18.783 Elliptic Curves
Lecture 3

Andrew Sutherland

September 11, 2025

Representing finite fields

For $\mathbb{F}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we use integers in $[0, p-1]$ denoting elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

For $\mathbb{F}_q \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^d \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^d)$ we use vectors in $\mathbb{F}_p^d$ denoting elements of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^d)$, which can view as elements of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]/(f)$ for some irreducible $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree d. It does not matter which f we pick, but some choices are better than others.

This reduces all computation in finite fields to integer and polynomial arithmetic.

We should note that there are other choices. If $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle r \rangle$ (so r is a primitive root), we could use 0 to denote 0 and $e \in [1, q-1]$ to denote r^e.

Integer arithmetic

Complexity of ring operations on n-bit integers:

addition/subtraction	$O(n)$
multiplication (FFT)	$O(n \log n)$ 🎉

To multiply polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ we use Kronecker substitution.
Let $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ denote the lift of $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. We compute $h = fg \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ via

$$\hat{h}(2^m) = \hat{f}(2^m)\hat{g}(2^m)$$

with $m \geq 2 \lg p + \lg(d+1)$, where $d := \deg f$. The kth coefficient of h can be obtained by extracting the kth block of m bits from $\hat{h}(2^m)$ and reducing it modulo p.

All ring operations in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ can thus be reduced to ring operations in $\mathbb{Z}$, provided we know how to reduce integers modulo p.

Euclidean division

For positive integers a, b we want to compute the unique $q, r \geq 0$ for which

$$a = bq + r \qquad (0 \leq r < b),$$

that is, $q = \lfloor a/b \rfloor$ and $r = a \bmod b$. Recall Newton's method to find a root of $f(x)$:

$$x_{i+1} := x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)}.$$

To compute $c \approx 1/b$, we apply this to $f(x) = 1/x - b$, using the Newton iteration

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)} = x_i - \frac{\frac{1}{x_i} - b}{-\frac{1}{x_i^2}} = 2x_i - bx_i^2.$$

We can then compute $q = \lfloor ca \rfloor$ and $r = a - bq$.

Euclidean division

As an example, let us approximate $1/b = 1/123456789$ working in base 10 (in an actual implementation would use base 2, or base 2^w, where w is the word size).

$$\begin{aligned}
x_0 &= 1 \times 10^{-8} \\
x_1 &= 2(1 \times 10^{-8}) - (1.2 \times 10^{8})(1 \times 10^{-8})^2 \\
&= 0.80 \times 10^{-8} \\
x_2 &= 2(0.80 \times 10^{-8}) - (1.234 \times 10^{8})(0.80 \times 10^{-8})^2 \\
&= 0.8102 \times 10^{-8} \\
x_3 &= 2(0.8102 \times 10^{-8}) - (1.2345678 \times 10^{8})(0.8102 \times 10^{-8})^2 \\
&= 0.81000002 \times 10^{-8}.
\end{aligned}$$

We double the precision we are using at each step, and each x_i is correct up to an error in its last decimal place. The value x_3 suffices to correctly compute $\lfloor a/b \rfloor$ for $a \leq 10^{15}$.

Euclidean division

There is an analogous algorithm for Euclidean division in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.
Given $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with b monic we con compute the unique $q, r \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for which

$$a = bq + r \qquad (\deg r < \deg b).$$

See the lecture notes for details. In both cases if the divisor b is fixed we can save time by precomputing $c \approx 1/b$ (as on Problem Set 1).

Theorem

Let $q = p^e$ be a prime power and assume $\log e = O(\log p)$ or $p = O(1)$.
The time to multiply two elements in $\mathbb{F}_q$ is $O(n \log n)$, where $n = \log q$.

Under a widely believed conjecture we know that multiplication in $\mathbb{F}_q$ takes time $O(n \log n)$ (but not necessarily $O(\mathsf{M}(n))$), without any assumptions about p and d.

Inverting elements of a finite field

Given integers $a > b > 0$ the (extended) Euclidean algorithm computes $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\gcd(a,b) = as + bt \quad (|s| \le b/\gcd(a,b),\ |t| \le a/\gcd(a,b))$$

If $a = p$ is prime, then $ps + bt = 1$ and $t \equiv b^{-1} \bmod p$ with $t \in [0, p-1]$.
The Euclidean algorithm works in any Euclidean ring, including $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

But note that $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ has a larger unit group than $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a,b)$ is defined only units. More formally, $\gcd(a,b) = (a,b) = (c)$ is a principal ideal. In $\mathbb{Z}$ there is a unique positive choice of c, while in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ there is a unique monic choice of c.

