

**Description:** These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 15–17.

**Instructions:** Pick any combination of problems to solve that sums to 100 points. Your solutions are to be written up in latex and submitted as a pdf-file to [Gradescope](#).

Collaboration is permitted/encouraged, but you must identify your collaborators or your group on [pset partners](#), as well any references you consulted that are not listed in the [syllabus](#) or [lecture notes](#). If there are none write “**Sources consulted: none**” at the top of your solutions. Note that each student is expected to write their own solutions; it is fine to discuss the problems with others, but your writing must be your own.

The first person to spot each non-trivial typo/error in the problem sets or lecture notes will receive 1-5 points of extra credit.

In cases where your solution involves writing code, please either include your code in your write up (as part of the pdf), or the name of a notebook in your 18.783 CoCalc project containing you code (please use a separate notebook for each problem).

### Problem 1. Complex multiplication (49 points)

Let  $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-7})/2$ . In problem 1 of Problem Set 8 you computed  $j(\tau) = -3375$ . In problem 3 of Problem Set 7 you proved that the endomorphism ring of the elliptic curve  $y^2 = x^3 - 35x - 98$  with  $j$ -invariant  $-3375$  is equal to  $[1, \tau]$ , the maximal order (ring of integers) of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ . Let us now set  $g_2 := -4(-35) = 140$  and  $g_3 := -4(-98) = 392$  and work with the isomorphic elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3,$$

which is isomorphic to  $y^2 = x^3 - 35x - 98$ .

We should note that  $g_2([1, \tau])$  and  $g_3([1, \tau])$  are not equal to 140 and 392, but there is a lattice  $L$  homothetic to  $[1, \tau]$  for which  $g_2(L) = 140$  and  $g_3(L) = 392$  (you computed this lattice  $L$  in problem 2 of Problem Set 8). In particular,  $\tau L \subseteq L$ , thus  $\tau$  satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 16.4. The goal of this problem is to compute the polynomials  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  for which condition (2) of Theorem 17.4 holds, and the endomorphism  $\phi$  for which condition (3) of Theorem 17.4 holds, and to explicitly confirm that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}/L & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \phi \\ \mathbb{C}/L & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $\tau$  denotes the multiplication-by- $\tau$  map  $z \mapsto \tau z$ .

Recall that the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function satisfying the differential equation

$$\wp'(z)^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - g_2\wp(z) - g_3 \tag{1}$$

has a Laurent series expansion about 0 of the form  $\wp(z) = z^{-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n}z^{2n}$ .

- (a) Use  $g_2$  and  $g_3$  to determine  $a_2$  and  $a_4$ , and then determine  $a_6$  by comparing coefficients in the Laurent expansions of both sides of (1).

We now wish to compute the polynomials  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  for which

$$\wp(\tau z) = \frac{u(\wp(z))}{v(\wp(z))},$$

as in condition (2) of Theorem 17.4. Following Corollary 16.5, we have  $N(\tau) = \tau\bar{\tau} = 2$ , so  $\deg u = 2$  and  $\deg v = 1$ . We can make  $u = x^2 + ax + b$  monic, and with  $v = cx + d$  we must have

$$(c\wp(z) + d)\wp(\tau z) = \wp(z)^2 + a\wp(z) + b \quad (2)$$

- (b) Use (2) to determine the coefficients  $a, b, c, d$ , expressing your answers in terms of  $\tau$ . It will be convenient to work in the subfield  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ , rather than  $\mathbb{C}$ . To define the field  $K$  and the polynomial ring  $K[x]$  in Sage, use

```
RQ.<w>=PolynomialRing(QQ)
K.<tau>=NumberField(w^2-w+2)
RK.<x>=PolynomialRing(K)
```

Once you have determined  $a, b, c, d \in K$ , you can verify  $u, v \in K[x]$  via<sup>1</sup>

```
RL.<z>=LaurentSeriesRing(K, 100)
wp=EllipticCurve([-35, -98]).weierstrass_p(100).change_ring(K)
assert wp(tau*z) == u(wp(z))/v(wp(z))
```

- (c) Following the proof of Theorem 16.4, construct polynomials  $s, t \in K[x]$  that satisfy

$$\wp'(\tau z) = \frac{s(\wp(z))}{t(\wp(z))} \wp'(z).$$

You can verify your results in Sage via

```
wpp = wp.derivative()
assert wpp(tau*z) == s(wp(z))/t(wp(z))*wpp(z)
```

- (d) Now let  $\phi = \left(\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y\right)$ . Use Sage to verify that  $\phi$  is an endomorphism by checking that its coordinate functions satisfy the curve equation  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$ .

