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Classical modular forms with coefficients in a ring $R_0$ (weight $k$, level $n$, meromorphic at infinity) can be thought as $R$-valued functions on triples $(E, \omega, \alpha_n)$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve over a $R_0$ algebra $R$, $\omega$ is a non-vanishing differential on $E$, and $\alpha_n$ is a level $n$ structure, together satisfying some transformation laws e.g $f(E, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E, \omega)$ for any $\lambda \in R^\times$. Its value at the Tate curve Tate($q$) with the canonical differential will give the classical $q$-expansion.
Classical modular forms with coefficients in a ring $R_0$ (weight $k$, level $n$, meromorphic at infinity) can be thought as $R$-valued functions on triples $(E, \omega, \alpha_n)$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve over a $R_0$ algebra $R$, $\omega$ is a non-vanishing differential on $E$, and $\alpha_n$ is a level $n$ structure, together satisfying some transformation laws e.g $f(E, \lambda \omega) = \lambda^{-k} f(E, \omega)$ for any $\lambda \in R^\times$. Its value at the Tate curve $Tate(q)$ with the canonical differential will give the classical $q$-expansion. Note the weight $p - 1$ modular form Hasse invariant has $q$-expansion 1, hence for any lift $A(q)$ of it to char zero (a weight $p - 1$ modular form), we know $A^{p^{-n-1}} \rightarrow A^{-1}$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$) $p$-adically. Therefore, $A^{-1}$ is a $p$-adic modular form in the sense of Serre. So to geometrize $p$-adic modular forms, we shall work on some "$p$-adic space" where a lifting of Hasse invariant is invertible (or at least non-zero), this is why we choose to work over the non-vanishing locus of Hasse invariant i.e the ordinary locus. To get $p$-adic modular forms, Katz uses test objects $(E, \omega, \alpha_n, Y)$ with growth condition $r \in [0, 1]$, where $YE_{p-1}(E, \omega) = a$. Here the base is a complete DVR $R_0$ with characteristic $(0, p)$, $a \in R_0$ is fixed such that $v_p(a) = r, v_p(p) = 1$, and $(n, p) = 1$. 
Katz’s approach to $p$-adic modular forms

- Geometrically, $p$-adic modular forms $"="$ sections of powers of the Hodge bundle over the ordinary locus of modular curves.
- Geometry of modular curves with natural compactification, Tate curve at the cusp $\Rightarrow q$-expansion principle.
Katz’s approach to $p$-adic modular forms

- Geometrically, $p$-adic modular forms $"=\"$ sections of powers of the Hodge bundle over the ordinary locus of modular curves.
- Geometry of modular curves with natural compactification, Tate curve at the cusp $\Rightarrow q$-expansion principle.
- Overconvergent modular forms are good $p$-adic modular forms such that the section can be extended to the overconvergent locus $X(r)$ (a rigid space as we’re removing disc with small radius $r$) for some $0 < r \leq 1$.
- Growth condition, canonical subgroup $\Rightarrow$ overconvergent modular forms, $U_p : M_k^\dagger(r) \to M_k^\dagger(pr) \ (0 \leq r < \frac{1}{p+1})$, spectral theory of the compact operator $U_p$. 
Katz’s approach to $p$-adic modular forms

- Geometrically, $p$-adic modular forms "=" sections of powers of the Hodge bundle over the ordinary locus of modular curves.
- Geometry of modular curves with natural compactification, Tate curve at the cusp ⇒ $q$-expansion principle.
- Overconvergent modular forms are good $p$-adic modular forms such that the section can be extended to the overconvergent locus $X(r)$ (a rigid space as we’re removing disc with small radius $r$) for some $0 < r \leq 1$.
- Growth condition, canonical subgroup ⇒ overconvergent modular forms, $U_p : M_k^\dagger(r) \to M_k^\dagger(pr) \ (0 \leq r < \frac{1}{p+1})$, spectral theory of the compact operator $U_p$.
- **Differential operator e.g. theta operator** can also be defined geometrically for $p$-adic / mod $p$ modular forms.
- People have been trying to generalize these geometric constructions and constructions of $p$-adic $L$-functions to more general setting ...
If $R$ is the ring of holomorphic functions of $\tau$ on upper half plane, and $E \to R$ be the relative elliptic curve "$\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$", whose affine model is

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - \frac{E_4}{12} x + \frac{E_6}{216}, \ E_i \in R.$$ 

