

## 5 Isogenies

The last three lectures focused on how to efficiently compute the group operation in  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , the group of rational points on an elliptic curve over a finite field. Now we want to take a step back and consider the group  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  that we are working in. There are a few obvious questions to ask:

1. What is the big is the group  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ?
2. What is its structure as a finite abelian group?
3. How can we efficiently determine explicit answers to questions 1 and 2?

A bit later in the course we will also consider the converse questions: is there a way to construct an elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  with a specified number of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points and/or a specified group structure? Coming up with efficiently computable answers to these questions is critical to practical applications of elliptic curves such as cryptography.

In almost every branch of mathematics, when considering a category of mathematical objects with a particular structure, the maps between the objects that preserve this structure (morphisms) play a crucial role. For groups and rings we have homomorphisms, for vector spaces we have linear transformations, and for topological spaces we have continuous functions. For elliptic curves the structure-preserving maps are called *isogenies*; a thorough understanding of isogenies will allow us to answer all of the questions above.<sup>1</sup>

### 5.1 Morphisms of projective curves

As abelian varieties, elliptic curves have both an algebraic structure (as an abelian group), and a geometric structure (as a smooth projective curve). We are all familiar with morphisms of groups (these are group homomorphisms), but we have not formally defined a morphism of projective curves. To do so we need to define a few terms from algebraic geometry. Since algebraic geometry is not a prerequisite for this course, we will take a brief detour to define the terms we need. To keep things as simple and concrete as possible, we will focus on plane projective curves with a few remarks along the way about how these definitions generalize to projective varieties for those who are interested (those who are not can safely ignore the remarks). As usual, we use  $\bar{k}$  to denote a fixed algebraic closure of our base field  $k$  that contains any and all algebraic extensions of  $k$  that we may consider.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $C/k$  be a plane projective curve  $f(x, y, z) = 0$  with  $f \in k[x, y, z]$  irreducible in  $\bar{k}[x, y, z]$ . The *function field*  $k(C)$  consists of rational functions  $g/h$ , where

- (i)  $g$  and  $h$  are homogeneous polynomials in  $k[x, y, z]$  of the same degree.
- (ii)  $h$  is not divisible by  $f$ , equivalently,  $h \notin (f)$ .
- (iii)  $g_1/h_1$  and  $g_2/h_2$  are considered equivalent whenever  $g_1h_2 - g_2h_1 \in (f)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The word *isogeny* literally means “equal origins”. It comes from biology, where the terms *isogenous*, *isogenic*, and *isogenetic* refer to different tissues derived from the same progenitor cell. The prefix “iso” means equal and the root “gene” means origin (as in the word *genesis*).

If  $L$  is any algebraic extension of  $k$  (including  $L = \bar{k}$ ), the function field  $L(C)$  is defined in the same way, with  $g, h \in L[x, y, z]$ .

**Remark 5.2.** The function field  $k(X)$  of an (irreducible) projective variety  $X/k$  given by homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  is defined similarly, just replace the ideal  $(f)$  with the ideal  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ .

Be sure not to confuse the notation  $k(C)$  with  $C(k)$ ; the latter denotes the set of  $k$ -rational points on  $C$ , not its function field. We claim that  $k(C)$  is a ring and the usual addition and multiplication of rational functions. To see this, first note that if  $h_1, h_2 \notin (f)$  then  $h_1 h_2 \notin (f)$  because  $f$  is irreducible and  $k[x, y, z]$  is a unique factorization domain (in particular,  $(f)$  is a prime ideal). Thus for any  $g_1/h_1, g_2/h_2 \in k(C)$  we have

$$\frac{g_1}{h_1} + \frac{g_2}{h_2} = \frac{g_1 h_2 + g_2 h_1}{h_1 h_2} \in k(C) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g_1}{h_1} \cdot \frac{g_2}{h_2} = \frac{g_1 g_2}{h_1 h_2} \in k(C).$$

We can compute the inverse of  $g/h$  as  $h/g$  except when  $g \in (f)$ , but in this case  $g/h$  is equivalent to  $0/1 = 0$ , since  $g \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot h = g \in (f)$ ; thus every nonzero element of  $k(C)$  is invertible and  $k(C)$  is in fact a field. The field  $k(C)$  contains  $k$  as a subfield (take  $g$  and  $h$  with degree 0), but it is not an algebraic extension of  $k$ , it is transcendental (in fact it has transcendence degree 1, corresponding to the fact that  $C$  has dimension one as a variety).

