

# FORMULATION OF RZ DATA

MURILO CORATO ZANARELLA

August 2, 2021

Throughout, we will have the following notation:

- $L$  will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ .
- $W = W(L)$  and  $K_0 = W[\frac{1}{p}]$ , with Frobenius  $\sigma$ .
- $K$  will denote a finite extension of  $K_0$ .

## 1. RECALL

First we recall the concept of an admissible pair. Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $V$  a representation. For  $b \in G(K_0)$ , recall the functor

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Iso}_{K_0}, \quad V \mapsto (V \otimes K_0, b(\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma))$$

which only depends on the  $\sigma$ -conjugacy class  $[b] \in B(G)$  of  $b$ .

If we further have a co-character  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m,K} \rightarrow G_K$ , the pair  $(\mu, b)$  induces

$$I: \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{FilIso}_{K/K_0}, \quad V \mapsto (V_{K_0}, b\sigma, \mathrm{Fil})$$

where the filtration is given by the weight spaces of  $\mu$ . We called the pair  $(\mu, b)$  *admissible* if all the associated filtered isocrystals above are admissible (it suffices to check on one faithful representation).

*Remark 1.1.* Admissibility is a pretty strong condition. For each  $\mu$ , there are only finitely many choices of  $b$  with  $(\mu, b)$  admissible. By taking  $V$  to be one-dimensional representations, it implies, for example, that for any character  $\chi$  of  $G$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  we must have

$$\langle \mu, \chi \rangle = \mathrm{ord}_p \chi(b).$$

## 2. ALGEBRAIC GROUPS OF EL/PEL TYPE

We choose:

- $F$  a finite étale extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (i.e. a product of finite extensions).
- $B$  a finite semisimple central algebra over  $F$ .
- $V$  a finite dimensional  $B$ -module.

**Definition 2.1.** Given  $(F, B, V)$ , the associated algebraic group of EL type is  $G = \mathrm{GL}_B(V)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , that is,

$$G(R) = \mathrm{GL}_B(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R) \quad \text{for a } \mathbb{Q}_p\text{-algebra } R.$$

In the PEL case, we require  $p \neq 2$  and we also choose:

- $(\cdot, \cdot): V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  a nondegenerate alternating form.
- $(-)^*: B \rightarrow B$  an involution such that  $(bv, w) = (v, b^*w)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Given  $(F, B, V, (\cdot, \cdot), *)$ , the associated algebraic group of PEL type is the similitude group of the data:

$$G(R) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_B(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R) : \exists c(g) \in R^\times \text{ s.t. } (gv, gw) = c(g)(v, w)\} \quad \text{for a } \mathbb{Q}_p\text{-algebra } R.$$

**Example 2.3.** We have the following examples.

- If  $B = F$  and  $V = F^d$ , then  $G = \mathrm{GL}_d$ .
- If  $B$  is a division algebra  $D$  and  $V = D$ , then  $G(R) = (D^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R)^\times$ .
- If  $D = F$  and  $V = F^d$ , equipped with a symplectic (i.e. alternating), we get  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GSp}(V)$  where  $\mathrm{GSp}(V)_{/F}$  is the symplectic similitude group.
- Let  $B/F$  is a quadratic extension and  $V$  be a Hermitian space with pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  over  $B$ .

We can modify the pairing to

$$(x, y) := \mathrm{Tr}_{B/\mathbb{Q}_p}(i \cdot \langle x, y \rangle)$$

where  $i \in B$  satisfy  $\bar{i} = -i$ . Then  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is alternating, and we have  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{GU}(V)$  where  $\mathrm{GU}(V)_{/F}$  is the unitary similitude group of  $V$ .

