

6 Isogeny kernels and division polynomials

In this lecture we continue our study of isogenies of elliptic curves. Recall that an isogeny is a surjective morphism that is also a group homomorphism, equivalently, a non-constant rational map that fixes the identity. In the previous lecture we showed that every nonzero isogeny $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ between elliptic curves in short Weierstrass form $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ can be written in the standard affine form

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left(\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y \right),$$

where $u \perp v$ and $s \perp t$ are pairs of relatively prime polynomials in $k[x]$.¹ For any affine point $(x_0, y_0) \in E_1(\bar{k})$, we have $\alpha(x_0, y_0) = 0$ if and only if $v(x_0) = 0$ (equivalently, if and only if $t(x_0) = 0$; see Lemma 5.26 and Corollary 5.27). This follows from the fact that $\ker \alpha$ is a subgroup, so if $P = (x_0, y_0) \in \ker \alpha$ then so is $-P = (x_0, -y_0)$, and this accounts for every point in $E(\bar{k})$ with x -coordinate x_0 . It follows that

$$\ker \alpha = \{(x_0, y_0) \in E_1(\bar{k}) : v(x_0) = 0\} \cup \{0\}$$

is determined by the polynomial $v(x)$ (here $0 := (0 : 1 : 0)$ is the point at infinity).

When α is the multiplication-by- n map $P \mapsto nP = P + \cdots + P$ (which is an isogeny because it is a group homomorphism defined by a non-constant rational map), the kernel of α is the n -torsion subgroup

$$E[n] := \{P \in E(\bar{k}) : nP = 0\}.$$

Torsion subgroups play a key role in the theory of elliptic curves. In particular, when $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field, the finite abelian group $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is completely determined by its intersection with the n -torsion subgroups $E[n]$. Understanding the structure of $E[n]$ will allow us to understand the structure of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and will also turn out to be the key to efficiently computing $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

6.1 Kernels of isogenies

Recall that the *degree* of an isogeny α in standard form is defined to be $\max\{\deg u, \deg v\}$, and α is *separable* whenever $\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)' \neq 0$. We are going to prove that for separable isogenies, the order of its kernel is equal to its degree. But we will first dispose of the inseparable case by showing that every isogeny can be decomposed into the composition of a separable isogeny and a power of the p -power Frobenius morphism (which has trivial kernel).

Lemma 6.1. *Let u and v be relatively prime polynomials in $k[x]$.*

$$\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)' = 0 \iff u' = v' = 0 \iff u = f(x^p) \text{ and } v = g(x^p),$$

where f and g are polynomials in $k[x]$ and p is the characteristic of k (which may be zero).

¹The assumption that E_1 and E_2 are in short Weierstrass form implies we are not in characteristic 2 (and rules out some curves in characteristic 3). Most of the results we will prove can easily be extended to curves in general Weierstrass form and thus apply to all elliptic curves. When this is true we will state our theorems generally, but in our proofs we will restrict to elliptic curves in short Weierstrass form for simplicity.

Proof. Suppose $\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)' = \frac{u'v - v'u}{v^2} = 0$. Then

$$u'v = v'u.$$

The polynomials u and v have no common roots in \bar{k} , therefore every root of u in \bar{k} must also be a root of u' , with at least the same multiplicity. But $\deg u' < \deg u$, so this is possible only if $u' = 0$, and by the same argument we must also have $v' = 0$. Conversely, if $u' = v' = 0$ then $u'v = v'u$. This proves the first equivalence.

Now let $u(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$. If $u'(x) = \sum n a_n x^{n-1} = 0$, then $n a_n = 0$ for every n , which means that n must be a multiple of p for every nonzero a_n (if $p = 0$ this means $u' = 0$). In this case we can write u as

$$u(x) = \sum_m a_{pm}(x^p)^m = f(x^p),$$

where $f = \sum_m a_m x^m$. Similarly, if $v'(x) = 0$ then $v(x) = g(x^p)$ for some $g \in k[x]$. Conversely, if $u(x) = f(x^p)$ then $u'(x) = p x^{p-1} f'(x^p) = 0$, and similarly for $v(x)$. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Over a field of characteristic zero, every isogeny is separable.*

We now show that every inseparable isogeny arises as the composition of a separable isogeny with some power of the p -power Frobenius map $\pi: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x^p, y^p, z^p)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be an inseparable isogeny of elliptic curves*

$$E_1: y^2 = x^3 + A_1 x + B_1 \quad E_2: y^2 = x^3 + A_2 x + B_2$$

defined over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then α can be written in the form

$$\alpha = (r_1(x^p), r_2(x^p)y^p)$$

for some rational functions $r_1, r_2 \in k(x)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha(x, y) = \left(\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y\right)$ be in standard form. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that $\frac{u(x)}{v(x)} = r_1(x^p)$ for some $r_1 \in k(x)$; we only need to show that $\frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y$ can be put in the form $r_2(x^p)y^p$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.26, substituting u/v and s/t into the equation for E_2 and using the equation for E_1 to eliminate y^2 yields the equality

$$v^3 s^2 f = t^2 w,$$

where $f(x) = x^3 + A_1 x + B_1$ and $w = u^3 + A_2 u v^2 + B_2 v^3$. Since α is inseparable, we have $u' = v' = 0$, hence $w' = 0$, and therefore $\left(\frac{w}{v^3}\right)' = \left(\frac{s^2 f}{t^2}\right)' = 0$. Thus $s(x)^2 f(x) = g(x^p)$ and $t(x)^2 = h(x^p)$, for some polynomials g and h . Every root of $g(x^p)$ in \bar{k} has multiplicity p and the roots of f in \bar{k} are distinct, thus we may write $s^2 f = s_1^2 f^p$, where $s_1 = g_1(x^p)$ for some polynomial g_1 (here we have used the fact that p must be odd). We then have

$$(s(x)y)^2 \equiv s(x)^2 f(x) = g_1(x^p)^2 f(x)^p \equiv g_1(x^p)^2 y^p,$$

where the equivalences are modulo the curve equation for E_1 . Thus

$$\left(\frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y\right)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{g_1(x^p)}{h(x^p)}y^p\right)^2 = (r(x^p)y^p)^2,$$

where $r(x) = g_1(x)/h(x)$. It follows that $\frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y \equiv r_2(x^p)y^p$ with $r_2 = \pm r$, since two rational functions that agree up to sign at infinitely many points can differ only in sign. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let α be an isogeny of elliptic curves over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then*

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^n$$

for some separable isogeny α_{sep} and integer $n \geq 0$, where π is the p -power Frobenius morphism $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x^p, y^p, z^p)$. We then have $\deg \alpha = p^n \deg \alpha_{\text{sep}}$.