The fast Euclidean algorithm (see lecture notes) yields the following theorem.

Theorem

Let $q = p^d$ be a prime power and assume $\log d = O(\log p)$ or $p = O(1)$.
The time to invert an element of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$ is $O(\mathsf{M}(n)\log n) = O(n\log^2 n)$, where $n = \log q$.

Exponentiation (aka scalar multiplication for multiplicative groups)

Given a group element g and a positive integer a we want to compute $g^a = gg\cdots g$ (or if we write the group operation additively, $ag = g + g + \cdots + g$).

We can achieve this using a "square-and-multiply" (or "double-and-add") algorithm:

1. Let $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i a_i$ and initialize h to g.
2. For i from $n-1$ down to 0:
   a. Replace h with h^2
   b. If $a_i = 1$ then replace h with hg.

At the end of the ith loop we have $h = g^b$ with $b = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} 2^j a_{i+j}$.

This allows us to compute g^a using at most $2n = O(n)$ group operations.
The leading constant 2 can be improved (see Problem Set 2).

For $g \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $a \le q-1$ the time to compute g^a is $O(n\mathsf{M}(n)) = O(n^2 \log n)$.
We can reduce a modulo $q-1$, and we note that we can compute $g^{-1} = g^{q-2}$.

Root-finding over finite fields

Given $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ we wish to compute its $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational roots, the set $\{a \in \mathbb{F}_q : f(a) = 0\}$.

We can determine the multiplicity of a root a by evaluating derivatives of f at a, since $(x-a)^j$ divides $f(x)$ if and only if $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $0 \le i < j$.

An $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$-root of f lies in $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if it is also a root of $x^q - x$, thus the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational roots of f are precisely the roots of $g(x) := \gcd(f, x^q - x)$, all of which are distinct.

When q is larger than $d := \deg f$, we do not want to compute $\gcd(f(x), x^q - x)$ using the Euclidean algorithm; the cost would be superinear in q (exponential in $n = \log q$).

Instead we compute $h(x) = x^q \bmod f$ by exponentiating x by q in the ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/(f)$ using binary exponentiation, and then compute $g(x) := \gcd(f(x), h(x) - x)$. The polynomial g that splits into distinct linear factors, one for each $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational root of f (the degree of g tells us the number of roots).

Randomized root-finding

Having computed $g(x) = \gcd(f(x), x^q - x) = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_r)$ as a product of monic linear factors whose roots are the $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational roots of f, we already know how many distinct $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational roots f has: $\deg g$.

We can use the same approach to compute the number of distinct $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$-rational roots f has for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, \deg f$, and by computing their multiplicities we can determine the degrees of all the irreducible factors of $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$.

But no polynomial-time algorithm is known for computing the actual roots $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ when $r > 1$. We need to use randomization to do this efficiently.. Assume q is odd.

Rabin: Pick a uniform random $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and compute $h(x) = \gcd(g(x), (x + \delta)^2 + 1)$.

With probability $\frac{(q-1)}{2q}$, the polynomial h will be a non-trivial factor of g (see proof). We can then apply this recursively to h or g/h (or both).

Summary

The table below summarizes the bit-complexity of the various arithmetic operations we have considered, both in the integer ring $\mathbb{Z}$ and in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of cardinality $q = p^e$, with n denoting the bit-size of the inputs and $\mathsf{M}(n)$ the time to multiply in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Assuming $\log e = O(\log p)$ (or a form of Linnik's conjecture), $\mathsf{M}(n) = O(n \log n)$.

	integers $\mathbb{Z}$	finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$
addition/subtraction	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
multiplication	$O(n \log n)$	$\mathsf{M}(n)$
Euclidean division (reduction)	$O(n \log n)$	$O(\mathsf{M}(n))$
extended gcd (inversion)	$O(n \log^2 n)$	$O(\mathsf{M}(n) \log n)$
exponentiation		$O(n\mathsf{M}(n))$
square-roots (probabilistic)		$O(n\mathsf{M}(n))$
root-finding (probabilistic)		$O(\mathsf{M}(d(n + \log d))(n + \log d))$
factoring (probabilistic)		$O(\mathsf{M}(d(n + \log d))d(n + \log d))$
irreducibility testing		$O(\mathsf{M}(d(n + \log d))d(n + \log d))$