## Description

These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 10-12. Your solutions are to be written up in latex (you can use the latex source for the problem set as a template) and submitted as a pdf-file with a filename of the form SurnamePset 6. pdf via e-mail to drew@math.mit. edu by noon on the date due. Collaboration is permitted/encouraged, but you must identify your collaborators, and any references you consulted. If there are none, write "Sources consulted: none" at the top of your problem set. The first person to spot each nontrivial typo/error in any of the problem sets or lecture notes will receive $1-5$ points of extra credit.

Instructions: First do the warm up problem, then pick problems that sum to 96 points to solve and write up your answers in latex. Finally, complete the survey problem 5.

## Problem 0.

These are warm up questions that do not need to be turned in.
(a) Prove that the absolute discriminant of a number field is always a square $\bmod 4$.
(b) Compute the different ideal of the quadratic extensions $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) / \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) / \mathbb{Q}$.
(c) Determine all the primes that ramify in the cubic fields $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{3}-x-1\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}[x] /\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)$ and compute their ramification indices.
(d) Let $p$ be an odd prime. Compute the different ideal and absolute discriminant of the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$.

## Problem 1 The different ideal (64 points)

Let $A$ be a Dedekind domain with fraction field $K$, let $L / K$ be a finite separable extension, and let $B$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. Write $L=K(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in B$ and let $f \in A[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of $\alpha$, with degree $n=[L: K]$.
(a) By comparing the Laurent series expansion of $1 / f(x)$ with its partial fraction decomposition over the splitting field of $f$ (the Galois closure of $L$ ), prove that

$$
\mathrm{T}_{L / K}\left(\frac{\alpha^{i}}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leq i \leq n-2 \\ 1 & \text { if } i=n-1 \\ \in A & \text { if } i \geq n\end{cases}
$$

(b) Suppose $B=A[\alpha]$. Prove that $B^{*}:=\left\{x \in L: \mathrm{T}_{L / K}(x b) \in A\right.$ for all $\left.b \in B\right\}$ is the principal fractional $B$-ideal $\left(1 / f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$. Conclude that $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}=\left(f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$.
(c) For any $\beta \in B$ with minimal polynomial $g \in A[x]$ define

$$
\delta_{B / A}(\beta):= \begin{cases}g^{\prime}(\beta) & \text { if } L=K(\beta) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

One can show that $\mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ is the $B$-ideal generated by $\left\{\delta_{B / A}(\beta): \beta \in B\right\}$ (you are not required to prove this). Prove that if $g$ is the minimal polynomial of $\beta \in B$ for which $L=K(\beta)$ then $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(g^{\prime}(\beta)\right)= \pm \operatorname{disc}(g)$.
(d) Prove or disprove: $D_{B / A}$ is the $A$-ideal generated by $\left\{\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(\delta_{B / A}(\beta)\right): \beta \in B\right\}$.
(e) Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be the conductor of the order $C=A[\alpha]$. Prove that

$$
\mathfrak{c}=\left(B^{*}: C^{*}\right):=\left\{x \in L: x C^{*} \subseteq B^{*}\right\}
$$

Conclude that if we define $\mathcal{D}_{C / A}:=\left(B: C^{*}\right)$ and $D_{C / A}:=D(C)$ then we have $\mathcal{D}_{C / A}=\mathfrak{c} \mathcal{D}_{B / A}$ and $D_{C / A}=\mathrm{N}_{B / A}(\mathfrak{c}) D_{B / A}$, so that $D_{C / A}=\mathrm{N}_{B / A}\left(\mathcal{D}_{C / A}\right)$.
(f) Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime of $B$ lying above a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $A$ and suppose the corresponding residue field extension is separable. Prove that

$$
e_{\mathfrak{q}}-1 \leq v_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{B / A}\right) \leq e_{\mathfrak{q}}-1+v_{\mathfrak{q}}\left(e_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)
$$

and that the lower bound is an equality only when $B / A$ is tamely ramified at $\mathfrak{q}$.
(g) Let $p$ and $q$ be distinct primes congruent to $1 \bmod 4$, let $K:=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p q})$, and let $L:=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{q})$. Prove that $\mathcal{D}_{L / K}$ is the unit ideal (so $L / K$ is unramified).

