# 12 The different and the discriminant

### 12.1 The different

We continue in our usual AKLB setup: A is a Dedekind domain, K is its fraction field, L/K is a finite separable extension, and B is the integral closure of A in L (a Dedekind domain with fraction field L). We would like to understand the primes that ramify in L/K. Recall that a prime  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$  of L is unramified if and only if  $e_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$  and  $B/\mathfrak{q}$  is a separable extension of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ , equivalently, if and only if  $B/\mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}$  is a finite étale  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  algebra (by Theorem 4.40). A prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of K is unramified if and only if all the primes  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$  lying above it are unramified, equivalently, if and only if the ring  $B/\mathfrak{p}B$  is a finite étale  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  algebra.

Our main tools for studying ramification are the different  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  and discriminant  $D_{B/A}$ . The different is a B-ideal that is divisible by precisely the ramified primes  $\mathfrak{q}$  of L, and the discriminant is an A-ideal divisible by precisely the ramified primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of K. Moreover, the valuation  $v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A})$  will give us information about the ramification index  $e_{\mathfrak{q}}$  (its exact value when  $\mathfrak{q}$  is tamely ramified).

Recall from Lecture 5 the trace pairing  $L \times L \to K$  defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto \mathrm{T}_{L/K}(xy)$ ; under our assumption that L/K is separable, it is a perfect pairing. An A-lattice M in L is a finitely generated A-module that spans L as a K-vector space (see Definition 5.17). Every A-lattice M in L has a dual lattice (see Definition 5.19)

$$M^* := \{ x \in L : T_{L/K}(xm) \in A \ \forall m \in M \},$$

which is an A-lattice in L isomorphic to the dual A-module  $M^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, A)$  (see Theorem 5.20). In our AKLB setting we have  $M^{**} = M$ , by Proposition 5.24.

Every fractional ideal I of B is finitely generated as a B-module, and therefore finitely generated as an A module (since B is finite over A). If I is nonzero, it necessarily spans L, since B does. It follows that every element of the group  $\mathcal{I}_B$  of nonzero fractional ideals of B is an A-lattice in L. We now show that  $\mathcal{I}_B$  is closed under the operation of taking duals.

**Lemma 12.1.** Assume AKLB. If  $I \in \mathcal{I}_B$  then  $I^* \in \mathcal{I}_B$ .

*Proof.* The dual lattice  $I^*$  is a finitely generated A-module, thus to show that it is a finitely generated B-module it is enough to show it is closed under multiplication by elements of B. So consider any  $b \in B$  and  $x \in I^*$ . For all  $m \in I$  we have  $\mathrm{T}_{L/K}((bx)m) = \mathrm{T}_{L/K}(x(bm)) \in A$ , since  $x \in I^*$  and  $bm \in I$ , so  $bx \in I^*$  as desired.

**Definition 12.2.** Assume AKLB. The different  $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$  of L/K (and the different  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  of B/A), is the inverse of  $B^*$  in  $\mathcal{I}_B$ . Explicitly, we have

$$B^* := \{ x \in L : T_{L/K}(xb) \in A \text{ for all } b \in B \},$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{D}_{L/K} := \mathcal{D}_{B/A} := (B^*)^{-1} = B \div B^* = \{x \in L : xB^* \subseteq B\}.$$

Note that  $B \subseteq B^*$ , since  $T_{L/K}(ab) \in A$  for  $a, b \in B$  (by Corollary 5.7), and this implies  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = (B^*)^{-1} \subseteq B^{-1} = B$ . Thus the different is an ideal, not just a fractional ideal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that  $B/\mathfrak{q}^{e_q}$  is reduced if and only if  $e_{\mathfrak{q}}=1$ ; consider the image of a uniformizer in  $B/\mathfrak{q}^{e_q}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>As usual, by a *prime* of A or K we mean a nonzero prime ideal of A, and similarly for B and L. The notation  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$  means that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime of B lying above  $\mathfrak{p}$  (so  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  divides  $\mathfrak{p}B$ ).

The different respects localization and completion.

**Proposition 12.3.** Assume AKLB and let S be a multiplicative subset of A. Then

$$S^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = \mathcal{D}_{S^{-1}B/S^{-1}A}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that inverses and duals are both compatible with localization, by Lemmas 3.1 and 5.23.

