12. Resonance

12.1. **Resonance.** When you swing your kid sister the key is to get in synch with the natural frequency of the swing. This is called "resonance." We might model the swing-and-sister setup by a sinusoidally driven harmonic oscillator, $\ddot{x} + \omega_n^2 = A\cos(\omega t)$. In (10.9) we saw that this has a periodic solution

(1)
$$x_p = A \frac{\cos(\omega t)}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2}$$

provided that $\omega \neq \omega_n$. Resonance occurs when the two frequencies coincide. The model isn't very accurate; there are *no* bounded solutions to our equation when $\omega_n = \omega$. But we neglected damping....

From a more sophisticated perspective, resonance occurs in the equation $p(D)x = e^{rt}$ when r is a root of the characteristic polynomial p(s); for then the denominator in the Exponential Response Formula vanishes.

This occurs in the complex replacement for the harmonic oscillator, $\ddot{z} + \omega_n^2 z = A e^{i\omega t}$ when $\omega = \pm \omega_n$, and accounts for the vanishing denominator in (1).

It also occurs if we try to use the ERF to solve $\dot{x} + x = e^{-t}$. The Exponential Response Formula proposes a solution $x_p = e^{-t}/p(-1)$, but p(-1) = 0 so this fails. There is no solution of the form ce^{rt} .

Here is a way to solve $p(D)x = e^{rt}$ when this happens. The ERF came from the calculation

$$p(D)e^{rt} = p(r)e^{rt},$$

which is valid whether or not p(r) = 0. We will take this expression and differentiate it with respect to r, keeping t constant. The result, using the product rule and the fact that partial derivatives commute, is

$$p(D)te^{rt} = p'(r)e^{rt} + p(r)te^{rt}$$

If p(r) = 0 this simplifies to

(2)
$$p(D)te^{rt} = p'(r)e^{rt}.$$

Now if $p'(r) \neq 0$ we can divide through by it and see:

The Resonant Exponential Response Formula: If p(r) = 0 then a solution to $p(D)x = ae^{rt}$ is given by

$$(3) x_p = a \frac{te^{rt}}{p'(r)}$$

provided that $p'(r) \neq 0$.

In our example above, p(s) = s + 1 and r = 1, so p'(r) = 1 and $x_p = te^{-t}$ is a solution.

This example exhibits a characteristic feature of resonance: the solutions grow faster than you might expect. The characteristic polynomial leads you to expect a solution of the order of e^{-t} . In fact the solution is t times this. It still decays to zero as t grows, but not as fast as e^{-t} does.

Example 12.1.1. Let's return to the harmonic oscillator represented by $\ddot{x} + \omega_n^2 x$, or by the operator $D^2 + \omega_n^2 I = p(D)$, driven by the signal $A\cos(\omega t)$. This ODE is the real part of

$$\ddot{z} + \omega_n^2 z = A e^{i\omega t} \,,$$

so the Exponential Response Formula gives us the periodic solution

$$z_p = A \frac{e^{i\omega_n t}}{p(i\omega)} \,.$$

This is fine unless $\omega = \omega_n$, in which case $p(i\omega_n) = (i\omega_n)^2 + \omega_n^2 = 0$; so the amplitude of the proposed sinusoidal response should be infinite. The fact is that there is no periodic system response; the system is in resonance with the signal.

To circumvent this problem, let's apply the Resonance Exponential Response Formula: since $p(s) = s^2 + \omega_n^2$, p'(s) = 2s and $p'(i\omega_n) = 2i\omega_0$, so

$$z_p = A \frac{te^{i\omega_n t}}{2i\omega_n} \,.$$

The real part is

$$x_p = \frac{A}{2\omega_n} t \sin(\omega_n t) .$$

The general solution is thus

$$x = \frac{A}{2\omega_n}t\sin(\omega_n t) + b\cos(\omega_n t - \phi).$$

In words, all solutions oscillate with pseudoperiod $2\pi/\omega_n$, and grow in amplitude like $At/(2\omega_n)$. When ω_n is large—high frequency—this rate of growth is small.

12.2. **Higher order resonance.** It may happen that both p(r) = 0 and p'(r) = 0. The general picture is this: Suppose that k is such that $p^{(j)}(r) = 0$ for j < k and $p^{(k)}(r) \neq 0$. Then $p(D)x = ae^{rt}$ has as solution

(4)
$$x_p = a \frac{t^k e^{rt}}{p^{(k)}(r)}.$$

For instance, if $\omega = \omega_0 = 0$ in Example 12.1.1, $p'(i\omega) = 0$. The signal is now just the constant function a, and the ODE is $\ddot{x} = a$. Integrating twice gives $x_p = at^2/2$ as a solution, which is a special case of (4), since $e^{rt} = 1$ and p''(s) = 2.

You can see (4) in the same way we saw the Resonant Exponential Response Formula. So take (2) and differentiate again with respect to r:

$$p(D)t^2e^{rt} = p''(r)e^{rt} + p'(r)te^{rt}$$

If p'(r) = 0, the second term drops out and if we suppose $p''(r) \neq 0$ and divide through by it we get

$$p(D)\left(\frac{t^2e^{rt}}{p'(r)}\right) = e^{rt}$$

which the case k=2 of (4). Continuing, we get to higher values of k as well.