Proof. This holds in general, but we will only prove it for $p > 3$. If α is separable then $\alpha_{\text{sep}} = \alpha$ and $n = 0$, so we now assume α is inseparable. By Lemma 6.3 we may write $\alpha = (r_1(x^p), r_2(x^p)y^p)$ for some $r_1, r_2 \in k(x)$. Then $\alpha = \alpha_1 \circ \pi$ with $\alpha_1 = (r_1(x), r_2(x)y)$. If α_1 is inseparable we apply the same procedure to α_1 (recursively) and eventually obtain $\alpha = \alpha_n \circ \pi^n$ where α_n is a separable isogeny (this process terminates because each step reduces the degree of α_n by a factor of p). We may then take $\alpha_{\text{sep}} = \alpha_n$. If $\alpha_{\text{sep}} = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$ is in standard form, composing with π^n replaces $u(x)$ by $u(x^{p^n})$ and $v(x)$ by $v(x^{p^n})$, and then $\deg \alpha = \max(p^n \deg u, p^n \deg v) = p^n \max(\deg u, \deg v) = p^n \deg \alpha_{\text{sep}}$. \square

Remark 6.5. The isogeny α_{sep} does not necessarily have the same domain as $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, since the image of π^n is not necessarily E_1 (but π^n will map E_1 to E_1 whenever E_1 is defined over \mathbb{F}_{p^n}). We also note that when k is a perfect field (including all finite fields), we can also decompose α as $\alpha = \pi^n \circ \tilde{\alpha}_{\text{sep}}$, where $\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{sep}}$ is separable and has the same degree as α_{sep} (indeed, α_{sep} is just $\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{sep}}$ with each coefficient replaced by its p th power).

Definition 6.6. For an isogeny $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{rmsep}} \circ \pi^n$ decomposed as in Corollary 6.4, we define the *separable degree* $\deg_s \alpha$ and *inseparable degree* $\deg_i \alpha$ of α as

$$\deg_s \alpha := \deg \alpha_{\text{sep}}, \quad \deg_i \alpha = p^n,$$

and we always have

$$\deg \alpha = (\deg_s \alpha)(\deg_i \alpha).$$

The inseparable isogeny π^n has separable degree 1; such isogenies are said to be *purely inseparable*. The degree of a purely inseparable isogeny is always a power of p , but the converse does not hold (as we shall see in the next lecture).

Remark 6.7. Note that isogenies of degree 1 (isomorphisms) are both separable and purely inseparable. We are primarily interested in purely inseparable isogenies of degree greater than 1.

We can now prove our first main result.

Theorem 6.8. *The order of the kernel of an isogeny is equal to its separable degree.*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^n$. Then $\#\ker \alpha = \#\ker \alpha_{\text{sep}}$, since the kernel of π (and hence π^n) is trivial: we can have $(x^p : y^p : z^p) = (0 : 1 : 0)$ if and only if $(x : y : z) = (0 : 1 : 0)$. It thus suffices to consider the case $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{sep}}$, which we now assume.

Let $\alpha(x, y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$ be in standard form and pick a point (a, b) in $\alpha(E_1(\bar{k}))$ with $a, b \neq 0$ and such that a is not equal to the ratio of the leading coefficients of u and v (such a point (a, b) certainly exists, since $\alpha(E_1(\bar{k}))$ is infinite). We now consider the set

$$S(a, b) = \{(x_0, y_0) \in E_1(\bar{k}) : \alpha(x_0, y_0) = (a, b)\}$$

of points in the pre-image of (a, b) . Since α is a group homomorphism, $\#S(a, b) = \#\ker \alpha$.

If $(x_0, y_0) \in S(a, b)$ then

$$\frac{u(x_0)}{v(x_0)} = a, \quad \frac{s(x_0)}{t(x_0)} y_0 = b.$$

We must have $t(x_0) \neq 0$, since α is defined at (x_0, y_0) , and $b \neq 0$ implies $s(x_0) \neq 0$. It follows that $y_0 = \frac{t(x_0)}{s(x_0)} b$ is uniquely determined by x_0 . Thus to compute $\#S(a, b)$ it suffices to count the number of distinct values of x_0 that occur among the points in $S(a, b)$.

We now let $g = u - av$ so that $\alpha(x_0, y_0) = (a, b)$ if and only if $g(x_0) = 0$. We must have $\deg g = \deg \alpha$, since a is not equal to the ratio of the leading coefficients of u and v (so the leading terms of u and av do not cancel when we subtract them). The cardinality of $S(a, b)$ is then equal to the number of *distinct* roots of g .

Any $x_0 \in \bar{k}$ is a multiple root of g if and only if $g(x_0) = g'(x_0) = 0$, equivalently, if and only if $av(x_0) = u(x_0)$ and $av'(x_0) = u'(x_0)$. If we multiply opposite sides of these equations and cancel the a 's we get

$$u'(x_0)v(x_0) = v'(x_0)u(x_0). \quad (1)$$

Now α is separable, so $u'v - v'u \neq 0$ has only a finite number of roots. Since $\alpha(E_1(\bar{k}))$ is infinite and $\#S(a, b) = \#\ker \alpha$ is finite, we may assume that (a, b) was chosen so that (1) is not satisfied for any (x_0, y_0) in $S(a, b)$. Then every root x_0 of g is distinct and we have

$$\#\ker \alpha = \#S(a, b) = \deg g = \deg \alpha,$$

as desired. □

Corollary 6.9. *Every purely inseparable isogeny has trivial kernel.*

Corollary 6.10. *For any composition of isogenies $\alpha = \beta \circ \gamma$ we have*

$$\deg \alpha = (\deg \beta)(\deg \gamma), \quad \deg_s \alpha = (\deg_s \beta)(\deg_s \gamma), \quad \deg_i \alpha = (\deg_i \beta)(\deg_i \gamma).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the last two equalities. The fact that γ is surjective group homomorphism implies

$$\#(\ker \alpha) = \#(\ker \beta)\#(\ker \gamma),$$

since $\ker \alpha$ is the preimage of $\ker \beta$ under γ , which is a union of $\#\ker \beta$ cosets of $\ker \alpha$; Theorem 6.8 implies $\deg_s \alpha = (\deg_s \beta)(\deg_s \gamma)$. Applying Corollary 6.4 to α, β, γ yields

$$\alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^a = \beta_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^b \circ \gamma_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^c.$$