**Proposition 12.4.** Assume AKLB and let  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of B. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \mathcal{D}_{B/A}\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}},$$

where  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  are the completions of A and B at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{L} := L \otimes_K K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the base change of the finite étale K-algebra L to  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . By (5) of Theorem 11.23, we have  $\hat{L} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} L_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Note that even though  $\hat{L}$  need not be a field, in general, it is a free  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of finite rank, and is thus equipped with a trace map that necessarily satisfies  $\mathrm{T}_{\hat{L}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x) = \sum_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{T}_{L_{\mathfrak{q}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(x)$  that defines a trace pairing on  $\hat{L}$ .

Now let  $\hat{B} := B \otimes_A \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ; it is an  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in the  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -vector space  $\hat{L}$ . By Corollary 11.26,  $\hat{B} \simeq \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , and therefore  $\hat{B}^* \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ , by Corollary 5.21. It follows that  $\hat{B}^* \simeq B^* \otimes_A \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . In particular,  $B^*$  generates each fractional ideal  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^* \in \mathcal{I}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ . Taking inverses,  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = (B^*)^{-1}$  generates the  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -ideal  $(\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ .

# 12.2 The discriminant

**Definition 12.5.** Let S/R be a ring extension in which S is a free R-module of rank n. For any  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S$  we define the *discriminant* 

$$\operatorname{disc}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \coloneqq \operatorname{disc}_{S/R}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \coloneqq \operatorname{det}[T_{S/R}(x_ix_j)]_{i,j} \in R.$$

Note that we do not require  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  to be an R-basis for S, but if they satisfy a non-trivial R-linear relation then the discriminant will be zero (by linearity of the trace).

In our AKLB setup, we have in mind the case where  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in B$  is a basis for L as a K-vector space, in which case  $\operatorname{disc}(e_1, \ldots, e_n) = \det[\operatorname{T}_{L/K}(e_i e_j)]_{ij} \in A$ . Note that we do not need to assume that B is a free A-module; L is certainly a free K-module. The fact that the discriminant lies in A when  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in B$  follows immediately from Corollary 5.7.

**Proposition 12.6.** Let L/K be a finite separable extension of degree n, and let  $\Omega/K$  be a field extension for which there are distinct  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in \operatorname{Hom}_K(L,\Omega)$ . For any  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in L$  we have

$$\operatorname{disc}(e_1,\ldots,e_n) = \det[\sigma_i(e_j)]_{ij}^2,$$

and for any  $x \in L$  we have

$$\operatorname{disc}(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}) = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_i(x) - \sigma_j(x))^2.$$

Such a field extension  $\Omega/K$  always exists, since L/K is separable ( $\Omega = K^{\text{sep}}$  works).

*Proof.* For  $1 \le i, j \le n$  we have  $T_{L/K}(e_i e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(e_i e_j)$ , by Theorem 5.5. Therefore

$$\operatorname{disc}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \det[\operatorname{T}_{L/K}(e_i e_j)]_{ij}$$

$$= \det([\sigma_k(e_i)]_{ik}[\sigma_k(e_j)]_{kj})$$

$$= \det([\sigma_k(e_i)]_{ik}[\sigma_k(e_j)]_{jk}^{t})$$

$$= \det[\sigma_i(e_j)]_{ij}^{2}$$

since the determinant is multiplicative and  $\det M = \det M^t$  for any matrix M. Now let  $x \in L$  and put  $e_i := x^{i-1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then

$$\operatorname{disc}(1, x, x^{2}, \dots, x^{n-1}) = \det[\sigma_{i}(x^{j-1})]_{ij}^{2} = \det[\sigma_{i}(x)^{j-1}]_{ij}^{2} = \prod_{i < j} (\sigma_{i}(x) - \sigma_{j}(x))^{2},$$

since  $[\sigma_i(x)^{j-1}]_{ij}$  is a Vandermonde matrix (rows of the form  $z^0, \ldots, z^{n-1}$  for some z); see [3, p. 258] for a proof of this standard fact.

