

## 32 Lubin-Tate formal groups

The local Artin reciprocity theorem (Theorem 29.1) whose proof was completed in the previous lecture implies that for every finite abelian extension of local fields  $L/K$  we have a continuous surjective homomorphism

$$\theta_{L/K}: K^\times \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(L/K)$$

whose kernel is the *norm group*  $N(L^\times) := N_{L/K}(L^\times)$ , which we note is an open subgroup of  $K^\times$ , since it is the kernel of a continuous homomorphism whose image has the discrete topology. The norm limitation theorem (Theorem 31.8) implies that every norm group is the norm group of a finite abelian extension. To complete the proof of local class field theory we need to prove the existence theorem (Theorem 27.8), which states that every finite index open subgroup of  $K^\times$  arises as the norm group of a finite abelian extension  $L/K$ ; if it exists the field  $L$  is clearly unique, since it is the fixed field  $(K^{\text{ab}})^{\theta_K(N(L^\times))}$ .

The archimedean case is clear: any open subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$  must contain an open interval about 1, say  $U = (1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , but then it also contains  $\cup_{n \geq 1} U^n = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$ , which has index 2, so the only open subgroups are since  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times$  and  $\mathbb{R}^\times$ , corresponding to the norm groups  $N_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $N_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

We henceforth assume  $K$  is a nonarchimedean local field, with valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If we fix a uniformizer  $\pi$  for  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle \pi \rangle$ , then we have an isomorphism

$$K^\times \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \langle \pi \rangle,$$

and for every integer  $n \geq 1$  we have an open subgroup of  $K^\times$  defined by

$$U_{\pi,n} := (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n) \langle \pi \rangle.$$

If  $U_{\pi,n}$  is a norm group, then there is a finite abelian extension  $K_{\pi,n}/K$  with  $N(K_{\pi,n}^\times) = U_{\pi,n}$ .<sup>1</sup> Local artin reciprocity implies that

$$[K_{\pi,n} : K] = [K^\times : U_{\pi,n}] = [\mathcal{O}_K^\times : 1 + \mathfrak{p}^n] = (q - 1)q^{n-1},$$

where  $q := \#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$  is the cardinality of the residue field; so see the last equality, consider the  $\pi$ -adic expansions of elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . Note that  $\pi \in N(K_{\pi,n}^\times)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and this implies that  $K_{\pi,n}$  is totally ramified: the maximal unramified subextension  $E$  of  $K_{\pi,n}/K$  must satisfy  $N(E^\times) \supseteq \langle \pi, \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rangle = K^\times$ , since  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \subseteq N(E^\times)$  for any unramified extension (by Corollary 30.18) and  $\pi \in N(K_{\pi,n}^\times) \subseteq N(E^\times)$ , and this implies that  $\text{Gal}(E/K)$  is trivial and therefore  $E = K$ , by local Artin reciprocity. It follows that  $K_{\pi,1}/K$  is tamely ramified and  $K_{\pi,n}/K$  is wildly ramified for all  $n > 1$ .

To prove the local existence theorem it suffices to construct the fields  $K_{\pi,n}$  and show that they satisfy a certain compatibility with the local Artin homomorphism. More precisely, we have the following theorem, in which we assume that all separable extensions of  $K$ , including  $K^{\text{unr}}$  and  $K^{\text{ab}}$ , are contained in a fixed separable closure  $K^{\text{sep}}$ .

**Theorem 32.1.** *Let  $K$  be a nonarchimedean local field,  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and let  $q := \#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $K_m$  be the unique unramified extension of  $K$  of degree  $m$  in  $K^{\text{sep}}$  and let  $\text{Frob}_K \in \text{Gal}(K^{\text{unr}}/K)$  denote the Frobenius element. Suppose that the following hold for every uniformizer  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ :*

<sup>1</sup>We use  $N(L^\times)$  as shorthand for  $N_{L/K}(L^\times)$  for any finite extension  $L/K$ ; in this case  $L = K_{\pi,n}$ .

- (i) For every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  there is an abelian extension  $K_{\pi,n}/K$  of degree  $(q-1)q^{n-1}$  for which  $\pi \in N(K_{\pi,n}^\times)$ ; define  $K_{\pi,n,m} := K_{\pi,n}K_m$  and  $U_{\pi,n,m} := (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n)\langle \pi^m \rangle$ , and let  $K_\pi := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_{\pi,n}$  and  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} := K_\pi K^{\text{unr}}$ .
- (ii) There is a homomorphism  $\theta_\pi: K^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\pi^{\text{ab}}/K)$  such that  $\theta_\pi(\pi)|_{K^{\text{unr}}} = \text{Frob}_K$  and  $\theta_\pi(a)|_{K_{\pi,n,m}} = 1$  for all  $a \in U_{\pi,n,m}$ .

