Description

These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 18-20.

Instructions: Solve one of Problems 1–3, then fill out the survey problem 4. For this problem set you are **not allowed to consult outside sources** other than your favorite (introductory) algebra textbook. You may refer to lecture notes and references in the syllabus, and you may discuss the problems with fellow students.

The first to spot each non-trivial typo/error will receive 1-3 points of extra credit.

Problem 1. Congruence subgroups (100 points)

Let \( \Gamma(1) := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) denote the modular group and \( \mathbb{H}^* := \{ \tau : \text{im} \tau > 0 \} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{ \infty \} \) the extended upper half plane. The diagram below depicts a fundamental region \( F \) for \( \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma(1) \) in \( \mathbb{H}^* \), along with nine of its translates. Each translate \( \gamma F \) is labeled by \( \gamma \), where \( \gamma \) is expressed in terms of the generators \( S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) and \( T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) for \( \Gamma(1) \). The colored labels \( \rho, i, \infty \) within the region labeled by \( \gamma \) indicate the points \( \gamma \rho, \gamma i, \gamma \infty \), respectively (where \( \rho = e^{2\pi i/3} \)). Note that the red, black, and blue colored \( \infty \) along the top of the diagram are all the same point, but there are three distinct translates of \( \infty \) on the real axis (at \(-1, 0, 1\)), each of which lies in two translates of \( F \) (this illustrates a key point: translates of \( F \) may overlap at points whose stabilizers act non-trivially, namely, the points \( i, \rho, \infty \)). The region \( F \) includes the arc from \( i \) to \( \rho \) along the unit circle and the line from \( \rho \) to \( \infty \) along the imaginary axis, but no other points on its boundary other than \( \infty \); the translates of these have been colored and oriented accordingly.
We recall the following subgroups of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, defined for each integer $N \geq 1$:

\[
\Gamma(N) := \{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) \pmod{N} \}, \quad \\
\Gamma_1(N) := \{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1) \pmod{N} \}, \quad \\
\Gamma_0(N) := \{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) \pmod{N} \},
\]

and corresponding modular curves

\[
X(N) := \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma(N), \quad X_1(N) := \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_1(N), \quad X_0(N) := \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_0(N).
\]

For any congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ we call the $\Gamma(1)$-translates of $\infty$ in $\mathbb{H}^*$ or $\mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma$ cusps.

**(a)** Determine the index of $\Gamma(2)$ in $\Gamma(1)$, and the number of $\Gamma(2)$ cusp orbits. Then give a connected fundamental region for $\mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma(2)$ by listing a subset of the translates of $\mathcal{F}$ in the diagram above and identify the cusps that lie in your region. Compute the genus of $X(2)$ by triangulating your fundamental region and applying Euler’s formula $V - E + F = 2 - 2g$. Be careful to count vertices and edges correctly — initially specify vertices and edges as $\mathbb{H}^*$-points in the diagram (e.g. $ST\rho$), then determine which vertices and edges are $\Gamma(2)$-equivalent (note that edges whose end points are equivalent need not be equivalent). Do the same for $\Gamma_0(2)$ and $X_0(2)$.

**(b)** For each of the following congruence subgroups, determine its index in $\Gamma(1)$, the number of cusp orbits, and a set of cusp representatives: $\Gamma_0(3)$, $\Gamma_1(3)$, $\Gamma(3)$.

**(c)** Prove that for each integer $N \geq 1$ we have an exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow \Gamma(N) \longrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1.
\]

Show that in general one cannot replace $\text{SL}_2$ with $\text{GL}_2$ in the sequence above (so your proof for $\text{SL}_2$ needs to use more than the fact that $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective).

**(d)** Derive formulas for the index $[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma]$ for $\Gamma = \Gamma(N), \Gamma_1(N), \Gamma_0(N)$ and any $N \geq 1$.

Use the Euler function $\phi(N) := \#(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ where appropriate.

For any congruence subgroup $\Gamma$, let $\nu_2(\Gamma)$ and $\nu_3(\Gamma)$ count the number of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ translates of $i$ and $\rho$, respectively, that lie in a fundamental region of $\mathbb{H}^*$ for $\Gamma$ and are fixed by some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ other than $\pm I$. Let $\nu_\infty(\Gamma)$ be the number of cusp-orbits for $\Gamma$.