### 3. SIMPLE RZ DATUM

The input data for the RZ spaces will be a situation as above for either the EL or PEL type, together with a certain admissible pair  $(\mu, b)$ , as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** A *simple RZ data* of EL/PEL type is a choice of data as above, together with:

- An admissible pair  $(\mu, b)$  such that:
  - (a) The isocrystal  $N := (V_{K_0}, b\sigma)$  has slopes in  $[0, 1]$ .
  - (b) The weight decomposition of  $V_K$  with respect to  $\mu$  only contains the two weights 0 and 1

$$V_K = V_0 \oplus V_1.$$

- (c) (PEL case) The composition  $\mathbb{G}_{m,K} \xrightarrow{\mu} G_K \xrightarrow{c} \mathbb{G}_{m,K}$  is the identity.
- A maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_B$  of  $B$ , and a  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -stable lattice  $\Lambda$  of  $V$  such that:
    - (d) (PEL case)  $\mathcal{O}_B$  is stable under  $*$ .
    - (e) (PEL case)  $\Pi\Lambda^\vee \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^\vee$  for a prime  $\Pi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ .<sup>1</sup>

Secretly, we are thinking of this data as coming from a  $p$ -divisible group  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  with  $\mathcal{O}_B$  action: the isocrystal  $N$  is the isocrystal of the reduction to  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , and the weight decomposition is the canonical filtration

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^1 \rightarrow M(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

In the PEL case, we think of  $X$  as also having a polarization, that is, a map  $X \rightarrow X^\vee$  that is anti-symmetric for the  $\mathcal{O}_B$  action.

We denote by  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  the group of endomorphisms of the isocrystal  $N$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Some notes about the isocrystal  $N$ :

- It has an action of  $B$ .
- In the PEL case, we have an alternating form induced from the one on  $V$ :

$$\psi: N \times N \rightarrow (K_0, c(b)\sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(n),$$

where  $n = \mathrm{ord}_p c(b)$ . In fact, condition [Definition 3.1\(c\)](#) together with [Remark 1.1](#) implies that  $n = 1$ , since  $\langle \mu, c \rangle = 1$ .

*Remark 3.3.* The condition [Definition 3.1\(a\)](#) means that the isocrystal  $(V_{K_0}, b\sigma)$  is associated to a  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbb{X}$  over  $L$ . In the PEL case, the  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \psi$  above induces an anti-symmetric quasi-isogeny

$$\lambda: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^\vee,$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here,  $\Lambda^\vee = \{v \in V : (v, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ . In the unitary case [Example 2.3\(d\)](#),  $\Lambda^\vee$  may not be the same as the Hermitian dual if the data is ramified.

and  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \lambda$  is determined from the data above. Note that the Rosati involution induces on  $\mathcal{O}_B$  the involution  $*$ .

**Example 3.4.** We have the following examples of simple RZ datum.

- (1) (Lubin–Tate case) This is EL type, with  $B = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $V = \mathbb{Q}_p^d$ , so  $G = \mathrm{GL}_d$ . Here  $\mu(t) = \mathrm{diag}(t, \dots, t, 1)$ , and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ p & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_d(K_0).$$

Then the isocrystal  $N$  is  $K_0^d$  with  $\Phi = b\sigma$ . Note that on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ , we have  $\Phi^d = p^{d-1}$ , and so  $N$  has slope  $\frac{d-1}{d}$ . It is associated with the Lubin–Tate formal groups, and  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p) = D_{1/d}^\times$  where  $D_{1/d}$  is the division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with invariant  $1/d$ .

- (2) (Drinfeld case) This is a EL type, with  $B = D$  a central division algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of invariant  $1/d$ , and  $V = D$ . Concretely,  $D = F_d\{\pi\}/(\pi^d - p, \pi x - x^\sigma \pi)$  for  $F_d/\mathbb{Q}_p$  unramified of degree  $d$  and  $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathcal{O}_{F_d}\{\pi\}/(\pi^d - p, \pi x - x^\sigma \pi)$ . Now

$$G(K_0) = (D^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_\rho K_0)^\times$$

and we consider its element  $b = \pi^{d-1}$ . Then it is clear all slopes are  $\frac{d-1}{d}$ .  $\mu$  is such that  $V_0$  is a  $d$ -dimensional  $D$  stable subspace. In this case, we have  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{GL}_d$ .

- (3) This is PEL type, with  $B = E$  a quadratic extension of  $F$ . Let  $\tau: E \rightarrow K$  be a preferred embedding. Let  $V$  be a Hermitian  $E$ -space, and choose a  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  such that  $\varpi\Lambda^\vee \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^\vee$ . Choose a signature  $(r, s)$  with  $r + s = \dim_E V$ . Then there is a choice of data  $(\mu, b)$  such that  $V_0$  is a subspace where the action of  $E$  is diagonalized to  $\tau(a)^{\oplus r} \oplus \tau^c(a)^{\oplus s}$ . This is associated to the  $p$ -divisible group of an abelian scheme with action by  $\mathcal{O}_E$  and CM type  $(r, s)$ .

