As usual, $k$ is a perfect field, but not necessarily algebraically closed. Throughout this lecture $C / k$ denotes a curve (smooth projective variety of dimension one) and $F / k$ the corresponding function field. To simplify the notation, for any place $P$ of $F / k$ and divisor $D=\sum n_{P} P$, we define $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(D)=n_{P}$.

### 21.1 Riemann-Roch spaces

We have seen that the degree of a divisor is a key numerical invariant that is preserved under linear equivalence; recall that two divisors are linearly equivalent if their difference is a principal divisor, equivalently, they correspond to the same element of the Picard group. We now want to introduce a second numerical invariant associated to each divisor. In order to do this we first partially order divisors by defining the relation $\leq$ on $\operatorname{Div}_{k} C$ by

$$
A \leq B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{ord}_{P}(A) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{P}(B) \text { for all } P
$$

As usual, $P$ ranges over all closed points of $C / k$, equivalently, all places of $k(C)$, but of course the inequality on the right is automatically satisfied for all but finitely many $P$. This partial ordering is compatible with divisor addition, since

$$
A \leq B \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A+C \leq B+C
$$

for any divisor $C$. We also note that

$$
A \leq B \text { and } C \leq D \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A+C \leq B+D
$$

It is important to remember that $\leq$ is not a total ordering on $\operatorname{Div}_{k} C$; most pairs of divisors are incomparable.

Definition 21.1. A divisor $D \geq 0$ is said to be effective. As with principal divisors $\operatorname{div} f=\operatorname{div}_{0} f-\operatorname{div}_{\infty} f$, every divisor can be written uniquely as the difference of two effective divisors, as $D=D_{0}-D_{\infty}$, where

$$
D_{0}:=\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{P}(D)>0} \operatorname{ord}_{P}(D) P \quad \text { and } \quad D_{\infty}:=\sum_{\operatorname{ord}_{P}(D)<0}-\operatorname{ord}_{P}(D) P .
$$

We now define the Riemann-Roch space of a divisor.
Definition 21.2. The Riemann-Roch space of a divisor $D$ is the $k$-vector space

$$
\mathcal{L}(D):=\left\{f \in k(C)^{\times}: \operatorname{div} f \geq-D\right\} \cup\{0\} .
$$

That $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is a vector space follows immediately from:

1. $\operatorname{div} \lambda f=\operatorname{div} f+\operatorname{div} \lambda=\operatorname{div} f$ for all $\lambda \in k^{\times}$;
2. $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f+g) \geq \min \left(\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f), \operatorname{ord}_{P}(g)\right.$ for all $f, g \in F^{\times}$.

Example 21.3. If $D=3 P-2 Q$ then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the set of functions in $k(C)$ that have at most a triple pole at $P$, and at least a double zero at $Q$, and poles nowhere else (but they may have have zeros of any order at points other than $Q$ ).

Example 21.4. If $D=-P$ then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the set of functions that have a zero at $P$ and no poles at all. The only such function is the zero function (which lies in $\mathcal{L}(D)$ by definition). More generally, for any $D<0$ we have $\mathcal{L}(D)=\{0\}$.

Example 21.5. If $D=0$ then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is the set of functions that have no poles at all. By Corollary 19.23, for $f \in \mathcal{L}(0)$ we have $\operatorname{deg} \operatorname{div}_{\infty} f=0$ if and only if $f \in k^{\times}$, so $\mathcal{L}(0)=k$.

We now show that $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is preserved (up to isomorphism) by linear equivalence.
Lemma 21.6. For any linearly equivalent divisors $A \sim B$ we have $\mathcal{L}(A) \simeq \mathcal{L}(B)$.
Proof. We have $A-B=\operatorname{div} f$ for some $f \in k(C)^{\times}$, and we claim that the maps $g \mapsto f g$ and $g \mapsto g / f$ are inverse $k$-linear maps from $\mathcal{L}(A)$ to $\mathcal{L}(B)$ and from $\mathcal{L}(B)$ to $\mathcal{L}(A)$, respectively. Linearity is clear, and if $\operatorname{div} g \geq-A$ then

$$
\operatorname{div} f g=\operatorname{div} f+\operatorname{div} g \geq \operatorname{div} f-A=-B .
$$

Similarly, if $\operatorname{div} g \geq-B$ then

$$
\operatorname{div} g / f=\operatorname{div} g-\operatorname{div} f \geq-B-\operatorname{div} f=-A .
$$

Thus we have defined linear transformations from $\mathcal{L}(A)$ to $\mathcal{L}(B)$, hence $\mathcal{L}(A) \simeq \mathcal{L}(B)$.
The following lemma shows that non-trivial Riemman-Roch spaces arise only (and always) for divisors that are linearly equivalent to an effective divisor.