Suppose further that  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}}$  and  $\theta_\pi$  do not depend on the choice of  $\pi$ . Then  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} = K^{\text{ab}}$  and  $\theta_\pi = \theta_K$ , and every finite index open subgroup of  $K^\times$  is a norm group.

*Proof.* The field  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}}$  is abelian (it is a compositum of abelian extensions), thus  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} \subseteq K^{\text{ab}}$ . We have  $\theta_K(\pi) = \text{Frob}_K$ , by Theorem 31.7, and  $\pi \in N(K_{\pi,n}^\times)$ , by (i), so  $\theta_K(\pi) = \text{Frob}_K$  acts trivially on  $K_{\pi,n}$ , since  $\pi \in N(K_{\pi,n}^\times) = \ker \theta_{K_{\pi,n}/K}$ . By (ii),  $\theta_\pi(\pi)$  also acts trivially on  $K_{\pi,n}$ , since  $K_{\pi,n} = K_{\pi,n,1}$  and  $\pi \in U_{\pi,n} = U_{\pi,n,1}$ . It follows that  $\theta_K(\pi)|_{K_\pi} = \theta_\pi(\pi)|_{K_\pi}$ , since  $K_\pi = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_{\pi,n}$ . We also have  $\theta_\pi(\pi)|_{K^{\text{unr}}} = \text{Frob}_K = \theta_K(\pi)|_{K^{\text{unr}}}$ , by (ii), therefore  $\theta_K(\pi)|_{K_\pi^{\text{ab}}} = \theta_\pi(\pi)$ . This holds for every uniformizer  $\pi$ , so for any uniformizer  $\pi'$  we have

$$\theta_\pi(\pi') = \theta_{\pi'}(\pi') = \theta_K(\pi')|_{K_\pi^{\text{ab}}}.$$

under our assumption that  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} = K_{\pi'}^{\text{ab}}$  and  $\theta_\pi = \theta_{\pi'}$ . The uniformizers generate  $K^\times$ , therefore  $\theta_\pi(x) = \theta_K(x)|_{K_\pi^{\text{ab}}}$  for all  $x \in K^\times$ .

We know that  $\theta_\pi(a)$  acts trivially on  $K_{\pi,n,m}$  for all  $a \in U_{\pi,n,m}$ , by (ii), hence so does  $\theta_K(a)$  (by what we have just proved). Therefore  $U_{\pi,n,m} \subseteq N(K_{\pi,n,m}^\times)$ , by local Artin reciprocity. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} [K^\times : U_{\pi,n,m}] &= [\mathcal{O}_K^\times : 1 + \mathfrak{p}^n][\langle \pi \rangle : \langle \pi^m \rangle] \\ &= (q-1)q^n m \\ &= [K_{\pi,n} : K][K_m : K] \\ &= [K_{\pi,m,n} : K], \\ &= [K^\times : N(K_{\pi,m,n}^\times)] \end{aligned}$$

where we have used  $K_{\pi,n} \cap K_m = K$  (since  $K_{\pi,n}$  is totally ramified) and local Artin reciprocity. Thus  $U_{\pi,n,m} = N(K_{\pi,n,m}^\times)$  is the norm group of  $K_{\pi,n,m}$ .

For any finite abelian extension  $L/K$ , the norm group  $N(L^\times)$  is a finite index open subgroup of  $K^\times$ . It thus contains  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  (these form a system of neighborhoods about 1 with radii tending to zero), and it also contains  $\pi^{[L:K]}$ , since  $N_{L/K}(x) = x^{[L:K]}$  for every  $x \in K^\times$ . Thus  $U_{\pi,n,m} \subseteq N(L^\times)$  for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . By Corollary 27.5 we have

$$L \subseteq K_{\pi,n,m} = K_{\pi,n}K_m \subseteq K_\pi K^{\text{unr}} = K_\pi^{\text{ab}},$$

and therefore  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} = K^{\text{ab}}$ . It follows that  $\theta_\pi = \theta_K$ , since we have shown that  $\theta_\pi(x)$  and  $\theta_K(x)$  agree on  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}}$  for all  $x \in K^\times$ .