## 4. RZ SPACES FOR SIMPLE DATA

Let  $E$  be the reflex field of the conjugacy class of  $\mu$ , and let  $\check{E}$  be the completed unramified extension of  $E$ , with residue field  $L$ . Note that if  $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}$ , then  $\bar{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\check{E}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} L$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a simple RZ data of EL resp. PEL type. We define the functor  $\check{\mathcal{M}}: \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{E}}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  with values in  $S \in \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{E}}}$  to be the set of tuples up to isomorphism  $(X, \iota, \rho)$  resp.  $(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho)$  where:

- $X$  is a  $p$ -divisible group over  $S$ .
- $\iota$  is an action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  on  $X$ , that is  $\iota: \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$ .
- $\rho: \mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{S}}$  is a quasi-isogeny which commutes with the action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ .
- (PEL case)  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$  is an isogeny.

We require that this data satisfies:

- (a) If  $M(X)$  is the Dieudonné module of  $X$ , which is a  $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  module, then  $M(X)$  is locally on  $S$  isomorphic to  $\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ .
- (b) (Kottwitz condition) We have an equality of polynomials

$$\text{char}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\iota(a); \text{Lie}(X)) = \text{char}_K(a; V_0) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_B.$$

Here the left side has coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_S$  and the right hand side in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and we compare them via the structure morphism. In the PEL case, we also assume this for  $X^\vee$ .<sup>2</sup>

- (c) (PEL case) The isogeny  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$  fits in the following diagram which commutes up to a constant in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \hat{\mathbb{X}}_{\bar{S}} \\ \downarrow \rho & & \uparrow \hat{\rho} \\ X_{\bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X_{\bar{S}}^\vee \end{array}$$

and is such that the induced inclusion  $M(X) \rightarrow M(X^\vee)$  has cokernel locally on  $S$  isomorphic to  $(\Lambda^\vee/\Lambda) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ .

*Remark 4.2.* The functor above is independent of the choice of  $\mathbb{X}$ : it only depends on the isocrystal  $N$  and its extra structure. Hence, the automorphism group  $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  of  $N$  together with its polarization acts on  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Remark 4.3.* A few remarks about condition [Definition 3.1\(b\)](#): Write  $B = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(D_i)$  for division algebras  $D_i$ , and choose this identification such that  $\mathcal{O}_B = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_{D_i})$ . This induces  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i$  and  $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ . The Kottwitz condition is a condition on each component, so let's assume that  $n = 1$ . Let  $F = Z(D)$  and  $\tilde{F}$  an unramified extension contained in  $D$  that splits  $D$ .

<sup>2</sup>This may be automatic from the following condition in some cases, but it is required in general.

Concretely, we have  $D = \tilde{F}\{\Pi\}/(\Pi^d - \pi, \Pi x - x^\tau \Pi)$  for  $\tau = (\text{Frob}_{\tilde{F}/F})^s$  where  $(d, s) = 1$ . Let  $F^t$ , resp  $\tilde{F}^t$  the maximal unramified subextensions, and choose  $K$  such that  $\tilde{F}^t \subseteq K$ . Then we have

$$V_0 = \bigoplus_{\phi: \tilde{F}^t \rightarrow K} V_0^\phi.$$

Note that conjugation by  $\Pi$  induces  $\tau$ , and so multiplication by  $\Pi$  induces  $\Pi: V_0^{\phi\tau} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_0^\phi$ . So it follows that if  $\phi|_{F^t} = \phi'|_{F^t}$ , then  $V_0^\phi$  and  $V_0^{\phi'}$  have the same rank over  $K$ . For  $S \in \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}}$ , we also have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}^t} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S = \prod_{\phi: \tilde{F}^t \rightarrow K} \mathcal{O}_S$$

and so

$$\text{Lie}(X) = \bigoplus_{\phi: \tilde{F}^t \rightarrow K} \text{Lie}^\phi(X).$$

And so the restriction of [Definition 3.1\(b\)](#) to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}^t}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  says that the rank of  $\text{Lie}^\phi(X)$  as a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module is the same as the dimension of  $V_0^\phi$  over  $K$ .