Let’s denote the complex coordinate on $E$ by $z$, then the embedding $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by $x = \wp_\tau(z), y = \wp'_\tau(z)$. 
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Let’s denote the complex coordinate on $E$ by $z$, then the embedding $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by $x = \wp_\tau(z), y = \wp'_\tau(z)$. The dual $H^{dR}_1(E/R)$ is a free $R$-module with basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$, which can thought as the paths from 0 to $\tau$ and from 0 to 1 respectively. The Gauss-Manin connection on $H^{1}_{dR}(E/R)$ is defined as the dual connection on $H^{1}_{dR}(E/R)$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma_i} \nabla_\tau(\xi) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\gamma_i} \xi, \ \forall \xi \in H^{1}_{dR}(E/R), i = 1, 2$$
Gauss-Manin connection – complex picture

If $R$ is the ring of holomorphic functions of $\tau$ on upper half plane, and $E \to R$ be the relative elliptic curve ”$C/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$”, whose affine model is

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - \frac{E_4}{12} x + \frac{E_6}{216}, E_i \in R.$$ 

Let’s denote the complex coordinate on $E$ by $z$, then the embedding $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by $x = \varphi_\tau(z), y = \varphi'_\tau(z)$.

The dual $H^1_{dR}(E/R)$ is a free $R$-module with basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$, which can thought as the paths from 0 to $\tau$ and from 0 to 1 respectively.

The Gauss-Manin connection on $H^1_{dR}(E/R)$ is defined as the dual connection on $H^1_{dR}(E/R)$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma_i} \nabla_\tau(\xi) = \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\gamma_i} \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in H^1_{dR}(E/R), i = 1, 2$$

here $\nabla_\tau = \nabla(\frac{d}{d\tau})$, and $\int_{\gamma_i} \xi \in R$ i.e a holomorphic function on upper half plane so we can take its derivative. Let $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}, \eta = \frac{x dx}{y}$ be the standard basis, taking the integration along $\gamma_i$ we get periods of $E$ as functions of $\tau$ (they can be also regarded as the connection matrix between the basis $\omega, \eta$ and $\gamma_i^*, \gamma_j^*$).
By definition $\omega = dz, \eta = \varphi_\tau(z)dz$, and we compute these integrals using power series expansion. Let $P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{d \geq 1, d|n} d) q^n$. 
By definition $\omega = dz, \eta = \varphi_{\tau}(z)dz$, and we compute these integrals using power series expansion. Let $P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{d \mid n} d) q^n$.

**Proposition**

$\omega_1 = \tau, \omega_2 = 1, \eta_1 = \tau P, \eta_2 = -\frac{\pi^2}{3} P$. We have

$$\nabla(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\text{can}} \\ \eta_{\text{can}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-P}{12} & 1 \\ \frac{P^2 - 12 \theta P}{144} & \frac{P}{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\text{can}} \\ \eta_{\text{can}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose a normalization: $\omega_{\text{can}} = 2\pi i \omega, \omega_{\text{can}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \eta, \theta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} = q \frac{d}{dq}$ ($q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$) (the theta operator).
By definition $\omega = dz, \eta = \varphi_\tau(z)dz$, and we compute these integrals using power series expansion. Let $P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{d \mid n} d) q^n$.

**Proposition**

$\omega_1 = \tau, \omega_2 = 1, \eta_1 = \tau P, \eta_2 = -\frac{\pi^2}{3} P$. We have

$$\nabla(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\text{can}} \\ \eta_{\text{can}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-P}{12} & 1 \\ \frac{P^2}{144} - \frac{12\theta P}{12} & \frac{P}{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{\text{can}} \\ \eta_{\text{can}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose a normalization: $\omega_{\text{can}} = 2\pi i w, \omega_{\text{can}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \eta, \theta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} = q \frac{d}{dq}$ ($q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$) (the theta operator).

If $q \mapsto 0$, we get a nilpotent matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1}{12} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{144} & \frac{1}{12} \end{pmatrix}$, so the connection has non-trivial unipotent monodromy at $q = 0$. 
Conclusion: analytically, theta operator occurs naturally via Gauss-Manin connection on de Rham cohomology of universal elliptic curve. Today we will see more about this for $p$-adic modular forms in a geometrical way using canonical subgroup, hence work over the ordinary locus. The overconvergent story is similar.
Let $R_0$ be a complete DVR with characteristic $(0, p)$ e.g $W(F_q)$, $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$. 
Let $R_0$ be a complete DVR with characteristic $(0, p)$ e.g $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$.

**Theorem (Lubin), 3.1 of [Kat73]**

There is one and only one way to attach to every $(E/R, Y)$ ($R$ a $p$-adically complete $R_0$-algebra) a finite flat rank $p$ subgroup scheme $H \subseteq E$, called the canonical subgroup of $E/R$ such that:

1. The formation of $H$ commutes with arbitrary change of base of $p$-adically complete $R_0$-algebras.
2. if $p/a = 0$ in $R$, then $H$ is the kernel of Frobenius $E \to E^{(p)}$.
3. if $E/R$ is the Tate curve $\text{Tate}(q^n)$ over $R_0/p^N((q))$, then $H = \mu_p$. 