Now consider any finite index open subgroup  $U \subseteq K^\times$ . The intersection  $U \cap \langle \pi \rangle$  must be nontrivial because  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  has infinite index in  $K^\times \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \langle \pi \rangle$ ; thus  $U$  contains  $\langle \pi^m \rangle$  for some  $m$ . As noted above, the groups  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^n$  form a fundamental system of neighborhoods about 1, so  $U$  contains  $1 + \mathfrak{p}^n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , and therefore  $U_{\pi,n,m} \subseteq U$  for some  $m, n \geq 1$ . If we now let  $H := \theta_{K_{\pi,n,m}/K}(U)$  and consider the fixed field  $L := K_{\pi,n,m}^H$ , we have  $N(L^\times) = U$ , by local Artin reciprocity, thus  $U$  is a norm group as claimed.  $\square$

To complete the proof of local class field theory, we need to construct fields  $K_{\pi,n}$  and a homomorphism  $\theta_\pi$  that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 32.1; in particular, our construction must produce a totally ramified extension  $K_\pi := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_{\pi,n}$  such that  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} K_\pi K^{\text{unr}}$  is independent of  $\pi$ , as is the homomorphism  $\theta_\pi: K^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_\pi^{\text{ab}}/K)$ . We will use the theory of Lubin-Tate formal group laws to do this, following the presentation in [1, §1.2].

**Remark 32.2.** We should note that the totally ramified fields  $K_\pi$  do depend on  $\pi$ ; there is no unique maximal totally ramified abelian extension of  $K$ . It is only the compositum  $K_\pi^{\text{ab}} := K_\pi K^{\text{unr}}$  that is independent of  $\pi$ . This is directly analogous to the fact that the decomposition  $K^\times \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^\times \times \langle \pi \rangle$  depends on  $\pi$ , in the sense that the isomorphism  $x \mapsto (x/\pi^v(x), \pi^v(x))$  depends on  $\pi$ , even though  $K^\times$  does not.

### 32.1 Formal groups

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring and  $A[[T]]$  the ring of formal power series  $f(T) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n$  with coefficients in  $A$ . We should note that writing elements of  $A[[T]]$  as sums of terms  $a_n T^n$  is purely a notational convenience, we could equivalently view elements of  $A[[T]]$  as sequences indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  that we add component wise and multiply using convolutions:  $(fg)_k := \sum_{i+j=k} f_i g_j$ . In particular, we should not view elements of  $A[[T]]$  as functions.

In addition to the ring operations, we also have a composition operation  $f \circ g$  defined whenever the constant term of  $g$  is zero (without this restriction the constant term of  $f \circ g$  would be undefined; we cannot formally sum infinitely many elements of  $A$ ). This still make sense when one of  $f$  or  $g$  is a power series in several variables. For any  $f \in A[[T]]$  and  $g \in A[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$  both with constant term zero we define

$$(f \circ g)(X_1, \dots, X_r) := f(g(X_1, \dots, X_r)), \quad (g \circ f)(X_1, \dots, X_r) := g(f(X_1), \dots, f(X_r)).$$

We note that the ideal  $TA[[T]]$  generated by  $T$  is precisely the set of univariate power series with constant term 0.

**Lemma 32.3.** *Let  $A[[T]]$  be a formal power series ring over a commutative ring  $A$ . The following hold:*

- (i) *For all  $f \in A[[T]]$  and  $g, h \in TA[[T]]$  we have  $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$ .*
- (ii) *For each  $f \in TA[[T]]$  there exists  $g \in TA[[T]]$  such that  $f \circ g = T$  if and only if the coefficient of  $T$  in  $f$  is a unit in  $A$ .*
- (iii) *The elements of  $TA[[T]]$  for which the coefficient of  $T$  is a unit form a group under composition, with identity  $T$ . In particular,  $f \circ g = T$  if and only if  $g \circ f = T$ .*

*Proof.* For (i) we note that  $f^n \circ g = (f \circ g)^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ ; this is clear for  $n = 0, 1$  and for  $n > 1$  we may inductively compute

$$f^n \circ g = (f f^{n-1}) \circ g = (f \circ g)(f^{n-1} \circ g) = (f \circ g)(f \circ g)^{n-1} = (f \circ g)^n$$

If  $f = a_n T^n$  then  $f \circ (g \circ h) = a_n (g \circ h)^n = a_n g^n \circ h = (f \circ g) \circ h$ , and this extends to  $f = \sum a_n T^n$ , since  $(f_1 + f_2) \circ (g \circ h) = f_1 \circ (g \circ h) + f_2 \circ (g \circ h)$  for all  $f_1, f_2 \in A[[T]]$ .

For (ii), let  $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n T^n$  be given; we will attempt to construct  $g = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n T^n$  such that  $f \circ g = T$ . We must have  $f_1 g_1 = 1$ , which is possible if and only if  $f_1$  is a unit, which we now assume. We next require  $f_1 g_2 + f_2 g_1^2 = 0$ , which has a unique solution  $g_2$  because

$f_1$  is a unit. Continuing in this fashion,  $g_n$  is the unique solution to an equation of the form  $f_1 g_n + \dots = 0$ , and this determines the coefficients of  $g \in A[[T]]$  satisfying  $f \circ g = T$ .