**(e)** For $\Gamma = \Gamma(p), \Gamma_1(p), \Gamma_0(p)$ derive formulas for $\nu_2(\Gamma), \nu_3(\Gamma), \nu_\infty(\Gamma)$, where $p$ is a prime (hint: show that for any $\delta \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, if $\gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) - \{\pm I\}$ stabilizes $\delta i$ then it has trace 0, and if it stabilizes $\delta \rho$ then it has trace $\pm 1$).

Let $\overline{\Gamma}(N), \overline{\Gamma}_1(N), \overline{\Gamma}_0(N)$ denote the images of the groups $\Gamma(N), \Gamma_1(N), \Gamma_0(N)$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$ respectively, and for any congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ with image $\overline{\Gamma}$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ define

\[
\mu(\Gamma) := [\overline{\Gamma}(1) : \overline{\Gamma}] = \begin{cases} 
[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma] & \text{if } -1 \in \Gamma \\
[\Gamma(1) : \Gamma]/2 & \text{if } -1 \not\in \Gamma
\end{cases}
\]

Using the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula one can prove that for any congruence subgroup $\Gamma$ the genus of the modular curve $X_\Gamma := \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma$ is given by the formula

\[
g(X_\Gamma) = 1 + \frac{\mu(\Gamma)}{12} - \frac{\nu_2(\Gamma)}{4} - \frac{\nu_3(\Gamma)}{3} - \frac{\nu_\infty(\Gamma)}{2}.
\]

For convenience we may write $g(\Gamma)$ for $g(X_\Gamma)$.
(f) Use your answers to (d) and (e) to give asymptotic approximations for \( g(\Gamma) \) for \( \Gamma = \Gamma(p), \Gamma_1(p), \Gamma_0(p) \) and increasing primes \( p \) that have an exact leading term (so of the form \( f(p) + O(g(p)) \)) for some functions \( f \) and \( g \) with \( g = o(f) \)). Conclude that the set of primes \( p \) for which \( g(\Gamma) \) takes any fixed value is finite.

Modular curves of genus 0 and 1 are of particular interest because we can use these curves to obtain infinite families of elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (or a number field) that have particular properties, for example, a torsion point of order \( p \). By Faltings’ Theorem, over a number field a curve of genus \( g \geq 2 \) has only a finite number of rational points.

(g) For \( \Gamma = \Gamma(p), \Gamma_1(p), \Gamma_0(p) \) determine the primes \( p \) for which \( g(\Gamma) = 0 \), and the primes \( p \) for which \( g(\Gamma) = 1 \).

You may use Sage to check your answers (and gain intuition), but your proofs must stand on their own. To create the congruence subgroups \( \Gamma(N), \Gamma_1(N), \Gamma_0(N) \) in Sage use \texttt{Gamma(N)}, \texttt{Gamma1(N)}, \texttt{Gamma0(N)}, respectively. The returned objects support \texttt{index()}, \texttt{nu2()}, \texttt{nu3()}, \texttt{cusps()}, and \texttt{genus()} methods that you may find useful.

Problem 2. Non-congruence subgroups of finite index (100 points)

Recall that a congruence subgroup is a subgroup of \( \Gamma(1) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) that contains \( \Gamma(N) \) for some \( N \geq 1 \). Every congruence subgroup is a finite index subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). In this problem you will prove that the converse does not hold; there exist finite index subgroups of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) that are not congruence subgroups.

Let \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\} \), let \( \alpha \) be the image of \( S = (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \) in \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \), and let \( \beta \) be the image of \( ST = (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \) in \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \).

(a) Let \( \mathbb{Z}_{2,3} \) be the finitely presented group with generators \( x, y \) satisfying the relations \( x^2 = y^3 = 1 \) (and no others). Prove that the map \( \mathbb{Z}_{2,3} \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) defined by \( x \mapsto \alpha \) and \( y \mapsto \beta \) is an isomorphism. You may find the diagram from Problem 1 helpful.

