18.325: Vortex Dynamics Problem Sheet 1

1. Fluid is barotropic which means $p = p(\rho)$. The Euler equation, in presence of a conservative body force, is

$$\frac{D\underline{u}}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - \nabla \chi$$

This can be written, on use of a vector identity,

$$\frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2\right) - \underline{u} \wedge \underline{\omega} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p - \nabla \chi.$$
(1)

Take the curl:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} - \nabla \wedge (\underline{u} \wedge \underline{\omega}) = -\nabla \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \wedge \nabla p = \frac{p'(\rho)}{\rho^2} \nabla \rho \wedge \nabla \rho = 0.$$
(2)

On use of a vector identity we get

$$\frac{\partial \underline{\omega}}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{\omega} - \underline{\omega} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} - \underline{u} (\nabla \cdot \underline{\omega}) + \underline{\omega} (\nabla \cdot \underline{u}).$$
(3)

Now, $\nabla \underline{\omega} = 0$ since div curl=0. Now use conservation of mass equation to substitute for $\nabla \underline{u}$:

$$\nabla \underline{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{D\rho}{Dt} \tag{4}$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\frac{D\underline{\omega}}{Dt} - \underline{\omega} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} - \frac{\underline{\omega}}{\rho} \frac{D\rho}{Dt} = 0.$$
(5)

Dividing by ρ gives the final result

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\left(\frac{\omega}{\rho}\right) = \frac{\omega}{\rho} \cdot \nabla \underline{u}.$$
(6)

2. Assume a barotropic fluid in a conservative force field. Let

$$\Gamma = \oint_C \underline{u}.\underline{dl}.$$
(7)

Take time derivative

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \oint_C \frac{D\underline{u}}{Dt} \underline{dl} + \underline{u} \underline{D} \frac{d\underline{l}}{Dt}$$
(8)

But it is known that $D\underline{dl}/Dt = \underline{d}u$. Using this, together with the Euler equation,

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \oint_C \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p - \nabla \chi \right) \cdot \underline{dl} + d\left(\frac{|\underline{u}|^2}{2} \right)$$
(9)

But this can be written in the form

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \oint_C \nabla \left(-\int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' - \chi + \frac{|\underline{u}|^2}{2} \right) \underline{dl}$$
(10)

which is the integral, around a closed loop, of a total spatial differential of a single-valued function. It is therefore zero and yields Kelvin's circulation theorem for a barotropic fluid.

3. For a barotropic fluid, Euler's equation can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\underline{u}|^2 + \underline{\omega} \wedge \underline{u} = -\nabla \int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' - \nabla \chi.$$
(11)

First form of Bernoulli: Suppose the flow is steady. Taking the dot product of the Euler equation with \underline{u} yields

$$-\underline{u} \cdot \nabla \left(\int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' - \nabla \chi - \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 \right)$$
(12)

which means that the quantity

$$\int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' - \nabla \chi - \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2$$
(13)

is constant on streamlines.

Second form of Bernoulli: Suppose that the flow is irrotational. The Euler equation then says that

$$\nabla\left(\int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' + \nabla\chi + \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2\right) = 0$$
(14)

from which we deduce that

$$\int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' + \nabla \chi + \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 \tag{15}$$

is constant everywhere.

Third form of Bernoulli: Suppose the flow is unsteady, but irrotational (note, by Q2, we still have the "persistence of irrotational flow" for a barotropic fluid so this is a consistent statement). Then $\underline{u} = \nabla \phi$ for some scalar ϕ . Then the Euler equation says that

$$\nabla \left(-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' - \nabla \chi - \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 \right) = 0$$
(16)

which means that

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} + \int^{\rho} \frac{1}{\rho'} \frac{dp}{d\rho'} d\rho' + \nabla\chi + \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 = H(t)$$
(17)

for some function of time H(t).

4. Let $u_i \rho$ and P be the velocity, density and pressure fields of any threedimensional steady solution of the incompressible Euler equation, i.e.,

$$u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} = 0, \qquad (18)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \left(\rho u_i\right)}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Consider now the velocity, density and pressure fields \hat{u}_i , $\hat{\rho}$ and \hat{P} given by

$$\hat{u}_i = \lambda u_i,\tag{20}$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda^2},\tag{21}$$

$$\hat{P} = P \tag{22}$$

where λ is assumed to be such that

$$u_i \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{23}$$

Note: this corresponds to the fact that λ is constant on streamlines. It must be shown that if (18), (19) and (23) hold then so do

$$\hat{u}_j \frac{\partial \hat{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}} \frac{\partial \hat{P}}{\partial x_i} = 0, \qquad (24)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \left(\hat{\rho}\hat{u}_i\right)}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{25}$$

First, to show that (24) holds, note that by (20) and (22),

$$\hat{u}_{j}\frac{\partial\hat{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}}\frac{\partial\hat{P}}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \lambda u_{j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\lambda u_{j}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\rho}\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \lambda^{2}\left(u_{j}\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + \lambda u_{i}u_{j}\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial x_{j}}$$

$$= 0$$

$$(26)$$

where the last equality follows by (18) and provided (23) holds.

