These problems are related to the material covered in Lectures 4-15 (unlike previous problem sets, you may need to refer to material covered in lectures and/or problem sets from earlier weeks). I have made every effort to proof-read them, but some errors may remain. The first person to spot each error will receive 1-5 points of extra credit.

The problem set is due at $11: 59$ pm Eastern time on $03 / 16 / 2023$. It is to be submitted electronically as a pdf-file through gradescope. You can use the latex source for this problem set as a template for writing up your solutions; be sure to include your name in your solutions, and remember to identify any collaborators or sources that you consulted that are not listed in the syllabus.

## Problem 1. Hilbert symbol over $\mathbb{Q}$ is not bilinear (10 points)

(a) Now that we have proved the Hasse-Minkowski theorem, give an explicit description of the Hilbert symbol $(a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$in terms of local Hilbert symbols.
(b) Use part (a) to produce elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, b \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$such that $\left(a_{1} a_{2}, b\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not equal to the product $\left(a_{1}, b\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(a_{2}, b\right)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

## Problem 2. Extensions and Norm subgroups: A peek into local class field theory (10 points)

This problem gives another proof of bilinearity of the Hilbert symbol for $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ without using the explicit formula.

Over any field $k$, we have $\left(a_{1} a_{2}, b\right)_{k}=1 \Longrightarrow\left(a_{1}, b\right)_{k}=\left(a_{2}, b\right)_{k}$. Let $H_{b}$ denote the image of the norm map $\mathrm{Nm}: k(\sqrt{b})^{\times} \rightarrow k^{\times}$. To turn the one-way implication into an equivalence and thus get bilinearity, we need that the index of $H_{b}$ as a subgroup of $k^{\times}$is 1 or 2 .

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Use Problem 3(a) from Pset 4 to show that this is indeed the case for $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.
(Hint: There are three distinct quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. List them, and for each of them, show that the norm subgroup is strictly bigger than $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}\right)^{2}$.)

In Problems 3-5 we will recapitulate much of the material covered over the past month, replacing the field $\mathbb{Q}$ with the field $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$, the field of rational functions in $t$ with coefficients in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The fields $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ have much in common and are the two essential sources of global fields; by definition, every global field is a finite extension of either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$.

Recall that $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ is the fraction field of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. The ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ is a principal ideal domain, hence a unique factorization domain, and its unit group is $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$. In particular, every irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ is prime, and (up to scaling by units) the prime elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ are precisely the monic irreducible polynomials. We will use $\pi$ to denote such a prime (and also $\infty$, see below).

## Problem 3. Absolute values on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ ( 25 points)

For each prime $\pi \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ and any nonzero $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, let $v_{\pi}(f)$ be the largest integer for which $\pi^{n} \mid f$, equivalently, the largest $n$ for which $f \in\left(\pi^{n}\right)$. For each $f / g \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)^{\times}$in lowest terms (meaning $f$ and $g$ have no common factor in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ ), we define

$$
v_{\pi}(f / g)=v_{\pi}(f)-v_{\pi}(g)
$$

and we let $v_{\pi}(0)=\infty$ (with the usual conventions that $n+\infty=\infty, \max (n, \infty)=\infty$, and $n^{-\infty}=0$ if $\left.n \neq 0\right)$. We also define

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f / g)=\operatorname{deg} f-\operatorname{deg} g
$$

and $\operatorname{deg} 0=-\infty$.
(a) For each prime $\pi \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, define

$$
|r|_{\pi}=\left(q^{\operatorname{deg} \pi}\right)^{-v_{\pi}(r)}
$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$. Show that $\left|\left.\right|_{\pi}\right.$ is a nonarchimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$.
(b) Let

$$
|r|_{\infty}=q^{\operatorname{deg} r}
$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$. Prove that $\left|\left.\right|_{\infty}\right.$ is a nonarchimedean absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t) .{ }^{1}$
Recall from Problem Set 3 (problem 4e) that in a field $k$ with nonarchimedean absolute value || \|,

$$
R=\{x \in k:\|x\| \leq 1\}
$$

is a local ring with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}=\{x \in k:\|x\|<1\}$. The field $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is called the residue field of $R$ (and of $k$, with respect to $\|\|$ ).
(c) Determine the residue field of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ with respect to $\left|\left.\right|_{\pi} \text {, and with respect to }\right|_{\infty}$.
(d) Prove Ostrowski's theorem for $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ : every nontrivial absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ is equivalent to $\left.\left|\left.\right|_{\infty}\right.$ or $|\right|_{\pi}$ for some prime $\pi \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.

More precisely, show that if $\left\|\|\right.$ is a nontrivial absolute value on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$, either $\| t \|>1$ and $\left\|\| \sim| |_{\infty}\right.$, or $\| t \| \leq 1$ and $\left\|\| \sim| |_{\pi}\right.$ for some prime $\pi \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.

