

§8. CHANGE OF VARIABLES

This section will lay the groundwork for defining semiclassical quantization on manifolds

§8.1. Compactly supported symbols

Assume that we are given a diffeomorphism

$$\boxed{\varphi: U \rightarrow V}, \quad U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ open sets.}$$

Let $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. We want to conjugate a by the pullback operator

$$\varphi^*: u \mapsto u \circ \varphi, \text{ i.e. study the operator } \varphi^* \text{Op}_h(a) (\varphi^{-1})^*$$

But for $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(\varphi^{-1})^* u \in C^\infty(V)$ does not extend to a function on \mathbb{R}^n because φ was only defined locally.

So we also fix a cutoff $\boxed{\chi \in C_c^\infty(U)}$

$$\text{Then } \chi \varphi^*: C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(U),$$

$$(\varphi^{-1})^* \chi: C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(V)$$

naturally extend to operators $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (and thus $S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow S(\mathbb{R}^n)$)

The symbol will change by the map

$$\tilde{\varphi}: U_x \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^3 \rightarrow V_x \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^3 \quad (\text{subsets of } \mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, \xi) := (\varphi(x), d\varphi(x)^{-T} \cdot \xi)$$

inverse of the transpose of $d\varphi(x)$

Theorem Under the above assumptions,

$$\chi \varphi^* \underbrace{O_{p_h}(a)}_{\text{"operator on } V} (\varphi^{-1})^* \chi = \underbrace{O_{p_h}(b)}_{\text{"operator on } V}$$

for some $b(x, \xi; h) \in S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with an expansion

$$b \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j L_j(a \circ \tilde{\varphi}) \quad \text{where } L_j \text{ are differential operators of order } 2j$$

and the leading term is

$$b(x, \xi; h) = \chi(x)^2 a(\tilde{\varphi}(x, \xi)) + O(h)_{S(\mathbb{R}^{2n})}.$$

Proof 1. We use oscillatory testing:

if $e_{\xi}(x) = e^{\frac{i}{h} \langle x, \xi \rangle}$ then

$$b(x, \xi; h) = e^{-\frac{i}{h} \langle x, \xi \rangle} (\chi \varphi^* O_{p_h}(a) (\varphi^{-1})^* \chi e_{\xi})(x)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{i}{h} \langle x, \xi \rangle} \chi(x) (O_{p_h}(a) (\varphi^{-1})^* \chi e_{\xi})(\varphi(x))$$

$$= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h} (-\langle x, \xi \rangle + \langle \varphi(x) - y, \eta \rangle)} \chi(x) a(\varphi(x), \eta) (\varphi^{-1})^* \chi e_{\xi}(y) dy d\eta$$

$$= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h} (-\langle x, \xi \rangle + \langle \varphi(x) - y, \eta \rangle + \langle \varphi^{-1}(y), \xi \rangle)} \chi(x) a(\varphi(x), \eta) \chi(\varphi^{-1}(y)) dy d\eta$$

$$= (2\pi h)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{\frac{i}{h} (\langle z - x, \xi \rangle + \langle \varphi(x) - \varphi(z), \eta \rangle)} \chi(x) \chi(z) a(\varphi(x), \eta) J(z) dz d\eta$$

(change of variables $y = \varphi(z)$, $J(z) = |\det d\varphi(z)|$)

2. For fixed (x, ξ) , use stationary phase:

$$\Phi = \langle z - x, \xi \rangle + \langle \varphi(x) - \varphi(z), \eta \rangle, \text{ integrating in } z, \eta$$

$$\partial_{\eta} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = z, \quad \partial_z = 0 \Leftrightarrow \xi = d\varphi(z)^T \cdot \eta$$

Thus the only critical point is

$$z = x, \quad \eta = d\varphi(x)^{-T} \cdot \xi.$$

This gives an asymptotic expansion of the form stated above.

Let us compute the leading term.

The Hessian of the phase is

$$d^2 \Phi = \begin{matrix} z & \eta \\ \text{something} & -d\varphi(z)^T \\ -d\varphi(z) & 0 \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \text{sgn } d^2 \Phi = 0$$

$$|\det d^2 \Phi|^{1/2} = J(z) = |\det d\varphi(z)|$$

The value of Φ at the critical point is 0.