If  $B$  is an unramified local field, than this is equivalent to the Kottwitz condition.

*Remark 4.4.* Condition [Definition 3.1\(a\)](#) follows from the Kottwitz condition [Definition 3.1\(b\)](#). To see this, note that  $M(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_i$ , and the Kottwitz condition is saying that the  $M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_{D_i}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  is locally free of same rank as  $\Lambda_i$ . By Morita equivalence, it suffices to check this when  $B = D$ . The rank condition is automatic from the existence of the quasi-isogeny  $\rho$ . So it suffices to see that  $M(X)$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module. We know it is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, so it suffices to see that for any geometric point  $\text{Spec } P \rightarrow S$ , we have that  $M(X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} P$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes P$ -module. Let  $M_P$  denote the crystal at  $\text{Spec } P$ , which is a  $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes W(P)$ -module. From the decomposition  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}^t} \otimes W(P) = \bigoplus_{\phi: \tilde{F}^t \rightarrow W(P)[\frac{1}{p}]} W(P)$ , we have  $M_P = \bigoplus_{\phi} M^\phi$ . As before, we have  $\Pi: M^{\phi\tau} \rightarrow M^\phi$ , and denote by  $C_\phi$  the cokernel. So

$$M_P / \Pi M_P \simeq \bigoplus_{\phi} C_\phi.$$

Choosing representatives for a basis for each  $C_\phi$  and letting  $\mathcal{O}_D$  act, we get

$$M_P \simeq \bigoplus_{\phi} (\mathcal{O}_D \otimes_{\phi} W(P))^{\dim_P C_\phi}.$$

We will prove that all  $\dim_P C_\phi$  are equal, so that  $M_P$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_D \otimes W(P)$ -module. This follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\text{Frob}\phi\tau} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & M^{\text{Frob}\phi} & \longrightarrow & C^{\text{Frob}\phi} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M^{\phi\tau} & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & M^\phi & \longrightarrow & C^\phi \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Lie}^{\phi\tau} & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie}^\phi & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

since the Kottwitz condition implies that  $\text{Lie}^{\phi\tau}$  and  $\text{Lie}^\phi$  have the same dimension.

*Remark 4.5.* Similarly, we can see that the last part of [Definition 3.1\(c\)](#) is implied by the Kottwitz condition [Definition 3.1\(b\)](#) together with:

$$(\star) \quad \text{the height of } \lambda \text{ is } \log_p |\Lambda^\vee / \Lambda|, \text{ and } \ker \lambda \subseteq X[\iota(\varpi)].$$

To see this, note that, as before, it is a statement about local freeness and correct rank. The condition above  $(\star)$  guarantess the correctness of the rank once we prove the local freeness. As before, we may assume  $B = D$ . Let  $C$  be the cokernel of  $M(X) \rightarrow M(X^\vee)$ . Note that from  $\ker \lambda \subseteq X[\iota(\varpi)]$ , this cokernel is a  $\mathcal{O}_S/p\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. It suffices to prove it is a locally free  $(\mathcal{O}_D/\Pi) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S/p\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. It is a general result on isocrystals that  $C$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S/p\mathcal{O}_S$ -module, so as before it suffices to consider  $S = P$  algebraically closed. As before, it remains to see that  $N^\phi$  have all the same dimension, and this follows from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M(X)^{\sigma\phi} & \longrightarrow & M(X^\vee)^{\sigma\phi} & \longrightarrow & C^{\sigma\phi} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow V & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M(X)^\phi & \longrightarrow & M(X^\vee)^\phi & \longrightarrow & C^\phi \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \text{Lie}(X)^\phi & \longrightarrow & \text{Lie}(X^\vee)^\phi & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$

and the fact that both  $X$  and  $X^\vee$  satisfy the Kottwitz condition.

With the above remarks, we may rephrase the definition of the moduli functor as follows:

**Definition 4.6** (Revised Definition). Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a simple RZ data of EL resp. PEL type. We define the functor  $\check{\mathcal{M}}: \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{E}}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  with values in  $S \in \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_{\bar{E}}}$  to be the set of tuples up to isomorphism  $(X, \iota, \rho)$  resp.  $(X, \iota, \lambda, \rho)$  where:

- $X$  is a  $p$ -divisible group over  $S$ .
- $\iota$  is an action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  on  $X$ , that is  $\iota: \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$ .
- $\rho: \mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} \rightarrow X_{\bar{S}}$  is a quasi-isogeny which commutes with the action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ .
- (PEL case)  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$  is an isogeny.