The isogeny $\delta = \pi^b \circ \gamma_{\text{sep}}$ has the same kernel, hence the same separable degree, as γ_{sep} , and we can apply Corollary 6.4 to write it as $\delta = \delta_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^b$. We then have

$$\alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^a = \beta_{\text{sep}} \circ \delta_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^{bc},$$

so $\deg_s \alpha = \deg_s(\beta_{\text{sep}} \circ \delta_{\text{sep}}) = (\deg_s \beta)(\deg_s \delta) = (\deg_s \beta)(\deg_s \gamma)$. We must have $a = bc$ and therefore $\deg_i \alpha = (\deg_i \beta)(\deg_i \gamma)$, since $\beta_{\text{sep}} \circ \delta_{\text{sep}}$ is separable (this follows from the chain rule, the derivative of a composition of functions with nonzero derivative is nonzero). □

6.2 Isogenies from kernels

We have seen that for each isogeny $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ the kernel of α is a finite subgroup of $E_1(\bar{k})$. It is reasonable to ask whether the converse holds, that is, given a finite subgroup G of $E_1(\bar{k})$, is there an isogeny α from E_1 to some elliptic curve E_2 that has G as its kernel?

The answer is yes. Moreover, if we restrict our attention to separable isogenies (which we should, since if $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^n$ then the purely inseparable isogeny π^n has trivial kernel), the isogeny α and the elliptic curve E_2 are uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

The proof of this theorem relies on some standard facts from algebraic geometry that are slightly outside the scope of this course (such as the Hurwitz genus formula), but the theorem is so striking and useful that we will take a moment to sketch the proof. We will then present explicit formulas for constructing α and E_2 from G due to Vélú [2].

Theorem 6.11. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve and let G be a finite subgroup of $E(\bar{k})$. There exists an elliptic curve E' and a separable isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ with $\ker \phi = G$. The curve E' and the isogeny ϕ are defined over a finite extension of k and are unique up to isomorphism.*

We can be more precise about the field over which the elliptic curve E' and the isogeny ϕ are defined; it is the minimal extension L/k for which G is invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$ (each field automorphism in $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on points $P \in E(\bar{k})$ via its action on the coordinates of P); we then say that G is *defined* over L . To say that G is invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$ means that the image of G under each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$ is G ; it does *not* mean that every point in G is necessarily fixed by $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/L)$, which is a stronger condition (G may be defined over L even when it contains points that are not).

Proof sketch. Given any smooth projective curve C and a finite group G of automorphisms of the curve (invertible morphisms from the curve to itself), there is a smooth projective curve C/G and a surjective morphism $\phi: C \rightarrow C/G$ that maps each G -orbit $\{\sigma(P) : \sigma \in G\}$ of points $P \in C(\bar{k})$ to a distinct point in C/G . The curve C/G is called the *quotient* of C by G . The standard way to prove this is to use the categorical equivalence of smooth projective curves and their function fields to derive C/G and ϕ from the field embedding

$$k(C)^{G^*} \hookrightarrow k(C),$$

where G^* denotes the group of automorphisms $\sigma^*: k(C) \rightarrow k(C)$ induced by the automorphisms $\sigma: C \rightarrow C$ in G (so $\sigma^*(f) = f \circ \sigma$), and $k(C)^{G^*}$ is the subfield of $k(C)$ fixed by G^* . The morphism ϕ is separable because $k(C)/k(C)^{G^*}$ is separable, and provided that the group G is defined over k , both ϕ and C/G are defined over k (if not we can always base change E to the minimal field over which G is defined).

In our situation the curve C is an elliptic curve, and we can associate to each point $P \in E(\bar{k})$ the automorphism $\tau_P: Q \mapsto Q + P$, the *translation-by- P map*. Note that τ_P is not an isogeny because it does not fix the point 0 (unless $P = 0$), but it is a morphism $E \rightarrow E$, and it has an inverse τ_{-P} , so it is an automorphism. We can thus associate a group of automorphisms G to any finite subgroup of $E(\bar{k})$, consisting of translation-by- P maps τ_P for each $P \in G$, and we obtain a morphism $\phi: E \rightarrow E/G$ from E to its quotient by G .

It is not immediately clear that the smooth projective curve E/G is actually an elliptic curve, but this is indeed the case. This follows from the Hurwitz genus formula [1, II.2.7], which implies that for any *unramified* morphism $\phi: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ we must have

$$(2g_1 - 2) = (\deg \phi)(2g_2 - 2).$$

Here g_i denotes the genus of C_i , and ϕ is unramified if its fibers $\phi^{-1}(P) \subseteq C_1(\bar{k})$ have the same cardinality for every point $P \in C_2(\bar{k})$.

In our situation $\phi: E \rightarrow E/G$ is unramified because the G -orbits of $E(\bar{k})$ are cosets, which necessarily all have the same size, and the Hurwitz genus formula then implies that E/G must have genus 1 (since E has genus 1), no matter what the degree of ϕ is.² Assuming G is defined over k , the point $\phi(0)$ will be rational and we can take it as our distinguished rational point (in any case $\phi(0)$ will be defined over the field of definition of E/G). So E/G is an elliptic curve, and $\phi: E \rightarrow E/G$ is a surjective morphism that fixes the identity, hence an isogeny, and as noted above, it is separable. The kernel of ϕ is the G -orbit of 0 in $E(\bar{k})$, which is precisely the subgroup G of $E(\bar{k})$ that we started with.

