## 18.100B/C. Test 2. April 26, 2007, 7:30-8:30PM

**Problem 1.** Suppose that f is Riemann integrable on [0,1] with  $\int_0^1 f dx = 1$ . Show that there exists some  $c \in (0,1)$  such that  $\int_0^c f dx = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Solution. Define  $g(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . By the fundamental theorem of calculus, g is continuous on [0,1]. Then g maps the connected set [0,1] into a connected set, an interval, and since g(0) = 0, and g(1) = 1, there must exist  $c \in (0,1)$  such that  $g(c) = \frac{1}{2}$  (intermediate value property).

**Problem 2.** Suppose that  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and bounded. Show that the set

$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2\}$$

is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Solution. Define  $g(x,y) = f(x,y) - (x^2 + y^2)$ . Then our set, call it E, is  $E = g^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Since f is continuous and polynomials are continuous, g is continuous (being a sum of continuous functions). Then  $g^{-1}(\{0\})$  is closed (inverse image of a closed set).

Let M be such that  $|f(x,y)| \leq M$  for all (x,y). If  $(x,y) \in E$ , then  $x^2 + y^2 \leq M$ , which means that E is a subset of the disk  $x^2 + y^2 \leq M$ , therefore bounded. So E is closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and by Heine-Borel it is compact.

**Problem 3.** Let X be a metric space with non-empty subsets  $K \subset X$  compact and  $F \subset X$  closed which are disjoint,  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ .

(a) Show that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$d(p,q) > \epsilon \ \forall \ p \in K, \ q \in F.$$

(b) Give an example of two nonempty disjoint closed sets in a metric space for which this conclusion fails.

Solution. (a) Proof 1: Assume by contradiction that for every  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ , there exist  $p_n \in K, q_n \in F$  such that  $d(p_n, q_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . The sequence  $\{p_n\} \subset K$  must have a convergent subsequence since K is compact. Let  $\{p_{n_k}\} \to p \in K$  be this subsequence. From  $d(p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) \to 0$  and  $d(p_{n_k}, p) \to 0$ , as  $k \to \infty$ , we deduce that  $\{q_{n_k}\} \subset F$  converges to p. Because, F is closed, this implies that  $p \in F$ , contradiction with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ .

Proof 2: Define the function  $d_F: K \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d_F(p) = \inf_{q \in F} d(p, q)$ . First,  $d_F(p) > 0$ , for all p. (Assume  $d_F(p) = 0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{q_n\} \subset F$ , such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(p, q_n) = 0$ . Since F is closed, it follows  $p \in F$ , contradiction with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ .)

Secondly,  $d_F$  is continuous, in fact Lipschitz. Note that  $d_F(p') = \inf_{q \in F} d(p', q) \le \inf_{q \in F} (d(p', p) + d(p, q)) = d(p', p) + d_F(p)$ . It follows that for every  $p, p' \in K$ , we have  $|d_F(p) - d_F(p')| \le d(p, p')$ .

Since K is compact,  $d_F(K)$  is compact. But  $d_F(K) \subset (0, \infty)$ , so there must exist  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $d_F(K) \subset [\epsilon, \infty)$ .

(b) Take  $F_1$  to be the graph of  $e^x$  and  $F_2$  to be the x-axis.

**Problem 4.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable at every point and satisfy

$$f(-10) = 1$$
,  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(10) = 1$ .

Show that there exists a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f'(x) = \sqrt{2}/100$ .

Solution. Apply the mean value theorem twice, on [-10,0], respectively [0,10]. There exists points  $x_1 \in (-10,0)$  and  $x_2 \in (0,10)$ , such that  $f'(x_1) = -\frac{1}{10}$ , and  $f'(x_2) = \frac{1}{10}$ . Now  $-\frac{1}{10} < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{100} < \frac{1}{10}$ , so by the intermediate value property of the derivative, there must exist  $x \in (x_1, x_2)$ , such that  $f'(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{100}$ .

**Problem 5.** Let f and g be bounded real-valued functions on an interval [a, b] with  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\alpha : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a monotonic increasing function such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist partitions  $\mathcal{P}_-$  and  $\mathcal{P}_+$  of [a, b] such that

$$U(\mathcal{P}_+, g, \alpha) < L(\mathcal{P}_-, f, \alpha) + \epsilon.$$

Show that f and g are both Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to  $\alpha$  on [a, b].

Solution. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $f(x) \leq g(x)$ , for every partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , we have  $U(\mathcal{P}, f, \alpha) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, g, \alpha)$  and  $L(\mathcal{P}, f, \alpha) \leq U(\mathcal{P}, g, \alpha)$ .

Then  $U(\mathcal{P}_+, g, \alpha) < L(\mathcal{P}_-, g, \alpha) + \epsilon$ . Put  $\mathcal{P}^* = \mathcal{P}_+ \cup \mathcal{P}_-$ . Then

$$L(\mathcal{P}_{-}, g, \alpha) < L(\mathcal{P}^{*}, g, \alpha) < U(\mathcal{P}^{*}, g, \alpha) < U(\mathcal{P}_{+}, g, \alpha),$$

by the properties of the refinement. So  $U(\mathcal{P}^*, g, \alpha) - L(\mathcal{P}^*, g, \alpha) < \epsilon$ , which is the criterion for integrability for g.

The proof for f is the same.