Let $R_0$ be a complete DVR with characteristic $(0, p)$ e.g $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$.

**Theorem (Lubin), 3.1 of [Kat73]**

There is one and only one way to attach to every $(E/R, Y)$ ($R$ a $p$-adically complete $R_0$-algebra) a finite flat rank $p$ subgroup scheme $H \subseteq E$, called the canonical subgroup of $E/R$ such that:

1. The formation of $H$ commutes with arbitrary change of base of $p$-adically complete $R_0$-algebras.
2. if $p/a = 0$ in $R$, then $H$ is the kernel of Frobenius $E \rightarrow E^{(p)}$.
3. if $E/R$ is the Tate curve Tate$(q^n)$ over $R_0/p^N((q))$, then $H = \mu_p$.

Proof: $E[p] = \{X|[p](X) = 0\}$, the filtration on roots of $[P]$ by valuation gives a subgroup filtration of $E[p]$ $(v(X+_E Y) \geq max\{v(X), v(Y)\})$, Hasse invariant is essentially $p$-th coefficient of the power series $[p](X)$, if $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$ then looking at Newton polygon we can pick up $p$ roots with larger valuations than others.
A picture

\[(1,1) \quad \text{if } \text{ord}(a) \geq \frac{p}{p+1}\]

\[(p, \text{ord } a) \quad \text{if } \text{ord}(a) < \frac{p}{p+1}\]

\[(p^2, 0)\]
Motivation

The starting point for $p$-adic differential operator, is the unit-root splitting of the Hodge filtration over the ordinary locus, which is an analogue to the Hodge decomposition over $\mathbb{C}$ (which can be used to define Maass-Shimura type differential operator in complex setting). Then Katz applies such differential operators to Eisenstein series to get $p$-adic Eisenstein series. Sum of their values at some CM points will give $p$-adic interpolation for $L$-values over CM fields in the end.
Motivation

The starting point for $p$-adic differential operator, is the unit-root splitting of the Hodge filtration over the ordinary locus, which is an analogue to the Hodge decomposition over $\mathbb{C}$ (which can be used to define Maass-Shimura type differential operator in complex setting). Then Katz applies such differential operators to Eisenstein series to get $p$-adic Eisenstein series. Sum of their values at some CM points will give $p$-adic interpolation for $L$-values over CM fields in the end.

From now on, we work universally and $p > 3$. Let $R = M(W(\mathbb{F}_q), 1, n, 0)$ be the ring of $p$-adic modular functions (growth $a = 1$, weight $0$) of level $n$ defined over $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, i.e $R$ represents (the $p$-adic completion) of the ordinary locus. Let $E \to R$ be the universal elliptic curve with Hasse($E$) $\in (R/p)^\times$ (as $r = 1$), $H \subseteq E$ the canonical subgroup (unique lifting of the multiplicative type group scheme ker$(Fr : E \to E^{(p)}) \mod p$ in our ordinary case).

We have the quotient map $\pi : E \to E' := E/H$. 

Zhiyu Zhang (STAGE seminar)
**Observation:** $E/H$ over $R$ still has invertible Hasse, so by universality of $E$ there is a unique ring map $\varphi : R \to R$ so that the base change of $E \to R$ along $\varphi$ gives $E' = E(\varphi) \to R$. The base change of $\varphi$ is denoted by $\varphi_E : E' \to E$. In terms of moduli interpretation, the map $E \mapsto E/C_{can}(E)$ (called Delinge-Tate map) gives a self map of the ordinary locus.
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**Proposition**

$\varphi$ is a natural lifting of Frob to $R$; $\varphi_E = \pi^\vee$; $\varphi$ is finite flat of degree $p$ (theorem 3.1.10 in [Kat73]).
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$\varphi$ is a natural lifting of Frob to $R$; $\varphi_E = \pi^\vee$; $\varphi$ is finite flat of degree $p$ (theorem 3.1.10 in [Katz73]).

Proof: Clear from moduli interpretation; check $\varphi_E \circ \pi_E = [\pm p]$ by comparing degree and note $End_R(E) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi_E \circ \pi_E = [p]$ by considering the cotangent map. Flatness of Frobenius is essentially due to regularity.
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Proposition

$\varphi$ is a natural lifting of Frob to $R$; $\varphi_E = \pi^\vee$; $\varphi$ is finite flat of degree $p$ (theorem 3.1.10 in [Katz73]).