For (iii), it follows from (i) that we have a semigroup, it is clear that  $T$  is a right identity, and (ii) implies the existence of right inverses; it follows that we have a group, so right inverses are also left inverses (and unique) and  $T$  is the unique identity.  $\square$

**Definition 32.4.** A (one parameter) *formal group law* over a commutative ring  $A$  is a power series  $F \in A[[X, Y]]$  in two variables such that

- (i)  $F(X, Y) = X + Y + \sum_{i+j>1} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$ ;
- (ii)  $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$ .

A *homomorphism of formal group laws*  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  is a power series  $\phi \in TA[[T]]$  such that

$$\phi \circ F = G \circ \phi.$$

When  $G = F$  we call  $\phi$  an *endomorphism of formal group laws*. If there exist homomorphisms of formal group laws  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  and  $\psi: G \rightarrow F$  such that  $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi = T$ , then we call  $\phi$  (and  $\psi$ ) *isomorphisms of formal group laws* and write  $F \simeq G$ , and in the case  $G = F$  we call  $\phi$  an *automorphism of formal group laws*.

**Lemma 32.5.** A homomorphism  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  of formal group laws over a commutative ring  $A$  is an isomorphism if and only if the coefficient of  $T$  in  $\phi$  is a unit in  $A$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 32.3 part (ii), in order for  $\phi$  to be an isomorphism the coefficient of  $T$  in  $\phi$  must be a unit, which we now assume. Let  $\psi \in TA[[T]]$  be the inverse of  $\phi$  under composition. Then  $\phi \circ \psi = T = \psi \circ \phi$ , we just need to check that  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(G, F)$ . We have  $G = G \circ \phi \circ \psi = \phi \circ F \circ \psi$ , so  $\psi \circ G = \psi \circ \phi \circ F \circ \psi = F \circ \psi$  as desired.  $\square$

If  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  and  $\psi: G \rightarrow H$  are homomorphisms of formal group laws, then so is their composition  $\psi \circ \phi: F \rightarrow H$ , and  $\phi(T) = T$  is an automorphism of formal group laws that acts as the identity with respect to composition. If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of commutative rings and  $F(X, Y) = X + Y + \sum_{i+j>1} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$  is a formal group law over  $A$ , then the power series  $\varphi_*(F) := X + Y + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \varphi(a_{ij}) X^i Y^j$  is a formal group law over  $B$ , and if  $\phi(T) = \sum_{i+j>1} a_i T^i$  is a homomorphism of formal group laws  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ , then the power series  $\varphi_*(\phi) := \sum_{i \geq 1} \varphi(a_i) T^i$  is a homomorphism of formal group laws  $\varphi_*(\phi): \varphi_*(F) \rightarrow \varphi_*(G)$ .

**Proposition 32.6.** Let  $F \in A[[X, Y]]$  be a formal group law. The following hold:

- (i)  $F(X, 0) = X$  and  $F(0, Y) = Y$ ;
- (ii) There is a unique  $i_F \in T[A[[T]]]$  such that  $F(T, i_F(T)) = 0$ ;

If  $A$  contains no nonzero torsion elements that are also nilpotent then we also have

- (iii)  $F(X, Y) = F(Y, X)$ .

*Proof.* See Problem Set 2.  $\square$

Formal groups laws that satisfy property (iii) of Proposition 32.6 are *commutative*; the proposition implies if  $A$  is a reduced ring (an integral domain, for example), then all formal group laws over  $A$  are commutative. This applies in all the rings of interest to us.

**Example 32.7.** The *additive formal group law*  $\mathbb{G}_a$  defined by  $\mathbb{G}_a(X, Y) = X + Y$  and the *multiplicative formal group law*  $\mathbb{G}_m$  defined by

$$\mathbb{G}_m(X, Y) = X + Y + XY = (1 + X)(1 + Y) - 1$$

are examples of formal group laws over any commutative ring  $A$ .

**Example 32.8.** Let  $F$  be a commutative formal group law over a commutative ring  $A$ . For each integer  $n$  inductively define the power series  $[n]_F \in TA[[T]]$  by putting  $[0]_F := 0$ , inductively defining  $[n]_F(T) := F([n-1]_F(T), T)$  and  $[-n]_F(T) := i_F([n]_F(T))$  for  $n \geq 1$ . One can show that  $[n]_F(T)$  is an endomorphism of the formal group law  $F$ , and that it is an automorphism if and only if  $n$  is a unit in  $A^\times$ .

If  $F(X, Y)$  is any formal group law on a commutative ring  $A$ , the binary operation

$$\phi +_F \psi := F(\phi(T), \psi(T))$$

makes the set  $TA[[T]]$  into a group: closure and associativity follow from the definition of a formal group law, the identity element is 0 (by part (i) of Proposition 32.6), and inverses are given by  $-_F \phi := i_F \circ \phi$ , by part (ii) of Proposition 32.6.