We require that this data satisfies:

- (a) (Kottwitz condition) We have an equality of polynomials

$$\text{char}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\iota(a); \text{Lie}(X)) = \text{char}_K(a; V_0) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_B,$$

In the PEL case, we also assume this for  $X^\vee$ .

- (b) (PEL case) The isogeny  $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^\vee$  has height  $\log_p |\Lambda/\Lambda^\vee|$ , satisfies that  $\ker \lambda \subseteq X[\iota(\varpi)]$ , and fits in the following diagram which commutes up to a constant in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \hat{\mathbb{X}}_{\bar{S}} \\
\downarrow \rho & & \uparrow \hat{\rho} \\
X_{\bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X_{\bar{S}}^\vee
\end{array}$$



## 5. GENERAL RZ DATUM AND SPACES

The general version of RZ data replaces the lattices  $\Lambda$  by a multichain of lattices, as we will define.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $B$  be a finite dimensional simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . A chain of lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  is a set of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -lattices of  $V$  such that:

- If  $\Lambda, \Lambda' \in \mathcal{L}$ , then either  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$  or  $\Lambda' \subseteq \Lambda$ .
- If  $x \in B^\times$  normalizes  $\mathcal{O}_B$ , then  $x\Lambda \in \mathcal{L} \iff \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ .

More explicitly, if  $B = M_n(D)$  for a division algebra  $D$ , with  $\mathcal{O}_B = M_n(\mathcal{O}_D)$ , then the normalizer of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  is  $D^\times \mathcal{O}_B^\times$ . So if  $\Pi$  is a prime of  $\mathcal{O}_D$ , the second condition is equivalent to

$$\Lambda \in \mathcal{L} \iff \Pi\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Hence, giving a chain  $\mathcal{L}$  is equivalent to giving a collection of lattices

$$\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_{r-1} \subset \frac{1}{\Pi}\Lambda_0.$$

Let  $B = \prod_{i=1}^m B_i$  be a finite dimensional semisimple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , with decomposition such that  $\mathcal{O}_B = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{B_i}$ . Then we get a corresponding decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ , and any lattice  $\Lambda$  is uniquely written as  $\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Lambda_i$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A multichain of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $V$  is a collection such that there are chains of lattices  $\mathcal{L}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{L} = \{\Lambda : \Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}_i \text{ for all } i\}$ .

In the PEL case, the pairing and the involution allow us to take dual lattices: for a lattice  $\Lambda$ , we let  $\Lambda^\vee = \{v \in V : (v, \Lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ .

**Definition 5.3.** A multichain  $\mathcal{L}$  of lattices is self dual if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L} \iff \Lambda^\vee \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A *RZ data* of EL/PEL type is a choice of  $(F, B, V, \mu, b)$  as before, and  $((\cdot, \cdot), *)$  in the PEL case, together with a maximal order  $\mathcal{O}_B$  of  $B$  and a multichain of lattices  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is self-dual in the PEL case.

With this, we define the general RZ spaces.

**Definition 5.5.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a RZ data of EL/PEL type. We define the functor  $\check{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  with values in  $S \in \text{Nil}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  to be the set of tuples  $(X_\Lambda, \iota_\Lambda, \rho_\Lambda)_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}}$  up to isomorphism where:

- $X_\Lambda$  is a  $p$ -divisible group over  $S$ .
- $\iota_\Lambda$  is an action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  on  $X$ , that is,  $\iota_\Lambda: \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \text{End}(X_\Lambda)$ .
- $\rho_\Lambda: \mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda, \bar{S}}$  is a quasi-isogeny which commutes with the action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$ .