Proof: Clear from moduli interpretation; check $\varphi_E \circ \pi_E = [\pm p]$ by comparing degree and note $End_R(E) = \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varphi_E \circ \pi_E = [p]$ by considering the cotangent map. Flatness of Frobenius is essentially due to regularity.

Therefore $H^1_{dR}(E'/R) = H^1_{dR}(E(\varphi)/R)$ flat base change $= H^1_{dR}(E/R)^{(\varphi)}$, and $\pi^*$ on $H^1_{dR}$ gives a $\varphi$-linear endormorphism on $H^1_{dR}(E/R)$, which we denote by $F(\varphi)$.

Question: How to understand this Frobenius action explicitly? How to define theta operator? Can we extend it to the compactification?
\( \omega_{E/R} = H^0(E, \Omega^1) \) is the sheaf of invariant 1-differentials, and we have the Hodge filtration of locally free \( R \)-modules

\[
0 \to \omega_{E/R} \to H^1_{dR}(E/R) \to R^1 f_* O_E = \omega_{E/R}^{-1} \to 0
\]
\( \omega_{E/R} = H^0(E, \Omega^1) \) is the sheaf of invariant 1-differentials, and we have the Hodge filtration of locally free \( R \)-modules

\[
0 \rightarrow \omega_{E/R} \rightarrow H^1_{dR}(E/R) \rightarrow R^1 f_* O_E = \omega_{E/R}^{-1} \rightarrow 0
\]

note \( \frac{1}{6} \in R \), and we can (non-canonically) split this sequence at least locally on \( R \):

For \( (E, \omega) \), we have a unique pair of meromorphic functions with poles only at \( \infty \), of orders 2 and 3 resp., denoted by \( X, Y \) so that

\[
\omega = \frac{dX}{Y} \quad \text{generates } \omega \quad \text{and } E: Y^2 = 4X^3 - \frac{E_4}{12} X - \frac{E_6}{216}, E_i \in R
\]
\(\omega_{E/R} = H^0(E, \Omega^1)\) is the sheaf of invariant 1-differentials, and we have the Hodge filtration of locally free \(R\)-modules

\[
0 \rightarrow \omega_{E/R} \rightarrow H^1_{dR}(E/R) \rightarrow R^1f_*O_E = \omega^{-1}_{E/R} \rightarrow 0
\]

note \(\frac{1}{6} \in R\), and we can (non-canonically) split this sequence at least locally on \(R\):

For \((E, \omega)\), we have a unique pair of meromorphic functions with poles only at \(\infty\), of orders 2 and 3 resp., denoted by \(X, Y\) so that

\[
\omega = \frac{dX}{Y} \quad \text{generates } \omega \quad \text{and} \quad E: Y^2 = 4X^3 - \frac{E_4}{12}X - \frac{E_6}{216}, E_i \in R
\]

\(H^1_{dR}(E/R) \cong H^0(E, \Omega^1(2\infty))\) has a basis \(\omega = \frac{dX}{Y}, \eta := \frac{X dX}{Y}\) (differential of second kind, and \(\eta\) is the Serre dual of \(\omega\)). If we change by \(\omega \mapsto \lambda \omega\), then

\[
X \mapsto \lambda^{-2}X, Y \mapsto \lambda^{-3}Y, \eta \mapsto \lambda^{-1}\eta.
\]
The Frobenius $F(\varphi)$ preserves the Hodge filtration by functoriality.

**Lemma A2.1 of [Kat73]**

On $H^0(E, \Omega^1)$, $F(\varphi) = p\varphi$; and on $H^1(E, O_E)$, $F(\varphi) = \varphi$. Here the ring homomorphism $\varphi$ gives natural endomorphism of $O_E$ hence on its cohomology and cohomology of its Serre dual, for which we still denote by $\varphi$. 
The Frobenius $F(\varphi)$ preserves the Hodge filtration by functoriality.

**Lemma A2.1 of [Kat73]**

On $H^0(E, \Omega^1)$, $F(\varphi) = p\varphi$; and on $H^1(E, O_E)$, $F(\varphi) = \varphi$. Here the ring homomorphism $\varphi$ gives natural endomorphism of $O_E$ hence on its cohomology and cohomology of its Serre dual, for which we still denote by $\varphi$.