So the leading term is

$$b(x, \xi) = \chi(x)^2 a(\varphi(x), d\varphi(x)^{-T} \cdot \xi) + O(h)$$

3 It remains to get the expansion in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- Higher derivatives: straightforward (st. phase uniform in parameters)
- $x \rightarrow \infty$: b is compactly supported in x
- $\xi \rightarrow \infty$: get $b = O(h^{\infty} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\infty})$ for large ξ
by integrating by parts in z

See the book of Dyatlov-Zworski,
Proposition E.10 for details. \square

§ 8.2. General symbols

Recall the expansion was

$$b(x, \xi; h) = \chi(x)^2 a(\varphi(x), d\varphi(x)^{-T} \cdot \xi) + \dots$$

Unfortunately, this operation does not preserve the class $S^k(1) = \{a : \forall \alpha, \partial^\alpha a \text{ is bounded}\}$.

Indeed, in 1D (for simplicity)

$$\partial_x b = \underbrace{\chi(x)^2 \partial_\xi a(\varphi(x), \frac{1}{\varphi'(x)} \cdot \xi)}_{\text{only know this is bounded}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'(x)}\right)' \cdot \xi$$

this is not bounded

To fix this, we need to require that derivatives in ξ decay by a power of ξ :

Definition Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. We say $a(x, \xi; h)$ is in $S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, if $\forall \alpha, \beta \exists C_{\alpha\beta} \forall x, \xi, h$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi; h)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{k-|\beta|}$$

S^k are called Kohn-Nirenberg symbols.

Note that $S^k \subset S^k(\langle \xi \rangle^k)$.

Definition Assume that $a \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $a_j \in S^{k-\delta_j}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ $j=0, 1, \dots$

We write $a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{\delta_j} a_j$, if $\forall N$,
 $a - \sum_{j=0}^N h^{\delta_j} a_j = O(h^N) S^{k-N}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ as in h .
note we improve in $\langle \xi \rangle$ as well

We now revisit the calculus of \mathfrak{S} :

Theorem (Composition Formula)

Let $a \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $b \in S^l(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then

$$Op_h(a)Op_h(b) = Op_h(a \# b), \quad a \# b \in S^{k+l}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}),$$
$$a \# b \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\alpha} a \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha} b$$

Here the expansion is in S^{k+l} , so we get

Product Rule: $a \# b = ab + O(h)_{S^{k+l-1}}$

Commutator Rule: $a \# b - b \# a = -ih\{a, b\} + O(h^2)_{S^{k+l-2}}$

Why do we get an expansion with improved remainders?

An informal explanation is that the terms in the expansions decay faster in \mathfrak{z} , owing to the \mathfrak{z} -derivatives:

$$\partial_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\alpha} a \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha} b \in S^{k+l-|\alpha|}$$

For the actual proof see Zworski's book, Theorem 9.5

Theorem (Adjoint Formula)

Let $a \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then

$$Op_h(a)^* = Op_h(a^*), \quad a^* \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n}),$$

$$a \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-ih)^j \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\mathfrak{z}}^{\alpha} \bar{a} \leftarrow \text{expansion in } S^k$$

Adjoint Rule: $a^* = \bar{a} + O(h)_{S^{k-1}}$.

Theorem (Change of variables)

Assume that $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are open sets,
 $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, $\chi \in C_c^\infty(U)$,
and $\tilde{\varphi}(x, \xi) := (\varphi(x), d\varphi(x)^{-T} \cdot \xi)$.

Let $a \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. Then

$$\chi \varphi^* \text{Op}_h(a) (\varphi^{-1})^* \chi = \text{Op}_h(b), \quad b \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n}),$$

$$b \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j L_j(a \circ \tilde{\varphi})$$

expansion in S^k diff. operator of order $2j$
mapping $S^k \rightarrow S^{k-j}$.

In particular

$$b(x, \xi; h) = \chi(x)^2 a(\tilde{\varphi}(x, \xi)) + O(h)_{S^{k-1}}.$$

Theorem (Pseudolocality) Assume $a \in S^k(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

and $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, $\text{supp } \chi_1 \cap \text{supp } \chi_2 = \emptyset$.

$$\text{Then } \chi_1 \text{Op}_h(a) \chi_2 = O(h^N)_{S^1 \rightarrow S}$$

namely it has the form $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y; h) u(y) dy$

$$\text{where } \forall N \exists C_N \| \langle x, y \rangle^N K \|_{C^N_{x, y}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})} \leq C_N h^N$$

Proof Follows from the Composition Formula:

$$\chi_1 \text{Op}_h(a) \chi_2 = \text{Op}_h(b) \text{ where } b = O(h^N)_{S(\langle x \rangle^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle^{-N})}$$

for all N (the $\langle x \rangle^{-N}$ is because $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C_c^\infty$) \square

Interpretation: $\text{supp } u \subset U \Rightarrow \text{Op}_h(a)u = O(h^N)_{C^\infty}$
outside of U .