Moreover, if we have another separable isogeny $\phi': E \rightarrow E'$ with the same kernel G , then we can view $k(E')$ as a subfield of $k(E)$ via the induced embedding $\phi^*: k(E') \rightarrow k(E)$, and $k(E')$ is then fixed by every automorphism in G , hence a subgroup of $k(E)^G$. Since ϕ' is separable, we have $\deg \phi' = [k(E):k(E')] = \#G$, so $k(E')$ must be (isomorphic to) the fixed field $k(E)^G$. It follows that there exists an isomorphism $\iota: E/G \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$ for which $\phi' = \iota \circ \phi$; the curve E/G and the isogeny ϕ are thus unique up to such an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 6.12. *An isogeny of composite degree can always be decomposed into a sequence of isogenies of prime degree.*

Proof. Let $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be an isogeny. If we are working in a field of characteristic $p > 0$, by writing α as $\alpha = \alpha_{\text{sep}} \circ \pi^n$ we can decompose $\pi^n = \pi \circ \cdots \circ \pi$ as a sequence of isogenies of prime degree p . Thus it suffices to consider the case where α is separable. As a non-trivial abelian group, $G = \ker \alpha$ contains a subgroup H of prime order. By Theorem 6.11, there exists a separable isogeny $\alpha_1: E_1 \rightarrow E_3$ with H as its kernel. Then $\alpha_1(G)$ is a finite subgroup of $E_3(\bar{k})$ isomorphic to G/H , and (applying Theorem 6.11 again), there exists a separable isogeny $\alpha_2: E_3 \rightarrow E_4$ with $\alpha_1(G)$ as its kernel. The kernel of the composition $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$ is $G = \ker \alpha$, so there exists an isomorphism $\iota: E_4 \rightarrow E_2$ such that $\alpha = \iota \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1$.

We can now proceed by induction and apply the same decomposition to $\iota \circ \alpha_2$, which has smaller degree than α . We eventually obtain a sequence of separable isogenies of prime degree whose composition is equal to α . \square

This is all very nice from an abstract point of view, but it is not immediately useful for practical applications. We would really like to have an explicit description of the elliptic curve E/G and the isogeny ϕ . So let $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ be an elliptic curve and let G be a finite subgroup of $E(\bar{k})$. Let $G_{\neq 0}$ denote the set of nonzero points in G , all of which are affine points $Q = (x_Q, y_Q)$, and for each point $P = (x_P, y_P)$ in $E(\bar{k})$ that is not in G , let us define

$$\phi(P) := \left(x_P + \sum_{Q \in G_{\neq 0}} (x_{P+Q} - x_Q), y_P + \sum_{Q \in G_{\neq 0}} (y_{P+Q} - y_Q) \right).$$

Here x_P and y_P are variables, x_Q and y_Q are fixed elements of \bar{k} , and x_{P+Q} and y_{P+Q} are the affine coordinates of $P + Q$, which we can view as rational functions of x_P and y_P by plugging the coordinates of P and Q into the formulas for the group law.

It's not immediately obvious what the image of this map is, but it is clearly a non-constant rational map, so it defines a morphism from E to some smooth projective curve E' .

²This is yet another remarkable property of elliptic curves; isogenies $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ are necessarily unramified and we always get zero on both sides of the Hurwitz genus formula (allowing ϕ to have any degree); this phenomenon does not occur for curves of any other genus.

Moreover, we can see that the group law on E induces a group law on E' that is defined by rational maps, thus E' is an abelian variety (of dimension one), hence an elliptic curve. For any $P \notin G$ we have $\phi(P) = \phi(P + Q)$ if and only if $Q \in G$, so the kernel of ϕ must be G .

Thus, assuming it is separable, ϕ is the isogeny we are looking for (up to isomorphism). By using the group law to write x_{P+Q} and y_{P+Q} as rational functions in terms of x_P and y_P (and the coordinates of the points in G , which we regard as constants), we can get explicit equations for ϕ and determine an equation for its image E' . The details are somewhat involved (see [3, Thm. 12.16]), so we will just give the formulas. To simplify the expressions we will assume that the order of G is either 2 or odd; this covers all separable isogenies of prime degree, and by the corollary above, we can obtain any isogeny by composing separable isogenies of prime degree and copies of the Frobenius morphism (if necessary).

Theorem 6.13 (Vélu). *Let $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ be an elliptic curve over k and let $x_0 \in \bar{k}$ be a root of $x^3 + Ax + B$. Define $t := 3x_0^2 + A$ and $w := x_0 t$. The rational map*

$$\phi(x, y) := \left(\frac{x^2 - x_0 x + t}{x - x_0}, \frac{(x - x_0)^2 - t}{(x - x_0)^2} y \right)$$

is a separable isogeny from E to $E': y^2 = x^3 + A'x + B'$, where $A' := A - 5t$ and $B' := B - 7w$. The kernel of ϕ is the group of order 2 generated by $(x_0, 0)$.

Proof. It is clear that ϕ is a separable isogeny of degree 2 with $(x_0, 0)$ in its kernel. the only thing to check is that E' is its image, which is an easy verification (just plug the formulas for $\phi(x, y)$ into the equation for E'). \square

Remark 6.14. If $x_0 \in k$ then ϕ and E' will both be defined over k , but in general they will be defined over the extension field $k(x_0)$ which contains A' and B' .

Theorem 6.15 (Vélu). *Let $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ be an elliptic curve over k and let G be a finite subgroup of $E(\bar{k})$ of odd order. For each nonzero $Q = (x_Q, y_Q)$ in G define*

$$t_Q := 3x_Q^2 + A, \quad u_Q := 2y_Q^2, \quad w_Q := u_Q + t_Q x_Q,$$

and let

$$t := \sum_{Q \in G \neq 0} t_Q, \quad w := \sum_{Q \in G \neq 0} w_Q, \quad r(x) := x + \sum_{Q \in G \neq 0} \left(\frac{t_Q}{x - x_Q} + \frac{u_Q}{(x - x_Q)^2} \right).$$

The rational map

$$\phi(x, y) := (r(x), r'(x)y)$$

is a separable isogeny from E to $E': y^2 = x^3 + A'x + B'$, where $A' := A - 5t$ and $B' := B - 7w$, with $\ker \phi = G$.