The fact that  $g$  and  $h$  have the same degree allows us to meaningfully assign a value to the function  $g/h$  at a projective point  $P = (x : y : z)$  on  $C$ , so long as  $h(P) \neq 0$ :

- we get the same result for any projectively equivalent  $P = (\lambda x : \lambda y : \lambda z)$  with  $\lambda \in k^\times$ , because  $g$  and  $h$  are homogeneous and have the same degree  $d$ :

$$\frac{g(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z)}{h(\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z)} = \frac{\lambda^d g(x, y, z)}{\lambda^d h(x, y, z)} = \frac{g(x, y, z)}{h(x, y, z)}.$$

- if  $g_1/h_1$  and  $g_2/h_2$  are equivalent with  $h_1(P), h_2(P) \neq 0$ , then  $g_1(P)h_2(P) - g_2(P)h_1(P)$  is a multiple of  $f(P) = 0$ , so  $(g_1/h_1)(P) = (g_2/h_2)(P)$ .

Thus assuming the denominators involved are all nonzero, the value of  $\alpha(P)$  does not depend on how we choose to represent either  $\alpha$  or  $P$ . If  $\alpha = g_1/h_1$  with  $h_1(P) = 0$ , it may happen that  $g_1/h_1$  is equivalent to some  $g_2/h_2$  with  $h_2(P) \neq 0$ . This is a slightly subtle point. It may not be immediately obvious whether or not such a  $g_2/h_2$  exists, since it depends on equivalence modulo  $f$  (there is in general no way of writing  $g/h$  in “simplest terms”, because the ring  $k[x, y, z]/(f)$  is typically *not* a unique factorization domain).

**Example 5.3.** Suppose  $C/k$  is defined by  $f(x, y, z) = zy^2 - x^3 - z^2x = 0$ , and consider the point  $P = (0 : 0 : 1) \in C(k)$ . We can't evaluate  $\alpha = 3xz/y^2 \in k(C)$  at  $P$  as written, but we can use the equivalence relation in  $k(C)$  to write

$$\alpha = \frac{3xz}{y^2} = \frac{3xz^2}{x^3 + z^2x} = \frac{3z^2}{x^2 + z^2},$$

and then see that  $\alpha(P) = 3$ .

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $C/k$  be a projective curve and let  $\alpha \in k(C)$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is *defined* (or *regular*) at a point  $P \in C(\bar{k})$  if  $\alpha$  can be represented as  $g/h$  for some  $g, h \in k[x, y, z]$  with  $h(P) \neq 0$ .

**Remark 5.5.** If  $C$  is the projective closure of an affine curve  $f(x, y) = 0$ , one can equivalently define  $k(C)$  as the fraction field of  $k[x, y]/(f)$  (this ring is known as the *coordinate ring* of  $C$ , denoted  $k[C]$ ; it is an integral domain provided that  $(f)$  is a prime ideal (which is true because we assume  $f$  is irreducible). In this case one needs to homogenize rational functions  $r(x, y) = g(x, y)/h(x, y)$  in order to view them as functions defined on projective space. This is done by introducing powers of  $z$  so that the numerator and denominator are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. The same remark applies to (irreducible) varieties of higher dimension.

We can now formally define a *rational map* of curves. Recall that for any field  $F$ , including  $F = k(C)$ , we write  $\mathbb{P}^2(F)$  to denote the set of projective tuples  $(x : y : z)$  with  $x, y, z \in F$  not all zero, with  $(x : y : z)$  and  $(\lambda x : \lambda y : \lambda z)$  equivalent for any  $\lambda \in F^\times$ .

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be plane projective curves defined over  $k$ . A *rational map*  $\phi: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is a projective triple  $(\phi_x : \phi_y : \phi_z) \in \mathbb{P}^2(k(C))$ , such that for every point  $P \in C_1(\bar{k})$  where  $\phi_x(P), \phi_y(P), \phi_z(P)$  are defined and not all zero, the projective point  $(\phi_x(P) : \phi_y(P) : \phi_z(P))$  lies in  $C_2(\bar{k})$ . The map  $C_1$  is *defined* (or *regular*) at  $P$  if there exists  $\lambda \in k(C)^\times$  such that  $\lambda\phi_x, \lambda\phi_y, \lambda\phi_z$  are all defined at  $P$  and not all zero at  $P$ .