Lemma 21.7. We have $\mathcal{L}(D) \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $D \sim D^{\prime}$ for some $D^{\prime} \geq 0$.
Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$ is nonzero, then $\operatorname{div} f \geq-D$, and $D \sim D^{\prime}=D+\operatorname{div} f \geq 0$. Conversely, if $D \sim D^{\prime} \geq 0$ then $-D \leq D^{\prime}-D=\operatorname{div} f$ for some $f \in k(C)^{\times}$, hence $\mathcal{L}(D) \neq\{0\}$.

Lemma 21.8. For any two divisors $A \leq B$ we have $\mathcal{L}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(B)$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L}(B) / \mathcal{L}(A)) \leq \operatorname{deg} B-\operatorname{deg} A
$$

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(B)$, and that the inequality holds if $A=B$. We now prove the inequality in the case $B=A+P$, for some place $P$. Let $t$ be a uniformizer at $P$, let $k(P)=\mathcal{O}_{P} / P$ be the residue field of $P$, and let $n=\operatorname{ord}_{P}(B)$. Now define the linear transformation $\phi: \mathcal{L}(B) \rightarrow k(P)$ by $\phi(f)=\left(t^{n} f\right)(P)=t^{n} f \bmod P$; we have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(t^{n} f\right)=n+\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f) \geq 0
$$

for $f \in \mathcal{L}(B)$, so $t^{n} f \in \mathcal{O}_{P}$ and $\phi$ is well-defined. The image of $\phi$ lies in $k(P)=k^{\operatorname{deg} P}$, and its kernel consists of subspace of functions $f \in \mathcal{L}(B)$ for which $\operatorname{ord}_{P}\left(t^{n} f\right) \geq 1$, equivalently, $\operatorname{ord}_{P}(f) \geq 1-n=-\operatorname{ord}_{P}(A)$, which is precisely $\mathcal{L}(A)$. We have $\mathcal{L}(B) / \operatorname{ker} \phi \simeq \operatorname{im} \phi$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L}(B) / \mathcal{L}(A))=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{im} \phi \leq \operatorname{dim} k(P)=\operatorname{deg} P=\operatorname{deg} B-\operatorname{deg} A . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general case follows from repeated application of the same result. If

$$
A=B_{0}<B_{1}<B_{2}<\cdots<B_{m}=B
$$

where $B=\sum n_{P} P$ and $m=\sum n_{P}$, then each difference $B_{i+1}-B_{i}$ is a single place $P_{i}$. Applying (1) gives $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(B_{i+1}\right) / \mathcal{L}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg} B_{i+1}-\operatorname{deg} B_{i}=\operatorname{deg} P_{i}$. Summing yields the desired result $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{L}(B) / \mathcal{L}(A)) \leq \operatorname{deg} B-\operatorname{deg} A$.

We now prove that the dimension of a Riemann-Roch space is finite.
Theorem 21.9. For any divisor $D$ we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}(D) \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}+1$.
Proof. Applying Lemma 21.8 with $B=D$ and $A=0$ yields

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(D_{0}\right) / \mathcal{L}(0)\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}-\operatorname{deg} 0=\operatorname{deg} D_{0}
$$

As noted in Example 21.5, we have $\mathcal{L}(0)=k$, and therefore

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}\left(D_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(D_{0}\right) / \mathcal{L}(0)\right)+1 \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}+1 .
$$

We also have $D \leq D_{0}$, so by Lemma $21.8, \mathcal{L}(D) \subseteq L\left(D_{0}\right)$, and we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}(D) \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}\left(D_{0}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}+1
$$

as claimed.
Definition 21.10. The dimension $\ell(D)$ of a divisor is the dimension of $\mathcal{L}(D)$.
The following corollary summarizes what we know about $\ell(D)$ so far.
Corollary 21.11. The following hold:
(a) $\ell(0)=1$.
(d) If $A \sim B$ then $\ell(A)=\ell(B)$ and $\operatorname{deg}(A)=\operatorname{deg}(B)$.
(c) For any $A \leq B$ we have $\ell(B)-\ell(A) \leq \operatorname{deg} B-\operatorname{deg} A$.
(d) For all $D \geq 0$ we have $\ell(D) \leq \operatorname{deg} D+1$.
(e) If $\operatorname{deg} D<0$ then $\ell(D)=0$.

Proof. (a) follows from Example 21.5, (b) is Lemma 21.6 and Corollary 19.23, (c) is Lemma 21.6, (d) is Theorem 21.9, and (e) follows from Lemma 21.7.

