

On a problem of conformal fill in by Poincare Einstein metrics

Sun-Yung Alice Chang , Princeton University
Report of joint works with
Yuxin Ge, University of Toulouse, France

From Microlocal to Global Analysis
A celebration of 75th birthday of [Richard Melrose](#)
May 10-12, 2024
MIT

§1. Conformal fill ins by Einstein manifolds

Given a compact manifold (M^n, h) , when is it the boundary of a conformally compact Einstein manifold (X^{n+1}, g^+) with $\rho^2 g^+|_M = h$, where ρ is a defining function on X ? This problem of finding “conformal fill in” is motivated by:

- The AdS/CFT correspondence in quantum gravity (proposed by Maldacena also Witten, around 1998)
- Geometric considerations to study the structure of non-compact asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.

Outline of talk

1. Introduction and a brief survey.
2. Set-up of the compactness problem.
3. Compactness results for conformally compact Einstein manifolds of dimension $3+1$.
4. Some existence Results.
5. Components of proofs.

§1. Conformally compact Einstein manifolds, Definition

- On a manifold X with boundary M , we call ρ a defining function on X , if $\rho > 0$ on X , $\rho = 0$ on M and $d\rho \neq 0$ on M .
- (X^{n+1}, g^+) is **conformally compact** if $(\bar{X}^{n+1}, \rho^2 g^+)$ is compact. Denote $h = \rho^2 g^+|_M$, we call $(M^n, [h])$ the conformal infinity of (X^{n+1}, g^+) , where $[h]$ denotes the **conformal class** of metrics of h , i.e. the collection of metrics $\phi^2 h$ for some function ϕ on M .
- If $\text{Ric}[g^+] = -n g^+$, we call (X^{n+1}, M^n, g^+) a conformally compact (Poincaré) Einstein (**CCE**) manifold.
- We remark on a CCE manifold, special r (called the geodesic defining function) can be chosen, with $|\nabla_{(r^2 g^+)} r| \equiv 1$ in an nbhd of $M \times (0, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, so that $r^2 g^+$ is with totally geodesic boundary.

§1. Examples of CCE manifold

- **Example 1.**

On $(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \mathbb{R}^n, g_{\mathbb{H}})$, where $g_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y > 0$. Choose $r = y$, then $(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, dx^2 + dy^2)$ is not compact, but conformal to $g_{\mathbb{H}}$, with conformal infinity $(\mathbb{R}^n, [dx^2])$.

- **Example 2.**

On $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, \mathbb{S}^n, g_{\mathbb{H}})$, where $(\mathbb{B}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}} = (\frac{2}{1-|y|^2})^2 |dy|^2)$. Choose

$$r := 2 \frac{1 - |y|}{1 + |y|},$$

$$g_{\mathbb{H}} = g^+ = r^{-2} \left(dr^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{4}\right)^2 g_c \right).$$

with $(\mathbb{S}^n, [g_c])$ as conformal infinity.

We remark that $r = e^{-2t}$, where $t(y) = \text{dist}_{g^+}(0, y)$.

§1. Examples of CCE manifold

- **Example 3.**

On $\mathbb{S}^1(\lambda) \times \mathbb{S}^2$ with the product metric, when $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, there are at least 3 different "conformal fill ins".

(a) One is when X is $(\mathbb{S}^1(\lambda) \times \mathbb{B}^3)$ with the fill in the hyperbolic metric $g^+ = f(y)dt^2 + g_{\mathbb{H}^3(y)}$.

(b) The other two: X is the AdS-Schwarzschild space $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, g_m^+)$, where

$$g_m^+ = Vdt^2 + V^{-1}dr^2 + r^2g_c,$$

$$V = 1 + r^2 - \frac{2m}{r}.$$

It turns out for $\lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, there are two different choices of m . This is the famous "non-unique fill in" example of Hawking-Page '83.