**Remark 5.7.** This definition generalizes to projective varieties in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in the obvious way.

A rational map is not simply a function from  $C_1(k)$  to  $C_2(k)$  defined by rational functions, for two reasons. First, it might not be defined everywhere (although for smooth curves this does not happen, see Theorem 5.10 below). Second, it is required to map  $C_1(\bar{k})$  to  $C_2(\bar{k})$ , which is a stronger condition; indeed  $C_1(k)$  could be the empty set (or in the case of an elliptic curve, just a single point).

**Remark 5.8.** This is a general feature of classical algebraic geometry. In order for the definitions to work properly, one must work over an algebraic closure; an alternative approach is to use schemes, but we will not consider schemes in this course.

It is important to remember that a rational map  $\phi = (\phi_x : \phi_y : \phi_z)$  is defined only up to scalar equivalence by functions in  $k(C)^\times$ . There may be points  $P \in C_1(\bar{k})$  where one of  $\phi_x(P), \phi_y(P), \phi_z(P)$  is not defined or all three are zero, but it may still be possible to evaluate  $\phi(P)$  after rescaling by  $\lambda \in k(C)^\times$ ; we will see an example of this shortly.

The value of  $\phi(P)$  is unchanged if we clear denominators in  $(\phi_x : \phi_y : \phi_z)$  by multiplying through by an appropriate homogeneous polynomial (note: this is not the same as rescaling by an element of  $\lambda \in k(C)^\times$ ). This yields a triple  $(\psi_x : \psi_y : \psi_z)$  of homogeneous polynomials of equal degree that we view as representing any of the three equivalent rational maps

$$(\psi_x/\psi_z : \psi_y/\psi_z : 1), \quad (\psi_x/\psi_y : 1 : \psi_z/\psi_y), \quad (1 : \psi_y/\psi_x : \psi_z/\psi_x),$$

all of which are equivalent to  $\phi$ . We then have  $\phi(P) = (\psi_x(P) : \psi_y(P) : \psi_z(P))$  whenever any of  $\psi_x, \psi_y, \psi_z$  is nonzero at  $P$ . Of course it can still happen that  $\psi_x, \psi_y, \psi_z$  all vanish at  $P$  (in which case we might need to look for an equivalent tuple of homogeneous polynomials that represents  $\phi$ ), but with this representation at least  $\psi_x, \psi_y, \psi_z$  are always defined at  $P$ .

**Definition 5.9.** A rational map that is defined everywhere is called a *morphism*

For elliptic curves, distinguishing rational maps from morphisms is unnecessary; every rational map between elliptic curves is a morphism. More generally, we have the following.

**Theorem 5.10.** *If  $C_1$  is a smooth projective curve then every rational map from  $C_1$  to a projective curve  $C_2$  is a morphism.*

The proof of this theorem is straight-forward (see [1, II.2.1]), but requires some commutative algebra that we don't want to introduce here.<sup>2</sup>

**Remark 5.11.** Theorem 5.10 is specific to smooth curves, it is not true more generally.

Two projective curves  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are *isomorphic* if they are related by an invertible morphism  $\phi$ ; this means that there is a morphism  $\phi^{-1}$  such that  $\phi^{-1} \circ \phi$  and  $\phi \circ \phi^{-1}$  are the identity maps on  $C_1(\bar{k})$  and  $C_2(\bar{k})$ , respectively. An isomorphism  $\phi: C_1 \rightarrow C_2$  is necessarily a morphism that defines a bijection from  $C_1(\bar{k})$  to  $C_2(\bar{k})$ , but the converse is not true in general because the inverse map of sets from  $C_2(\bar{k})$  to  $C_1(\bar{k})$  might not be a morphism (because it can't be defined by rational functions).

Before leaving the topic of morphisms of curves, we note one more useful fact.

**Theorem 5.12.** *A morphism of curves is either surjective or constant.*

This theorem is a consequence of the (highly non-trivial) fact that projective varieties are *complete* (or *proper*), which implies that the image of a morphism of projective varieties is itself a projective variety. This is a standard theorem whose proof can be found in any textbook on algebraic geometry. In the case of projective curves the image of a morphism of curves either has dimension one, in which case it is surjective (because our curves are irreducible, by definition), or dimension zero, in which case it is a single point.

## 5.2 Isogenies of elliptic curves

We can now define the structure preserving maps between elliptic curves that will play a key role in this course.