To show that (25) holds, note that

$$\frac{\partial \left(\hat{\rho}\hat{u}_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{\rho u_{i}}{\lambda}\right) \\
= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \left(\rho u_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} + \rho u_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \\
= -\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \rho u_{i} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{i}} \\
= 0$$
(27)

where we have used both (19) and (23).

5. Assume an ideal fluid and a flow field of the form

$$\underline{u} = (u_r(r, z, t), 0, u_z(r, z, t)).$$
 (28)

Taking the curl, in cylindrical polar coordinates,

$$\nabla \wedge \underline{\omega} = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{e}_r & r \underline{e}_\theta & \underline{e}_z \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & \partial_z \\ u_r(r, z, r) & 0 & u_z(r, z, r) \end{vmatrix} = (0, \ \omega(r, z, t), \ 0)$$
(29)

where

$$\omega(r, z, t) = \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial r}.$$
(30)

The vorticity equation is

$$\frac{\partial \underline{\omega}}{\partial t} = \nabla \wedge (\underline{u} \wedge \underline{\omega}) \,. \tag{31}$$

Computation of the right hand side gives

$$(0, -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(\omega u_z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(\omega u_r), 0)$$
(32)

so only the azimuthal term gives a non-trivial equation i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\omega u_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial(\omega u_z)}{\partial z} = 0$$
(33)

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} + \omega \left(\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z}\right) + u_r \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} + u_z \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} = 0.$$
(34)

But, the conservation of mass equation is $\nabla.\underline{u}=0$ which, in cylindrical polar coordinates, takes the form

$$\frac{\partial u_r}{\partial r} + \frac{u_r}{r} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{35}$$

which can be used in the vorticity equation to reduce it to

$$\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t} - \frac{\omega u_r}{r} + u_r \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial r} + u_z \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial z} = 0.$$
(36)

But, dividing this by r, it is simply

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + u_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) \left(\frac{\omega}{r}\right) = 0 \tag{37}$$

which is the required result.

Note that if the radius of a vortex ring increases then the vorticity equation just derived shows that the dynamics is such that the vorticity ω changes linearly with the radius, thus as a ring is "stretched", the vorticity intensifies.

6. In spherical polars, the condition $\nabla \underline{u} = 0$ takes the form

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2u_r) + \frac{1}{r\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(\sin\theta \ u_\theta) = 0$$
(38)

or, multiplying by $r^2 \sin \theta$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r^2\sin\theta \ u_r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(r\sin\theta \ u_\theta) = 0.$$
(39)

Therefore, introduce a streamfunction Ψ such that

$$r^2 \sin \theta \ u_r = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta}, \ r \sin \theta \ u_\theta = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r}.$$
 (40)

Computing the vorticity field gives

$$\nabla \wedge \underline{\omega} = \begin{vmatrix} \underline{e}_r & r \underline{e}_\theta & r \sin \theta \underline{e}_\phi \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & \partial_\phi \\ u_r(r,\theta,t) & u_\theta(r,\theta,t) & 0 \end{vmatrix} = (0,0, \ \omega(r,\theta,t))$$
(41)

where

$$\omega(r,\theta,t) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial(ru_{\theta})}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta}.$$
(42)

Substituting for u_r and u_{θ} in terms of Ψ then gives the final result

$$\omega = -\frac{1}{r\sin\theta} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{\cot\theta}{r^2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} \right).$$
(43)

For *irrotational* uniform flow past a sphere, we have $\omega = 0$ while $u_r \sim U \cos \theta$ and $u_{\theta} \sim -U \sin \theta$ as $r \to \infty$. Therefore, as $r \to \infty$, $\Psi \sim Ur^2 \sin^2 \theta/2$. This suggests trying a separable solution of the form

$$\Psi(r,\theta) = f(r)\sin^2\theta. \tag{44}$$

Substituting this ansatz into the vorticity equation just derived with $\omega = 0$ yields the ordinary differential equation

$$0 = f''(r) - \frac{2f}{r^2} \tag{45}$$

which can be solved to yield

$$f(r) = Ar^2 + \frac{B}{r} \tag{46}$$

where A and B are constants. From the far-field conditions, we must pick A = U/2. On r = a (the spherical boundary), we need Ψ to be constant. Take $\Psi = 0$ without loss of generality. This determines B and the final solution is

$$\Psi = \frac{U}{2} \left(r^2 - \frac{a^3}{r} \right) \sin^2 \theta.$$
(47)

Note: We will see this solution again when considering the "Hill's spherical vortex".