Proof. This is a special case of [3, Thm. 12.16]. \square

Remark 6.16. The formulas for $t, w, r(x)$ sum over all the nonzero points in G but notice that they depend only on the x -coordinates x_Q . Since $|G|$ is odd and $Q = (x_Q, y_Q) \in G$ if and only if $-Q = (x_Q, -y_Q) \in G$, it suffices to sum over just half the points in $G \neq 0$ (representatives of $G/\{\pm 1\}$), and double the result. The elliptic curve E' and ϕ are defined over any extension L/k where G is defined.

Remark 6.17. Theorem 6.15 implies that (possibly after composing with an isomorphism) we can put any separable isogeny α of odd degree in the form

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left(\frac{u}{w^2}, \left(\frac{u}{w^2} \right)' y \right) = \left(\frac{u}{w^2}, \frac{u'w - 2w'u}{w^3} y \right),$$

for some relatively prime polynomials u and w in $k[x]$.

6.3 Jacobian coordinates

We now turn to the multiplication-by- n map $P \mapsto nP$, which we will denote by $[n]$. We want to write the isogeny $[n]$ in standard form. To do this, it turns out to be more convenient to work with *Jacobian coordinates*, which we now define.

Recall that points in standard projective coordinates are nonzero triples $(x : y : z)$ subject to the equivalence relation

$$(x : y : z) \sim (\lambda x : \lambda y : \lambda z),$$

for any $\lambda \in k^\times$. We will instead work with the equivalence relation

$$(x : y : z) \sim (\lambda^2 x : \lambda^3 y : \lambda z),$$

which corresponds to assigning *weights* 2, 3, 1 to the variables x, y, z , respectively. Projective coordinates with these weights are called *Jacobian coordinates*. The homogeneous curve equation for E in Jacobian coordinates then has the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + Axz^4 + Bz^6,$$

which makes visible the motivation for giving x weight 2 and y weight 3: the leading terms for x and y do not involve z . In Jacobian coordinates, each point $(x : y : z)$ with $z \neq 0$ corresponds to the affine point $(x/z^2, y/z^3)$, and the point at infinity is now $(1 : 1 : 0)$.

Remark 6.18. As an aside, the general Weierstrass form of an elliptic curve in Jacobian coordinates is

$$y^2 + a_1xyz + a_3yz^3 = x^3 + a_2x^2z^2 + a_4xz^4 + a_6z^6,$$

which is a weighted homogeneous equation of degree 6. Each a_i is the coefficient of a term with degree i in z . This explains the otherwise mysterious fact that there is no Weierstrass coefficient a_5 .

6.4 The group law in Jacobian coordinates

We now compute formulas for the elliptic curve group law in Jacobian coordinates, beginning with addition. Recall that in affine coordinates, to compute the sum $P_3 = (x_3, y_3)$ of two affine points $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ with $P_1 \neq \pm P_2$ we use the formulas

$$x_3 = m^2 - (x_1 + x_2) \quad \text{and} \quad y_3 = m(x_1 - x_3) - y_1,$$

where $m = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2}$ is the slope of the line through P_1 and P_2 . In Jacobian coordinates we have $P_i = (x_i/z_i^2, y_i/z_i^3)$ and the formula for the x -coordinate becomes

$$\frac{x_3}{z_3^2} = \left(\frac{y_1/z_1^3 - y_2/z_2^3}{x_1/z_1^2 - x_2/z_2^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{x_1}{z_1^2} + \frac{x_2}{z_2^2} \right) = \frac{(y_1z_2^3 - y_2z_1^3)^2 - (x_1z_2^2 + x_2z_1^2)(x_1z_2^2 - x_2z_1^2)^2}{(x_1z_2^2 - x_2z_1^2)^2 z_1^2 z_2^2}.$$

This formula can be simplified by using $y_i^2 - x_i^3 = Ax_i z_i^4 + Bz_i^6$ to get rid of the terms in the numerator containing y_i^2 or x_i^3 . This makes the numerator divisible by $z_1^2 z_2^2$ allowing us to cancel this with the corresponding factor in the denominator. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_3}{z_3^2} &= \frac{(y_1^2 z_2^6 - x_1^3 z_2^6) + (y_2^2 z_1^6 - x_2^3 z_1^6) + x_1^2 x_2 z_1^2 z_2^4 + x_1 x_2^2 z_1^4 z_2^2 - 2y_1 y_2 z_1^3 z_2^3}{(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^2 z_1^2 z_2^2} \\ &= \frac{(Ax_1 z_1^4 + Bz_1^6) z_2^6 + (Ax_2 z_2^4 + Bz_2^6) z_1^6 + x_1^2 x_2 z_1^2 z_2^4 + x_1 x_2^2 z_1^4 z_2^2 - 2y_1 y_2 z_1^3 z_2^3}{(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^2 z_1^2 z_2^2} \\ &= \frac{A(x_1 z_2^2 + x_2 z_1^2) z_1^2 z_2^2 + 2Bz_1^4 z_2^4 - 2y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2}{(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the y -coordinate, using $y_3 = m(x_1 - x_3) - y_1 = m(2x_1 + x_2) - m^3 - y_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_3}{z_3^3} &= \left(\frac{y_1/z_1^3 - y_2/z_2^3}{x_1/z_1^2 - x_2/z_2^2} \right) \left(\frac{2x_1}{z_1^2} + \frac{x_2}{z_2^2} \right) - \left(\frac{y_1/z_1^3 - y_2/z_2^3}{x_1/z_1^2 - x_2/z_2^2} \right)^3 - \frac{y_1}{z_1^3} \\ &= \frac{(y_1 z_2^3 - y_2 z_1^3)(2x_1 z_2^2 + x_2 z_1^2)(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^2 - (y_1 z_2^3 - y_2 z_1^3)^3 - y_1 z_2^3 (x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^3}{(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^3 z_1^3 z_2^3} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{\dots}{(x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2)^3} \end{aligned}$$

where the missing numerator is some complicated polynomial in $x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2, A, B$. These formulas look horrible, but the key point is in Jacobian coordinates we now have

$$z_3 = x_1 z_1^2 - x_2 z_1^2, \quad (2)$$

which is actually a lot simpler than it would have otherwise been; note that the z -coordinate is the most interesting to us, because it will determine the kernel we are interested in.