**Definition 5.13.** An *isogeny*  $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  of elliptic curves defined over  $k$  is a surjective morphism of curves that induces a group homomorphism from  $E_1(\bar{k})$  to  $E_2(\bar{k})$ . The elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are then said to be *isogenous*.

**Remark 5.14.** Unless otherwise stated, we assume that the isogeny  $\phi$  is itself defined over  $k$  (meaning that it can be represented by a rational map whose coefficients lie in  $k$ ). In general, if  $L/k$  is an algebraic extension, we say that two elliptic curves defined over  $k$  are “isogenous over  $L$ ” if they are related by an isogeny that is defined over  $L$ . Strictly speaking, in this situation we are really referring to the “base change” of the elliptic curves to  $L$  (same equations, different field of definition), but we won't be pedantic about this.

This definition is stronger than is actually necessary, for two reasons. First, any morphism of abelian varieties that preserves the identity element (the distinguished point that is the zero element of the group) induces a group homomorphism; we won't bother to prove this (see [1, Theorem III.4.8] for a proof), since for all the isogenies we are interested in it will be obvious that they are group homomorphisms. Second, by Theorem 5.12, any non-constant morphism of curves is surjective. This leads to the following equivalent definition which is more commonly used.

---

<sup>2</sup>The key point is that the coordinate ring of a smooth curve is a Dedekind domain. Thus its localization at every point  $P$  is a DVR, and after choosing a uniformizer we can rescale any rational map  $\phi$  by a suitable  $\lambda$  (which will typically vary with  $P$ ) so that all the components of  $\phi$  have non-negative valuation at  $P$  and at least one has valuation zero and is therefore nonzero at  $P$ .

**Definition 5.15.** An *isogeny*  $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  of elliptic curves defined over  $k$  is a non-constant morphism that maps the distinguished point of  $E_1$  to the distinguished point of  $E_2$ .

**Warning 5.16.** We do not consider the zero morphism that maps every point on  $E_1$  to the zero point of  $E_2$  an isogeny. This follows the standard convention for general abelian varieties which requires isogenies to preserve dimension (so they must be surjective and have finite kernel). In the case of elliptic curves this convention is not followed by some authors (notably, Silverman [1] considers the zero morphism an isogeny). But it simplifies the statement of many theorems and is consistent with the more general usage you may see in later courses, so we will use it (we will still have occasion to refer to the zero morphism, we just won't call it an isogeny).

**Definition 5.17.** Elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  defined over a field  $k$  are *isomorphic* if their exist isogenies  $\phi_1: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\phi_2: E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  whose composition is the identity.

**Definition 5.18.** A morphism from an elliptic curve  $E/k$  to itself that fixes the distinguished point is called an *endomorphism*.

Except for the zero morphism, every endomorphism is an isogeny. As we shall see in the next lecture, the endomorphisms of an elliptic curve have a natural ring structure.

### 5.3 Examples of isogenies

We now give three examples of isogenies that are endomorphisms of an elliptic curve  $E/k$  defined by a short Weierstrass equation  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + b$  (so  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ ).

#### 5.3.1 The negation map

In projective coordinates the map  $P \mapsto -P$  is given by

$$(x : y : z) \mapsto (x : -y : z),$$

which is evidently a rational map. It is defined at every projective point, and in particular, at every  $P \in E(\bar{k})$ , so it is a morphism (as it must be, since it is a rational map defined on a smooth curve). It fixes  $0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$  and is not constant, thus it is an isogeny.

#### 5.3.2 The multiplication-by-2 map

Let  $E/k$  be the elliptic curve defined by  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ , and let  $\phi: E \rightarrow E$  be defined by  $P \mapsto 2P$ . This is obviously a non-trivial group homomorphism (at least over  $\bar{k}$ ), and we will now show that it is a morphism of projective curves. Recall that the formula for doubling an affine point  $P = (x, y)$  on  $E$  is given by the rational functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(x, y) &= m^2 - 2x = \frac{(3x^2 + A)^2 - 8xy^2}{4y^2}, \\ \phi_y(x, y) &= m(x - \alpha_x(x, y)) - y = \frac{12xy^2(3x^2 + A) - (3x^2 + A)^3 - 8y^4}{8y^3}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = (3x^2 + A)/(2y)$  is the slope of the tangent line at  $P$ . Homogenizing these functions and clearing denominators yields the rational map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x(x, y, z) &= 2yz((3x^2 + Az^2)^2 - 8xy^2z), \\ \phi_y(x, y, z) &= 12xy^2z(3x^2 + Az^2) - (3x^2 + Az^2)^3 - 8y^4z^2, \\ \phi_z(x, y, z) &= 8y^3z^3. \end{aligned}$$