7. Seek the solution of

$$\nabla^2 \psi = m\delta(\underline{x} - \underline{x}_0) \tag{48}$$

that decays at infinity. Without loss of generality, take $\underline{x}_0 = 0$. Multiply this equation by $e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}}$ and integrate over all space (i.e. take a Fourier transform):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\underline{k}.\underline{x}} \nabla^2 \psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\underline{k}.\underline{x}} m\delta(\underline{x} - \underline{x}_0)$$
(49)

Green's identity says that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(u \nabla^2 v - v \nabla^2 u \right) dV = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{S_R} \left(u \nabla v - v \nabla u \right) \underline{d}S \tag{50}$$

where S_R is some radius-R spherical surface. The right side vanishes provided everything decays sufficiently fast at infinity. Letting $u = \psi$ and $v = e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}}$ gives

$$\int e^{i\underline{k}.\underline{x}} \nabla^2 \psi dV = -|\underline{k}|^2 \Psi(k)$$
(51)

where $\Psi(k)$ is the Fourier transform of ψ , that is

$$\Psi(\underline{k}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}} \psi dV.$$
(52)

Use of this in (48) gives the result

$$\Psi(\underline{k}) = -\frac{m}{|\underline{k}|^2} \tag{53}$$

Now, the easiest way to arrive at the result is to verify that the Fourier transform of $-m/(4\pi r)$ is $-m/|\underline{k}|^2$. But the Fourier transform of $-m/(4\pi r)$ is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} -\frac{1}{4\pi r} e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{x}} dV = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty -\frac{1}{4\pi r} e^{i|\underline{k}|r\cos\theta} r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi dr$$
(54)

where we have adopted spherical polar coordinates to perform the integration. Carrying out the ϕ integration gives

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi}(2\pi)\int_0^\infty dr \int_0^\pi d\theta e^{i|\underline{k}|r\cos\theta}r\sin\theta d\theta \tag{55}$$

but this allows a further integration with respect to θ yielding

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{|\underline{k}|} \left[\frac{e^{-i|\underline{k}|r} - e^{i|\underline{k}|r}}{2i} \right] = -\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin|\underline{k}|r}{|\underline{k}|} dr = -\frac{1}{|\underline{k}|} \operatorname{Im} \int_C e^{i|\underline{k}|z} dz \qquad (56)$$

where C is the contour consisting of the infinite ray along the positive real z-axis. But $e^{i|\underline{k}|z}$ is an analytic function of z in the first quadrant of the z-plane, moreover it decays exponentially on the contour C_R consisting of a large radius-R quarter-circle between the positive real and imaginary axes of the first quadrant. This means that Cauchy's theorem can be used to argue that the required integral is the same as

$$-\frac{1}{|\underline{k}|} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\hat{C}} e^{i|\underline{k}|z} dz \tag{57}$$

where \hat{C} is the ray consisting of the positive imaginary axis of the z-plane. Parametrizing this contour as z = iy for $0 \le y < \infty$ the integral becomes

$$-\frac{1}{|\underline{k}|} \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-|\underline{k}|y} i dy = -\frac{1}{|\underline{k}|^{2}}$$
(58)

which verifies that the Fourier transform of $-1/(4\pi r)$ is $-1/|\underline{k}|^2$ as required.

8. From the Biot-Savart integral in 3d,

_

$$\underline{u} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{flow} \frac{1}{r^3} (\underline{x} - \underline{x}') \wedge \underline{\omega}(\underline{x}') dx' dy' dz'$$
(59)

Now assume $\underline{\omega} = 0$ everywhere off the plane z = 0 and assume that \underline{x} lies in this plane. Then

$$\underline{u}(\underline{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{flow} (\underline{x} - \underline{x}') \wedge \underline{\omega}(\underline{x}') \frac{dx'dy'dz'}{[(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + (z - z')^2]^{3/2}}$$
(60)

so that, performing the z integration (using the hint),

$$\underline{u}(\underline{x}) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{flow} (\underline{x} - \underline{x}') \wedge \underline{\omega}(\underline{x}') \frac{2dx'dy'}{[(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2]}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{flow} (\underline{x} - \underline{x}') \wedge \underline{\omega}(\underline{x}') \frac{dx'dy'}{\hat{r}^2}$$
(61)

where $\hat{r}^2 = |\underline{x} - \underline{x}'|^2$ is the distance between two vectors \underline{x} and \underline{x}' in the plane z = 0. This is precisely the 2d Biot-Savart result.