§1. Some earlier existence results, Scattering theory on CCE manifolds

- “Ambient Metric” of [Fefferman-Graham '85](#). On any compact manifold (M^n, h) , h real analytic, there is a CCE metric on some $M^{n+1} \times (0, \epsilon)$ of M . [Gursky-Székelyhidi '17](#), extend to smooth h .
- [Graham-Lee '91](#): Any h in a small smooth neighborhood of h_c on \mathbb{S}^n . We remark that the fill in metrics constructed by Graham-Lee g^+ for h all exist in a small nbhd of the Hyperbolic metric, it turns out they are “unique” by a later result of [C-Ge-Qing, '21](#).
- [Gursky-Han '17](#) and [Gursky-Han-Stolz '18](#) constructed many examples of boundary conformal classes that do not allow Poincaré-Einstein extensions on specified manifolds X^{4k} for $k \geq 2$.
[Theorem \(J, Lee '95\)](#). On CCE manifolds, if $R(h) > 0$, then $\lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+}) \geq \frac{n^2}{4}$.
[Corollary \(J.Qing '03\)](#) On CCE manifolds, if $R(h) > 0$, then there exists a compactified metric g with $g|_M = h$ and $R(g) > 0$.

§1. Scattering theory on CCE manifolds

- Starting point of all

Theorem (Mazzeo-Melrose, '87) On an AH manifolds (X^{n+1}, g^+) , the essential spectrum of the $-\Delta_{g^+}$ includes $[\frac{n^2}{4}, \infty)$ and may be a finite points of point spectrum in $(0, \frac{n^2}{4})$.

Theorem (J. Lee '95). On a CCE manifold, if $R(h)$ is positive, then $\lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+}) \geq \frac{n^2}{4}$.

In the proof of Lee, he studied solution of the Poisson equation:

$$(*) \quad -\Delta_{g^+} v + (n+1)v = 0 \quad \text{on } X^{n+1}$$

with asymptotic behavior $v = r^{-1}(1 + f_2 r^2 + \dots)$, where r denotes the geodesic defining function for h and when $R(h) > 0$, he used $v^{\frac{n}{2}}$ as a testing function to estimate $\lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+})$.

- An observation of J. Qing is that in Lee's proof, $R(h) > 0$ implies the scalar curvature of metric $v^{-2}g^+$ is positive.

§2. Compactness of CCE manifolds – the set-up

- An **open question**: Does the entire class of metrics (\mathbb{S}^3, h) with positive scalar curvature allow CCE fill in \mathbb{B}^4 ?

The class is path-connected by a result of [F. Marques](#) '12.

The index argument for non-existence of [Gursky-Han](#), [Gursky-Han-Stolz](#) does not apply.

- We propose to study the “compactness” problem, and as an application some existence result for conformal fill in. More precisely, we ask the question:

Given a sequence of $(\mathbb{M}^n, [h_i])$ metrics with positive Yamabe constants, which are conformal infinity of CCE $(\mathbb{X}^{n+1}, g_i^+)$; when would

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[h_i]\} \text{ forms a compact family on } \mathbb{M}^n \\ \implies & \{[g_i]\} \text{ forms a compact family on } X^{n+1}? \end{aligned}$$

where g_i is some compactification of $\{g_i^+\}$ with $g_i|_M = h_i$.

§2. Compactness of CCE manifolds – an non-local inverse problem

The difficulty of the problem lies in the existence of an “**non-local**” term.

We will illustrate the case on (X^4, M^3, g^+) CCE manifold with (M^3, h) conformal infinity, recall the asymptotic behavior

$$g := r^2 g^+ = dr^2 + h + g^{(2)} r^2 + g^{(3)} r^3 + g^{(4)} r^4 + \dots,$$

where $g^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\text{Ric}_h - \frac{1}{4}R_h h)$ determined by h (a local term), $Tr_h g^{(3)} = 0$, while

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (\text{Ric}_g)_{\alpha,\beta}$$

is a **non-local term not** determined by h .