**Proposition 32.9.** *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with no nonzero torsion nilpotents and let  $F$  and  $G$  be formal group laws over  $A$ . The set of all homomorphisms  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  is an abelian group  $\text{Hom}(F, G)$  under the operation  $+_G$ , and the set of all endomorphisms  $\phi: F \rightarrow F$  with the addition operation  $+_F$  and multiplication given by composition is a (not necessarily commutative) ring  $\text{End}(F)$  with multiplicative identity  $T$  and unit group  $\text{Aut}(F)$  consisting of all automorphisms of the formal group law  $F$ .*

*Proof.* The hypothesis on  $A$  implies  $G(X, Y) = G(Y, X)$ , by part (iii) of Proposition 32.6, so  $+_G$  is commutative. To prove the first statement we only need to show that  $\text{Hom}(F, G)$  is closed under  $+_G$ , since  $TA[[T]]$  is an abelian group under  $+_G$ . For any  $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(F, G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi +_G \psi)(F(X, Y)) &= G(\phi(F(X, Y)), \psi(F(X, Y))) && \text{(definition of } +_G) \\ &= G(G(\phi(X), \phi(Y)), G(\psi(X), \psi(Y))) && (\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}(F, G)) \\ &= G(\phi(X), G(\phi(Y), G(\psi(X), \psi(Y)))) && \text{(associativity)} \\ &= G(\phi(X), G(G(\psi(X), \psi(Y)), \phi(Y))) && \text{(commutativity)} \\ &= G(G(\phi(X), G(\psi(X), \psi(Y))), \phi(Y)) && \text{(associativity)} \\ &= G(G(G(\phi(X), \psi(X)), \psi(Y)), \phi(Y)) && \text{(associativity)} \\ &= G(G(\phi(X), \psi(X)), G(\psi(Y), \phi(Y))) && \text{(associativity)} \\ &= G(G(\phi(X), \psi(X)), G(\phi(Y), \psi(Y))) && \text{(commutativity)} \\ &= G((\phi +_G \psi)(X), (\phi +_G \psi)(Y)) && \text{(definition of } +_G) \end{aligned}$$

For the second statement, the associativity of composition of power series in  $TA[[T]]$  is given by Lemma 32.3, and it is clear that  $T$  is the identity with respect to composition, so we just need to check the distributive law. For any  $\phi, \psi, \varphi \in \text{End}(F)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi +_F \psi) \circ \varphi &= F(\phi(T), \psi(T))(\varphi(T)) = F(\phi(\varphi(T)), \psi(\varphi(T))) = (\phi \circ \varphi) +_F (\psi \circ \varphi), \\ \varphi \circ (\phi +_F \psi) &= \varphi(F(\phi(T), \psi(T))) = F(\varphi(\phi(T)), \varphi(\psi(T))) = (\varphi \circ \phi) +_F (\varphi \circ \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\varphi \in \text{End}(F)$  to get the second equality of the second line. The fact that  $\text{Aut}(F)$  is the unit group of  $\text{End}(F)$  is immediate.  $\square$

### 32.2 Formal group laws over complete DVRs

Let us now specialize to the case where the  $A$  is a complete DVR. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $A$ . If  $F(X, Y)$  is a formal group law over  $A$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$  the series  $F(x, y)$  converges to an element of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ; indeed, if we define  $F_n(X, Y) := F(X, Y) \bmod (X^n, Y^n)$ , the sequence  $(F_n(x, y))_n$  is Cauchy, since  $v(F_m(x, y) - F_n(x, y)) \geq N$  for all  $m, n \geq N$ , and therefore converges in our complete ring  $A$ , and it converges to an element with positive valuation (hence an element of  $\mathfrak{m}$ ), since the constant term of  $F(X, Y)$  is zero.

The binary operation

$$x +_F y := F(x, y)$$

makes the set  $\mathfrak{m}$  into an abelian group with identity element 0 and inverse  $-_F x := i_F(x)$  via parts (i) and (ii) of Proposition 32.6; note that associativity is implied by the definition of a formal group law and commutativity is given by part (iii) of Proposition 32.6, since  $A$  is an integral domain. The group  $F(\mathfrak{m}) := (\mathfrak{m}, +_F)$  is the *group associated to  $F/A$* . Note that if  $x, y$  lie in an ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^n$ , then so does  $F(x, y)$ , thus we have a filtration of  $F(\mathfrak{m})$  by subgroups  $F(\mathfrak{m}^n) := (\mathfrak{m}^n, +_F)$ . The group  $F(\mathfrak{m})$  is also a topological group (in the subspace topology from  $A$ ), since the group operation is defined by the power series  $F$ , which is continuous as a map  $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ , as is the map  $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$  defined by the power series  $i_F$ .