Part (a) implies that for any finite group \( H = \langle a, b \rangle \) with \( |a| = 2 \) and \( |b| = 3 \) we have a surjective group homomorphism

\[
\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \twoheadrightarrow H,
\]

where the first map is quotient map \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) and the second is the composition of the isomorphism \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{2,3} \) and the surjective homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_{2,3} \to H \) defined by \( x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b \). The kernel \( \Gamma_H \) of such a homomorphism is a finite index subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Our strategy is to show that for many finite groups \( H = \langle a, b \rangle \) this kernel cannot contain \( \Gamma(N) \) for any integer \( N \) and is therefore not a congruence subgroup. To simplify matters, we will focus on cases where \( H \) is a simple group, meaning that \( H \) is a non-trivial group that contains no normal subgroups other than the trivial group and itself. Every non-trivial finite group \( G \) has a composition series

\[
1 = G_0 \lhd G_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd G_{k-1} \lhd G_k = G
\]

in which each \( G_i \) is a normal subgroup of \( G_{i+1} \) and each quotient \( G_{i+1}/G_i \) is simple. The quotients \( G_{i+1}/G_i \) are called the simple factors of \( G \) (analogous to prime factors of an integer). This composition series is not unique, but the Joran-Hölder theorem states that the simple factors \( G_{i+1}/G_i \) that appear in any composition series for \( G \) are unique up to isomorphism (and occur with the same multiplicity).
(b) Prove that if a finite simple group \( S \) is a quotient of \( G \) (meaning \( S = G/K \) for some \( K \triangleleft G \)) then \( S \) is a simple factor of \( G \) but that the converse does not hold in general.

(c) Prove that if a finite group \( G \) is the direct product of non-trivial groups \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \) then the factors of \( G \) are precisely the factors of the \( H_i \) (counted with multiplicity). Conclude that if \( S \) is a simple quotient of \( G \) then it is a quotient of one of the \( H_i \).

(d) Prove part (c) of Problem 1.

(e) Let \( N = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r} > 1 \) by with \( p_1, \ldots, p_r \) distinct primes. Prove that every simple quotient of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \) is a simple quotient of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}) \) for some \( i \).

(f) Using the fact that \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) is a non-abelian simple group for primes \( p \geq 5 \), show that the simple factors of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}) \) are: a cyclic group of order 2, \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \), and \( 3e-3 \) cyclic groups of order \( p \), and that in particular, \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \) is the unique non-abelian simple factor of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}) \), for all primes \( p \geq 5 \).

(g) Using the fact that the alternating group \( A_n \) is a non-abelian simple group for all \( n \geq 5 \), prove that \( A_n \) is not a quotient of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \) for any \( N \) and any \( n > 5 \).

(h) Using Sage, find elements \( a \) of order 2 and \( b \) of order 3 that generate \( A_9 \) and list them in cycle notation. You don’t need to write down a proof that they generate \( A_9 \) but you should verify this in Sage. To create \( A_9 \) use \( A9=\text{AlternatingGroup}(9) \), and to check whether \( a \) and \( b \) generate \( A_9 \) use \( A9.\text{subgroup}([a,b]) == A9.\) The kernel \( \Gamma \) of this homomorphism is a finite index subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \).

(i) Prove that \( \Gamma \) is not a congruence subgroup.

We now want to construct a short list of generators for \( \Gamma \). The first step is to convert the representation of \( A_9 \) with generators \( a \) and \( b \) of orders 2 and 3 into a finitely presented group that is a finite quotient of \( \mathbb{Z}_{2,3} \) specified by relations. To do this use the Sage command:

\[
H=A9.\text{subgroup}([a,b]).\text{as_finitely_presented_group().simplified()}
\]

This may take a few seconds. The second step is to plug \( S \) and \( ST \) into all the relations in the finite presentation of \( H \) you created above. \textbf{Important}: Sage may swap the roles of \( a \) and \( b \) when it constructs the finite presentation – check the relations to see if this happened (if you see \( a^3 \) and \( b^2 \) in the list of relations rather than \( a^2 \) and \( b^3 \) then you know they were swapped). Assuming \( a^2 \) and \( b^3 \) are the first two relations, you can use

\[
G=\text{SL}(2,\text{Integers()}); \quad S=G([0,-1,1,0]); \quad T=G([1,1,0,1])
\]

\[
\text{for } i \text{ in range(2,len(H.relations()))}:
\]

\[
\text{print } H.\text{relations()}[i].\text{subs(a=S,b=S*T)}
\]

to get a list of matrices in \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) that, together with \( S \) and \( T \) generate \( \Gamma \). Note that the length of the list you get will depend on your choice of \( a \) and \( b \), but shouldn’t be more than 10 or 20 matrices (in fact one can do it with 4).