We remark that h together with $g^{(3)}$ determines the asymptotic behavior of g . [Fefferman-Graham '07](#), [Biquard '08](#)).

We remark that h together with $g^{(3)}$ determines the asymptotic behavior of g .

§2. Conformal invariants

Yamabe constant

- On (M^n, h) , compact closed manifold,

$$Y(M, [h]) = \inf_{\tilde{h} \in [h]} \frac{\int_M R[\tilde{h}] d\text{vol}[\tilde{h}]}{\text{Vol}(M, \tilde{h})^{\frac{(n-2)}{n}}}. \text{ We remark } Y(M, [h])$$

corresponds to the "isoperimetric constant" of the Sobolev embedding of $W^{1,2}$ into $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$.

- On compact manifold with boundary, there are two such constants. (X^{n+1}, M^n, \bar{g})

$$Y_a(X, M, [\bar{g}]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [\bar{g}]} \frac{\int_X R[\tilde{g}] d\text{vol}[\tilde{g}] + c_n \int_M H[\tilde{g}|_M] d\sigma[\tilde{g}|_M]}{\text{Vol}(X, \tilde{g})^{\frac{(n-1)}{(n+1)}}}$$

$$Y_b(X, M, [\bar{g}]) = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [\bar{g}]} \frac{\int_X R[\tilde{g}] d\text{vol}[\tilde{g}] + c_n \int_M H[\tilde{g}|_M] d\sigma[\tilde{g}|_M]}{\text{Vol}(M, \tilde{g}|_M)^{\frac{(n-1)}{n}}}.$$

Y_a and Y_b each corresponds to the (isoperimetric) constants in the Sobolev and Sobolev trace embeddings.

§2. Conformal invariants

- As we have mentioned before, it follows from result of [J. Lee '95](#), and the observation by [J. Qing](#), that on CCE setting, $Y(M, [h]) > 0$ implies that $Y_a(X, M, [g]) \geq 0$.
- Combining works of [Gursky-Han '17](#), [X. Chen- M. Lai and F. Wang '18](#), [Chang-Ge '21](#) we established that, there exists some constant c_n , such that

$$Y_a(X, M, [g]) \geq C_n Y(M, [h])^{\frac{n}{n+1}}.$$

Recall [X. Chen-M. Lai and F. Wang](#)

$$Y_b(X, M, [g]) \geq C_n Y(M, [h])^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

§2. Conformal invariants

- Another conformally invariant quantity is **Weyl curvature W** .
 $|W|[\tilde{g}] = \rho^{-2}|W|[g]$, if $\tilde{g} = \rho^2 g$. Thus $\int_X |W|^{\frac{n+1}{2}}[g] dv_g$ is a conformal invariant.
- On 4-manifold X , Bach tensor

$$B_{ij} = \nabla_l \nabla_k W_{kilj} + \frac{1}{2} Ric_{kl} W_{kilj}$$

is a conformally invariant. Bach flat metrics are the critical metric of the functional $g \mapsto \int_X |W|^2[g] dv_g$. Einstein metrics are Bach flat, hence so are all metrics in the same conformal class of Einstein metric. Thus in a CCE setting (X, M, g^+) , all compactified metrics of $[g^+]$ are Bach flat.

- We remark that it turns out we can re-write Bach flat condition as a 4th order system of PDE of elliptic type,

$$\Delta R_{ij} = c \nabla_i \nabla_j R + R_m * Ric,$$

which plays an important role in our estimates of the compactified metrics later. We also remark that for this PDE, the non-local tensor $-3g^{(3)} = \frac{\partial Ric}{\partial n}|_M$ is a natural matching boundary condition.

§2. Compactness of CCE manifolds – the set-up.