**Definition 12.7.** For a polynomial  $f(x) = \prod_i (x - \alpha_i)$ , the discriminant of f is

$$\operatorname{disc}(f) := \prod_{i < j} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2.$$

Equivalently, if A is a Dedekind domain,  $f \in A[x]$  is a monic separable polynomial, and  $\alpha$  is the image of x in A[x]/(f(x)), then

$$\operatorname{disc}(f) = \operatorname{disc}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) \in A.$$

**Example 12.8.**  $\operatorname{disc}(x^2 + bx + c) = b^2 - 4c$  and  $\operatorname{disc}(x^3 + ax + b) = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ .

Now assume AKLB and let M be an A-lattice in L. Then M is a finitely generated A-module that contains a K-basis for L. We want to define the discriminant of M in a way that does not require us to choose a basis.

Let us first consider the case where M is a free A-lattice. If  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in M \subseteq L$  and  $e'_1, \ldots, e'_n \in M \subseteq L$  are two A-bases for M, then

$$\operatorname{disc}(e'_1,\ldots,e'_n) = u^2 \operatorname{disc}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$$

for some unit  $u \in A^{\times}$ ; this follows from the fact that the change of basis matrix  $P \in A^{n \times n}$  is invertible and its determinant is therefore a unit u. This unit gets squared because we need to apply the change of basis matrix twice in order to change  $T(e_i e_j)$  to  $T(e_i' e_j')$ . Explicitly, writing bases as row-vectors, let  $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  and  $e' = (e_1', \ldots, e_n')$  satisfy e' = eP. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{disc}(e') &= \det[\operatorname{T}_{L/K}(e'_i e'_j)]_{ij} \\ &= \det[\operatorname{T}_{L/K}((eP)_i (eP)_j)]_{ij} \\ &= \det[P^{\operatorname{t}}[\operatorname{T}_{L/K}(e_i e_j)]_{ij}P] \\ &= (\det P^{\operatorname{t}})\operatorname{disc}(e)(\det P) \\ &= (\det P)^2\operatorname{disc}(e), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the linearity of  $T_{L/K}$  to go from the second equality to the third.

This actually gives us a basis independent definition when  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . In this case B is always a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice, and the only units in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $u = \pm 1$ , so  $u^2 = 1$ .

**Definition 12.9.** Assume AKLB, let M be an A-lattice in L, and let n := [L:K]. The discriminant D(M) of M is the A-module generated by  $\{\operatorname{disc}(x_1,\ldots,x_n):x_1,\ldots,x_n\in M\}$ .

**Lemma 12.10.** Assume AKLB and let  $M' \subseteq M$  be free A-lattices in L. The discriminants  $D(M') \subseteq D(M)$  are nonzero principal fractional ideals. If D(M') = D(M) then M' = M.

*Proof.* Let  $e := (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  be an A-basis for M. Then  $\operatorname{disc}(e) \in D(M)$ , and for any row vector  $x := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  with entries in M there is a matrix  $P \in A^{n \times n}$  for which x = eP, and we then have  $\operatorname{disc}(x) = (\det P)^2 \operatorname{disc}(e)$  as above. It follows that

$$D(M) = (\operatorname{disc}(e))$$

is principal, and it is nonzero because e is a basis for L and the trace pairing is nondegenerate. If we now let  $e' := (e'_1, \ldots, e'_n)$  be an A-basis for M' then  $D(M') = (\operatorname{disc}(e'))$  is also a nonzero and principal. Our assumption that  $M' \subseteq M$  implies that e' = eP for some matrix  $P \in A^{n \times n}$ , and we have  $\operatorname{disc}(e') = (\det P)^2 \operatorname{disc}(e)$ . If D(M') = D(M) then  $\det P$  must be a unit, in which case P is invertible and  $e = e'P^{-1}$ . This implies  $M \subseteq M'$ , so M' = M.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 12.11.** Assume AKLB and let M be an A-lattice in L. Then  $D(M) \in \mathcal{I}_A$ .

Proof. The A-module  $D(M) \subseteq K$  is nonzero because M contains a K-basis  $e = (e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for L and  $\operatorname{disc}(e) \neq 0$  because the trace pairing is nondegenerate. To show that D(M) is a finitely generated A-module (and thus a fractional ideal), we use the usual trick: make it a submodule of a noetherian module. So let N be the free A-lattice in L generated by e and then pick a nonzero  $a \in A$  such that  $M \subseteq a^{-1}N$  (write each generator for M in terms of the K-basis e and let a be the product of all the denominators that appear; note that M is finitely generated). We then have  $D(M) \subseteq D(a^{-1}N)$ , and  $D(a^{-1}N)$  is a principal fractional ideal of A, hence a noetherian A-module (since A is noetherian), so its submodule D(M) must be finitely generated.