If  $y = 0$  then  $3x^2 + Az^2 \neq 0$  (because  $y^2z = x^3 + Axz^2 + Bz^3$  is non-singular). Thus the only point in  $E(\bar{k})$  where we cannot apply these equations is the point  $0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$  at infinity. As a rational map of smooth projective curves, we know that  $\phi$  is defined everywhere, so there must be an alternative representation of  $\phi$  that is defined at  $0 = (0 : 1 : 0)$ . Now we know *a priori* that in fact  $\phi(0) = 0$ , but let's verify this explicitly. Using the projective curve equation  $y^2z = x^3 + Axz^2 + Bz^3$  we can write

$$(3x^2 + Az^2)^2 = 9x^4 + 6Ax^2z^2 + A^2z^4 = 9x(y^2z - Axz^2 - Bz^3) + 6Ax^2z^2 + A^2z^4.$$

Plugging this into the expression for  $\phi_x(x, y, z)$  yields

$$\phi_x(x, y, z) = 2yz^2(9xy^2 - 9Axz - 9Bxz^3 + 6Ax^2z + A^2z^3 - 8xy^2),$$

which we note is divisible by  $z^2$ , as is  $\phi_z(x, y, z)$ . By applying a similar transformation to  $x(3x^2 + Az^2)$  and  $(3x^2 + Az^2)^3$  in  $\phi_y(x, y, z)$  we can obtain an expression for  $\phi_y(x, y, z)$  that is also divisible by  $z^2$  (we'll omit the messy details). After removing the common factor of  $z^2$  from  $\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_z$  one finds that every term of  $\phi_x$  and  $\phi_z$  is still divisible by  $x$  or  $z$ , thus we still get 0 at the point  $(0 : 1 : 0)$ , but  $\phi_y$  has a terms involving only  $y^4$ ; collecting these terms, one obtains  $(36 - 27 - 8)y^4 = y^4$ . We this representation we have  $\phi_y(0, 1, 0) = 1$  nonzero, and this proves that  $\phi(0 : 1 : 0) = (0 : 1 : 0)$ , meaning that  $\phi(0) = 0$ , as expected.

Having seen how messy things can get even with the relatively simply isogeny  $P \mapsto 2P$ , in the future we will be happy to omit such verifications and rely on the fact that if we have a rational map that we know represents an isogeny  $\phi$ , then  $\phi(0) = 0$ . For elliptic curves in Weierstrass form, this means we only have to worry about evaluating isogenies at affine points, which will allow us to simplify the equations by fixing  $z = 1$ .

### 5.3.3 The Frobenius endomorphism

Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be a finite field of prime order  $p$ . The *Frobenius automorphism*  $\pi : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is the map  $x \mapsto x^p$ . It is easy to check that  $\pi$  is a field automorphism:  $0^p = 0$ ,  $1^p = 1$ ,  $(-a)^p = -a^p$ ,  $(a^{-1})^p = (a^p)^{-1}$ ,  $(ab)^p = a^p b^p$ , and  $(a + b)^p = \sum \binom{p}{k} a^k b^{p-k} = a^p + b^p$ . If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is any rational function with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k)^p = f(x_1^p, \dots, x_k^p).$$

Note that  $\pi$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , but not on any proper extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; indeed,  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is precisely the fixed field of  $\pi$ .

Every power  $\pi^n$  of  $\pi$  is also a field automorphism; the fixed field of  $\pi^n$  is the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . For a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  the map  $x \mapsto x^q$  is called the *q-power Frobenius map*, which we may denote by  $\pi_q$  or  $\pi^n$ .

**Definition 5.19.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The *Frobenius endomorphism* of  $E$  is the map  $\pi_E : (x : y : z) \mapsto (x^q : y^q : z^q)$ .