The doubling formulas are simpler. In affine coordinates the slope of the tangent line is $m = (3x_1^2 + A)/(2y_1)$. For the x -coordinate we have

$$\frac{x_3}{z_3^2} = \left(\frac{3(x_1/z_1^2)^2 + A}{2y_1/z_1^3} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{x_1}{z_1^2} = \frac{(3x_1^2 + Az_1^4)^2 - 8x_1 y_1^2}{(2y_1 z_1)^2} = \frac{x_1^4 - 2Ax_1^2 z_1^4 - 8Bx_1 z_1^6 + A^2 z_1^8}{(2y_1 z_1)^2}$$

and for the y -coordinate we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_3}{z_3^3} &= \left(\frac{3(x_1/z_1^2)^2 + A}{2y_1/z_1^3} \right) \frac{3x_1}{z_1^2} - \left(\frac{3(x_1/z_1^2)^2 + A}{2y_1/z_1^3} \right)^3 - \frac{y_1}{z_1^3} \\ &= \frac{12x_1 y_1^2 (3x_1^2 + Az_1^4) - (3x_1^2 + Az_1^4)^3 - 8y_1^4}{(2y_1 z_1)^3} \\ &= \frac{x_1^6 + 5Ax_1^4 z_1^4 + 20Bx_1^3 z_1^6 - 5A^2 x_1^2 z_1^8 - 4ABx_1 z_1^{10} - (A^3 + 8B^2) z_1^{12}}{(2y_1 z_1)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$z_3 = 2y_1 z_1. \quad (3)$$

6.5 Division polynomials

We now wish to apply our addition formulas to a “generic” point $P = (x : y : 1)$ on the elliptic curve E defined by $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$, and use them to compute $2P, 3P, 4P, \dots, nP$.

In Jacobian coordinates, the point nP has the form $(\phi_n : \omega_n : \psi_n)$, where ϕ_n , ω_n , and ψ_n are integer polynomials in x, y, A, B that we reduce modulo the curve equation so that the degree in y is at most 1. In affine coordinates we then have

$$nP = \left(\frac{\phi_n}{\psi_n^2}, \frac{\omega_n}{\psi_n^3} \right). \quad (4)$$

We will see that ϕ_n and ψ_n^2 do not depend on y , so for fixed A and B they are univariate polynomials in x , and exactly one of ω_n and ψ_n^3 depends on an odd power of y , so this will give us $[n]$ in standard form. This [Sage worksheet](#) computes the polynomials ϕ_n, ω_n, ψ_n for the first several values of n .

Remark 6.19. Another way to think of division polynomials is to view E as an elliptic curve over $k(E)$. In concrete terms, let F be the fraction field of the ring $k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3 - Ax - B)$, and let P be the affine point $(x, y) \in E(F)$, which is by construction a point on E of infinite order. Equation (4) then gives the coordinates of the point $nP \in E(F)$.

The polynomial ψ_n is known as the n th *division polynomial*. So far we have really only defined the ratios ϕ_n/ψ_n^2 and ω_n/ψ_n^3 , since we have been working in projective coordinates. In order to nail down ϕ_n, ω_n and ψ_n precisely, we make the following recursive definition. Let $\psi_0 = 0$, and define $\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4$ via the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= 1, \\ \psi_2 &= 2y, \\ \psi_3 &= 3x^4 + 6Ax^2 + 12Bx - A^2, \\ \psi_4 &= 4y(x^6 + 5Ax^4 + 20Bx^3 - 5A^2x^2 - 4ABx - A^3 - 8B^2). \end{aligned}$$

These are exactly the same polynomials computed in the Sage worksheet linked to above (up to a sign). We then define the division polynomials ψ_n for integers $n > 4$ via the recurrences

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2n+1} &= \psi_{n+2}\psi_n^3 - \psi_{n-1}\psi_{n+1}^3, \\ \psi_{2n} &= \frac{1}{2y}(\psi_{n+2}\psi_{n-1}^2 - \psi_{n-2}\psi_{n+1}^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we reduce the result modulo the curve equation so that ψ_n is at most linear in y . It is not difficult to show that $\psi_n(\psi_{n+2}\psi_{n-1}^2 - \psi_{n-2}\psi_{n+1}^2)$ is always divisible by $2y$, so that ψ_{2n} is in fact a polynomial; see Lemma 6.20 below. If we define $\psi_{-n} := -\psi_n$, one can check that these recurrences hold for all integers n .

We then define ϕ_n and ω_n via

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n &:= x\psi_n^2 - \psi_{n+1}\psi_{n-1}, \\ \omega_n &:= \frac{1}{4y}(\psi_{n+2}\psi_{n-1}^2 - \psi_{n-2}\psi_{n+1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

These equations hold for all integers n , and one finds that $\phi_n = \phi_{-n}$ and $\omega_n = \omega_{-n}$. As above, we reduce ϕ_n and ω_n modulo the curve equation to make them at most linear in y .

Lemma 6.20. *For every integer n ,*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n \text{ lies in } & \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[x, A, B] & n \text{ odd} \\ 2y\mathbb{Z}[x, A, B] & n \text{ even,} \end{cases} \\ \phi_n \text{ lies in } & \mathbb{Z}[x, A, B] \quad \text{for all } n, \\ \omega_n \text{ lies in } & \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[x, A, B] & n \text{ even} \\ y\mathbb{Z}[x, A, B] & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. These are easy inductions; see [3, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4]. \square

It follows from the lemma that, after replacing y^2 with $x^3 + Ax + B$ if necessary, ψ_n^2 lies in $\mathbb{Z}[x, A, B]$ for all positive n , so we view ϕ_n and ψ_n^2 as polynomials in x , while exactly one of ω_n and ψ_n^3 depends on y . In the latter case we can multiply the numerator and denominator of ω_n/ψ_n^3 by y and then replace y^2 in the denominator with $x^3 + Ax + B$ so that $\omega_n/\psi_n \in y\mathbb{Z}(x, A, B)$. With this understanding, we can view

$$\left(\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\psi_n^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_n(x, y)}{\psi_n^3(x, y)} \right)$$

as an isogeny in standard form provided that the numerators and denominators are relatively prime (which we will verify below).