**Definition 12.12.** Assume AKLB. The discriminant  $D_{L/K}$  of L/K (and the discriminant  $D_{B/A}$  of B/A) is the discriminant of B as an A-module:

$$D_{L/K} := D_{B/A} := D(B) \in \mathcal{I}_A,$$

which is an A-ideal, since  $\operatorname{disc}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\det[T_{B/A}(x_ix_j)]_{i,j}\in A$  for all  $x_1,\ldots,x_n\in B$ .

**Example 12.13.** Consider the case  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $L = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ ,  $B = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Then B is a free A-lattice with basis (1, i) and we can compute  $D_{L/K}$  in three ways:

- $\bullet \ \operatorname{disc}(1,i) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{T}_{L/K}(1\cdot 1) & \operatorname{T}_{L/K}(1\cdot i) \\ \operatorname{T}_{L/K}(i\cdot 1) & \operatorname{T}_{L/K}(i\cdot i) \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = -4.$
- The non-trivial automorphism of L/K fixes 1 and sends i to -i, so we could instead compute  $\operatorname{disc}(1,i) = \left(\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{bmatrix}\right)^2 = (-2i)^2 = -4$ .
- We have  $B = \mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2+1)$  and can compute  $\operatorname{disc}(x^2+1) = -4$ .

In every case the discriminant  $D_{L/K}$  is the ideal (-4) = (4).

Remark 12.14. If  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  then B is the ring of integers of the number field L, and B is a free A-lattice, because it is a torsion-free module over a PID and therefore a free module. In this situation it is customary to define the *absolute discriminant*  $D_L$  of the number field L to be the *integer*  $\operatorname{disc}(e_1,\ldots,e_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for any basis  $(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$  of B, rather than the ideal it generates. As noted above, this integer is independent of the choice of basis because  $u^2 = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ ; in particular, the sign of  $D_L$  is well defined (as we shall see, the sign of  $D_L$  carries information about L). In the example above, the absolute discriminant is  $D_L = -4$ .

Like the different, the discriminant respects localization.

**Proposition 12.15.** Assume AKLB and let S be a multiplicative subset of A. Then

$$S^{-1}D_{B/A} = D_{S^{-1}B/S^{-1}A}.$$

Proof. Let  $x = s^{-1}\operatorname{disc}(e_1, \ldots, e_n) \in S^{-1}D_{B/A}$  for some  $s \in S$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in B$ . Then  $x = s^{2n-1}\operatorname{disc}(s^{-1}e_1, \ldots, s^{-1}e_n)$  lies in  $D_{S^{-1}B/S^{-1}A}$ . This proves the forward inclusion.

Conversely, for any  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in S^{-1}B$  we can choose a single  $s \in S \subseteq A$  so that each  $se_i$  lies in B. We then have  $\operatorname{disc}(e_1, \ldots, e_n) = s^{-2n} \operatorname{disc}(se_1, \ldots, se_n) \in S^{-1}D_{B/A}$ , which proves the reverse inclusion.

**Proposition 12.16.** Assume AKLB and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of A. Then

$$D_{B/A}\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} D_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$$

where  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  are the completions of A and B at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* After localizing at  $\mathfrak{p}$  we can assume A is a DVR and B is a free A-module of rank n. As in the proof of Proposition 12.4, we have a trace pairing on the finite étale  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra  $\hat{L} := L \otimes_K K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $\hat{B} := B \otimes_A \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is an  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -lattice in the  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -vector space  $\hat{L}$  that is a direct sum of free  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules, and thus a free  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank  $n = \sum e_{\mathfrak{q}} f_{\mathfrak{q}}$ ; see Corollary 11.26.

We can choose  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  bases for each  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  using elements in B; this follows from weak approximation (Theorem 8.5) and the fact that B is dense in  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  (or see [2, Thm. 2.3]). From these bases we can construct an  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis  $\hat{e}$  for the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \simeq \hat{B}$  whose elements each have nonzero projections to exactly one of the  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , along with a corresponding A-basis e for B obtained from  $\hat{e}$  as the union of these projections.