If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of complete DVRs (as topological rings), then we have an induced homomorphism  $F(\varphi): F(\mathfrak{m}_A) \rightarrow \varphi_*(F)(\mathfrak{m}_B)$  of topological groups, where  $\mathfrak{m}_A$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  are the maximal ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. This applies in particular when  $\varphi$  is an inclusion map, so we can view a formal group law over  $A$  as a functor from the category of complete DVRs extending  $A$  to the category of topological abelian groups.

If  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  is a homomorphism of formal group laws over  $A$ , then  $\phi(x)$  converges to an element of  $\mathfrak{m}$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$  (since  $\phi$  has constant term zero), and we have an induced group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: F(\mathfrak{m}) &\rightarrow G(\mathfrak{m}) \\ a &\mapsto \phi(a). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is any ring homomorphism, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathfrak{m}_A) & \xrightarrow{F(\varphi)} & F(\mathfrak{m}_B) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \varphi_*(\phi) \\ G(\mathfrak{m}_A) & \xrightarrow{G(\varphi)} & G(\mathfrak{m}_B). \end{array}$$

We can thus view  $\phi$  as a morphism of functors (a natural transformation).

**Example 32.10.** Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k := A/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$  and we have an exact sequence of topological groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(\mathfrak{m}) \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have  $\mathbb{G}_m(\mathfrak{m}) \simeq 1 + \mathfrak{m} \subseteq A^\times$  and an exact sequence of topological groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m(\mathfrak{m}) \xrightarrow{a \mapsto 1+a} A^\times \longrightarrow k^\times \longrightarrow 1.$$

The endomorphisms  $[n]_{\mathbb{G}_a}(T) = nT$  and  $[n]_{\mathbb{G}_m}(T) = (1 + T)^n - 1$  corresponds to the multiplication-by- $n$  and  $n$ -power maps on  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ , respectively.

### 32.3 Lubin-Tate group laws

We now specialize further and assume that  $A$  is a complete DVR with finite residue field; this is equivalent to assuming that  $A$  is the valuation ring of a nonarchimedean local field, by Proposition 9.6.

**Definition 32.11.** Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field of cardinality  $q$ . For each uniformizer  $\pi$  of  $A$  we define the set

$$\Phi(\pi) := \{\phi \in TA[[T]] : \phi(T) \equiv \pi T \pmod{T^2} \text{ and } \phi(T) \equiv T^q \pmod{\pi}\}.$$

One should think of elements of  $\Phi(\pi)$  as ‘‘Frobenius endomorphisms’’; we will show that for each  $\phi \in \Phi(\pi)$  there is a unique formal group law  $F_\phi(X, Y)$  such that  $\phi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$ . If  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A/(\pi)$  is the natural map from  $A$  to its residue field, then  $\varphi_*(\phi)$  is the  $q$ -power Frobenius map  $x \mapsto x^q$ .

For a power series ring  $R$  over a commutative ring  $A$  (in any number of variables), let  $R_n$  denote the  $A$ -submodule consisting of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $n$ ; we have an obvious  $A$ -module isomorphism  $R \simeq \prod_n R_n$  given by collecting terms of the same degree. We define the  $A$ -submodules  $R_{\leq n} := \prod_{i \leq n} R_i$  and  $R_{> n} := \prod_{i > n} R_i$ ; the latter is simply the  $R$ -ideal generated by  $R_{n+1}$ .

**Proposition 32.12.** Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field of cardinality  $q$  and uniformizer  $\pi$ , let  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi(\pi)$ , let  $r$  be a positive integer, and let  $R := A[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]$ . For every  $F_1 \in R_1$  there is a unique  $F \in R$  such that  $F \equiv F_1 \pmod{R_{>1}}$  and  $\phi \circ F = F \circ \psi$ .

*Proof.* We will show by induction that there is a unique  $F_n \in R_{\leq n}$  for which we have (i)  $F_n \equiv F_1 \pmod{R_{>1}}$ , (ii)  $\phi \circ F_n \equiv F_n \circ \psi \pmod{R_{>n}}$ , and (iii)  $F_n \equiv F_{n-1} \pmod{R_{>n-1}}$  if  $n > 1$ . We may then take  $F := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n$  and the proposition follows.