To see that this defines a morphism from  $E$  to  $E$ , for any point  $P = (x, y, z) \in E(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ , if we raise both sides of the curve equation

$$y^2z = x^3 + Axz^2 + Bz^3$$

to the  $q$ th power, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (y^2z)^q &= (x^3 + Axz^2 + Bz^3)^q \\ (y^q)^2 z^q &= (x^q)^3 + Ax^q (z^q)^2 + B(z^q)^3, \end{aligned}$$

thus  $(x^q : y^q : z^q) \in E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ ; note that we have used  $A, B \in \mathbb{F}_q$  to get  $A^q = A$  and  $B^q = B$ . Note that if we were using the  $p$ -power Frobenius with  $p < q$  we would still get a point on an elliptic curve, namely  $y^2 = x^3 + A^p x + B^p$ , but that curve would not be the same as  $E$  (not even isomorphic to  $E$ ). To see that  $\pi_E$  is also a group homomorphism, note that the group law on  $E$  is defined by rational functions whose coefficients lie in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ; these are invariant under the  $q$ -power map and therefore commute with  $\pi_E$ .

These facts hold regardless of the equation used to define  $E$  and the formulas for the group law, including curves defined by a general Weierstrass equation (which is needed in characteristic 2 and 3). The multiplication-by-2 map is also an endomorphism in characteristic 2 and 3, but the formulas need to be modified.

**Remark 5.20.** Even though the Frobenius endomorphism gives a bijection from  $E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  to  $E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ , as an *isogeny*, it is *not* an isomorphism. There is no rational map from  $E \rightarrow E$  that acts as its inverse (we can't take  $q$ th roots with a rational map).

## 5.4 A standard form for isogenies

To facilitate our work with isogenies, it is convenient to put them in a standard form. To simplify matters we assume throughout that our elliptic curves are in short Weierstrass form  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .

**Lemma 5.21.** *Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be elliptic curves over  $k$  in short Weierstrass form, and let  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny defined over  $k$ . Then  $\alpha$  can be defined by an affine rational map of the form*

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left( \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y \right),$$

where  $u, v, s, t \in k[x]$  are polynomials in  $x$  with  $u \perp v$  and  $s \perp t$ .

The notation  $f \perp g$  indicates that the polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime (no common factor in  $k[x]$ ).

*Proof.* Suppose  $\alpha$  is defined by the rational map  $(\alpha_x : \alpha_y : \alpha_z)$ . Then for any affine point  $(x : y : 1) \in E_1(\overline{k})$  we can write

$$\alpha(x, y) = (r_1(x, y), r_2(x, y))$$

where  $r_1(x, y) = \alpha_x(x, y, 1)/\alpha_z(x, y, 1)$  and  $r_2(x, y) = \alpha_y(x, y, 1)/\alpha_z(x, y, 1)$ . By repeatedly applying the curve equation  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$  for  $E_1$  to eliminate factors of  $y^n$  with  $n > 1$ , we can assume that  $r_1$  is in the form

$$r_1(x, y) = \frac{p_1(x) + p_2(x)y}{p_3(x) + p_4(x)y},$$

with  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in k[x]$ . We then multiply the numerator and denominator of  $r_1(x, y)$  by  $p_3(x) - p_4(x)y$ , and use the curve equation for  $E_1$  to replace  $y^2$  in the denominator with  $x^3 + Ax + B$ , putting  $r_1$  in the form

$$r_1(x, y) = \frac{q_1(x) + q_2(x)y}{q_3(x)},$$

for some  $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in k[x]$ . Recall that the inverse of an affine point  $(x, y)$  on a curve in short Weierstrass form is  $(x, -y)$ . Thus  $\alpha(x, -y) = -\alpha(x, y)$ , since  $\alpha$  is a group homomorphism, and therefore

$$(r_1(x, -y), r_2(x, -y)) = (r_1(x, y), -r_2(x, y))$$

Thus  $r_1(x, y) = r_1(x, -y)$ , which implies that  $q_2$  is the zero polynomial. After eliminating any common factors of  $q_1$  and  $q_3$ , we obtain  $r_1(x, y) = \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}$  for some  $u, v \in k[x]$  with  $u \perp v$ , as desired. The argument for  $r_2(x, y)$  is similar, except now we use  $r_2(x, -y) = -r_2(x, y)$  to show that  $q_1$  must be zero, yielding  $r_2(x, y) = \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y$  for some  $s, t \in k[x]$  with  $s \perp t$ .  $\square$

We shall refer to the expression  $\alpha(x, y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$  given by Lemma 5.21 as the *standard form* of an isogeny  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ . Note that this assumes that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are in short Weierstrass form. The fact that the rational functions  $u(x)/v(x)$  and  $s(x)/t(x)$  are in lowest terms implies that the polynomials  $u, v, s$  and  $t$  are uniquely determined up to a scalar in  $k^\times$ .