6.6 Multiplication-by- n maps

At this point it is not at all obvious that the polynomials ϕ_n, ω_n, ψ_n defined by our recursive equations actually satisfy equation (4) for nP , but this is indeed the case.

Theorem 6.21. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined by the equation $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ and let n be a nonzero integer. The rational map*

$$[n](x, y) = \left(\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\psi_n^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_n(x, y)}{\psi_n^3(x, y)} \right)$$

sends each point $P \in E(\bar{k})$ to nP .

Proof. We have

$$[-n](x, y) = \left(\frac{\phi_{-n}(x)}{\psi_{-n}^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_{-n}(x, y)}{\psi_{-n}^3(x, y)} \right) = \left(\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\psi_n^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_n(x, y)}{-\psi_n^3(x, y)} \right) = - \left(\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\psi_n^2(x)}, \frac{\omega_n(x, y)}{\psi_n^3(x, y)} \right),$$

so it suffices to consider positive n . The proof given in [3, Thm. 9.33] uses complex analysis and the Weierstrass \wp -function, which we will see later in the course. However, as noted in [1, Ex. 3.7], one can give a purely algebraic proof by induction, using the formulas for the group law. This approach has the virtue of being completely elementary and works over any field, but it is computationally intensive (and really should be done with a computer algebra system).³ Here we will just verify that the formulas for ψ_n are correct; the verifications for ϕ_n and ω_n are similar.

³If k has characteristic 2 or 3 one needs to modify the formulas to use a general Weierstrass equation; this changes ψ_2, ψ_3, ψ_4 , and the recurrence for ω_n , but the recurrences for ϕ_n and ψ_n are unaffected. Be aware that there are a few typos in the formulas given in [1, Ex. 3.7] on page 105 that are corrected in the [errata](#).

For $1 \leq n \leq 4$ the formulas given for ψ_n match our computations in Sage using the group law. To verify the formula for ψ_n when $n = 2m + 1 > 4$ is odd, we let P_m be the point $(\phi_m, \omega_m, \psi_m)$ in Jacobian coordinates and compute $P_m + P_{m+1}$ using the group law. The z -coordinate of the sum is given by the formula $z_3 = x_1 z_2^2 - x_2 z_1^2$ from (2). Substituting ϕ_m for x_1 , ψ_m for z_1 , ϕ_{m+1} for x_2 , and ψ_{m+1} for z_2 yields

$$\phi_m \psi_{m+1}^2 - \phi_{m+1} \psi_m^2,$$

which we wish to show is equal to ψ_{2m+1} . Applying the formulas for ϕ_m and ϕ_{m+1} gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_m \psi_{m+1}^2 - \phi_{m+1} \psi_m^2 &= (x \psi_m^2 - \psi_{m+1} \psi_{m-1}) \psi_{m+1}^2 - (x \psi_{m+1}^2 - \psi_{m+2} \psi_m) \psi_m^2 \\ &= \psi_{m+2} \psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1}^3 \\ &= \psi_{2m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

To verify the formula for ψ_n when $n = 2m > 4$ is even, we now compute $P_m + P_m$. The z -coordinate of the sum is given by the formula $z_3 = 2y_1 z_1$ from (3). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\omega_m \psi_m &= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4y} (\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m-1}^2 - \psi_{m-2} \psi_{m+1}^2) \psi_m \\ &= \psi_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the verification for ψ_n . To complete the proof one performs a similar verification for ϕ_n and ω_n using the group law formulas for x_3 and y_3 in Jacobian coordinates that we derived earlier. \square

To compute the degree of $[n]: E \rightarrow E$, we need to know the degrees of the polynomials $\phi_n(x)$ and $\psi_n^2(x)$, and we need to verify that they are relatively prime.

Lemma 6.22. *For every positive integer n the polynomials ϕ_n and ψ_n satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) &= x^{n^2} + \cdots, \\ \psi_n(x) &= \begin{cases} nx^{\frac{n^2-1}{2}} + \cdots, & n \text{ odd} \\ y \left(nx^{\frac{n^2-4}{2}} + \cdots \right), & n \text{ even}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where each ellipsis hides terms of lower degree in x .

Proof. We first prove the formula for ψ_n by induction on n . By inspection, the formulas hold for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. There are then four cases to consider, depending on the value of $n \bmod 4$. For any polynomial $f(x, y)$ we let $\text{lt}_x f$ denote the leading term of f as a polynomial in x .

Case 0: $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Let $n = 2m$, with m even. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lt}_x \psi_{2m} &= \text{lt}_x \left(\frac{1}{2y} \psi_m (\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m-1}^2 - \psi_{m-2} \psi_{m+1}^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2y} \cdot y m x^{\frac{m^2-4}{2}} \left(y(m+2)x^{\frac{(m+2)^2-4}{2}} (m-1)^2 x^{\frac{2(m-1)^2-2}{2}} - y(m-2)x^{\frac{(m-2)^2-4}{2}} (m+1)^2 x^{\frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{ym}{2} \left((m-1)^2 (m+2) x^{\frac{m^2-4+m^2+4m+4-4+2m^2-4m}{2}} - (m-2)(m+1)^2 x^{\frac{m^2-4+m^2-4m+4-4+2m^2+4m}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{ym}{2} \left((m-1)^2 (m+2) - (m-2)(m+1)^2 \right) x^{\frac{4m^2-4}{2}} \\ &= y(2m)x^{\frac{4m^2-4}{2}} = ynx^{\frac{n^2-4}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $n = 2m + 1$, with m even. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lt}_x \psi_{2m+1} &= \text{lt}_x (\psi_{m+2} \psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1}^3) \\
&= \text{lt}_x \left(y(m+2)x^{\frac{(m+2)^2-4}{2}} y^3 m^3 x^{\frac{3m^2-12}{2}} - (m-1)x^{\frac{(m-1)^2-1}{2}} (m+1)^3 x^{\frac{3(m+1)^2-3}{2}} \right) \\
&= (m+2)m^3 x^6 x^{\frac{m^2+4m+3m^2-12}{2}} - (m-1)(m+1)^3 x^{\frac{m^2-2m+3m^2+6m}{2}} \\
&= (2m+1)x^{\frac{4m^2+4m}{2}} = nx^{\frac{n^2-1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the curve equation to replace y^4 with x^6 , the leading term of $(x^3 + Ax + B)^2$.