For  $n = 1$  we have  $\phi \circ F_1 \equiv \pi F_1 \equiv F_1 \circ \psi \pmod{R_{>1}}$ , so (ii) holds, (i) is given, and (iii) is vacuous; it is clear that  $F_1$  is the unique solution for  $n = 1$ . For  $n > 1$  the inductive hypothesis implies that there is a unique homogeneous polynomial  $P_{n+1} \in R_{n+1}$  such that

$$\phi \circ F_n - F_n \circ \psi \equiv P_{n+1} \pmod{R_{>n+1}}.$$

Since  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi(\pi)$  we have

$$\phi \circ F_n - F_n \circ \psi \equiv F_n(X_1, \dots, X_r)^q - F_n(X_1^q, \dots, X_r^q) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$$

since  $x \mapsto x^q$  is an automorphism modulo  $\pi$ , so  $\pi$  divides  $\phi \circ F_n - F_n \circ \psi$  and therefore  $P_{n+1}$ . We also note that  $\pi^n - 1$  has valuation 0 and is thus invertible in  $A$ , so we may define

$$F_{n+1} := F_n + \frac{P_{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1} - \pi} \in R_{\leq n+1}.$$

Now  $P_{n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{R_{>n}}$ , so  $F_{n+1} \equiv F_n \pmod{R_{>n}}$ , thus (iii) and (i) hold for  $n + 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ P_{n+1} - \psi \circ P_{n+1} &\equiv \pi P_{n+1}(X_1, \dots, X_r) - P_{n+1}(\pi X_1, \dots, \pi X_r) \pmod{R_{>n+1}} \\ &\equiv (\pi - \pi^{n+1})P_{n+1} \pmod{R_{>n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $P_{n+1}$  is homogeneous of degree  $n + 1$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ F_{n+1} - F_{n+1} \circ \psi &\equiv \phi \circ F_n + \frac{\phi \circ P_{n+1}}{\pi^{n+1} - \pi} - F_n \circ \psi - \frac{P_{n+1} \circ \psi}{\pi^{n+1} - \pi} \pmod{R_{>n+1}} \\ &\equiv P_{n+1} + \frac{\phi \circ P_{n+1} - P_{n+1} \circ \psi}{\pi^{n+1} - \pi} \pmod{R_{>n+1}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{R_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

so (ii) holds as well, and the uniqueness of  $P_{n+1}$  implies the uniqueness of  $F_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 32.13.** *Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field and uniformizer  $\pi$ . For every  $\phi \in \Phi(\pi)$  there is a unique formal group law  $F_\phi$  over  $A$  such that  $\phi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 32.12, there is a unique  $F_\phi \in R := A[[X, Y]]$  satisfying the constraints  $F_\phi \equiv X + Y \pmod{R_{>1}}$  and  $\phi(F_\phi(X, Y)) = F_\phi(\phi(X), \phi(Y))$  which must hold for any formal group law  $F$  over  $A$  for which  $\phi \in \text{End}(F)$ . We only need to check that  $F_\phi$  is actually a formal group law: we also require  $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$ .

The power series  $G(X, Y, Z) := F_\phi(X, F_\phi(Y, Z))$  and  $G'(X, Y, Z) := F_\phi(F_\phi(X, Y), Z)$  satisfy  $G \equiv X + Y + Z \equiv G' \pmod{R_{>1}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \circ G &= \phi(F_\phi(X, F_\phi(Y, Z))) = F_\phi(\phi(X), F_\phi(\phi(Y), \phi(Z))) = G \circ \phi \\ \phi \circ G' &= \phi(F_\phi(F_\phi(X, Y), Z)) = F_\phi(F_\phi(\phi(X), \phi(Y)), \phi(Z)) = G' \circ \phi\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 32.12 implies that there is a unique  $G \in A[[X, Y, Z]]$  congruent to  $X + Y + Z$  modulo  $R_{>1}$  that satisfies  $\phi(G(X, Y, Z)) = G(\phi(X), \phi(Y), \phi(Z))$ , so we must have  $G' = G$  and therefore  $F(X, F(Y, Z)) = F(F(X, Y), Z)$  as desired.  $\square$

Formal group laws of the form  $F_\phi$  given by Proposition 32.13, where  $\phi \in \Phi(\pi)$  for some uniformizer  $\pi$  of a complete DVR  $A$  with finite residue field are known as *Lubin-Tate formal group laws* (for the uniformizer  $\pi$ ).

**Definition 32.14.** Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field and uniformizer  $\pi$ . For  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi(\pi)$  and  $a \in A$ , let  $[a]_{\phi, \psi}$  be the unique element of  $TA[[T]]$  that satisfies  $[a]_{\phi, \psi} \equiv aT \pmod{T^2}$  and  $\phi \circ [a]_{\phi, \psi} = [a]_{\phi, \psi} \circ \psi$  given by Proposition 32.12. Let  $[a]_\phi := [a]_{\phi, \phi}$ .

**Proposition 32.15.** *Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field and uniformizer  $\pi$ . For all  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi(\pi)$  the following hold:*

- (i)  $[a]_{\phi, \psi} \in \text{Hom}(F_\psi, F_\phi)$  for all  $a \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $[1]_{\phi, \psi}$  gives a canonical isomorphism  $F_\psi \xrightarrow{\sim} F_\phi$ .