The matrix  $[T_{\hat{L}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\hat{e}_{i}\hat{e}_{j})]$  is block diagonal; each block corresponds to a matrix whose determinant is the discriminant of the  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis we chose for one of the  $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . It follows that  $D_{\hat{B}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} D_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  (here we are using the fact that  $\hat{B} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} \hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is both an isomorphism of rings and an isomorphism of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules, hence it preserves traces to  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ). We now observe that

$$\operatorname{disc}_{B/A}(e_1,\ldots,e_n) = \operatorname{disc}_{(B\otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}})/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}(e_1\otimes 1,\ldots,e_n\otimes 1)$$

generates  $D_{B/A}$  as an A-ideal, and also generates  $D_{\hat{B}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  as an  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -ideal (note that  $\hat{B}$  is a free  $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, so  $D_{\hat{B}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is the principal ideal generated by the discriminant of any  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -basis for  $\hat{B}$ ). It follows that  $D_{B/A}\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} = D_{\hat{B}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}} D_{\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{q}}/\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ .

We now have two ideals associated to a finite separable extension of Dedekind domains B/A in the AKLB setup. We have the different ideal  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$ , which is a fractional ideal of B, and the discriminant ideal  $D_{B/A}$ , which is a fractional ideal of A. We now relate these two ideals in terms of the ideal norm  $N_{B/A} \colon \mathcal{I}_B \to \mathcal{I}_A$ , which for  $I \in \mathcal{I}_B$  is defined as  $N_{B/A}(I) := [B:I]_A$ , where  $[B:I]_A$  is the module index (see Definitions 6.1 and 6.5).

**Theorem 12.17.** Assume AKLB. Then  $D_{B/A} = N_{B/A}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A})$ .

*Proof.* The different and discriminant are both compatible with localization, by Propositions 12.3 and 12.15, and the A-modules  $D_{B/A}$  and  $N_{B/A}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A})$  of A are both determined by the intersections of their localizations at maximal ideals (Proposition 2.6), so it suffices to prove that the theorem holds when we replace A by its localization at a prime of A. Then A is a DVR and B is a free A-lattice in L; let us fix an A-basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  for B.

The dual A-lattice

$$B^* = \{x \in L : T_{L/K}(xb) \in A \ \forall b \in B\} \in \mathcal{I}_B$$

is also a free A-lattice in L, with basis  $(e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*)$  uniquely determined by  $T_{L/K}(e_i^*e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta function; see Corollary 5.22. If we write  $e_i = \sum a_{ij}e_j^*$  in terms of the K-basis  $(e_1^*, \ldots, e_n^*)$  for L then

$$T_{L/K}(e_i e_j) = T_{L/K} \left( \sum_k a_{ik} e_k^* e_j \right) = \sum_k a_{ik} T_{L/K}(e_k^* e_j) = \sum_k a_{ik} \delta_{kj} = a_{ij}.$$

It follows that  $P := [T_{L/K}(e_i e_j)]_{ij}$  is the change-of-basis matrix from  $e^* := (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*)$  to  $e := (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  (as row vectors we have  $e = e^*P$ ). If we let  $\phi$  denote the K-linear transformation with matrix P (or its transpose, if you prefer to work with column vectors), then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of free A-modules and

$$D_{B/A} = (\det[T_{L/K}(e_i e_j)]_{ij}) = (\det \phi) = [B^* : B]_A,$$

where  $[B^*:B]_A$  is the module index (see Definition 6.1). Applying Corollary 6.8 yields

$$D_{B/A} = [B^* : B]_A = N_{B/A}(B \div B^*) = N_{B/A}((B^*)^{-1}) = N_{B/A}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A}).$$

(the last three equalities each hold by definition).

#### 12.3 Ramification

Having defined the different and discriminant ideals we now want to understand how they relate to ramification. Recall that in our AKLB setup, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of A then we can factor the B-ideal  $\mathfrak{p}B$  as

$$\mathfrak{p}B=\mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1}\cdots\mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}.$$

The Chinese remainder theorem implies

$$B/\mathfrak{p}B \simeq B/\mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \times \cdots \times B/\mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}.$$

This is a commutative  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ -algebra of dimension  $\sum e_i f_i$ , where  $f_i = [B/\mathfrak{q}_i : A/\mathfrak{p}]$  is the residue degree (see Theorem 5.43). It is a product of fields if and only if we have  $e_i = 1$  for all i, and it is a finite étale-algebra if and only if it is a product of fields that are separable extensions of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . The following lemma relates the discriminant to the property of being a finite étale algebra.