The symbolic verifications in parts (b) and (d) confirm that  $\Phi(\tau z) = \phi(\Phi(z))$ , showing that the diagram commutes (at least for the first 100 terms in the Laurent expansion of  $\wp(z)$ ). But we would like to explicitly check this for some specific values of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . In order to do this in Sage, we need to redefine  $\tau$  and the polynomials  $u, v, s, t$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , rather than  $K$ . You can use the following Sage script to do this:

```
R.<X>=PolynomialRing(CC)
pi = K.embeddings(CC)[0]
tauC = pi(tau)
def coerce(f, pi, X):
    c = f.coefficients(sparse=False)
```

<sup>1</sup>Sage effectively computes  $\wp(z)$  using  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$  when we define  $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  with  $g_2 = -4A$  and  $g_3 = -4B$ .

```

    return sum([pi(c[i])*X^i for i in range(len(c))])
uC = coerce(u, pi, X)
vC = coerce(v, pi, X)
sC = coerce(s, pi, X)
tC = coerce(t, pi, X)

```

- (e) Pick three “random” nonzero complex numbers  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  of norm less than 0.1 (they need to be close to 0 in order for the Laurent series of  $\wp(x)$  to converge quickly). You can approximate the point  $P_1 = \Phi(z_1) = (\wp(z_1), \wp'(z_1))$  on the elliptic curve  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$  in Sage using<sup>2</sup>

```

wp = EllipticCurve([CC(-35), CC(-98)]).weierstrass_p(100)
wpp = wp.derivative()
P1=(wp.laurent_polynomial()(z1), wpp.laurent_polynomial()(z1))

```

For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , compute the points  $P_i = \Phi(z_i)$  and  $Q_i = \Phi(\tau z_i)$  (remember to use the embedding of  $\tau$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Check that the points all approximately satisfy the curve equation  $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3$  (if not, use  $z_i$  with smaller norms). Then verify that  $Q_i$  and  $\phi(P_i)$  are approximately equal in each case. Report the values of  $z_i, P_i, Q_i$  and  $\phi(P_i)$ .

## Problem 2. Binary quadratic forms (49 points)

A *binary quadratic form* is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in two variables:

$$f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2,$$

which we identify by the coefficient vector  $(a, b, c)$ . We are interested in a particular set of binary quadratic forms, those that are *integral* ( $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), *primitive* ( $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ ), and *positive definite* ( $b^2 - 4ac < 0$  and  $a > 0$ ). Henceforth we shall use the word *form* to refer to an integral, primitive, positive definite, binary quadratic form. The *discriminant* of a form is the negative integer  $D = b^2 - 4ac$ , which is evidently a square modulo 4. We call such integers (imaginary quadratic) discriminants, and let  $F(D)$  denote the set of forms with discriminant  $D$ .

- (a) For each  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $f(x, y) \in F(D)$  define

$$f^\gamma(x, y) := f(sx + ty, ux + vy).$$

Show that  $f^\gamma \in F(D)$ , and that this defines a right group action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the set  $F(D)$  (this means  $f^I = f$  and  $f^{(\gamma_1\gamma_2)} = (f^{\gamma_1})^{\gamma_2}$ ).

Forms  $f$  and  $g$  are (properly) *equivalent* if  $g = f^\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . In this problem and the next, you will prove that the set  $\mathrm{cl}(D)$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of  $F(D)$  forms a finite abelian group, and develop algorithms to compute in this group.