Here  $F_\phi$  and  $F_\psi$  are the Lubin-Tate formal group laws for the uniformizer  $\pi$  corresponding to  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varphi := [a]_{\phi, \psi}$  and  $R := A[[X, Y]]$ . We have  $\varphi \equiv aT \pmod{T^2}$ , so  $\varphi \in TA[[T]]$ , and

$$\varphi \circ F_\psi \equiv aX + aY \equiv F_\phi \circ \varphi \pmod{R_{>1}}.$$

We have  $\phi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \psi$  with  $\phi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$  and  $\psi \in \text{End}(F_\psi)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \circ (\varphi \circ F_\psi) &= (\phi \circ \varphi) \circ F_\psi = (\varphi \circ \psi) \circ F_\psi = \varphi \circ (\psi \circ F_\psi) = \varphi \circ (F_\psi \circ \psi) = (\varphi \circ F_\psi) \circ \psi \\ \phi \circ (F_\phi \circ \varphi) &= (\phi \circ F_\phi) \circ \varphi = (F_\phi \circ \phi) \circ \varphi = F_\phi \circ (\phi \circ \varphi) = F_\phi \circ (\varphi \circ \psi) = (F_\phi \circ \varphi) \circ \psi.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 32.12 now implies  $\varphi \circ F_\psi = F_\phi \circ \varphi$ , so  $[a]_{\phi, \psi} = \varphi \in \text{Hom}(F_\psi, F_\phi)$ .

(ii) By (i) and Lemma 32.5,  $[1]_{\phi, \psi}$  is an isomorphism  $F_\psi \rightarrow F_\phi$ , and it is clearly canonical (since 1 is).  $\square$

**Proposition 32.16.** *Let  $A$  be a complete DVR with finite residue field and uniformizer  $\pi$ . For each  $\phi \in \Phi(\pi)$  the map  $a \mapsto [a]_\phi$  is an injective ring homomorphism  $A \hookrightarrow \text{End}(F_\phi)$  that sends  $\pi$  to  $\phi$  and  $A$  into the centralizer of  $\phi$ .*



*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 32.15 that  $[a]_\phi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$  for all  $a \in A$ ; the map  $a \mapsto [a]_\phi$  is clearly injective, since  $[a]_\phi \equiv aT \pmod{T^2}$ . It follows from Proposition 32.12 that every  $\varphi \in \text{End}(F_\phi)$  for which  $\phi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \phi$  is uniquely determined by its reduction modulo  $T^2$ . This applies in particular to every  $\varphi$  of the form  $[a]_\phi$ , since the condition  $\phi \circ [a]_\phi = [a]_\phi \circ \phi$  was used to define  $[a]_\phi$ . For all  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a]_\phi +_{F_\phi} [b]_\phi &\equiv aT + bT \equiv [a + b]_\phi \pmod{T^2} \\ [a]_\phi \circ [b]_\phi &\equiv abT \equiv [ab]_\phi \pmod{T^2} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $[a]_\phi +_{F_\phi} [b]_\phi = [a + b]_\phi$  and  $[a]_\phi \circ [b]_\phi = [ab]_\phi$ . We also have  $[1]_\phi \equiv T \pmod{T^2}$ , and  $\phi \circ T = \phi \circ T$ , so we must have  $[1]_\phi = T$ . It follows that the map  $a \mapsto [a]_\phi$  is a ring homomorphism. Finally,  $[\pi]_\phi \equiv \pi T \equiv \phi \pmod{T^2}$ , and  $\phi \circ \phi = \phi \circ \phi$ , so  $[\pi]_\phi = \phi$ , and  $[a]_\phi$  commutes with  $\phi$  (both by construction and because  $A$  is commutative) for all  $a \in A$ .  $\square$

It follows from Proposition 32.16 that if  $A$  is complete DVR with finite residue field and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then for any choice of uniformizer  $\pi$  and any  $\phi \in \Phi(\pi)$ , the group  $F_\phi(\mathfrak{m}) = (\mathfrak{m}, +_{F_\phi})$  has an  $A$ -module structure defined by  $ax := [a]_\phi(x)$ , for any  $a \in A$  and  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ , in which  $\pi$  corresponds to the endomorphism  $\phi$  whose reduction modulo  $\pi$  is the Frobenius map  $x \mapsto x^q$ , where  $q := \#(A/\mathfrak{m})$ . Proposition 32.15 implies that up to a canonical isomorphism, the  $A$ -module  $F_\phi(\mathfrak{m})$  depends only on  $\pi$ , not on the choice of  $\phi$ .

If  $B/A$  is a finite extension of complete DVRs with finite residue fields, and  $\mathfrak{m}_B$  is the maximal ideal of  $B$ , then  $F_\phi(\mathfrak{m}_B)$  is also an  $A$ -module, via the embedding  $A \hookrightarrow \text{End}(F_\phi)$ .

## References

- [1] J.S. Milne, *Class field theory*, version 4.02, 2013.