**Lemma 12.18.** Let k be a field and let R be a commutative k-algebra with k-basis  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$ . Then R is a finite étale k-algebra if and only if  $\operatorname{disc}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.28, R is a finite étale k-algebra if and only if the trace pairing on R is a perfect pairing, which is equivalent to being nondegenerate, since k is a field.

If the trace pairing is degenerate then for some nonzero  $x \in R$  we have  $T_{R/k}(xy) = 0$  for all  $y \in R$ . If we write  $x = \sum_i x_i r_i$  with  $x_i \in k$  then  $T_{R/k}(xr_j) = \sum_i x_i T_{R/k}(r_i r_j) = 0$  for all  $r_j$  (take  $y = r_j$ ), and this implies that the columns of the matrix  $[T_{R/k}(r_i r_j)]_{ij}$  are linearly dependent and  $\operatorname{disc}(r_1, \ldots, r_n) = \det[T_{R/k}(r_i r_j)]_{ij} = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $\operatorname{disc}(r_1,\ldots,r_n)=0$  then the columns of  $\det[\operatorname{T}_{R/k}(r_ir_j)]_{ij}$  are linearly dependent and for some  $x_i\in k$  not identically zero we must have  $\sum_i x_i\operatorname{T}_{R/k}(r_ir_j)=0$  for all j. For  $x:=\sum_i x_ir_i$  and any  $y=\sum_j y_jr_j\in R$  we have  $\operatorname{T}_{R/k}(xy)=\sum_j y_j\sum_i x_i\operatorname{T}_{R/k}(r_ir_j)=0$ , which shows that the trace pairing is degenerate.

**Theorem 12.19.** Assume AKLB, let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime of B lying above a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of A such that  $B/\mathfrak{q}$  is a separable extension of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$ . The extension L/K is unramified at  $\mathfrak{q}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{q}$  does not divide  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$ , and it is unramified at  $\mathfrak{p}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  does not divide  $D_{B/A}$ .

*Proof.* We first consider the different  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$ . By Proposition 12.4, the different is compatible with completion, so it suffices to consider the case that A and B are complete DVRs (complete K at  $\mathfrak{p}$  and L at  $\mathfrak{q}$  and apply Theorem 11.23). We then have  $[L:K] = e_{\mathfrak{q}} f_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , where  $e_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the ramification index and  $f_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is the residue field degree, and  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}^{e_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ .

Since B is a DVR with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we must have  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = \mathfrak{q}^m$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . By Theorem 12.17 we have

$$D_{B/A} = N_{B/A}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A}) = N_{B/A}(\mathfrak{q}^m) = \mathfrak{p}^{f_{\mathfrak{q}}m}.$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}|D_{B/A}$ . Since A is a PID, B is a free A-module and we may choose an A-module basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  for B that is also a K-basis for L. Let  $k := A/\mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $\overline{e}_i$  be the reduction of  $e_i$  to the k-algebra  $R := B/\mathfrak{p}B$ . Then  $(\overline{e}_1, \ldots, \overline{e}_n)$  is a k-basis for R: it clearly spans, and we have  $[R:k] = [B/\mathfrak{q}^{e_q}:A/\mathfrak{p}] = e_{\mathfrak{q}}f_{\mathfrak{q}} = [L:K] = n$ .

Since B has an A-module basis, we may compute its discriminant as

$$D_{B/A} = (\operatorname{disc}(e_1, \dots, e_n)).$$

Thus  $\mathfrak{p}|D_{B/A}$  if and only if  $\operatorname{disc}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)\in\mathfrak{p}$ , equivalently,  $\operatorname{disc}(\overline{e}_1,\ldots,\overline{e}_n)=0$  (note that  $\operatorname{disc}(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$  is a polynomial in the  $T_{L/K}(e_ie_j)$  and  $T_{R/k}(\overline{e}_i\overline{e}_j)$  is the trace of the multiplication-by- $\overline{e}_i\overline{e}_j$  map, which is the same as the reduction to  $k=A/\mathfrak{p}$  of the trace of the multiplication-by- $e_ie_j$  map  $T_{L/K}(e_ie_j)\in A$ ). By Lemma 12.18,  $\operatorname{disc}(\overline{e}_1,\ldots,\overline{e}_n)=0$  if and only if the k-algebra  $B/\mathfrak{p}B$  is not finite étale, equivalently, if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is ramified. Thus  $\mathfrak{p}|D_{B/A}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is ramified. There is only one prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  above  $\mathfrak{p}$ , so we also have  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is ramified.