- For convenience, we choose $h = h^Y \in [h]$, the Yamabe metric on M . But what is a good choice of the compactified metric $g \in [g^+]$? A first attempt is to choose $g = g^Y$, a Yamabe metric among compactified metrics of g^+ . The difficulty of this choice is we do not know how to control the behavior of $g^Y|_M$ in terms of h^Y .
- Instead, following the work of [Lee, Graham-Zworski](#), '03 we will make a choice of a special representative metric, which we call scalar flat **Adapted metrics** on X obtained by solving the Poisson equation $(*)_s$ the boundary metric h with $R(h) > 0$ on M .

$$(*)_s \quad -\Delta_{g^+} v - s(n-s)v = 0, \quad X^{n+1},$$

with Dirichlet data $f \equiv 1$. Choose $\rho = v^{\frac{1}{n-s}}$ and denote the adapted metric $g^* = \rho^2 g^+$.

- Properties of $(*)_s$ has been studied in [Fefferman-Graham](#) '02, [Chang-Gonzalez](#) '11, [Case-Chang](#) '16, [F. Wang](#) '21-'22 and [S. Lee](#) '23 and others, Lee's metric is the adapted metric when $s = n + 1$. In the statement of the theorems below, we choose $s = \frac{n}{2} + 1$, call it the scalar flat adapted metric.

§2. Properties of the adapted metric

On (X, M, g^+) CCE, for a given metric we have the **adapted metric g^*** , $g^*|_M = h$, with the key properties:

- (1) $R[g^*] = 0$ on X .
- (2) $R[h] > 0$ on M implies the mean curvature $H > 0$ on M .
- (3) Denote $g^* = \rho^2 g^+$, $|\nabla_{g^*} \rho| \leq 1$.
- (4) Gauss Bonnet formula

$$8\pi^2 \chi = \int_X \left(\frac{1}{4} |W|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |E|^2 \right) + \oint_M \left(\frac{4}{3} R[h] H - \frac{2}{27} H^3 \right).$$

Hence Hence under the assumption $R[h] > 0$,

$$\int_X |E|^2 + \oint_M H^3 \leq C \left(\int_X |W|^2 + \oint_M (R[h])^3 \right),$$

where E denote the traceless Ricci.

§3. A compactness result on 4-manifold

Compactness Theorem (C and Yuxin Ge)

Let $\{X, M = \partial X, g_i^+\}$ be a family of 4-dimensional CCE manifolds. g_i is a sequence of adapted metrics. Denote $h_i = g_i|_M$. Assume

1. The boundary metric (M, h_i) is compact in $C^{k,\alpha}$ norm with $k \geq 6$; and there exists some positive constant $C_1 > 0$

$$Y(M, [h_i]) \geq C_1;$$

2. There exists some positive constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int |W[g_i]|^2 \leq C_2$$

3. $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Then, the sequence g_i is compact in $C^{k,\alpha'}$ norm for any $\alpha' \in (0, \alpha)$ up to a diffeomorphism fixing the boundary.

§4, An Existence Result

- Recall [Graham-Lee '91](#): Any h in a small smooth neighborhood of h_c on \mathbb{S}^3 allows a CCE fill in, which are in a small nbhd of the Hyperbolic metric on B^4 , thus has the small L^2 norm of its Weyl tensor.

On the other hand, we also have the following result:

- When $n = 3$, on a CCE manifold (X^4, M^3, g^+) if $Y(M, [h]) > 0$, and

$$(*) \int_X |W|_{g^+}^2 dv_{g^+} \leq c Y_a^2$$

for some $c \leq \frac{1}{12^2}$, then any metric in some small nbhd of h allows a (unique) CCE fill in.

The natural question we then ask is can one impose conditions on the boundary metric h which will ensure $(*)$ to happen? As an application of our compactness result, we partially answer the question above.