**Lemma 5.22.** *Let  $E_1: y^2 = f_1(x)$  and  $E_2: y^2 = f_2(x)$  be elliptic curves defined over  $k$ . Let  $\alpha(x, y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$  be an isogeny from  $E_1$  to  $E_2$  in standard form. Then  $v^3$  divides  $t^2$  and  $t^2$  divides  $v^3 f_1$ . Moreover,  $v(x)$  and  $t(x)$  have the same set of roots in  $\bar{k}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E_1$  be defined by  $y^2 = x^3 + A_1x + B_1$  and let  $E_2$  be defined by  $y^2 = x^3 + A_2x + B_2$ . By substituting  $(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{s}{t}y)$  for  $(x, y)$  in the equation for  $E_2$  we obtain

$$\left(\frac{s}{t}y\right)^2 = \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^3 + A_2\frac{u}{v} + B_2.$$

Using the equation for  $E_1$  to eliminate  $y^2$  yields

$$\frac{s^2(x^3 + A_1x + B_1)}{t^2} = \frac{u^3 + A_2uv^2 + B_2v^3}{v^3}.$$

Setting  $f(x) = x^3 + A_1x + B_1$  and  $w = (u^3 + A_2uv^2 + B_2v^3)$ , clearing denominators gives

$$v^3 s^2 f = t^2 w. \tag{1}$$

Note that  $u \perp v$  implies  $v \perp w$ , since any common factor of  $v$  and  $w$  must divide  $u$ . It follows that  $v^3 | t^2$  and  $t^2 | v^3 f$ . This implies that  $v$  and  $t$  have the same roots in  $\bar{k}$ . Every root of  $v$  is a root of  $t$  (since  $v^3 | t$ ), and every root  $x_0$  of  $t$  is a double root of  $t^2 | v^3 f_1$ , and since  $f_1$  has no double roots (since  $E_1$  is not singular)  $x_0$  must be a root of  $t$  (even if it is also a root of  $f_1$ ).  $\square$

**Corollary 5.23.** *Let  $\alpha(x, y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$  be an isogeny  $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  in standard form. The affine points  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1) \in E_1(\bar{k})$  in the kernel of  $\alpha$  are precisely those for which  $v(x_0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v(x_0) \neq 0$ , then  $t(x_0) \neq 0$ , and  $\alpha(x_0, y_0) = (\frac{u(x_0)}{v(x_0)}, \frac{s(x_0)}{t(x_0)}y)$  is an affine point and therefore not 0 (the point at infinity), hence not in the kernel of  $\alpha$ .

By homogenizing and putting  $\alpha$  into projective form, we can write  $\alpha$  as

$$\alpha = (ut : vsy : vt),$$

where  $ut, vsy$ , and  $vt$  are now homogeneous polynomials of equal degree ( $s, t, u, v \in k[x, z]$ ).



Suppose  $y_0 \neq 0$ . By the previous lemma, if  $v(x_0, 1) = 0$ , then  $t(x_0, 1) = 0$ , and since  $v^3|t^2$ , the multiplicity of  $(x_0, 1)$  as a root of  $t$  is strictly greater than its multiplicity as a root of  $v$ . This implies that, working over  $\bar{k}$ , we can renormalize  $\alpha$  by dividing by a suitable power  $x - x_0z$  so that  $\alpha_y$  does not vanish at  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  but  $\alpha_x$  and  $\alpha_z$  both do. Then  $\alpha(x_0 : y_0 : 1) = (0 : 1 : 0) = 0$ , and  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  lies in the kernel of  $\alpha$  as claimed.