The group  $\mathrm{cl}(D)$  is called the *class group*, and it plays a key role in the theory of complex multiplication. Our first objective is to prove that  $\mathrm{cl}(D)$  is finite, and to develop an algorithm to enumerate unique representatives of its elements (which also allows us

---

<sup>2</sup>You need to use the `laurent_polynomial` method in order to evaluate `wp` at a complex number.

to determine its cardinality). We define the (principal) *root*  $\tau$  of a form  $f = (a, b, c)$  to be the unique root of  $f(x, 1)$  in the upper half plane:

$$\tau = \frac{-b + \sqrt{D}}{2a}.$$

Recall that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts on the upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}$  via linear fractional transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{pmatrix} \tau = \frac{s\tau + t}{u\tau + v},$$

and that the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{H} : \mathrm{re}(\tau) \in [-1/2, 0] \text{ and } |\tau| \geq 1\} \cup \{\tau \in \mathcal{H} : \mathrm{re}(\tau) \in (0, 1/2) \text{ and } |\tau| > 1\}$$

is a fundamental region for  $\mathcal{H}$  modulo the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action.

- (b) Prove that  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts compatibly on forms and their roots by showing that if  $\tau$  is the root of  $f$ , then  $\gamma^{-1}\tau$  is the root of  $f^\gamma$ . Conclude that two forms are equivalent if and only if their roots are equivalent.

A form  $f = (a, b, c)$  is said to be *reduced* if

$$-a < b \leq a < c \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq b \leq a = c.$$

- (c) Prove that a form is reduced if and only if its root lies in the fundamental region  $\mathcal{F}$ . Conclude that each equivalence class in  $F(D)$  contains exactly one reduced form.
- (d) Prove that if  $f$  is reduced then  $a \leq \sqrt{|D|/3}$ . Conclude that the set  $\mathrm{cl}(D)$  is finite, and show that in fact its cardinality  $h(D)$  satisfies  $h(D) \leq |D|/3$ . Prove that  $F(D)$  contains a unique reduced form  $(a, b, c)$  with  $a = 1$ , and conclude that  $h(-3) = h(-4) = 1$ .

The positive integer  $h(D)$  is called the *class number* of the discriminant  $D$ . The bound  $h(D) \leq |D|/3$  is a substantial overestimate. In fact,  $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log |D|)$ , but proving this requires some analytic number theory that is beyond the scope of this course. Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis one can show  $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log \log |D|)$ .

- (e) Give an algorithm to enumerate the reduced forms in  $F(D)$ . Using the upper bound  $h(D) = O(|D|^{1/2} \log |D|)$ , prove that your algorithm runs in  $O(|D| \mathbf{M}(\log |D|))$  time.
- (f) Implement your algorithm and use it to enumerate the five reduced forms in  $F(-103)$  and the six reduced forms in  $F(-396)$ . Then use it to compute  $h(D)$  for the first three discriminants  $D < -N$ , where  $N$  is the integer formed by the first four digits of your student ID.

### Problem 3. The class group (98 points)

In Problem 2 we proved that  $\mathrm{cl}(D)$  is a finite set. In this problem you will prove that it is an abelian group, and develop an algorithm for computing the group operation.

To each form  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$  in  $F(D)$  with root  $\tau = (-b + \sqrt{D})/(2a)$ , we associate the lattice  $L(f) = L(a, b, c) = a[1, \tau]$ .

- (a) Show that two forms  $f, g \in F(D)$  are equivalent if and only if the lattices  $L(f)$  and  $L(g)$  are homothetic (use may use part (b) of problem 2 if you wish).

For any lattice  $L$ , the *order* of  $L$  is the set

$$\mathcal{O}(L) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha L \subseteq L\}.$$

- (b) Prove that either  $\mathcal{O}(L) = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathcal{O}(L)$  is an order in an imaginary quadratic field, and that homothetic lattices have the same order. Prove that if  $L$  is the lattice of a form in  $F(D)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}(L)$  is the order of discriminant  $D$  in the field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ .

For the rest of this problem let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the (not necessarily maximal) imaginary quadratic order of discriminant  $D$ , which may be represented as a lattice  $[1, \omega]$ , where  $\omega$  is an algebraic integer whose minimal polynomial  $x^2 + bx + c$  has discriminant  $b^2 - 4c = D$ .

Recall that an (integral)  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an additive subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}$  that is closed under multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}$ . Every  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is necessarily a sublattice of  $\mathcal{O}$ , and its *norm*  $N(\mathfrak{a})$  is the index  $[\mathcal{O} : \mathfrak{a}] = |\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}|$ . An  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is said to be *proper* if  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{O}$ . In Lecture 18 we showed that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper if and only if it is invertible as a fractional ideal, which explains our interest in this property. Note that we always have  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a})$ , so when  $\mathcal{O}$  is maximal every nonzero  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal is proper.