§4. Statement of an existence result

Existence Theorem Let $(X = B^4, M = S^3)$ and $h \in C^{6,\alpha}$ be a metric with the positive scalar curvature on S^3 . Given the positives constants $\bar{C}_4, \delta > 0$, such that

1. $\|h\|_{C^4} \leq \bar{C}_4$,
2. $Y(M, [h|_M]) \geq \delta$;
3. $vol(h) = 1$.

Then there exists some constant $C(\bar{C}_4, \delta) > 0$ and some (small) positive constant ε so that denote $E(h)$ the traceless Ricci of h , if

$$\|E(h)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$$

then for some dimension constant c_0 , we can find a CCE fill in metric with the conformal infinity $[h]$ satisfying

$$c_0 \|W\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C(\bar{C}_4, \delta) \leq \frac{1}{4} Y_a.$$

Moreover, such solution with the above bound is unique.

§5. Some outline of proof of the existence theorem

The strategy of proof is as follows: Denote $g = g^*$, and $S = g^{(3)}$ the non-local term, under assumptions of the theorem.

- Step 1: Apply Bach flat equation to g , control $\|W\|_2$ by the norm of S and \hat{E} , where $\hat{E} = E(h)$. More precisely, We apply the Bach equation to g to obtain

$$(Y_a - c_0(\|W\|_2 + \|E\|_2))(\|W\|_4^2 + \|E\|_4^2) \leq C \int_{S^3} S \hat{E}.$$

where c_0 and C are some dimension constant.

- Step 2: Under assumption (**) ($\frac{5}{18} Y_a - c_0(\|W\|_2 + \|E\|_2) > 0$),

$$Y_b \|S\|_3 \leq C(\bar{C}_4, \delta).$$

(This is the hard step, which we will supplement later.)

Combine step (1) and (2) we have if $\|\hat{E}\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \epsilon$ then under (**), we have

$$c_0(\|W\|_2 + \|E\|_2) \leq \frac{1}{4} Y_a.$$

§5. Outline of proof of the existence theorem

• Step 3 We now run a continuity argument connecting h to h_c in S^3 . Note for metrics close to h_c , the fill in metric always exists and $\|W\|_2$ tends to zero so $(**)$ condition is always satisfied. It turns out we can find such a path via the Ricci flow due to some recent work of [E. Chen, G. Wei and R. Ye '24](#), here we quote a special case $n = 3$ of their work.

Theorem On (S^3, h) , assume $R(h) > 0$, there exists a constant $\delta(3)$ sufficient small, so that

$$\|\hat{E}(h)\|_{\frac{3}{2}} + \|R(h) - \bar{R}(h)\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \delta(3),$$

where $\bar{R}(h)$ denotes the average of $R(h)$, then along the normalized Ricci flow the family $h(t)$ converges smoothly to h_c .

• We remark that under the assumption $\|\hat{E}(h)\|_2$ small and $\text{vol}(h) = 1$, the condition in the theorem above is satisfied by an earlier result of [Y. Ge and G. Wang '14](#).

• Combining the three steps, along this path, under the assumptions of the Existence theorem, $(**)$ is automatic and we reached the estimate in Step 2 and finished the proof of the

§6. More outline of proof of Step 2

- Step 2

Estimate of S -tensor: Recall $S = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_g} Ric_g$. To estimate S , we first recall a fact which was used in the work of [S. Bando, A. Kasue, H. Nakajima \[BKN\]](#) '89 to derive ALE decay of sequence of Einstein metrics. In the special case of 4-manifold, if g^+ is an Einstein metric, denote W^+ the Weyl tensor of g^+ , then there is a Kato inequality

$$|\nabla_{g^+} W^+|^2 \geq \frac{5}{3} |\nabla_{g^+} |W^+||^2 \quad (1)$$

From these, one can derive

$$-\Delta_{g^+} |W^+|^{1/3} \leq c |W^+|^{4/3} + \frac{1}{6} R_{g^+} |W^+|^{1/3}$$

In work of [\[BKN\]](#), when scalar curvature $R_{g^+} = 0$, on a region $\int_A |W^+|_{g^+}^2 dv_{g^+}$ is small, [\[BKN\]](#) derive the decay estimate

$$|W^+|^{1/3}(x) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{2-}} \text{ when } x \in A \text{ and } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

Our Lemma is an application of (1) in conformal Einstein setting.