We now note an important corollary of Theorem 12.19.

Corollary 12.20. Assume AKLB. Only finitely many primes of A (or B) ramify.

*Proof.* A and B are Dedekind domains, so the ideals  $D_{B/A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  both have unique factorizations into prime ideals in which only finitely many primes appear.

### 12.4 The discriminant of an order

Recall from Lecture 6 that an order  $\mathcal{O}$  is a noetherian domain of dimension one whose conductor is nonzero (see Definitions 6.16 and 6.19), and the integral closure of an order is always a Dedekind domain. In our AKLB setup, the orders with integral closure B are precisely the A-lattices in L that are rings (see Proposition 6.22); if  $L = K(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in B$ , then  $A[\alpha]$  is an example. The discriminant  $D_{\mathcal{O}/A}$  of such an order  $\mathcal{O}$  is its discriminant  $D(\mathcal{O})$  as an A-module. The fact that  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq B$  implies that  $D(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq D_{B/A}$  is an A-ideal.

If  $\mathcal{O}$  is an order of the form  $A[\alpha]$ , where  $\alpha \in B$  generates  $L = K(\alpha)$  with minimal polynomial  $f \in A[x]$ , then  $\mathcal{O}$  is a free A-lattice with basis  $1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}$ , where  $n = \deg f$ , and we may compute its discriminant as

$$D_{\mathcal{O}/A} = (\operatorname{disc}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})) = (\operatorname{disc}(f)),$$

which is a principal A-ideal contained in  $D_{B/A}$ . If B is also a free A-lattice, then as in the proof of Lemma 12.10 we have

$$D_{\mathcal{O}/A} = (\det P)^2 D_{B/A} = [B:\mathcal{O}]_A^2 D_{B/A},$$

where P is the matrix of the A-linear map  $\phi \colon B \to \mathcal{O}$  that sends an A-basis for B to an A-basis for  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $[B \colon \mathcal{O}]_A$  is the module index (a principal A-ideal).

In the important special case where  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and L is a number field, the integer  $(\det P)^2$  is uniquely determined and it necessarily divides  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$ , the generator of the principal ideal  $D(\mathcal{O}) = D(A[\alpha])$ . It follows that if  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$  is squarefree then we must have  $B = \mathcal{O} = A[\alpha]$ . More generally, any prime p for which  $v_p(\operatorname{disc}(f))$  is odd must be ramified, and any prime that does not divide  $\operatorname{disc}(f)$  must be unramified. Another useful observation that applies when  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ : the module index  $[B:\mathcal{O}]_{\mathbb{Z}} = ([B:\mathcal{O}])$  is the principal ideal generated by the index of  $\mathcal{O}$  in B (as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices), and we have the relation

$$D_{\mathcal{O}} = [B : \mathcal{O}]^2 D_B$$

between the absolute discriminant of the order  $\mathcal{O}$  and its integral closure B.

**Example 12.21.** Consider  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  with  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha^3 - \alpha - 1 = 0$ . We can compute the absolute discriminant of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  as

$$\operatorname{disc}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2) = \operatorname{disc}(x^3 - x - 1) = -4(-1)^3 - 27(-1)^2 = -23.$$

The fact that -23 is squarefree immediately implies that 23 is the only prime of A that ramifies, and we have  $D_{\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]} = -23 = [\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^2 D_L$ , which forces  $[\mathcal{O}_L : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]] = 1$ , so  $D_L = -23$  and  $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

More generally, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 12.22.** Assume AKLB and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be an order with integral closure B and conductor  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Then  $D_{\mathcal{O}/A} = N_{B/A}(\mathfrak{c})D_{B/A}$ .