In view of $(\mathrm{d})$ we now regard $\infty$ as a prime of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ and let $\pi$ range over both monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ and $\infty$.
(e) Prove the product formula for absolute values on $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ : for every $r \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)^{\times}$we have

$$
\prod_{\pi}|r|_{\pi}=1
$$

[^0]
## Problem 4. Completions of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ (30 points)

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ with respect to the absolute value $\left|\left.\right|_{\pi}\right.$. Recall that for any field $k$, ring of formal power series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} T^{n}$ with $a_{n} \in k$ is denoted $k[[T]]$, and its fraction field $k((T))$ is the field of formal Laurent series $\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} a_{n} T^{n}$, where $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(a) Prove that every $\pi$-adic field $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}((T))$, where $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}$ denotes the residue field of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ with respect to $\left|\left.\right|_{\pi}\right.$. For each $\pi$ determine the field $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}$ and describe this isomorphism by identifying the element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ that corresponds to $T$ (this element is called a uniformizing parameter, or simply a uniformizer).
It follows from (a) that if we fix a uniformizer (i.e., choose an isomorphism from $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ to $\left.\mathbb{F}_{\pi}((T))\right)$, then every element $a$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ has a unique $\pi$-adic expansion of the form $\sum_{n \geq n_{0}} a_{n} T^{n}$, where $n_{0}=v_{\pi}(a)$ is the $\pi$-adic valuation of $a$ (extended from $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ to its completion $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}\right)$. We can also write $a$ as $T^{v_{\pi}(a)} u$, where $u \in \mathbb{F}_{\pi}[[T]]^{\times}$is a $\pi$-adic unit.
(b) Determine for which $\pi$ we have $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Conclude that, unlike the $p$-adic fields $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, distinct $\pi$-adic fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}$ may be isomorphic as fields, even though they have inequivalent absolute values.
(c) Determine the group of square classes $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t){ }_{\pi}^{\times} / \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}^{\times 2}$ (your answer may depend on $q$ and $\pi$, be sure to consider all possibilities).

## Problem 5. Hilbert symbols over $\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ ( 25 points)

We now assume $q$ is odd. For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}^{\times}$, we define the Hilbert symbol

$$
(a, b)_{\pi}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a x^{2}+b y^{2}=1 \text { has a solution in } \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi} \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

As noted in class, the proofs of Lemma 10.2 and Corollary 10.3 work over any field, so you can assume they apply to $(a, b)_{\pi}$.
(a) For $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)_{\pi}^{\times} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\pi}((T))^{\times}$, write $a=T^{\alpha} u$ and $b=T^{\beta} v$, with $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{\pi}[[T]]^{\times}$. Prove that

$$
(a, b)_{\pi}=(-1)^{\alpha \beta \frac{\# \mathbb{F}_{\pi}-1}{2}}\left(\frac{u}{\pi}\right)^{\beta}\left(\frac{v}{\pi}\right)^{\alpha},
$$

where $\left(\frac{u}{\pi}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}$ applied to the image of $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}$ (so $\left(\frac{u}{\pi}\right)=1$ if and only if the first $\pi$-adic digit of $u$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\pi}^{\times}$).
(b) Prove that the Hilbert symbol $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\pi}$ is bilinear and nondegenerate.
(c) Prove that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(t)^{\times}$we have $(a, b)_{\pi}=1$ for all but finitely many $\pi$. Then prove the Hilbert reciprocity law:

$$
\prod_{\pi}(a, b)_{\pi}=1 .
$$

You may assume the quadratic reciprocity law for $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, which states that for any monic irreducible polynomials $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ we have

$$
\left(\frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{2}}\right)=(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{1}\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{2}\right) \frac{q-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi_{2}}{\pi_{1}}\right),
$$

where $\left(\frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{2}}\right)$ is defined to be 1 if $\pi_{1}$ is a square modulo $\pi_{2}$ and -1 otherwise.

## Problem 6. Survey

Complete the following survey by rating each problem on a scale of 1 to 10 according to how interesting you found the problem ( $1=$ "mind-numbing," $10=$ "mind-blowing"), and how difficult you found the problem ( $1=$ "trivial," $10=$ "brutal" $)$. Also estimate the amount of time you spent on each problem.

|  | Interest | Difficulty | Time Spent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Problem 1 |  |  |  |
| Problem 2 |  |  |  |
| Problem 3 |  |  |  |
| Problem 4 |  |  |  |
| Problem 5 |  |  |  |

Feel free to record any additional comments you have on the problem sets or lectures; in particular, how you think they might be improved.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ You may be surprised that $\left.\right|_{\infty}$ is nonarchimedean, but recall from Corollary 5.4 of Lecture 5 that this is necessarily the case in a field of positive characteristic.