§5. More outline of proof of Step 2

Lemma 1 Let g^+ be CCE, $g = \rho^2 g^+$ be a compactification, define $U = U_g := \left(\frac{|W|_g}{\rho}\right)^{1/3}$, then

$$-\Delta_g U \leq c|W|_g U + \frac{1}{6}R_g U \quad (2)$$

Lemma 2 Denote $\tilde{r}(x) = \text{dist}_g(x, M)$, $x \in X$, $g = g^*$, then

$|W|_g^2 = e_2 \tilde{r}^2 + e_3 \tilde{r}^3 + O(\tilde{r}^4)$, where

$e_2 = 8|S|^2 + 4|\hat{C}|^2$, \hat{C} is the Cotton tensor on M^3 ,

$e_3 = -4S_{\alpha\beta}(\hat{\nabla}_\gamma \hat{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \hat{\nabla}_\gamma \hat{C}_{\beta\alpha\gamma}) + 4H|S|^2 +$ some other lower order terms.

§5. More outline of proof of Step 2

Lemma 3

$$U_g^6 = \frac{|W|_g^2}{\rho_g^2} = \frac{|W|_g^2}{r^2} \frac{r^2}{\rho_g^2}$$

where

$$\rho_g = \tilde{r} - \frac{H}{18} \tilde{r}^2 + O(\tilde{r}^3) \quad (3)$$

$$U_g^6|_{\partial X} = e_2 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_g^6}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{9} H e_2 + e_3 \quad (5)$$

§5. More outline of proof of Step 2

We then use the estimates

$$Y_a \left(\int_X U^{12} \right)^{1/2} \leq \int_X |\nabla U^3|^2 \quad (6)$$

$$Y_b \left(\oint_{\partial X} U^9 \right)^{1/3} \leq \int_X |\nabla U^3|^2 \quad (7)$$

while

$$\frac{5}{9} \int_X |\nabla U^3|^2 dv_g = - \int_X (\Delta_g U) U^5 + \frac{1}{6} \oint_{\partial X} \frac{\partial U^6}{\partial r} \quad (8)$$

$$\leq c \int_X |W|_g U^6 + \frac{1}{6} \oint_{\partial X} \frac{\partial U^6}{\partial r} \quad (9)$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_X |W|_g^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_X U^{12} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{6} \oint_{\partial X} \frac{\partial U^6}{\partial r} \quad (10)$$

§6. More outline of proof of Step 2

Combine (6) and (7) and estimate in (4) and (5) of U_g^6 and $\frac{\partial U_g^6}{\partial r}$ on ∂X , we get

$$\left(\frac{5}{18}Y_a - c\|W\|_2\right) \left(\int_X U^{12}\right)^{1/2} + Y_b\|S\|_3^2 \quad (11)$$

$$\approx \int_X |S\hat{\nabla}\hat{C}| + \|\hat{E}\|_{3/2}^2 + \|\hat{Ric}\|_2\|\hat{\nabla}\hat{C}\|_2 + \|\hat{Ric}\|_4^2\|\hat{\nabla}\hat{C}\|_4^2 \quad (12)$$

Thus under the assumption

$$(**) \quad \frac{5}{18}Y_a - c\|W\|_2 > 0 \quad (13)$$

we get

$$\|S\|_3 \leq C(\bar{C}_4, \delta)$$

where \bar{C}_4 is C^4 norm of h and $Y(M, [h]) \geq \delta > 0$, since $Y_b \gtrsim \sqrt{\delta}$.

Congratulations, Richard,
for your fantastic life long
achievement!
May you have many more productive
years to come!!