An equivalent form of (c) that we will often use is

$$
A \leq B \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \operatorname{deg} A-\ell(A) \leq \operatorname{deg} B-\ell(B)
$$

Lemma 21.12. If $\operatorname{deg} D=0$ then $\ell(D)=1$ if $D$ is principal and $\ell(D)=0$ otherwise.
Proof. If $D=\operatorname{div} f$ is principal, then $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, so $\ell(D) \geq 1$ and by Lemma 21.7 we must have $D \sim D^{\prime} \geq 0$. But $\operatorname{deg} D^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} D=0$, so $D^{\prime}=0$ and $\ell(D)=\ell(0)=1$. Now suppose $\ell(D) \geq 1$. As just argued, we must have $\ell(D)=1$, so there is a nonzero $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$, and since $\operatorname{div} f \geq-D$, we have $D+\operatorname{div} f \geq 0$. But $\operatorname{deg}(D+\operatorname{div} f)=0$, so $D+\operatorname{div} f=0$ and therefore $D=-\operatorname{div} f=\operatorname{div} 1 / f$ is principal. Taking the contrapositive, if $D$ is not principal then we must have $\ell(D)=0$.

It follows from Lemma 21.12 that the inequality in Theorem 21.9 is not tight for curves for which $\mathrm{Pic}_{k}^{0} C$ is not trivial, since this implies the existence of non-principal divisors of degree 0 . On the other hand, for $C=\mathbb{P}^{1}$, the inequality is tight for all effective divisors (as noted at the end of Lecture 20, there is a gap between these two cases, one can have $\operatorname{Pic}_{k}^{0} C=\{0\}$ and $C \not \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$; we will address this gap in the next lecture).

Lemma 21.13. If $C$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ then $\ell(D)=\operatorname{deg} D+1$ for all $D \geq 0$.

Proof. If $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ then $k(C)$ is the field of all rational functions over $k$. We claim that given any effective divisor $A=\sum n_{P} P$ we can construct a function $f_{A} \in k(C)$ with $\operatorname{div}_{\infty} f=A$.

Proof of claim: We just need to show that we can construct divo $f$ with support disjoint from $\operatorname{div}_{\infty} f$. If $k$ is infinite this is easy: pick a degree one place $P \notin \operatorname{Supp}(A)$ and let $\operatorname{div}_{0} f=(\operatorname{deg} A) P$. If $k$ is finite, then, as noted in Lecture 3, there exist monic irreducible polynomials of every degree in $k[t]$, and each corresponds to a place of $k(C)$. If $A$ consists of more than a single place, no place of degree $\operatorname{deg} A$ can lie in the support of $A$, so pick one such place $P$ and let $\operatorname{div}_{0} f=P$. Otherwise $A$ consists of a single place and we can pick a degree one place $P$ not in the support of $A$ and let $\operatorname{div}_{0} f=(\operatorname{deg} A) P$ as above.

Now let $0=A_{0}<A_{1}<\cdots<A_{m}=D$ be a maximal chain of divisors, let $P_{i}=A_{i}-A_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} \in k(C)$ satisfy $\operatorname{div}_{\infty} f_{i}=A_{i}$ (note that the list $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ may contain repetitions). These functions are linearly independent over $k$, since for any nonempty subset the $f_{i}$ with maximal index $i$ has a pole at $P_{i}$ of order greater than that of any $f_{j}$ with $j<i$, and the triangle equality then precludes any non-trivial relations. Finally, for each point $P_{i}$ there is a subspace $V_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(D)$ corresponding to functions $f$ for which $\operatorname{div} f=\operatorname{div} f_{i}$, and $\mathcal{L}(D)$ contains the direct sum of these subspaces, since no pair intersects non-trivially. If we consider the linear transformation $\phi: V_{i} \rightarrow k\left(P_{i}\right)$ defined by $f \mapsto\left(t_{i}^{n_{i}} f\right)\left(P_{i}\right)$, where $t_{i}$ is a uniformizer for $P_{i}$ and $n_{i}=-\operatorname{ord}_{P_{i}}(f)$, it is clear that ker $\phi$ is trivial, and $\phi$ is surjective becuase $k(C)$ is the rational function field. So $\operatorname{dim} V_{i}=\operatorname{deg} P_{i}$.

We then have

$$
\ell(D)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}(D) \geq \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}(0)+\sum \operatorname{dim} V_{i}=1+\sum \operatorname{deg} P_{i}=1+\operatorname{deg} D,
$$

as claimed.
Remark 21.14. If you think the proof of Lemma 21.13 is a lot of effort to prove something that should be obvious, your are right. Once we prove the Riemann-Roch theorem it will follow trivially (as will many other results). Our purpose in proving it now is to help motivate the definition of genus.

