(\Gamma, \psi)$  then  $fg \in A_{k+l}(\Gamma, \chi\psi)$ , and similarly for  $M_k$  and  $S_k$ . The product of a modular form and a cusp form is a cusp form, so we also have

$$M_k(\Gamma, \chi)S_l(\Gamma, \psi) \subseteq S_{k+l}(\Gamma, \chi\psi).$$

for any lattice  $\Gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  with characters  $\chi, \psi$ .

**Example 6.13.** Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . As we will prove in a later lecture, for the congruence subgroups  $\Gamma_0(N), \Gamma_1(N) \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we have the decompositions

$$M_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \quad \text{and} \quad S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi} S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi),$$

where the direct sums range over Dirichlet characters of modulus  $N$ .

### 6.3 The Petersson inner product

Let  $\chi$  be a character for a lattice  $\Gamma \leq \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $f, g \in M_k(\Gamma, \chi)$  with at least one in  $S_k(\Gamma, \chi)$ . Then  $fg \in S_{2k}(\Gamma, \chi^2)$  and for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

$$f(\gamma z)\overline{g(\gamma z)} \text{Im}(\gamma z)^k = f(z)\overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k,$$

where  $\overline{g(z)} := \overline{g(z)}$  denotes complex conjugation. By Theorem 6.7,  $|f(z)g(z)| \text{Im}(z)^k$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{H}$ , thus the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k dv(z)$$

is converges. Here  $dv(z) := \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$  is the hyperbolic measure on  $\mathbf{H}$  (with  $z = x + iy$ ). We define

$$\nu(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}_\Gamma^*) := \nu(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}) := \nu(F)$$

where  $F$  is any measurable fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$ . The fact that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice (a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind) implies that such an  $F$  exists (indeed, we can use any Dirichlet domain for  $\Gamma$ ), and that  $\nu(F) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is finite and independent of the choice of  $F$ .

We now define the **Petersson inner product** of  $f$  and  $g$  via

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \frac{1}{\nu(\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H})} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k dv(z) \in \mathbb{C},$$

which induces a positive definite Hermitian inner product on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $S_k(\Gamma, \chi)$ , making  $S_k(\Gamma, \chi)$  into a Hermitian space.

## References

- [1] Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular forms*, Springer, 2006.
- [2] Fred Diamond and Jerry Shurman, *A first course in modular forms*, Springer, 2005.
- [3] John Voight, *Quaternion algebras*, Springer, 2021.