- (c) Prove that if  $L(a, b, c) = a[1, \tau]$  is the lattice of a form in  $F(D)$ , then  $L$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal of norm  $a$ , where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(L) = [1, a\tau]$ .
- (d) Conversely prove that every proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is homothetic to the lattice of a form in  $F(D)$ . Show that the assumption that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper is necessary by giving an explicit example of an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  that is not proper (so by (c) it cannot be homothetic to the lattice of a form in  $F(d)$ ).
- (e) Prove that if the norm of  $\mathfrak{a}$  is relatively prime to the conductor  $u = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is proper. Give an explicit example showing that the converse is not true.

The product of two lattices  $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$  and  $[\omega_3, \omega_4]$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is the additive group generated by  $\{\omega_1\omega_3, \omega_1\omega_4, \omega_2\omega_3, \omega_2\omega_4\}$ .

- (f) Show that, in general, the product of two lattices need not be a lattice, but the product of two lattices that are  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals is a lattice.
- (g) Let  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  denote the set of equivalence classes (under homothety) of lattices that are proper  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals. Prove that the lattice product makes  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$  into an abelian group. Conclude that the corresponding operation on the equivalence classes of  $F(D)$  makes  $\text{cl}(D)$  into an abelian group that is isomorphic to  $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ .

To do explicit computations in  $\text{cl}(D)$  we need to translate the product operation on lattices  $L(f_1)$  and  $L(f_2)$  into a corresponding product operation on forms  $f_1, f_2 \in F(D)$ . This is known as *composition* of forms, and is performed as follows. If  $f_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1)$  and  $f_2 = (a_2, b_2, c_2)$  are forms in  $F(D)$ , then let  $s = (b_1 + b_2)/2$  (this is an integer because  $b_1, b_2$  and  $D$  all have the same parity). Use the extended Euclidean algorithm (twice) to compute integers  $u, v, w$ , and  $d$  such that  $ua_1 + va_2 + ws = d = \gcd(a_1, a_2, s)$ . The composition of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  is then given by

$$f_1 * f_2 = (a_3, b_3, c_3) = \left( \frac{a_1 a_2}{d^2}, b_2 + \frac{2a_2}{d}(v(s - b_2) - wc_2), \frac{b_3^2 - D}{4a_3} \right).$$

It is a straight-forward but tedious task to verify that this composition formula satisfies  $L(f_1 * f_2) = L(f_1) * L(f_2)$ ; you are not required to do this.

- (h) Verify that the inverse of  $(a, b, c)$  is  $(a, -b, c)$  and that the unique reduced form with  $a = 1$  acts as the identity (see Problem 2 for the definition of a reduced form).

Unfortunately, even if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are reduced forms, the composition of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  need not be reduced. In order to compute in  $\text{cl}(D)$  effectively, we need a reduction algorithm. Recall the matrices  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  that generate  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

- (i) Let  $f$  be the form  $(a, b, c)$ . Compute the forms  $f^S$ ,  $f^{T^m}$ , and  $f^{T^{-m}}$ , for a positive integer  $m$ .

A form  $(a, b, c)$  with  $-a < b \leq a$  is said to be *normalized*.

- (j) Show that for any form  $f$  there is an integer  $m$  such that  $f^{T^m}$  is normalized, and give an explicit formula for  $m$ . Let us call  $f^{T^m}$  the *normalization* of  $f$ . Now let  $f = (a, b, c)$  be a normalized form and prove the following:

- (a) If  $a < \sqrt{|D|}/2$  then  $f$  is reduced.
- (b) If  $a < \sqrt{|D|}$  and  $f$  is not reduced, then the normalization of  $Sf$  is reduced.
- (c) If  $a \geq \sqrt{|D|}$  then the normalization  $(a', b', c')$  of  $Sf$  has  $a' \leq a/2$ .