If  $y_0 = 0$ , then  $x_0$  is a root of the cubic  $f(x)$  in the equation  $y^2 = f(x)$  for  $E_1$ , and it is not a double root, since  $E_1$  is not singular. In this case we renormalize  $\alpha$  by multiplying by  $yz$  and then replacing  $y^2z$  with  $f(x, z)$ . Because  $(x_0, 1)$  only has multiplicity 1 as a root of  $f(x, z)$ , its multiplicity as a root of  $vf$  is no greater than its multiplicity as a root of  $t$  (here again we use  $v^3|t^2$ ), and we can again renormalize  $\alpha$  by dividing by a suitable power  $x - x_0z$  so that  $\alpha_y$  does not vanish at  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$ , but  $\alpha_x$  and  $\alpha_z$  do (since there are now both divisible by  $y_0 = 0$ ). Thus  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  is again in the kernel of  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

The corollary implies that if we have an isogeny  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  in standard form, we know exactly what to do if whenever we get a zero in the denominator when we try to compute  $\alpha(P)$ : we must have  $\alpha(P) = 0$ . This allows us to avoid in all cases the messy process that we went through earlier with the multiplication-by-2 map. We also obtain the following.

**Corollary 5.24.** *Let  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be an isogeny of elliptic curves defined over  $k$ . Then the kernel of  $\alpha$  is a finite subgroup of  $E_1(\bar{k})$*

*Proof.* If we put  $\alpha$  in standard form  $(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{s}{t}y)$  then the polynomial  $v(x)$  has at most  $\deg v$  distinct roots in  $\bar{k}$ , each of which can occur as the  $x$ -coordinate of at most two points on the elliptic curve  $E_1: y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.25.** The corollary holds for all elliptic curves over fields of any characteristic, not just those in short Weierstrass form. Note that this corollary would not be true if we considered the zero morphism an isogeny.

One can also use the standard form of an isogeny  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  to show that  $\alpha$  is surjective as a map from  $E_1(\bar{k})$  to  $E_2(\bar{k})$ ; see [2, Thm. 2.22].<sup>3</sup> But we already know that this applies to any non-constant morphism of curves (and even included surjectivity in our original definition of an isogeny), so we won't bother to prove this.

## 5.5 Degree and separability

We now define two important invariants of an isogeny that can be easily determined when it is in standard form.

**Definition 5.26.** Let  $\alpha(x, y) = (\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \frac{s(x)}{t(x)}y)$  be an isogeny in standard form. The *degree* of  $\alpha$  is  $\deg \alpha := \max\{\deg u, \deg v\}$ , and we say that  $\alpha$  is *separable* if the derivative of  $\frac{u}{v}$  is nonzero; otherwise it is *inseparable*.

As noted earlier, the polynomials  $u, v, s$ , and  $t$  are uniquely determined up to a scalar factor, so the degree and separability of  $\alpha$  are intrinsic properties that do not depend on its representation as a rational map.

---

<sup>3</sup>The theorem in [2] assumes that  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism but the proof works for any isogeny.

**Remark 5.27.** The degree and separability of an isogeny can be defined in a way that is more obviously intrinsic using function fields. If  $\alpha: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is an isogeny of elliptic curves defined over  $k$  then it induces an injection of function fields

$$\alpha^*: k(E_2) \rightarrow k(E_1)$$

that sends  $f$  to  $f \circ \alpha$  (note the direction, the categorical equivalence between smooth projective curves and their function fields is contravariant). The degree of  $\alpha$  is then the degree of  $k(E_1)$  as an extension of the subfield  $\alpha^*(k(E_2))$ ; this degree is finite because both are finite extensions of a purely transcendental extension of  $k$ . The isogeny  $\alpha$  is then said to be separable if this field extension is separable and is otherwise inseparable. This approach has the virtue of generality, but it is not as easy to apply explicitly. Our definition is equivalent, but we won't prove this.

Let us now return to the three examples that we saw earlier.

- The standard form of the negation map is  $\alpha(x, y) = (x, -y)$ . It is separable and has degree 1.

- The standard form of the multiplication-by-2 isogeny is

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left( \frac{x^4 - 2Ax^2 - 8Bx + A^2}{4(x^3 - Ax + B)}, \frac{x^6 + 5Ax^4 + 20Bx^3 - 5A^2x^2 - 4ABx - A^3 - 8B^2}{8(x^3 + Ax + B)^2} y \right).$$

It is separable and has degree 4.

- The standard form of the Frobenius endomorphism of  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  is

$$\pi_E(x, y) = \left( x^q, (x^3 + Ax + B)^{(q-1)/2} y \right).$$

Note that we have used the curve equation to transform  $y^q$  (and  $q$  is odd because we are not in characteristic 2). It is inseparable, because  $(x^q)' = qx^{q-1} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and it has degree  $q$ .

## References

- [1] Joseph H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, second edition, Springer 2009.
- [2] Lawrence C. Washington, *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*, second edition, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.