Reduction to 1-D systems. 1 Earlier in the course we justified the introduction of 1-D systems (e.g. "head on a wire "examples) anfollow 1) Start with the questions $m\ddot{x}_{+}r\dot{x}_{+}V(x)=0$, where F=-V' is the force. 2) Let L be a typical bength scale for x (size of the devise) and Fo be a typical force. Introduce the time scale T=VL/FG, and use these scales to write the a-dimensional epn $\varepsilon \ddot{x} + \dot{x} + V'(x) = O(\dot{H})$ where $E = m F_0 / v^2 L$. 3) angue now that, for O<E<<1 (large discipation) we can neglect the $\in \tilde{\mathbb{X}}$ term. \implies 1-Depn.

But we did not justify (3). In fact, 2 generally you cannot drop the highest derivative just because it is multiplied by a small number. Example: from $\in X + X = 1$ you cannot conclude $X \approx 1$ (i.e.: $x = A \sin\left[\frac{t-t_0}{\sqrt{e}}\right] + 1$ is the solution). Now we justify 3. Write (H) cs a Lystern $\dot{x} = y \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{y} = -\mu \left(y + V'(x) \right) (B)$ $\int_{A} \int_{A} \int_{A}$

Then the same argument we used for the nelexation oscillations in van der Pol showsthat the solutions rapidly approach the curve O = Y + V(x). But $y = \hat{x}$! This is the Hospstein. That is: dx/dt + V'(x) = 0.