Lecture 3

Last time:
- Nondeterminism
- NFA → DFA
- Closure under \( \circ \) and \( * \)
- Regular expressions \( \rightarrow \) finite automata

Today:
- Finite automata \( \rightarrow \) regular expressions
- Proving languages aren’t regular
- Context free grammars

We start counting Check-ins today.
Review your email from Canvas.

Homework due Thursday, posted on homepage.
DFAs → Regular Expressions

Recall Theorem: If $R$ is a regular expression and $A = L(R)$ then $A$ is regular

Proof: Conversion $R \rightarrow$ NFA $M \rightarrow$ DFA $M'$

Today's Theorem: If $A$ is regular then $A = L(R)$ for some regular expr $R$

Proof: Give conversion DFA $M \rightarrow R$

WAIT! Need new concept first.
**Defn:** A Generalized Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (GNFA) is similar to an NFA, but allows regular expressions as transition labels.

For convenience we will assume:
- One accept state, separate from the start state
- One arrow from each state to each state, except
  a) only exiting the start state
  b) only entering the accept state

We can easily modify a GNFA to have this special form.
Lemma: Every GNFA $G$ has an equivalent regular expression $R$

Proof: By induction on the number of states $k$ of $G$

Basis ($k = 2$):

Let $R = r$

Induction step ($k > 2$): Assume Lemma true for $k - 1$ states and prove for $k$ states

IDEA: Convert $k$-state GNFA to equivalent $(k - 1)$-state GNFA
**Check-in 3.1**

We just showed how to convert **GNFAs** to regular expressions but our goal was to show that how to convert **DFAs** to regular expressions. How do we finish our goal?

(a) Show how to convert DFAs to GNFAs
(b) Show how to convert GNFAs to DFAs
(c) We are already done. DFAs are a type of GNFAs.

Thus DFAs and regular expressions are equivalent.

1. Pick any state $x$ except the start and accept states.
2. Remove $x$.
3. Repair the damage by recovering all paths that went through $x$.
4. Make the indicated change for each pair of states $q_i, q_j$.

$k$-state GNFA $\rightarrow (k-1)$-state GNFA
Non-Regular Languages

How do we show a language is not regular?
- Remember, to show a language is regular, we give a DFA.
- To show a language is not regular, we must give a proof.
- It is not enough to say that you couldn’t find a DFA for it, therefore the language isn’t regular.

Two examples: Here \( \Sigma = \{0,1\} \).

1. Let \( B = \{w | w \text{ has equal numbers of 0s and 1s}\} \)
   
   Intuition: \( B \) is not regular because DFAs cannot count unboundedly.

2. Let \( C = \{w | w \text{ has equal numbers of 01 and 10 substrings}\} \)

   Intuition: \( C \) is not regular because DFAs cannot count unboundedly.
   However \( C \) is regular!

Moral: You need to give a proof.
Method for Proving Non-regularity

**Pumping Lemma:** For every regular language $A$, there is a number $p$ (the “pumping length”) such that if $s \in A$ and $|s| \geq p$ then $s = xyz$ where

1) $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \geq 0$ \quad y^i = yy \cdots y$

2) $y \neq \varepsilon$

3) $|xy| \leq p$

Informally: $A$ is regular $\rightarrow$ every long string in $A$ can be pumped and the result stays in $A$.

**Proof:** Let DFA $M$ recognize $A$. Let $p$ be the number of states in $M$. Pick $s \in A$ where $s \geq p$.

$s = x \quad y \quad z$

$q_j \quad q_j \quad q_j$

$M$ will repeat a state $q_j$ when reading $s$ because $s$ is so long.

$x \quad y \quad y \quad z$

$q_j \quad q_j \quad q_j$ is also accepted.

**Check-in 3.2**

The Pumping Lemma depends on the fact that if $M$ has $p$ states and it runs for more than $p$ steps then $M$ will enter some state at least twice.

We call that fact:

(a) The Pigeonhole Principle

(b) Burnside's Counting Theorem

(c) The Coronavirus Calculation
Example 1 of Proving Non-regularity

Let \( D = \{0^k1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \)

Show: \( D \) is not regular

Proof by Contradiction:
Assume (to get a contradiction) that \( D \) is regular.
The pumping lemma gives \( p \) as above. Let \( s = 0^p1^p \in D \).
Pumping lemma says that can divide \( s = xyz \) satisfying the 3 conditions.

But \( xyyz \) has excess 0s and thus \( xyyz \notin D \) contradicting the pumping lemma.
Therefore our assumption (\( D \) is regular) is false. We conclude that \( D \) is not regular.
Example 2 of Proving Non-regularity

**Pumping Lemma:** For every regular language $A$, there is a $p$ such that if $s \in A$ and $|s| \geq p$ then $s = xyz$ where

1) $xy^iz \in A$ for all $i \geq 0$ \hspace{1cm} $y^i = yy \cdots y$
2) $y \neq \varepsilon$
3) $|xy| \leq p$

Let $F = \{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$. Say $\Sigma^* = \{0,1\}$.

**Show:** $F$ is not regular

**Proof by Contradiction:**
Assume (for contradiction) that $F$ is regular.
The pumping lemma gives $p$ as above. Need to choose $s \in F$. Which $s$?
Try $s = 0^p0^p \in F$.
Try $s = 0^p10^p1 \in F$. Show cannot be pumped $s = xyz$ satisfying the 3 conditions. $xyyz \notin F$ Contradiction! Therefore $F$ is not regular.
Example 3 of Proving Non-regularity

**Variant:** Combine closure properties with the Pumping Lemma.

Let \( B = \{w | w \text{ has equal numbers of 0s and 1s}\} \)

**Show:** \( B \) is not regular

**Proof by Contradiction:**

Assume (for contradiction) that \( B \) is regular.

We know that \( 0^*1^* \) is regular so \( B \cap 0^*1^* \) is regular (closure under intersection).

But \( D = B \cap 0^*1^* \) and we already showed \( D \) is not regular. Contradiction!

Therefore our assumption is false, so \( B \) is not regular.
Context Free Grammars

\[ G_1 \]

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow 0S1 \\
S & \rightarrow R \\
R & \rightarrow \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

(Substitution) Rules

**Rule:** Variable \( \rightarrow \) string of variables and terminals  
**Variables:** Symbols appearing on left-hand side of rule  
**Terminals:** Symbols appearing only on right-hand side  
**Start Variable:** Top left symbol

**Grammars generate strings**

1. Write down start variable  
2. Replace any variable according to a rule  
   Repeat until only terminals remain  
3. Result is the generated string  
4. \( L(G) \) is the language of all generated strings.

**Check-in 3.3**

\[ G_2 \]

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow RR \\
R & \rightarrow 0R1 \\
R & \rightarrow \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

Check all of the strings that are in \( L(G_2) \):  
(a) 001101  
(b) 000111  
(c) 1010  
(d) \( \varepsilon \)
Quick review of today

1. Conversion of DFAs to regular expressions
   Summary: DFAs, NFAs, regular expressions are all equivalent
2. Proving languages not regular by using the pumping lemma and closure properties
3. Context Free Grammars