We know that the inequality in Theorem 21.9 is tight when $C$ is rational (isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ), but not in general. As we will show, for suitable divisors $D$ (which will turn out to be almost all of them), the quantity $\operatorname{deg} D+1-\ell(D)$ tells us something intrinsic to the function field $k(C)$; roughly speaking, it measure how far $C$ is from being rational. ${ }^{1}$ One way to think about this metric is as a measure of the functions that are "missing" from $k(C)$.

We now show that the $\operatorname{deg} D+1-\ell(D)$ is bounded, independent of $D$.
Theorem 21.15. There is a non-negative integer $g$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(D)+1-\ell(D) \leq g
$$

holds for all $D \in \operatorname{Div}_{k} C$.
The proof below is adapted from [1, Prop. 1.4.14].
Proof. Let $f \in k(C)$ be transcendental over $k$, and let $A=\operatorname{div}_{\infty} f \geq 0$. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ be a basis for $k(C) / k(f)$, where $d=\operatorname{deg} A=[k(C): k(f)]$ (by Corollary 19.24). Choose a divisor $B \geq 0$ so that $\operatorname{div} v_{i} \geq-B$ for each $v_{i}$ (this is clearly possible).

[^0]For any integer $n \geq 0$, the set of functions $S=\left\{v_{i} f^{j}: 1 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ is clearly linearly independent over $k$, since $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ are linearly independent over $k(f)$ and $f$ is transcendental over $k$. And $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(n A+B)$, since $\operatorname{div}\left(v_{i} f^{j}\right) \geq-n A-B$ for all $v_{i} f^{j} \in S$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(n A+B) \geq d(n+1)=(n+1) \operatorname{deg} A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. But we also have $n A \leq n A+B$, since $B \geq 0$, and Corollary 21.11.c implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(n A+B)-\ell(n A) \leq \operatorname{deg}(n A+B)-\operatorname{deg}(n A)=\operatorname{deg} B \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2) and (3) yields

$$
\ell(n A) \geq \ell(n A+B)-\operatorname{deg} B \geq(n+1) \operatorname{deg} A-\operatorname{deg} B=\operatorname{deg}(n A)+(\operatorname{deg} A-\operatorname{deg} B)
$$

It follows that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(n A)+1-\ell(n A) \leq \operatorname{deg} A-\operatorname{deg} B+1
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Let $g=\operatorname{deg} A-\operatorname{deg} B+1$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(n A)+1-\ell(n A) \leq g \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that $g \geq 0$, by Corollary 21.11.d.
Now let $D$ be any divisor in $\operatorname{Div}_{k} C$ and write $D=D_{0}-D_{\infty}$ as the difference of two effective divisors. We claim that $D_{0}$ is equivalent to an effective divisor $D^{\prime} \leq n A$, for some $n$. By Corollary 21.11.c, we have

$$
\ell(n A)-\ell\left(n A-D_{0}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}(n A)-\operatorname{deg}\left(n A-D_{0}\right)=\operatorname{deg} D_{0},
$$

and applying (4) yields

$$
\ell\left(n A-D_{0}\right) \geq \ell(n A)-\operatorname{deg} D_{0} \geq \operatorname{deg}(n A)+1-g+\operatorname{deg} D_{0} .
$$

The RHS is clearly positive for sufficiently large $n$, so pick $n$ so that $\ell\left(n A-D_{0}\right)>0$ and let $f \in \mathcal{L}\left(n A-D_{0}\right)$ be nonzero. Now define $D^{\prime}:=D_{0}-\operatorname{div} f$ so that

$$
D^{\prime}=D_{0}-\operatorname{div} f \leq D_{0}-\left(D_{0}-n A\right)=n A,
$$

as claimed. We have $D \leq D_{0}$, so $\ell\left(D_{0}\right)-\ell(D) \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}-\operatorname{deg} D$, by Corollary 21.11.c, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} D+1-\ell(D) & \leq \operatorname{deg} D_{0}+1-\ell\left(D_{0}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg} D^{\prime}+1-\ell\left(D^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \operatorname{deg}(n A)+1-\ell(n A) \\
& \leq g,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $D^{\prime} \sim D_{0}$ equality, $D^{\prime} \leq n A$ to get the second inequality, and then (4).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Modulo annoying special cases like genus 0 curves that are not rational (and genus 1 curves that are not elliptic curves). Such annoyances can be eliminated by insisting on at least one rational point.

