

18.369 Problem Set 1

Due Friday, 17 February 2012.

Problem 1: Adjoins and operators

- (a) We defined the adjoint \dagger of operators \hat{O} by: $\langle H_1, \hat{O}H_2 \rangle = \langle \hat{O}^\dagger H_1, H_2 \rangle$ for all H_1 and H_2 in the vector space. Show that for a *finite-dimensional* Hilbert space, where H is a column vector h_n ($n = 1, \dots, d$), \hat{O} is a square $d \times d$ matrix, and $\langle H^{(1)}, H^{(2)} \rangle$ is the ordinary conjugated dot product $\sum_n h_n^{(1)*} h_n^{(2)}$, the above adjoint definition corresponds to the conjugate-transpose for matrices. (Thus, as claimed in class, “swapping rows and columns” is the *consequence* of the “real” definition of transposition/adjoins, not the source.)

In the subsequent parts of this problem, you may *not* assume that \hat{O} is finite-dimensional (nor may you assume any specific formula for the inner product).

- (b) Show that if \hat{O} is simply a number o , then $\hat{O}^\dagger = o^*$. (This is *not* the same as the previous question, since \hat{O} here can act on infinite-dimensional spaces.)
- (c) If a linear operator \hat{O} satisfies $\hat{O}^\dagger = \hat{O}^{-1}$, then the operator is called **unitary**. Show that a unitary operator preserves inner products (that is, if we apply \hat{O} to every element of a Hilbert space, then their inner products with one another are unchanged). Show that the eigenvalues u of a unitary operator have unit magnitude ($|u| = 1$) and that its eigenvectors can be chosen to be orthogonal to one another.
- (d) For a non-singular operator \hat{O} (i.e. \hat{O}^{-1} exists), show that $(\hat{O}^{-1})^\dagger = (\hat{O}^\dagger)^{-1}$. (Thus, if \hat{O} is Hermitian then \hat{O}^{-1} is also Hermitian.)

Problem 2: Completeness

- (a) Prove that the eigenvectors H_n of a *finite-dimensional* Hermitian operator \hat{O} (a $d \times d$ matrix) are *complete*: that is, that any d -dimensional vector can be expanded as a sum $\sum_n c_n H_n$ in the eigenvectors H_n with some coefficients c_n . It is sufficient to

show that there are d linearly independent eigenvectors H_n . For example, you can follow these steps:

- (i) Show that every $d \times d$ Hermitian matrix O has at least one nonzero eigenvector H_1 [... use the fundamental theorem of algebra: every polynomial with degree > 0 has at least one (possibly complex) root].
- (ii) Show that the space of $V_1 = \{H \mid \langle H, H_1 \rangle = 0\}$ orthogonal to H_1 is preserved (transformed into itself or a subset of itself) by \hat{O} . From this, show that we can form a $(d-1) \times (d-1)$ Hermitian matrix whose eigenvectors (if any) give (via a similarity transformation) the remaining (if any) eigenvectors of \hat{O} .
- (iii) By induction, form an orthonormal basis of d eigenvectors for the d -dimensional space.
- (b) Completeness is not automatic for eigenvectors in general. Give an example of a non-singular *non-Hermitian* operator whose eigenvectors are *not* complete. (A 2×2 matrix is fine. This case is also called “defective.”)
- (c) Completeness of the eigenfunctions is not automatic for Hermitian operators on infinite-dimensional spaces either; they need to have some additional properties (e.g. “compactness”) for this to be true. However, it is true of most operators that we encounter in physical problems. If a particular operator did *not* have a complete basis of eigenfunctions, what would this mean about our ability to simulate the solutions on a computer in a finite computational box (where, when you discretize the problem, it turns approximately into a finite-dimensional problem)? No rigorous arguments required here, just your thoughts.

Problem 3: Maxwell eigenproblems

- (a) In class, we eliminated \mathbf{E} from Maxwell’s equations to get an eigenproblem in \mathbf{H} alone, of the form $\hat{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})$. Show that if you instead eliminate \mathbf{H} , you *cannot* get a Hermitian eigenproblem in \mathbf{E} except for the trivial case $\epsilon = \text{constant}$. Instead,

show that you get a *generalized Hermitian eigenproblem*: an equation of the form $\hat{A}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\hat{B}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$, where *both* \hat{A} and \hat{B} are Hermitian operators.

- (b) For *any* generalized Hermitian eigenproblem where \hat{B} is positive definite (i.e. $\langle \mathbf{E}, \hat{B}\mathbf{E} \rangle > 0$ for all $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ ¹), show that the eigenvalues (i.e., the solutions of $\hat{A}\mathbf{E} = \lambda\hat{B}\mathbf{E}$) are real and that different eigenfunctions \mathbf{E}_1 and \mathbf{E}_2 satisfy a modified kind of orthogonality. Show that \hat{B} for the \mathbf{E} eigenproblem above was indeed positive definite.
- (c) Alternatively, show that $\hat{B}^{-1}\hat{A}$ is Hermitian under a modified inner product $\langle \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \rangle_B = \langle \mathbf{E}, \hat{B}\mathbf{E} \rangle$ for Hermitian \hat{A} and \hat{B} and positive-definite \hat{B} ; the results from the previous part then follow.
- (d) Show that *both* the \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} formulations lead to generalized Hermitian eigenproblems with real ω if we allow magnetic materials $\mu(\mathbf{x}) \neq 1$ (but require μ real, positive, and independent of \mathbf{H} or ω).
- (e) μ and ε are only ordinary numbers for *isotropic* media. More generally, they are 3×3 matrices (technically, rank 2 tensors)—thus, in an *anisotropic medium*, by putting an applied field in one direction, you can get dipole moment in different direction in the material. Show what conditions these matrices must satisfy for us to still obtain a generalized Hermitian eigenproblem in \mathbf{E} (or \mathbf{H}) with real eigenfrequencies ω .

¹Here, when we say $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ we mean it in the sense of generalized functions; loosely, we ignore isolated points where \mathbf{E} is nonzero, as long as such points have zero integral, since such isolated values are not physically observable. See e.g. Gelfand and Shilov, *Generalized Functions*.