**Proof.**

Let $f = f(E, \omega)\omega$ be a section of $\omega = e^*\Omega^1_{E/R}$ ($e$ the zero section). By definition, $\varphi(f) = f(E/H, \pi^\vee,*(\omega))\omega$. $H$ is of multiplicative type, $H^\vee$ is étale. So $\pi^\vee$ is étale, $\pi^\vee,*(\omega) = \lambda \omega(\varphi)$ for some $\lambda \in R^\times$. So $\varphi(f) = f(E(\varphi), \lambda \omega(\varphi)) = \lambda^{-1} \varphi(f(E, \omega))\omega$. By definition $F(\varphi)(f) = \pi^*(((f(E, \omega)\omega)(\varphi)) = \varphi(f(E, \omega))\pi^*(\omega(\varphi)) = p\varphi(f)$ (note $\pi^*(\lambda \omega(\varphi)) = [p]^*(\omega) = p\omega$). The dual case is similar.
The Frobenius $F(\varphi)$ preserves the Hodge filtration by functoriality.

Lemma A2.1 of [Kat73]

On $H^0(E, \Omega^1)$, $F(\varphi) = p\varphi$; and on $H^1(E, O_E)$, $F(\varphi) = \varphi$. Here the ring homomorphism $\varphi$ gives natural endomorphism of $O_E$ hence on its cohomology and cohomology of its Serre dual, for which we still denote by $\varphi$.

Proof.

Let $f = f(E, \omega)\omega$ be a section of $\underline{\omega} = e^*\Omega^1_{E/R}$ ($e$ the zero section). By definition, $\varphi(f) = f(E/H, \pi^\vee,*(\omega))\omega$. $H$ is of multiplicative type, $H^\vee$ is étale. So $\pi^\vee$ is étale, $\pi^\vee,*(\omega) = \lambda\omega(\varphi)$ for some $\lambda \in R^\times$. So $\varphi(f) = f(E(\varphi), \lambda\omega(\varphi)) = \lambda^{-1}\varphi(f(E, \omega))\omega$. By definition $F(\varphi)(f) = \pi^*(f(E, \omega))\pi^*(\omega(\varphi)) = \varphi(f(E, \omega))\pi^*(\omega(\varphi)) = p\varphi(f)$ (note $\pi^*(\lambda\omega(\varphi)) = [p]^*(\omega) = p\omega$). The dual case is similar.

So if we work modulo $p$ i.e over $R/p$, we see $F(\varphi) = 0$ on $\underline{\omega}$, this is essentially due to $d(x^p) = 0$. 
Therefore, locally under the basis $\omega, \eta$, $F(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p/\lambda & 0 \\ c & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$. 
Canonical splitting of Hodge filtration

Therefore, locally under the basis $\omega, \eta$, $F(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p/\lambda & 0 \\ c & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\lambda \in R^\times$, $c \in R$.

**Proposition**

There is a unique $f \in R$ s.t $F(\varphi)(f\omega + \eta) \in R(f\omega + \eta)$.

**Proof.**

$F(\varphi)(f\omega + \eta) = \varphi(f)\frac{p}{\lambda} \omega + c\omega + \lambda\eta$, so we want $\varphi(f)\frac{p}{\lambda} + c = \lambda f$. Namely, we need to show $T : R \to R$ by $T(f) = \frac{c}{\lambda} + \frac{p}{\lambda^2} \varphi(f)$ has a unique fixed point. As $T$ is a contraction and $R$ is $p$-adically complete, this follows from the contraction mapping theorem.
Canonical splitting of Hodge filtration

Therefore, locally under the basis $\omega, \eta$, $F(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p/\lambda & 0 \\ c & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\lambda \in R^\times$, $c \in R$.

**Proposition**

There is a unique $f \in R$ s.t $F(\varphi)(f\omega + \eta) \in R(f\omega + \eta)$.

**Proof.**

$F(\varphi)(f\omega + \eta) = \varphi(f)\frac{p}{\lambda}\omega + c\omega + \lambda\eta$, so we want $\varphi(f)\frac{p}{\lambda} + c = \lambda f$. Namely, we need to show $T : R \to R$ by $T(f) = \frac{c}{\lambda} + \frac{p}{\lambda^2} \varphi(f)$ has a unique fixed point. As $T$ is a contraction and $R$ is $p$-adically complete, this follows from the contraction mapping theorem.

This characterization is independent of the local basis $\omega, \eta$.