## Problem 2. Valuation rings ( 64 points)

An ordered abelian group is an abelian group $\Gamma$ with a total order $\leq$ that is compatible with the group operation. This means that for all $a, b, c \in \Gamma$ the following hold:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
a \leq b \leq a & \Longrightarrow & a=b & \text { (antisymmetry) } \\
a \leq b \leq c & \Longrightarrow & a \leq c & \text { (transitivity) } \\
a \not \leq b & \Longrightarrow & b \leq a & \text { (totality) } \\
a \leq b & \Longrightarrow & a+c \leq b+c & \text { (compatibility) }
\end{array}
$$

Note that totality implies reflexivity $(a \leq a)$. Given an ordered abelian group $\Gamma$, we define the relations $\geq,<,>$ and the sets $\Gamma_{\leq 0}, \Gamma_{\geq 0}, \Gamma_{<0}, \Gamma_{>0}$ in the obvious way.

A valuation $v$ on a field $K$ is a surjective homomorphism $v: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma$ to an ordered abelian group $\Gamma$ that satisfies $v(x+y) \geq \min (v(x), v(y))$ for all $x, y \in K^{\times}$. The group $\Gamma$ is called the value group of $v$, and when $\Gamma=\{0\}$ we say that $v$ is the trivial valuation. We may extend $v$ to $K$ by defining $v(0)=\infty$, where $\infty$ is defined to be strictly greater than any element of $\Gamma$.

Recall that a valuation ring is an integral domain $A$ with fraction field $K$ such that for all $x \in K^{\times}$either $x \in A$ or $x^{-1} \in A$ (possibly both).
(a) Let $A$ be a valuation ring with fraction field $K$, and let $v: K^{\times} \rightarrow K^{\times} / A^{\times}=\Gamma$ be the quotient map. Show that the relation $\leq$ on $\Gamma$ defined by

$$
v(x) \leq v(y) \Longleftrightarrow y / x \in A
$$

makes $\Gamma$ an ordered abelian group and that $v$ is a valuation on $K$.
(b) Let $K$ be a field with a non-trivial valuation $v: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma$. Prove that the set

$$
A:=\{x \in K: v(x) \geq 0\}
$$

is a valuation ring with fraction field $K$ and that $v(x) \leq v(y) \Longleftrightarrow y / x \in A$.
(c) Let $\Gamma$ be an ordered abelian group and let $k$ be a field. For each $a \in \Gamma_{\geq 0}$, let $x^{a}$ be a formal symbol, and define multiplication of these symbols via $x^{a} x^{b}:=x^{a+b}$. Let $A$ be the $k$-algebra whose elements are formal sums $\sum_{a \in I} c_{a} x^{a}$, where $c_{a} \in k$ and the index set $I \subseteq \Gamma_{\geq 0}$ is well ordered (every subset has a minimal element). ${ }^{1}$ Let $K$ be the fraction field of $A$ and define $v: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma$ by

$$
v\left(\frac{\sum c_{a} x^{a}}{\sum d_{a} x^{a}}\right)=\min \left\{a: c_{a} \neq 0\right\}-\min \left\{a: d_{a} \neq 0\right\}
$$

Prove that $v$ is a valuation on $K$ with value group $\Gamma$ and valuation ring $A$.
(d) Let $v: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma_{v}$ and $w: K^{\times} \rightarrow \Gamma_{w}$ be two valuations on a field $K$, and let $A_{v}$ and $A_{w}$ be the corresponding valuation rings. Prove that $A_{v}=A_{w}$ if and only if there is an order preserving isomorphism $\rho: \Gamma_{v} \rightarrow \Gamma_{w}$ for which $\rho \circ v=w$, in which case we say that $v$ and $w$ are equivalent. Thus there is a 1-to- 1 correspondence between valuation rings with fraction field $K$ and equivalence classes of valuations on $K$.
(e) Let $A$ be an integral domain properly contained in its fraction field $K$, and let $\mathcal{R}$ be the set of local rings that contain $A$ and are properly contained in $K$. Partially order $\mathcal{R}$ by writing $R_{1} \leq R_{2}$ if $R_{1} \subseteq R_{2}$ and the maximal ideal of $R_{1}$ is contained in the maximal ideal of $R_{2}$ (this is known as the dominance ordering). Prove that $\mathcal{R}$ contains a maximal element $R$ and that every such $R$ is a valuation ring.
$(\mathbf{f})$ Prove that every valuation ring is local and integrally closed, and that the intersection of all valuation rings that contain an integral domain $A$ and lie in its fraction field is equal to the integral closure of $A$.
(g) Prove that a valuation ring that is not a field is a discrete valuation ring if and only if it is noetherian.

