## 18.786. Separability of $f_m$

Recall that  $(\pi, f)$  is as usual:

- (i)  $\pi$  is a uniformizer of a complete unramified extension L over K,
- (ii)  $f \in \mathcal{O}_L[X]$  is a monic polynomial such that  $f \equiv \pi X \mod X^2$  and  $f \equiv X^q \mod \pi$ . (In particular f has degree q.)

We defined

- $\pi_m := \pi^{\varphi^{m-1}} \pi^{\varphi^{m-2}} \cdots \pi^{\varphi} \pi = \pi^{(m-1)} \cdots \pi^{(1)} \pi,$   $f_m := f^{\varphi^{m-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f^{\varphi} \circ f = f^{(m)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{(1)} \circ f.$

I should have proved the following at the very beginning of the section on Lubin-Tate extensions.

**Proposition 0.1.**  $f_m$  is a separable polynomial, i.e. the roots of  $f_m$  are mutually distinct.

*Proof.* Recall that every  $\alpha \in \mu_{f,m}$  satisfies

$$v(\alpha) > 0$$

since  $0 = f_m(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{q^m} \mod \pi$ .

Let's start with the m = 0 case. Since  $f_m(X) = \pi X + X^q + \pi g(x)$  for some  $g(X) \in X^2 \mathcal{O}_L[X]$ . The derivative f'(X) has the form

$$f'(X) = \pi (1 + (q/\pi)X^{q-1} + g'(X)).$$

From this it's clear that any  $\alpha$  such that  $v(\alpha) > 0$  cannot be a root. (For such an  $\alpha$ ,  $v((q/\pi)\alpha^{q-1} +$  $\pi q'(\alpha) > 0$ .) Thus f(X) and f'(X) have no common factor.

Now proceed by induction on m. Suppose the assertion is true up to m-1. Note

$$f_m(X) = f^{(m-1)}(f_{m-1}(X)), \quad f'_m(X) = (f^{(m-1)})'(f_{m-1}(X)) \cdot f'_{m-1}(X).$$

For any root  $\alpha$  of  $f_m(X)$  it suffices to show that

$$f'_m(\alpha) \neq 0. \tag{0.1}$$

By the way  $\alpha$  is chosen,  $f_{m-1}(\alpha)$  is a root of  $f^{(m-1)}$ . Thus  $f_{m-1}(\alpha)$  cannot be a root of  $(f^{(m)})'$  by the m = 0 case applied to  $f^{(m-1)}$ . On the other hand,  $f'_{m-1}(\alpha) \neq 0$  by the induction hypothesis. Hence (0.1) is verified.  $\square$ 

Recall that  $\mu_{f,m}$  is the set of roots of  $f_m$  and that  $f_m^{\times} := f_m/f_{m-1}$ .

Corollary 0.2.  $|\mu_{f,m}| = q^m$  and  $f_m^{\times} \in \mathcal{O}_L[X]$ .

*Proof.* The former is immediate from the proposition. For the latter, obviously  $f_m^{\times}$  is monic and belongs to L[X] by the proposition. Since its roots are all integral, the coefficients are in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .