

Lecture 24 — Finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules over a s.s. Lie algebra.

Prof. Victor Kac

Scribe: Mario De Franco, Roberto Svaldi

1 Finite dimensional representations of semisimple Lie algebras

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra, over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and a subset of positive roots $\Delta_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{N}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_+$$

be the triangular decomposition. Recall that \mathfrak{N}_+ (resp. \mathfrak{N}_-) is generated by the vectors E_1, \dots, E_r (resp. F_1, \dots, F_r) or, equivalently, that $\mathfrak{N}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_\pm} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$. Let us define

$$\mathfrak{b} \doteq \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_+.$$

\mathfrak{b} is called a Borel subalgebra. Note that

$$[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{N}_+. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, $[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathfrak{N}_+$, follows immediately by the definition of \mathfrak{b} , while $[\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \supset \mathfrak{N}_+$ follows from the fact that $[h, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha] \neq 0$, if $\alpha(h) \neq 0$ and such h always exists, since $\alpha \neq 0$. As \mathfrak{N}_+ is a nilpotent subalgebra, we see that \mathfrak{b} is a solvable subalgebra. Moreover, \mathfrak{b} is a maximal solvable subalgebra (and all such subalgebras are conjugated).

Since by Weyl's complete reducibility theorem, every finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module is a direct sum of irreducible ones, it suffices to study finite dimensional, irreducible \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Proposition 1.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional, irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module. Then $\exists \Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $0 \neq v_\Lambda \in V$ s.t. the following three properties hold:*

- i) $h v_\Lambda = \Lambda(h) v_\Lambda, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}^*$;*
- ii) $\mathfrak{N}_+ v_\Lambda = 0$;*
- iii) $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}) v_\Lambda = V$.*

It follows immediately that property iii) is equivalent to the following property

$$iii)' \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{N}_-)$$

Proof. By Lie's Theorem, \mathfrak{b} has an eigenvector $0 \neq v \in V$ so that $\forall b \in \mathfrak{b}, \tilde{\Lambda}(b)v$, for some $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. But, by the property illustrated in (1), we see that $\tilde{\Lambda}(\mathfrak{N}_+) = 0$, since $\tilde{\Lambda}([b_1, b_2]) = \tilde{\Lambda}(b_1)\tilde{\Lambda}(b_2) - \tilde{\Lambda}(b_2)\tilde{\Lambda}(b_1)$. Let $\Lambda = \tilde{\Lambda}|_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then *i)* and *ii)* hold and *iii)* follows from the irreducibility of the \mathfrak{g} -module V , since $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})v_\Lambda$ (we are identifying $v_\Lambda = v$) is a non-zero submodule of V (it contains v_Λ since $Id \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$). \square

Definition 1.1. A \mathfrak{g} -module V (not necessarily finite dimensional) with the property that $\exists \Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $0 \neq v_\Lambda \in V$ such that properties *i*), *ii*), *iii*) from the previous proposition hold, is called highest weight module with highest weight Λ and v_Λ is called a highest weight vector.

Let $\Delta_+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ be the set of positive roots for \mathfrak{g} . Choose root vectors $E_{\beta_i} \in \mathfrak{N}_+$, $E_{-\beta_i} \in \mathfrak{N}_-$ and let h_1, \dots, h_n be a basis for \mathfrak{h} , then vectors $E_{\beta_i}, E_{-\beta_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$), h_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) form a basis for \mathfrak{g} . By PBW theorem, monomials of the form

$$E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N}^{m_N} H_1^{s_1} \dots H_r^{s_r} E_{\beta_1}^{n_1} \dots E_{\beta_N}^{n_N}, \quad m_i, n_j, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In particular

Definition 1.2. For an arbitrary \mathfrak{g} -module V , let h be an element of \mathfrak{h}^* , we denote $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda(h)v, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ the weight space for \mathfrak{h} attached to λ . A non-zero vector $v \in V_\lambda$ is called singular of weight λ if $\mathfrak{N}_+v = 0$.

Example 1.1. Any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is a singular weight of a highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight Λ .

Notation 1.1. Given $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $D(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda - \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \alpha_i : k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is the set of simple roots of \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 1.2. Let V be a highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then,

- (a) $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in D(\Lambda)} V_\lambda$
- (b) $V_\Lambda = \mathbb{F}v_\Lambda$ and $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$
- (c) V is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module if and only if \mathbb{F}^*v_Λ are the only singular vectors.
- (d) V contains a unique proper maximal submodule.
- (e) If v is a singular vector with weight λ , then $\Omega(v) = (\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda)v$. Here (\cdot, \cdot) is a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} and Ω is the corresponding Casimir operator, and $2\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$.
- (f) $\Omega|_V = (\Lambda + 2\rho, \Lambda)Id_V$
- (g) If λ is a singular weight, then $(\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho) = (\Lambda + \rho, \Lambda + \rho)$.

Proof: Pf a, b)

By iii), $V = U(\mathfrak{n}_-)v_\Lambda = \sum \mathbb{F}E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N}^{m_N} v_\Lambda \in V_\Lambda - \sum_1^N m_i \beta_i \in D(\Lambda)$, proving a) and b).

Pf c)

We know

$$(*) \quad U = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in D(\Lambda)} (U \cap V_\lambda)$$

for a submodule U by a previous lecture. So choose $\lambda \in D(\Lambda)$ to be of minimal height with $U \cap V_\lambda \neq 0$. Then $E_\alpha v = 0$ for any $v \in U \cap V_\lambda$, so v is a singular vector. And if v is a singular vector of weight λ , then $U(\mathfrak{g})v = U(\mathfrak{n}_-)v$ which is a proper submodule of V unless $\lambda = \Lambda$.

Pf d)

The sum of proper submodules of V is again a proper submodule because it does not contain v_λ . Thus this sum is a unique maximal submodule.

Pf e)

Take a a basis $\{E_{\beta_i}, E_{-\beta_i}, H_i\}$ and its dual $\{E_{-\beta_i}, E_{\beta_i}, H^i\}$ and compute Casimir operator $\Omega = \sum_1^r H_i H^i + \sum_1^N E_{\beta_i} E_{-\beta_i} + E_{-\beta_i} E_{\beta_i} = \sum_1^r H_i H^i + 2 \sum_1^N E_{-\beta_i} E_{\beta_i} + 2\nu^{-1}\alpha$. Apply this to a singular vector v_λ to get

$$\Omega v_\lambda = \sum_1^r \lambda(H_i) \lambda(H^i) v_\lambda + \sum_1^N (\lambda, \beta_i) v_\lambda + 0$$

The right hand side is $(\lambda, \lambda) + 2(\lambda, \rho)$.

Pf f)

$\Omega v_\lambda = (\Lambda + 2\rho, \Lambda) v_\lambda$ by e) and since Ω commutes with $U(\mathfrak{g})$ we get $\Omega(E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N} v_\lambda v_\lambda) = (\Lambda + 2\rho, \Lambda) E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N} v_\lambda$

Pf g)

follows from f) and e).

Pf h)

If λ is singular weight, then $(\lambda + 2\rho, \lambda) = (\Lambda + 2\rho, \Lambda)$ by g). This describes a compact set in which the singular weights must lie. But $\lambda \in D(\Lambda)$, a discrete set. As the intersection of a discrete set and compact set is finite, we have that the singular weights must be finite in number.

A **Verma module** $M(\Lambda)$ is highest weight module with highest weight Λ such that any other module with highest weight Λ is quotient of $M(\Lambda)$. We construct $M(\Lambda)$ as $U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{n}_+; h - \Lambda(h), h \in \mathfrak{h})$

By Theorem 1 d), $M(\Lambda)$ has unique maximum submodule $J(\Lambda)$ such that $L(\Lambda) = M(\Lambda)/J(\Lambda)$ is unique highest weight module with highest weight Λ .

Theorem 1.3. (a) For any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, there exists a Verma module $M(\Lambda)$, unique up to isomorphism.

(b) $M(\Lambda)$ has unique irreducible quotient $L(\Lambda)$

(c) $M(\Lambda) = M(\Lambda')$ (resp. $L(\Lambda) = L(\Lambda')$) iff $\Lambda = \Lambda'$

(d) $E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N}^{m_N} v_\lambda$ form basis of $M(\Lambda)$.

Proof: a), b), c) are clear. d) follows from the PBW theorem because $E_{-\beta_1}^{m_1} \dots E_{-\beta_N}^{m_N} v_\lambda$ never lies in $J(\Lambda)$.