Conclusion: Hodge filtration has a $\varphi$-stable splitting $a\eta \to af_\eta$ over the entire ordinary locus using Frobenius, and in fact it is the largest open subset for this to hold, see [Kat77]. In other words, $H^1_{dR}(E/F) := \omega \oplus U$, $U = H^1_{dR}(E/F)^{Frob}$ is generated by fixed (up to a unit $\lambda$) vectors of Frobenius $F(\varphi)$, so we get a retraction $r : H^1_{dR}(E/R) \to \omega = H^0(E, \Omega^1)$. 
Over the ordinary locus $X^{ord}$, we have Gauss-Manin connection and Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $KS : \omega \otimes^2 \cong \Omega^1_{X^{ord}}$. Here $KS$ is defined by

$$\omega \hookrightarrow H^1_{dR} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{GM}} H^1_{dR} \otimes \Omega^1 \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1$$
Theta operator

Over the ordinary locus $X^{ord}$, we have Gauss-Manin connection and Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $KS : \omega \otimes^2 \cong \Omega^1_{X^{ord}}$. Here $KS$ is defined by

$$\omega \rightarrow H^1_{dR} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{GM}} H^1_{dR} \otimes \Omega^1 \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1$$

and it can be thought as the dual of the tangent mapping of the classifying map from the base scheme of the elliptic curve (here it is the ordinary locus) to the modular curve $M_n$, so it shall be an isomorphism (we will see the computation at infinity later).
Over the ordinary locus $X^{ord}$, we have Gauss-Manin connection and Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $KS : \omega^\otimes 2 \cong \Omega^1_{X^{ord}}$. Here $KS$ is defined by

$$\omega \hookrightarrow H^1_{dR} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{GM}} H^1_{dR} \otimes \Omega^1 \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \otimes \Omega^1$$

and it can be thought as the dual of the tangent mapping of the classifying map from the base scheme of the elliptic curve (here it is the ordinary locus) to the modular curve $M_n$, so it shall be an isomorphism (we will see the computation at infinity later). We define the **theta operator** over the ordinary locus by

$$\omega^\otimes k \hookrightarrow Sym^k(H^1_{dR}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{GM}} Sym^k(H^1_{dR}) \otimes \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{KS^{-1}} Sym^k(H^1_{dR}) \otimes \omega^\otimes 2 \xrightarrow{proj} \omega^\otimes k + 2$$

Note the projection map uses the splitting $r : H^1_{dR}(E/R) \rightarrow H^0(E, \Omega^1)$, and the Gauss-Manin connection on $Sym^k(H^1_{dR})$ is defined by

$$\nabla(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_1 \otimes \ldots \nabla(a_i) \otimes \ldots \otimes a_k.$$
A calculation (we will see the computation at infinity later) shows that \( \theta \) has at most simple poles at the supersingular points, and Hasse invariant has simple zeros at the supersingular points. In other words, we can extend \( A\theta \) (\( A \) is a lifting of Hasse invariant) to the whole modular curve. In literature, sometimes this is denoted by \( \theta \) (mapping weight \( k \) one to weight \( k + p + 1 \) one), and the original operator is denote by \( \theta_0 \).
A calculation (we will see the computation at infinity later) shows that $\theta$ has at most simple poles at the supersingular points, and Hasse invariant has simple zeros at the supersingular points. In other words, we can extend $A\theta$ ($A$ is a lifting of Hasse invariant) to the whole modular curve. In literature, sometimes this is denoted by $\theta$ (mapping weight $k$ one to weight $k + p + 1$ one), and the original operator is denote by $\theta_0$.

Finally, we need to check $\theta$ recovers $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$ on $q$-expansion. Here we only consider the original operator (as $A$ has $q$-expansion $1 \mod p$, the results are the same at least for mod $p$ modular forms).
The Hodge bundle $\omega$ extends to $X$ and characterised by global sections of its $k$-th power being the same as weight $k$ modular forms with coefficient in the base field. The Hodge filtration also extends to short exact sequence of locally free sheaves. The Gauss-Manin connection extends to a connection with logarithmic poles

$$\nabla : H^1_{dR} \to H^1_{dR} \otimes \omega^1(\log D),$$

and we also have Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism and the action of $F(\varphi)$. 
The Hodge bundle $\omega$ extends to $X$ and characterised by global sections of its $k$-th power being the same as weight $k$ modular forms with coefficient in the base field. The Hodge filtration also extends to short exact sequence of locally free sheaves. The Gauss-Manin connection extends to a connection with logarithmic poles

$$\nabla : H^1_{dR} \rightarrow H^1_{dR} \otimes \omega^1(\log D),$$

and we also have Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism and the action of $F(\varphi)$. In particular at infinity, we consider the Tate curve $\text{Tate}(q)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N][(q)]$ with its canonical invariant differential form $\omega_{\text{can}} = \frac{dq}{q}$ and canonical $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure $\alpha_{N,\text{can}}$. The Gauss-Manin connection on $H^1_{dR}(\text{Tate}(q)/\mathbb{Z}[1/N][(q)])$ can be defined similarly as in the complex setting. The canonical subgroup is $\mu_p$, complex analytically it’s

$$\frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$$

with quotient $\text{Tate}(q^p)$. The Frobenius map $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[1/N][(q)] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/N][(q)]$ sends $q$ to $q^p$. 
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Extension to the compactification

The Hodge bundle $\omega$ extends to $X$ and characterised by global sections of its $k$-th power being the same as weight $k$ modular forms with coefficient in the base field. The Hodge filtration also extends to short exact sequence of locally free sheaves. The Gauss-Manin connection extends to a connection with logarithmic poles

$$\nabla : H^1_{dR} \to H^1_{dR} \otimes \omega^1(\log D),$$

and we also have Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism and the action of $F(\varphi)$.