*Proof.* See Problem Set 6. 
$$\Box$$

In the example above the fact that the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  was squarefree immediately told us the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . But it will often happen that  $\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{Z}[\alpha])$  is not squarefree; indeed this is necessarily the case if the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is not squarefree. Computing

the discriminant of a number field is not an easy task in general. The standard approach is to begin by factoring the discriminant of a given order  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  and then for each prime divisor of this discriminant determine whether p divides the index of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  in the ring of integers and if so constructing a larger order  $\mathcal{O}$  for which this is not the case. This approach is due to Pohst and Zassenhaus and is described in [1, §6]. The details are somewhat involved, but let us note the following result due to Dedekind that can often be used to determine whether or not a prime dividing the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  divides the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 12.23** (Dedekind). Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  be a number field, let f be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , let p be a prime, let

$$\bar{f} = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{f}_i^{e_i}$$

be the factorization of  $\bar{f} := f \mod p$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . Let  $f_i$  be any monic lift of  $\bar{f}_i$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , let  $u := \prod f_i$ , let v be any monic lift of  $\bar{f}/\bar{u}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , and let  $w = (uv - f)/p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then p divides  $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]$  if and only if  $\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}$  have a nonconstant common factor in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ .

Proof. See [1, Thm. 6.1.4]. 
$$\Box$$

# 12.5 Computing the discriminant and different

We conclude with a number of results that allow one to explicitly compute the discriminant and different in many cases.

**Proposition 12.24.** Assume AKLB. If  $B = A[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in L$  and  $f \in A[x]$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = (f'(\alpha))$$

is the B-ideal generated by  $f'(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* See Problem Set 6.

The assumption  $B = A[\alpha]$  in Proposition 12.24 does not always hold, but if we want to compute the power of  $\mathfrak{q}$  that divides  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A}$  we can complete L at  $\mathfrak{q}$  and K at  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$  so that A and B become complete DVRs, in which case  $B = A[\alpha']$  for some  $\alpha'$  (by Lemma 10.12), so long as the residue field extension is separable (always true if K and L are global fields, since the residue fields are then finite, hence perfect).

The following definition and proposition give an alternative approach.

**Definition 12.25.** Assume AKLB and let  $\alpha \in B$  have minimal polynomial  $f \in A[x]$ . The different of  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$\delta_{B/A}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} f'(\alpha) & \text{if } L = K(\alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 12.26.** Assume AKLB. Then  $\mathcal{D}_{B/A} = (\delta_{B/A}(\alpha) : \alpha \in B)$ .

Proof. See [4, Thm. III.2.5]. 
$$\Box$$

We can now more precisely characterize the ramification information given by the different ideal.

**Theorem 12.27.** Assume AKLB and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime of L lying above  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$  for which the residue field extension  $(B/\mathfrak{q})/(A/\mathfrak{p})$  is separable. Then

$$e_{\mathfrak{q}} - 1 \le v_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathcal{D}_{B/A}) \le e_{\mathfrak{q}} - 1 + v_{\mathfrak{q}}(e_{\mathfrak{q}}),$$

and the lower bound is an equality if and only if  $\mathfrak{q}$  is tamely ramified.

Proof. See Problem Set 6.

We also note the following proposition, which shows how the discriminant and different behave in a tower of extensions.

**Proposition 12.28.** Assume AKLB and let M/L be a finite separable extension and let C be the integral closure of A in M. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{C/A} = \mathcal{D}_{C/B} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{B/A}$$

(where the product on the right is taken in C), and

$$D_{C/A} = (D_{B/A})^{[M:L]} N_{B/A} (D_{C/B}).$$

Proof. See [5, Prop. III.8].

If M/L/K is a tower of finite separable extensions, we note that the primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of K that ramify are precisely those that divide either  $D_{L/K}$  or  $N_{L/K}(D_{M/L})$ .

### References

- [1] Henri Cohen, A course in computational algebraic number theory, Springer, 1993.
- [2] Anuj Jakhar, Bablesh Jhorar, Sudesh K. Khanduja, Neeraj Sangwan, *Discriminant as a product of local discriminant*, J. Algebra Appl. **16** (2017), 1750198 (7 pages).
- [3] Serge Lang, Algebra, third edition, Springer, 2002.
- [4] Jürgen Neukirch, Algebraic number theory, Springer, 1999.
- [5] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local fields*, Springer, 1979.
- [6] Stacks Project Authors, Stacks Project, http://stacks.math.columbia.edu.