Denote  $\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}: X_\Lambda \rightarrow X_{\Lambda'}$  the quasi-isogeny lifting  $\rho_{\Lambda'} \rho_\Lambda^{-1}$ . We require that this data satisfies:

- (a) If  $M(X_\Lambda)$  is the Dieudonné module of  $X$ , which is a  $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  module, then  $M(X_\Lambda)$  is locally on  $S$  isomorphic to  $\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ .
- (b) (Kottwitz condition) We have an equality of polynomials

$$\text{char}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\iota(a); \text{Lie}(X_\Lambda)) = \text{char}_K(a; V_0) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{O}_B.$$

- (c) If  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$ , then  $\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$  is an isogeny, and the cokernel of the induced map  $M(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow M(X_{\Lambda'})$  is locally on  $S$  isomorphic to  $\Lambda'/\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  as a  $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ -module.
- (d) For any  $a \in B^\times$  normalizing  $\mathcal{O}_B$ , if we denote  $X_\Lambda^a$  to be the pair  $(X_\Lambda, \iota^a)$  where  $\iota^a(x) = \iota_\Lambda(a^{-1}xa)$ , then multiplication by  $\iota_\Lambda(a)$  induces an isomorphism

$$X^a \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{a\Lambda}.$$

- (e) (PEL case) For each  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ , there is an isomorphism  $p_\Lambda: X_\Lambda \rightarrow X_{\Lambda^\vee}^\vee$  which fits in the following diagram, which commutes up to a constant in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  independent of  $\Lambda$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{X}_{\bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \hat{\mathbb{X}}_{\bar{S}} \\ \downarrow \rho_\Lambda & & \uparrow \hat{\rho}_{\Lambda^\vee} \\ X_{\Lambda, \bar{S}} & \xrightarrow{p_\Lambda} & X_{\Lambda^\vee, \bar{S}}^\vee \end{array}$$

*Remark 5.6.* As before, condition [Definition 5.5\(a\)](#) can be removed, and [Definition 5.5\(c\)](#) can be replaced by

- (c') If  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda'$ , then  $\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$  is an isogeny of height  $\log_p |\Lambda'/\Lambda|$ .

## 6. REPRESENTABILITY

Our Kottwitz condition is slightly different from the original condition defined in Rapoport–Zink. They are equivalent.<sup>3</sup> Our condition is easier to describe, but their condition is more clearly a closed condition.

<sup>3</sup>See Proposition 2.1.3 of [arXiv:1210.1559](#) for a proof.

Their condition is as follows. We only compare  $\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(a; \text{Lie}(X)) = \det_K(a; V_0)$ , but we have to do this as polynomial functions on  $a$ : Let  $\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the scheme where  $\mathbb{V}(R) = \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ . Choose  $\Gamma \subseteq V_0$  an  $\mathcal{O}_B$ -invariant lattice. We define  $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{O}_K} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^1$  by  $a \in \mathcal{O}_B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R \mapsto \det(a; \Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} R)$ . This morphism is defined over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and does not depend on the choice of  $\Gamma$ . Similarly, we can make  $\det(a; \text{Lie}(X))$  as a morphism  $\mathbb{V}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ . We then require that these morphisms agree over  $S$ .

Note that the agreement of these morphisms, and hence the Kottwitz condition, is a closed condition. With this, we can prove the representability of  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *The functor  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$  is representable by a formal scheme which is formally locally of finite type over  $\text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_{\check{E}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the representable functor from last week for  $\mathbb{X}$  (since  $L$  is algebraically closed,  $\mathbb{X}$  is automatically decent). We may transport the action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  on  $\mathbb{X}$  to an action of  $\mathcal{O}_B$  on the points of  $\mathcal{M}$  by quasi-isogenies. Then the subfunctor  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$  for where these quasi-isogenies are actually isogenies is a closed subfunctor. Now we can consider the obvious morphism

$$j: \check{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \prod_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}},$$

and we will prove that this is a closed immersion. We will refer to the conditions of [Definition 5.5](#). The condition (b) is representable by the discussion above, and by the [Remark 5.6](#) this implies (a). Conditions (d) and (e) are closed conditions. For (c), it suffices to check [Remark 5.6\(c'\)](#):  $\tilde{\rho}_{\Lambda', \Lambda}$  being an isogeny is a closed condition, while specifying the height is an open and closed condition. Hence  $j$  is a closed immersion, and this implies  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$  is representable.

In fact, the morphism  $j$  factors through

$$\check{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \prod_{\Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}$$

for any finite collection of  $\Lambda$ 's which generate  $\mathcal{L}$  via multiplication by elements that normalize  $\mathcal{O}_B$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is formally locally of finite type, this implies  $\check{\mathcal{M}}$  is as well.  $\square$