In particular at infinity, we consider the Tate curve $Tate(q)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q))$ with its canonical invariant differential form $\omega_{can} = \frac{dq}{q}$ and canonical $\Gamma_1(N)$ level structure $\alpha_{N,can}$. The Gauss-Manin connection on $H^1_{dR}(Tate(q)/\mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q)))$ can be defined similarly as in the complex setting. The canonical subgroup is $\mu_p$, complex analytically it’s

$$\frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$$

with quotient $Tate(q^p)$. The Frobenius map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q)) \to \mathbb{Z}[1/N]((q))$$

sends $q$ to $q^p$.

Denote $u_{can} := \nabla \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right) (\omega_{can})$. $u_{can}$ is a horizontal section i.e $\nabla u_{can} = 0$ as the periods of $\omega_{can}$ are $1, \tau$, and they are killed by $(\frac{d}{d\tau})^2$. 
At infinity, we have

**Proposition**

1. $u_{can}$ is fixed by $F(\varphi)$, and spans $U$ as a rank 1 locally free $R$ module. Hence, $U$ can also be characterized as the rank 1 $\varphi$-stable sub-module of horizontal sections.

2. $u_{can} = \frac{-P(q)}{12} \omega_{can} + \eta_{can}$, so $\langle \omega_{can}, u_{can} \rangle_{dR} = 1$, $\omega_{can}, u_{can}$ form a basis of $H^1_{dR}$. Under this basis, $F(\varphi) = \text{diag}\{p, 1\}\varphi$. Moreover, $KS(\omega^2_{can}) := \langle \omega_{can}, \nabla \omega_{can} \rangle_{dR} = \frac{dq}{q}$. 
At infinity, we have

**Proposition**

1. \( u_{can} \) is fixed by \( F(\varphi) \), and spans \( U \) as a rank 1 locally free \( R \) module. Hence, \( U \) can also be characterized as the rank 1 \( \varphi \)-stable sub-module of horizontal sections.

2. \( u_{can} = \frac{-P(q)}{12} \omega_{can} + \eta_{can} \), so \( \langle \omega_{can}, u_{can} \rangle_{dR} = 1 \), \( \omega_{can}, u_{can} \) form a basis of \( H^1_{dR} \). Under this basis, \( F(\varphi) = \text{diag}\{p, 1\} \). Moreover, \( KS(\omega^2_{can}) := \langle \omega_{can}, \nabla \omega_{can} \rangle_{dR} = \frac{dq}{q} \).

Sketch of proof: The second part over \( \mathbb{Z}[1/N] \) follows from previous computation over \( \mathbb{C} \) and analytically by appropriate integral normalization. For the first part, note \( F(\varphi) \) commutes with \( \nabla \) by functoriality of Gauss-Manin connection, but \( u_{can} \) is the unique horizontal section up to scalar (this is for monodromy reason or by direct computation), hence \( F(\varphi)u_{can} = au_{can} \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \). The Frobenius action on \( H^2_{dR} \) is multiplication by \( \deg(\pi) = p \) twisted by \( \varphi \), and \( \omega_{can} \wedge u_{can} \) is identified with \( \langle \omega_{can}, u_{can} \rangle_{dR} = 1 \). As \( \pi^*(\omega^{(\varphi)}_{can}) = p\omega_{can} \), we know \( a = 1 \).
Computation

for any section $f$ of $\omega^k$ over the ordinary locus,

$$\nabla_{GM} (f(q) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}) = \nabla \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right) (f(q) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}) \cdot \frac{dq}{q}$$

$$KS(\omega_{\text{can}}^2) = \frac{dq}{q} \nabla \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right) (f(q) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k}) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes 2}$$

$$= q \frac{d}{dq} (f(q)) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k+2} + k \cdot f(q) \cdot \omega_{\text{can}}^{\otimes k+1} \cdot \nabla \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right) (\omega_{\text{can}})$$

Because the second term is in $U$ ($u_{\text{can}}$ is fixed by $F(\varphi)$), hence is zero under projection, we see $\theta = q \frac{d}{dq}$. 
Properties of theta operator

In [Kat77], Katz studies properties of theta operator on mod $p$ modular forms. Here we fix an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic $p > 0$, an integer $N > 3$ prime to $p$. Consider the graded ring $R_N^*$ of (meromorphic at cusps) level $N$ modular forms over $K$, then we have

Theorem 1 in [Kat77]:

There exists a derivation $A\theta: R_N^* \to R_{N+p+1}^*$ acting by $q \frac{dq}{d}$ at each cusp, where $A$ is the Hasse invariant.