## Problem 3. Norm maps of local fields (32 points)

Let $A$ be the valuation ring of a nonarchimedean local field $K$, let $L$ be a tamely ramified finite abelian extension of $K$, and let $B$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $L$. The goal of this problem is to prove that the extension $L / K$ is unramified if and only if the norm map restricts to a surjective map of unit groups, equivalently, $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(B^{\times}\right)=A^{\times}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ be the maximal ideals of $A$ and $B$ and $k:=A / \mathfrak{p}$ and $l:=B / \mathfrak{q}$ the residue fields.
(a) Prove that we always have $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(B^{\times}\right) \subseteq A^{\times}$and $\mathrm{N}_{l / k}\left(l^{\times}\right)=k^{\times}$and $\mathrm{T}_{l / k}(l)=k$.
(b) For $i \geq 0$ define $U_{i}:=1+\mathfrak{p}^{i}:=\left\{1+a: a \in \mathfrak{p}^{i}\right\}$. Show that the $U_{i}$ are distinct closed subgroups of $A^{\times}$that form a base of neighborhoods $1 \in A^{\times}$(this means every open neighborhood of 1 in the topological group $A^{\times}$contains some $U_{i}$ ).
(c) Prove that if $L / K$ is totally ramified then the norm of every $b \in B^{\times}$lies in a coset of $U_{1}$ of the form $u^{n} U_{1}$, where $n=[L: K]$. Show that for $n>1$ the norms of these cosets do not cover $A^{\times}$. Conclude that if $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(B^{\times}\right)=A^{\times}$then $L / K$ must be unramified.
(d) Assume $L / K$ is unramified. Show that for every $u \in A^{\times}$there exists $\alpha_{0} \in B^{\times}$with $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \equiv u \bmod \mathfrak{p}$. Then construct $\alpha_{1} \in B^{\times}$with $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}\left(\alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}\right) \equiv u \bmod \mathfrak{p}^{2}$. Continuing in this fashion, construct $\alpha \in B^{\times}$such that $\mathrm{N}_{L / K}(\alpha)=u$.

[^0]
## Problem 4. Minkowski's lemma and sums of four squares ( 32 points)

Minkowski's lemma (for $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ ) states that if $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a symmetric convex set of volume $\mu(S)>2^{n}$ then $S$ contains a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Here symmetric means that $S$ is closed under negation, and convex means that for all $x, y \in S$ the set $\{t x+(1-t) y: t \in[0,1]\}$ lies in $S)$.
(a) Prove that for any measurable $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with measure $\mu(S)>1$ there exist distinct $s, t \in S$ such that $s-t \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, then prove Minkowski's lemma.
(b) Prove that Minkowski's lemma is tight in the following sense: show that is is false if either of the words "symmetric" or "convex" is removed, or if the strict inequality $\mu(S)>2^{n}$ is weakened to $\mu(S) \geq 2^{n}$ (give three explicit counter examples).
(c) Prove that one can weaken the inequality $\mu(S)>2^{n}$ in Minkowski's lemma to $\mu(S) \geq 2^{n}$ if $S$ is assumed to be compact.

You will now use Minkowski's lemma to prove a theorem of Lagrange, which states that every positive integer is a sum of four integer squares. Let $p$ be an odd prime.
(d) Show that $x^{2}+y^{2}=a$ has a solution $(m, n)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{2}$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
(e) Let $V$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-span of $\{(m, n, 1,0),(-n, m, 0,1)\}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{4}$, where $m^{2}+n^{2}=-1$. Prove that $V$ is isotropic, meaning that $v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}+v_{3}^{2}+v_{4}^{2}=0$ for all $v \in V$.
(f) Use Minkowski's lemma to prove that $p$ is a sum of four squares.
(g) Prove that every positive integer is the sum of four squares.

## Problem 5. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each problem you attempted on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found it ( $1=$ "mind-numbing," $10=$ "mind-blowing"), and how difficult you found it ( $1=$ "trivial," $10=$ "brutal" $)$. Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem to the nearest half hour.

|  | Interest | Difficulty | Time Spent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Problem 1 |  |  |  |
| Problem 2 |  |  |  |
| Problem 3 |  |  |  |
| Problem 4 |  |  |  |

Please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material ( $1=$ "useless", $10=$ "fascinating"), the quality of the presentation ( $1=$ "epic fail", $10=$ "perfection"), the pace ( $1=$ "way too slow", $10=$ "way too fast", $5=$ "just right") and the novelty of the material to you ( $1=$ "old hat", $10=$ "all new").

| Date | Lecture Topic | Material | Presentation | Pace | Novelty |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 17$ | Different and discriminant ideals |  |  |  |  |
| $10 / 22$ | Haar measure, product formula |  |  |  |  |

Please feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets and the lectures, in particular, ways in which they might be improved.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ That $A$ is a ring is a classical result of Hahn [1]; see [2, Thm. 5.1] for a modern proof.