Case 2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Let $n = 2m$, with m odd. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lt}_x \psi_{2m} &= \text{lt}_x \left(\frac{1}{2y} \psi_m (\psi_{m+2} \psi_{m-1}^2 - \psi_{m-2} \psi_{m+1}^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2y} m x^{\frac{m^2-1}{2}} \left((m+2)x^{\frac{(m+2)^2-1}{2}} y^2 (m-1)^2 x^{\frac{2(m-1)^2-8}{2}} - (m-2)x^{\frac{(m-2)^2-1}{2}} y^2 (m+1)^2 x^{\frac{2(m+1)^2-8}{2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{y}{2} m \left((m+2)(m-1)^2 x^{\frac{m^2-1+(m+2)^2-1+2(m-1)^2-8}{2}} - (m-2)(m+1)^2 x^{\frac{m^2-1+(m-2)^2-1+2(m+1)^2-8}{2}} \right) \\
&= \frac{y}{2} m ((m+2)(m-1)^2 - (m-2)(m+1)^2) x^{\frac{4m^2-4}{2}} \\
&= y(2m)x^{\frac{4m^2-4}{2}} = ynx^{\frac{n^2-4}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let $n = 2m + 1$, with m odd. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lt}_x \psi_{2m+1} &= \text{lt}_x (\psi_{m+2} \psi_m^3 - \psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1}^3) \\
&= \text{lt}_x \left((m+2)x^{\frac{(m+2)^2-1}{2}} m^3 x^{\frac{3m^2-3}{2}} - y(m-1)x^{\frac{(m-1)^2-4}{2}} y^3 (m+1)^3 x^{\frac{3(m+1)^2-12}{2}} \right) \\
&= (2m+1)x^{\frac{4m^2+4m}{2}} \\
&= nx^{\frac{n^2-1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have again used the curve equation to replace y^4 with x^6 .

Now that we have verified the formulas for ψ_n , we need to check ϕ_n . There are two cases, depending on the parity of n . If n is even we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lt}_x \phi_n &= \text{lt}_x (x\psi_n^2 - \psi_{n+1}\psi_{n-1}) \\
&= \text{lt}_x \left(xy^2 n^2 x^{\frac{2n^2-8}{2}} - (n+1)x^{\frac{(n+1)^2-1}{2}} (n-1)x^{\frac{(n-1)^2-1}{2}} \right) \\
&= n^2 x^{n^2} - (n^2 - 1)x^{n^2} \\
&= x^{n^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and if n is odd we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lt}_x \phi_n &= \text{lt}_x (x\psi_n^2 - \psi_{n+1}\psi_{n-1}) \\
&= \text{lt}_x \left(xn^2 x^{n^2-1} - y(n+1)x^{\frac{(n+1)^2-4}{2}} y(n-1)x^{\frac{(n-1)^2-4}{2}} \right) \\
&= n^2 x^{n^2} - (n^2 - 1)x^{n^2} \\
&= x^{n^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the curve equation to replace y^2 with x^3 . \square

Corollary 6.23. *For all positive integers n , we have $\psi_n^2(x) = n^2x^{n^2-1} + \dots$, where the ellipsis denotes terms of degree less than $n^2 - 1$.*

Lemma 6.24. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined by $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$. The polynomials $\phi_n(x)$ and $\psi_n^2(x)$ are relatively prime.*

Proof. Suppose not. Let $x_0 \in \bar{k}$ be a common root of $\phi_n(x)$ and $\psi_n^2(x)$, and let $P = (x_0, y_0)$ be a nonzero point in $E(\bar{k})$. Then $nP = 0$, since $\psi_n^2(x_0) = 0$, and we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n(x_0) &= x_0\psi_n^2(x_0) - \psi_{n+1}(x_0, y_0)\psi_{n-1}(x_0, y_0) \\ 0 &= 0 - \psi_{n+1}(x_0, y_0)\psi_{n-1}(x_0, y_0),\end{aligned}$$

so at least one of $\psi_{n+1}(x_0, y_0)$ and $\psi_{n-1}(x_0, y_0)$ is zero. But then either $(n-1)P = 0$ or $(n+1)P = 0$, and after subtracting $nP = 0$ we see that either $-P = 0$ or $P = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 6.25. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve. The multiplication-by- n map $[n]: E \rightarrow E$ has degree n^2 . It is separable if and only if n is not divisible by the characteristic of k .*

Proof. From Lemma 6.22, we have $\deg \phi_n = n^2$ and $\deg \psi_n^2 \leq n-1$, and from Lemma 6.24 we know that $\phi_n \perp \psi_n^2$. It follows that $\deg[n] = n^2$. If n is not divisible by the characteristic of k , then the leading term $n^2x^{n^2-1}$ of $\phi'_n(x)$ is nonzero and therefore

$$\left(\frac{\phi_n(x)}{\psi_n^2(x)} \right)' \neq 0,$$

which implies that $[n]$ is separable. If n is divisible by the characteristic of k then the $n^2x^{n^2-1}$ leading term in ψ_n^2 vanishes and $\deg \psi_n^2$ is less than $n^2 - 1$. This implies that the kernel of $[n]$, which consists of 0 and the affine points (x_0, y_0) for which $\psi_n(x_0) = 0$, is strictly smaller than its degree n^2 , in which case $[n]$ must be inseparable, by Theorem 6.8. \square

References

- [1] Joseph H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, second edition, Springer 2009.
- [2] J. V  lu, *Isog  nies entre courbe elliptiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S  ries A **273** (1971), 238–241.
- [3] Lawrence C. Washington, *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*, second edition, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.