## 18.335 Mid-term Exam (Fall 2009)

## Problem 1: Caches and QR (30 pts)

In class, we learned the Gram-Schmidt and modified Gram-Schmidt algorithms to form the (reduced) A = QR factorization of an  $m \times n$  matrix A (with independent columns  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and  $n \leq m$ ). In particular, for simplicity let us consider the computation of the  $m \times n$  matrix Q only (whose columns are the orthonormal basis for the column space of A), not worrying about keeping track of R, and for simplicity consider classical (not modified) Gram-Schmidt:

$$\begin{array}{l} q_1 = a_1/\|a_1\| \\ \text{for } j = 2, 3, \dots, n \\ v_j = a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q_i(q_i^* a_j) \\ q_j = v_j/\|v_j\| \end{array}$$

In this question, you will consider the cache complexity of this algorithm with an ideal cache of size Z (no cache lines). If the algorithm is implemented directly as written above, there is little temporal locality and  $\Theta(mn^2)$  misses are required, independent of Z. You are also **given** that you can multiply an  $m \times n$  matrix with an  $n \times p$  matrix using  $\Theta(mn + np + mp + mnp/\sqrt{Z})$  misses, and can add two  $m \times n$  matrices using  $\Theta(mn)$  misses.

- 1. Suppose that n is even and we have performed QR factorization (by some algorithm) on the first-half n/2 columns of A to obtain an  $m \times (n/2)$  matrix  $Q_1$ , and also on the second-half n/2 columns separately to obtain an  $m \times (n/2)$  matrix  $Q_2$ . Using  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , describe how to (efficiently) find the  $m \times n$  matrix Q from the QR factorization of A, and give the number of cache misses (in  $\Theta$  notation, ignoring constant factors).
- 2. Describe an algorithm to compute the Q from the QR factorization of A that has fewer than  $\Theta(mn^2)$  misses asymptotically, and give the number of cache misses (in  $\Theta$  notation, ignoring constant factors). (You can describe either a cache-oblivious or blocked algorithm, but I find a recursive cache-oblivious algorithm easier.) You can assume that n is a power-of-2 size, for convenience.

## Problem 2: Lanczos (30 pts)

Let A be a Hermitian  $m \times m$  matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  and corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors  $\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2, \dots, \hat{q}_m$ . Consider the Lanczos algorithm applied to A:

$$\beta_0=0$$
 ,  $q_0=0$  ,  $b=$  arbitrary,  $q_1=b/\|b\|$  for  $n=1,2,3,\ldots$  
$$v=Aq_n$$
 
$$\alpha_n=q_n^Tv$$
 
$$v\leftarrow v-\beta_{n-1}q_{n-1}-\alpha_nq_n$$
 
$$\beta_n=\|v\|$$
 
$$q_{n+1}=v/\beta_n$$

After m steps, recall that this gives a unitary matrix  $Q = (q_1q_2\cdots q_m)$  and a

tridiagonal matrix 
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & \\ \beta_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ & \beta_2 & \alpha_3 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}$$
 such that  $AQ = QT$ .

Suppose that the initial b is orthogonal to one of the eigenvectors  $\hat{q}_i$  corresponding to a simple (not repeated) eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . Explain why the Lanczos process must break down ( $\beta_n = 0$  for some n) if it is carried out in exact arithmetic (no rounding), and the  $T_n$  matrix (the  $n \times n$  upper-left corner of T) at the n-th step (where breakdown occurs) cannot have an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .

## Problem 3: Backwards stability (30 pts)

Let A be any invertible  $m \times m$  matrix and b be any vector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and consider the function  $f(A,b) = A^{-1}b$ : that is, the function returning the solution to Ax = b. Now, consider the analogous function  $\tilde{f}(A,b)$  implemented in floating-point arithmetic by a **backwards-stable** algorithm, e.g. Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, or Householder QR factorization. That is, if we let f(A,b) = x (the solution: x is the output in this case) and  $\tilde{f}(A,b) - f(A,b) = \delta x$  (the rounding error in the solution), then there is some  $\delta A$  and  $\delta b$  where  $(A+\delta A)(x+\delta x) = b + \delta b$  and  $\delta A$  and  $\delta b$  are .... (yadda yadda...you should know the precise definition by now).

Show that if  $\tilde{f}(A,b)$  is backwards stable with respect to the inputs A and b, then it must be backwards stable with respect to A alone. That is, find a small  $\delta A' = \|A\| O(\varepsilon_{\text{machine}})$  such that  $(A + \delta A')(x + \delta x) = b$ .

(Hint: if you need to construct a matrix to turn one vector into another, you can always use a unitary rotation followed by a rescaling. And, of course, you can pick any convenient norm that you want, by the equivalence of norms.)