- (k) Give an algorithm to compute the reduction of a form  $f$  in  $F(D)$ , and bound its complexity as a function of  $n = \log |D|$ , assuming that its coefficients are  $O(n)$  bits in size. Then bound the complexity of computing the reduction of the product of two reduced forms (this corresponds to performing a group operation in  $\text{cl}(D)$ ).<sup>3</sup>

- (l) Implement your algorithm and then use it to compute the reduction of a form  $(a, b, c) \in F(D)$ , with  $a$  equal to the least prime greater than  $|D|^2$  for which  $(\frac{D}{a}) = 1$ . Do this for the discriminants  $D = -103$  and  $D = -396$ , and for the first three discriminants  $D < -N$ , where  $N$  is the first four digits of your student ID. For the largest  $|D|$ , list the sequence of normalized forms computed during the reduction.

#### Problem 4. Subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (49 points)

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Recall that for each integer  $n > 1$ , the  $n$ -torsion subgroup of  $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  is a rank 2  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -module we denote  $E[n]$ . As explained in Problem Sets 3 and 6, the action of the absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  on the coordinates of points gives rise to an action on the set  $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  that commutes with the group law. Hence the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action preserves  $E[n]$  and gives rise to a linear representation of the absolute Galois group

$$\rho_{E,n}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[n]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

which we call the *mod- $n$  Galois representation* attached to  $E$ . In this problem we restrict our attention to prime  $n = \ell$ , in which case we have following theorem of Serre.

<sup>3</sup>A quasi-linear bound is known [1], but your bound does not need to be this tight. However it should be polynomial in  $n$ .

**Theorem** (Serre, 1972). *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for which  $\text{End}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . For all but finitely many primes  $\ell$ , the image of the mod- $\ell$  Galois representation is surjective:*

$$\rho_{E,\ell}(G_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}).$$

**Remark.** For an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (or any number field) we know that  $\text{End}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or an order in an imaginary quadratic field. The latter case is quite special: it applies to only 13  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , corresponding to the 13 imaginary quadratic orders of class number one.<sup>4</sup>

**Remark.** It is conjectured that Serre's theorem actually applies to all primes  $\ell > 37$  (independent of  $E$ ). There is ample evidence and some recent progress toward a proof of this conjecture, but it remains a major open question.

A key component of the proof of Serre's theorem is understanding the maximal subgroups of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$ . In order to discuss subgroups of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{\ell})$  in a basis-free manner, it is often convenient to write  $\text{GL}(V)$  where  $V$  is a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  and  $\text{GL}(V)$  denotes its group of automorphisms. In this problem you will give a complete classification of the maximal subgroups of  $\text{GL}_2(V)$ .

Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be distinct 1-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ , which we can think of as lines through the origin in  $V$ , and let  $C_s$  be the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(V)$  that preserves both  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  (individually, no swapping allowed).

- (a) Show that for  $\ell \neq 2$ , the subgroup  $C_s$  uniquely determines the lines  $L_1, L_2 \subset V$  (and hence is equivalent to specifying two such lines).

We call such a  $C$  a *split Cartan subgroup* of  $\text{GL}(V)$ . If we choose a basis for  $V$  compatible with the decomposition  $V = L_1 \oplus L_2$ , we then have

$$C_s = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $*$  indicates any element of  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ . From this we see that  $C \simeq (\mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times})^2$  is an abelian group of order  $(\ell - 1)^2$ .

As an  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -vector space,  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^2$ ; but  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$  also has a multiplicative structure, and so the action of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times}$  on  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2} \simeq V$  gives a cyclic subgroup  $C_{ns}$  of  $\text{GL}(V)$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^{\times}$ . Such a subgroup  $C_{ns}$  is called a *non-split Cartan subgroup*. We collectively refer to split and non-split Cartan subgroups as Cartan subgroups.

- (b) Show that for  $\ell \neq 2$ , if we fix a quadratic non-residue  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ , then in an appropriate basis we have

$$C_{ns} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \epsilon y \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}, (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

- (c) Show that the intersection of any two distinct Cartan subgroups (either split or non-split) is the group of scalar matrices  $Z = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$  with  $z \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}^{\times}$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>Elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\text{End}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \neq \mathbb{Z}$  are often said to have complex multiplication and called CM curves, even though this is not strictly true: the extra endomorphisms are only defined over a quadratic extension (it would be more correct to say these curves have "potential complex multiplication").