(j) Record the number of matrices in your list above (not including \( S \) and \( T \)), and a smallest and largest matrix in your list according to the \( L^\infty \)-norm (maximum of absolute values of matrix entries).
Problem 3. Polycyclic presentations (100 points)

Let \( \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) be a sequence of generators for a finite abelian group \( G \), and let 
\( G_i = \langle \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i \rangle \) be the subgroup generated by \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i \). The subnormal series

\[
1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_{k-1} \triangleleft G_k = G,
\]

is a polycyclic series: each \( G_{i-1} \) is a normal subgroup of \( G_i \) and each of the quotients
\( G_i/G_{i-1} = \langle \alpha_i G_{i-1} \rangle \) is a cyclic group (which we don’t require to have prime order, 
but one can always further decompose the series so that they are). Every finite solvable group admits a polycyclic series, but we restrict ourselves here to abelian groups (written multiplicatively).

When \( G \) is the internal direct product of the cyclic groups \( \langle \alpha_i \rangle \), we have \( G_i/G_{i-1} \cong \langle \alpha_i \rangle \) and call \( \vec{\alpha} \) a basis for \( G \), but this is a special case. For abelian groups, \( G_i/G_{i-1} \)
is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( \langle \alpha_i \rangle \), but it may be a proper subgroup, even when \( G \) is cyclic.

The sequence \( r(\vec{\alpha}) = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \) of relative orders for \( \vec{\alpha} \) is defined by

\[
r_i = |G_i : G_{i-1}|,
\]

and satisfies \( r_i = \min\{ r : \alpha_i^r \in G_{i-1} \} \). We necessarily have \( r_i \leq |\alpha_i| \), but equality typically does not hold (\( \vec{\alpha} \) is a basis precisely when \( r_i = |\alpha_i| \) for all \( i \)). In any case, we always have \( \prod_i r_i = |G| \), thus computing the \( r_i \) determines the order of \( G \).

(a) Let \( \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) be a sequence of generators for a finite abelian group \( G \), 
with relative orders \( r(\vec{\alpha}) = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \). Prove that every \( \beta \in G \) can be uniquely represented in the form

\[
\beta = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{\alpha} = \alpha_1^{x_1} \cdots \alpha_k^{x_k},
\]

where each \( x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfies \( 0 \leq x_i < r_i \). Show that if \( \beta = \alpha_i^{r_i} \), then \( x_j = 0 \) for \( j \geq i \).

By analogy with the case \( r = 1 \), we call \( \vec{x} \) the discrete logarithm of \( \beta \) with respect to \( \vec{\alpha} \)
(but note that the discrete logarithm of the identity element is now the zero vector).

The vector \( \vec{x} \) can be conveniently encoded as an integer \( x \) in the interval \([0, |G| - 1]\) via

\[
x = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i N_i, \quad N_i = \prod_{1 \leq j < i} r_j,
\]

and we may simply write \( x = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta \) to indicate that \( x \) is the integer encoding the vector
\( \vec{x} = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta \). Note that \( x_i = \lfloor x/N_i \rfloor \mod r_i \), so it is easy to recover \( \vec{x} \) from its encoding \( x \).

(b) Design a generic group algorithm that, given a sequence of generators \( \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) 
for a finite abelian group \( G \), constructs a table \( T \) with entries \( T[0], \ldots, T[|G| - 1] \) with 
the property that if \( T[n] = \beta \), then \( n = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta \). Your algorithm should also output 
the relative orders \( r_i \), and the integers \( s_i \) for which \( T[s_i] = \alpha_i^{r_i} \).

This allows us to compute a polycyclic presentation for \( G \), which consists of the sequence \( \vec{\alpha} \), 
the relative orders \( r(\vec{\alpha}) = (r_1, \ldots, r_k) \), and the vector of integers \( s(\vec{\alpha}) = (s_1, \ldots, s_k) \). With this presentation in hand, we can effectively simulate any computation in \( G \) without actually performing any group operations (i.e. calls to the black box). This can be very useful when the group operation is expensive.
(c) Let \( \vec{\alpha}, r(\vec{\alpha}), \) and \( s(\vec{\alpha}) \) be a polycyclic presentation for a finite abelian group \( G \). Given integers \( x = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta \) and \( y = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \gamma \), explain how to compute the integer \( z = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta \gamma \) using \( r(\vec{\alpha}) \) and \( s(\vec{\alpha}) \), without performing any group operations. Also explain how to compute the integer \( w = \log_{\vec{\alpha}} \beta^{-1} \).