If $f \in R_k^N$ has exact filtration $k$ (i.e., not of the form $A^g$ for some $g \in R_k^{N-p-1}$) and $p \nmid k$, then $A\theta f \in R_k^N$ has exact filtration $k+p+1$ in particular non-zero.

If $f \in R_{pk}^N$ and $A\theta f = 0$, then $f = g^p$ for a unique $g \in R_k^N$. 
Properties of theta operator

In [Kat77], Katz studies properties of theta operator on mod $p$ modular forms. Here we fix an algebraically closed field $K$ of characteristic $p > 0$, an integer $N > 3$ prime to $p$. Consider the graded ring $R^*_N$ of (meromorphic at cusps) level $N$ modular forms over $K$, then we have

**Theorem 1 in [Kat77]**

- There exists a derivation $A\theta : R^*_N \to R^{*+p+1}_N$ and acts by $q \frac{d}{dq}$ at each cusp, where $A$ is the Hasse invariant.
- If $f \in R^k_N$ has exact filtration $k$ (i.e. not of the form $Ag$ for some $g \in R^{k-p-1}_N$) and $p \nmid k$, then $A\theta f \in R^k_N$ has exact filtration $k + p + 1$ in particular non-zero.
- If $f \in R^{pk}_N$ and $A\theta f = 0$, then $f = g^p$ for a unique $g \in R^k_N$. 
$E_2$ as a weight 2 $p$-adic modular form

$$P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} (\sum_{d|n} d) q^n$$

is not a classical modular form in the complex setting but close to be a modular form. However, it’s in fact $q$-expansion of a weight two $p$-adic modular form.
$E_2$ as a weight 2 $p$-adic modular form

\[ P = E_2 = 1 - 24 \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( \sum_{d \mid n} d \right) q^n \]

is not a classical modular form in the complex setting but close to be a modular form. However, it’s in fact $q$-expansion of a weight two $p$-adic modular form.

**Definition**

For any ordinary elliptic curves $(E/R, \omega)$ where $p$ is nilpotent in $R$. Let $U \subseteq H^1_{dR}(E/R)$ be the inverse image of the canonical $U$ as above.

$H^1_{dR}(E/R) = R\omega \oplus U$, so for any base $u$ of $U$ (at least exists if we work locally), then $\langle u, \omega \rangle \in R^\times$ because the Poincare duality over $R$ is a perfect pairing. We define $\tilde{P}(E, \omega) = 12 \frac{\langle \eta, u \rangle}{\langle \omega, u \rangle}$. 
$E_2$ as a weight 2 $p$-adic modular form

As $(\omega, \eta) \mapsto (\lambda \omega, \lambda^{-1} \eta)$, we see $\tilde{P}$ is a $p$-adic modular form of weight 2 and level 1. As we can choose $u = \nabla(\theta)(\omega_{can}) = -\frac{P(q)}{12} \omega_{can} + \eta_{can}$ at infinity, in fact $\tilde{P}(q) = P(q)$. 
As $(\omega, \eta) \mapsto (\lambda \omega, \lambda^{-1} \eta)$, we see $\tilde{P}$ is a $p$-adic modular form of weight 2 and level 1. As we can choose $u = \nabla(\theta)(\omega_{can}) = -\frac{P(q)}{12} \omega_{can} + \eta_{can}$ at infinity, in fact $\tilde{P}(q) = P(q)$.

**Final remarks:**

- If $F(\varphi)^n \left( x \frac{dx}{y} \right) = a_n \frac{dx}{y} + b_n \frac{xdx}{y}$ then $P(E, \omega) \equiv -\frac{12a_n}{b_n} \pmod{p^n}$, this is useful in practice to compute special value of $E_2$, see the note *computational tools for quadratic Chabauty*.

- However, $E_2$ is not overconvergent (see Coleman’s paper). This reflects the feature that theta operator may not preserve overconvergence, which is used in the proof of some classicality results.

- Locally under the basis $\omega, \eta$, $F(\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p/\lambda & 0 \\ c & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\lambda \in R^\times, c \in R$. By modulo $p$, we see $p|c$, and $\lambda$ is a local lifting of Hasse invariant.

- One can avoid compactification by regarding Tate$(q)$ as an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Z}((q))$ and pull everything back along the classifying map.
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