- (d) Show that any element  $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  with  $\Delta(s) = \mathrm{tr}(s)^2 - 4 \cdot \det(s) \neq 0$  is contained in a unique Cartan subgroup, and determine a condition involving  $\Delta(s)$  that specifies the type of Cartan. Deduce that the union of all Cartan subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  is the set of elements of order prime to  $\ell$ . (If you are stuck, look at part (h) below.)
- (e) Let  $N$  denote the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup  $C$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ , that is all elements  $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  such that  $sCs^{-1} = C$ . Show that  $(N : C) = 2$  and give an explicit description of this group in the split and non-split cases separately.

It is easy to show that the group  $Z$  of scalar matrices forms the center of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ . We define  $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$  to be the quotient of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  by its center, so  $\mathrm{PGL}(V) := \mathrm{GL}(V)/Z$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathrm{GL}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(V)$  denote the quotient map.

- (f) Show that if  $C$  is a split (resp. non-split) Cartan subgroup, then  $\varphi(C) \subset \mathrm{PGL}(V)$  is cyclic of order  $\ell - 1$  (resp.  $\ell + 1$ ). Show that the image in  $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$  of a normalizer of a Cartan subgroup is a dihedral group.<sup>5</sup>

By part (d) above, it remains to understand the elements of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  of order divisible by  $\ell$ . A Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  is the group of automorphisms of  $V$  fixing a specified line (through the origin). A Borel subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  has order  $\ell(\ell - 1)^2$ . After choosing an appropriate basis, this has the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (g) Show that any element  $s \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$  of order  $\ell$  is conjugate to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (h) Using the fact that  $\mathrm{SL}(V)$  is generated  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , deduce that any subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(V)$  of order divisible by  $\ell$  either lies in a Borel subgroup, or contains  $\mathrm{SL}(V)$ .

Let  $k$  be any field. If  $H$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$  of order prime to the characteristic of  $k$  that is not cyclic or dihedral, then  $H$  is isomorphic to either  $A_4, S_4$ , or  $A_5$ . (In the case  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , this result is well known; these subgroups correspond to the symmetry groups of the regular polyhedra: tetrahedron, cube/octahedron, and icosahedron/dodecahedron, respectively.)

- (i) Use parts (a) to (h) to prove the following classification theorem.

**Theorem** (Maximal subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ ). *Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ ; let  $H$  denote the image of  $G$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ . Then one of the following holds:*

1.  $G$  has order prime to  $\ell$  and either:
  - (i)  $H$  is cyclic and  $G$  is contained in a Cartan subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ ;
  - (ii)  $H$  is dihedral and  $G$  is contained in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup  $C$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  but not in  $C$ ;
  - (iii)  $H$  is isomorphic to  $A_4, S_4$  or  $A_5$  and we call  $G$  exceptional;
2.  $G$  has order divisible by  $\ell$  and either:

---

<sup>5</sup>For this problem, the product of two cyclic groups of order 2 (the Klein group) is a dihedral group.



- (iv)  $G$  is contained in a Borel subgroup;
- (v)  $G$  contains  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ .

Serre’s theorem states that except for elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with (potential) complex multiplication, for all but finitely many primes  $\ell$  we are in case (v) of the classification above. On later problem sets we will see that for  $\ell \neq 2$  this never happens if  $E$  has complex multiplication, so the hypothesis  $\mathrm{End}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbb{Z}$  in Serre’s theorem is necessary.

**Problem 5. Survey (2 points)**

Complete the following survey by rating each of the problems you attempted on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found the problem (1 = “mind-numbing,” 10 = “mind-blowing”), and how difficult you found it (1 = “trivial,” 10 = “brutal”). Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem to the nearest half hour.

	Interest	Difficulty	Time Spent
Problem 1			
Problem 2			
Problem 3			
Problem 4			

Also, please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material (1=“useless”, 10=“fascinating”), the quality of the presentation (1=“epic fail”, 10=“perfection”), the pace (1=“way too slow”, 10=“way too fast”, 5=“just right”) and the novelty of the material (1=“old hat”, 10=“all new”).

Date	Lecture Topic	Material	Presentation	Pace	Novelty
4/11	Riemann surfaces, modular curves				
4/13	The modular equation				

Please feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets or lectures, in particular, ways in which they might be improved.

**References**

[1] A. Schönage, *Fast reduction and composition of binary quadratic forms*, in International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation–ISSAC’91, ACM, 1991, 128–133.