As a side benefit, the algorithm you designed in part (b) gives a more efficient way to enumerate the class group \( \text{cl}(D) \) than we used in Problem Set 9, since the class number \( h(D) \) is asymptotically on the order of \( \sqrt{|D|} \) (this is a theorem of Siegel).

But first we need to figure out how to construct a set of generators for \( G \). We will do this using prime forms. These are forms \( f = (a, b, c) \) for which \( a \) is prime and \( -a < b \leq a \) (but we do not require \( a \leq c \), so prime forms need not be reduced). Prime forms correspond to prime ideals whose norm is prime (degree-1 primes). Recall that imaginary quadratic orders \( \mathcal{O} \) are determined by their discriminant \( D \), which can always be written in the form \( D = u^2 D_K \), where \( D_K \) is the discriminant of the maximal order \( \mathcal{O}_K \) and \( u = [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}] \) is the conductor of \( \mathcal{O} \).

(d) Let \( a \) be a prime. Prove that if \( a \) divides the conductor then there are no prime forms of norm \( a \), and that otherwise there are exactly \( 1 + (\frac{D}{a}) \) prime forms of norm \( a \), where \( (\frac{D}{a}) \) is the Kronecker symbol. Write a program that either outputs a prime form \( (a, b, c) \) with \( b \geq 0 \) or determines that none exists.

When \( D \) is fundamental, we can generate \( \text{cl}(D) \) using prime forms of norm at most \( \sqrt{|D|}/3 \); this follows from the bound proved in Problem Set 9 and the fact that the maximal order \( \mathcal{O}_K \) is a Dedekind domain (so ideals can be uniquely factored into prime ideals). We can still generate \( \text{cl}(D) \) with prime forms when \( D \) is non-fundamental, but bounding the primes involved is slightly more complicated, so we will restrict ourselves to fundamental discriminants for now.

(e) Implement the algorithm you designed in part (b), using the program from part (d) to enumerate the prime forms of norm \( a \leq \sqrt{|D|}/3 \) in increasing order by \( a \). Use the prime forms as generators, but use a table lookup to discard prime forms that are already present in your table so that your \( \alpha_i \) all have relative orders \( r_i > 1 \) (warning: prime forms need not be reduced: be sure to reduce them before making any comparisons). For the group operation, you can create binary quadratic forms in Sage using \( \text{BinaryQF}([a, b, c]) \), and then compose forms \( f \) and \( g \) using \( h = f \ast g \). Use \( h.\text{reduced\_form()} \) to get the reduced form. You will only be using this code on small examples, so don’t worry about efficiency; you will only be graded on your answers to part (f) (which you can solve mostly by hand, with some help from Sage).

(f) Run your algorithm on \( D = -5291 \), and then run it on the first fundamental discriminant \( D < -N \), where \( N \) is the first five digits of your student ID. Don’t list all the elements of \( \text{cl}(D) \), just give the reduced forms for the elements of \( \vec{\alpha} \) and the integer vectors \( r(\vec{\alpha}) \) and \( s(\vec{\alpha}) \). Sanity check your results by verifying that you at least get the right class number for \( D \) (you can check this in Sage using \( \text{NumberField}(x**2-D,'t').\text{class\_number}() \)).

---

\(^1\)Thus \( (\frac{D}{a}) \) is 0 if \( D \) is even, 1 if \( D \equiv 1 \mod 8 \), and \(-1\) if \( D \equiv 5 \mod 8 \). Note that we refer to \( a \) as the "norm" of the form \((a, b, c)\), since the corresponding ideal has norm \( a \).
Problem 4. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each of the problems you attempted on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found the problem (1 = “mind-numbing,” 10 = “mind-blowing”), and how difficult you found it (1 = “trivial,” 10 = “brutal”). Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem to the nearest half hour.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Difficulty</th>
<th>Time Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problem 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, please rate each of the following lectures that you attended, according to the quality of the material (1=“useless”, 10=“fascinating”), the quality of the presentation (1=“epic fail”, 10=“perfection”), the pace (1=“way too slow”, 10=“way too fast”, 5=“just right”) and the novelty of the material (1=“old hat”, 10=“all new”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lecture Topic</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Pace</th>
<th>Novelty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/24</td>
<td>Riemann surfaces and modular curves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/26</td>
<td>The modular equation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets or lectures, in particular, ways in which they might be improved.

References