

# 18.600: Lecture 36

## Risk Neutral Probability and Black-Scholes

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Risk neutral probability

Black-Scholes

Risk neutral probability

Black-Scholes

# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.

# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.
- ▶ Main mathematical tasks will be to compute expectations of functions of log-normal random variables (to get the Black-Scholes formula) and differentiate under an integral (to compute risk neutral density functions from option prices).

# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.
- ▶ Main mathematical tasks will be to compute expectations of functions of log-normal random variables (to get the Black-Scholes formula) and differentiate under an integral (to compute risk neutral density functions from option prices).
- ▶ Will spend time giving financial *interpretations* of the math.

# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.
- ▶ Main mathematical tasks will be to compute expectations of functions of log-normal random variables (to get the Black-Scholes formula) and differentiate under an integral (to compute risk neutral density functions from option prices).
- ▶ Will spend time giving financial *interpretations* of the math.
- ▶ Can interpret this lecture as a sophisticated story problem, illustrating an important application of the probability we have learned in this course (involving probability axioms, expectations, cumulative distribution functions, etc.)

# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.
- ▶ Main mathematical tasks will be to compute expectations of functions of log-normal random variables (to get the Black-Scholes formula) and differentiate under an integral (to compute risk neutral density functions from option prices).
- ▶ Will spend time giving financial *interpretations* of the math.
- ▶ Can interpret this lecture as a sophisticated story problem, illustrating an important application of the probability we have learned in this course (involving probability axioms, expectations, cumulative distribution functions, etc.)
- ▶ Brownian motion (as mathematically constructed by MIT professor Norbert Wiener) is a *continuous time martingale*.



# Overview

- ▶ The mathematics of today's lecture will not go far beyond things we know.
- ▶ Main mathematical tasks will be to compute expectations of functions of log-normal random variables (to get the Black-Scholes formula) and differentiate under an integral (to compute risk neutral density functions from option prices).
- ▶ Will spend time giving financial *interpretations* of the math.
- ▶ Can interpret this lecture as a sophisticated story problem, illustrating an important application of the probability we have learned in this course (involving probability axioms, expectations, cumulative distribution functions, etc.)
- ▶ Brownian motion (as mathematically constructed by MIT professor Norbert Wiener) is a *continuous time martingale*.
- ▶ Black-Scholes theory assumes that the log of an asset price is a process called *Brownian motion with drift* with respect to *risk neutral probability*. Implies option price formula.

See desk at office hours



- ▶ “Risk neutral probability” is a fancy term for “price probability”. (The term “price probability” is arguably more descriptive.)

## Risk neutral probability

- ▶ “Risk neutral probability” is a fancy term for “price probability”. (The term “price probability” is arguably more descriptive.)
- ▶ That is, it is a probability measure that you can deduce by looking at prices.

## Risk neutral probability

- ▶ “Risk neutral probability” is a fancy term for “price probability”. (The term “price probability” is arguably more descriptive.)
- ▶ That is, it is a probability measure that you can deduce by looking at prices.
- ▶ For example, suppose somebody is about to shoot a free throw in basketball. What is the price in the sports betting world of a contract that pays one dollar if the shot is made?

## Risk neutral probability

- ▶ “Risk neutral probability” is a fancy term for “price probability”. (The term “price probability” is arguably more descriptive.)
- ▶ That is, it is a probability measure that you can deduce by looking at prices.
- ▶ For example, suppose somebody is about to shoot a free throw in basketball. What is the price in the sports betting world of a contract that pays one dollar if the shot is made?
- ▶ If the answer is .75 dollars, then we say that the risk neutral probability that the shot will be made is .75.

## Risk neutral probability

- ▶ “Risk neutral probability” is a fancy term for “price probability”. (The term “price probability” is arguably more descriptive.)
- ▶ That is, it is a probability measure that you can deduce by looking at prices.
- ▶ For example, suppose somebody is about to shoot a free throw in basketball. What is the price in the sports betting world of a contract that pays one dollar if the shot is made?
- ▶ If the answer is .75 dollars, then we say that the risk neutral probability that the shot will be made is .75.
- ▶ Risk neutral probability is the probability determined by the market betting odds.

- ▶ **Risk neutral probability of event  $A$ :**  $P_{RN}(A)$  denotes

$$\frac{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ if } A \text{ occurs}\}}{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ no matter what}\}}.$$



- ▶ **Risk neutral probability of event  $A$ :**  $P_{RN}(A)$  denotes

$$\frac{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ if } A \text{ occurs}\}}{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ no matter what}\}}.$$

- ▶ If risk-free interest rate is constant and equal to  $r$  (compounded continuously), then denominator is  $e^{-rT}$ .

- ▶ **Risk neutral probability of event  $A$ :**  $P_{RN}(A)$  denotes

$$\frac{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ if } A \text{ occurs}\}}{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ no matter what}\}}.$$

- ▶ If risk-free interest rate is constant and equal to  $r$  (compounded continuously), then denominator is  $e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ Assuming no **arbitrage** (i.e., no risk free profit with zero upfront investment),  $P_{RN}$  satisfies axioms of probability. That is,  $0 \leq P_{RN}(A) \leq 1$ , and  $P_{RN}(S) = 1$ , and if events  $A_j$  are disjoint then  $P_{RN}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = P_{RN}(A_1) + P_{RN}(A_2) + \dots$

- ▶ **Risk neutral probability of event  $A$ :**  $P_{RN}(A)$  denotes

$$\frac{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ if } A \text{ occurs}\}}{\text{Price}\{\text{Contract paying 1 dollar at time } T \text{ no matter what}\}}.$$

- ▶ If risk-free interest rate is constant and equal to  $r$  (compounded continuously), then denominator is  $e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ Assuming no **arbitrage** (i.e., no risk free profit with zero upfront investment),  $P_{RN}$  satisfies axioms of probability. That is,  $0 \leq P_{RN}(A) \leq 1$ , and  $P_{RN}(S) = 1$ , and if events  $A_j$  are disjoint then  $P_{RN}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots) = P_{RN}(A_1) + P_{RN}(A_2) + \dots$ .
- ▶ **Arbitrage example:** if  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint and  $P_{RN}(A \cup B) < P_{RN}(A) + P_{RN}(B)$  then we sell contracts paying 1 if  $A$  occurs and 1 if  $B$  occurs, buy contract paying 1 if  $A \cup B$  occurs, pocket difference.

## Risk neutral probability differ vs. “ordinary probability”

- ▶ At first sight, one might think that  $P_{RN}(A)$  describes the market's best guess at the probability that  $A$  will occur.

## Risk neutral probability differ vs. “ordinary probability”

- ▶ At first sight, one might think that  $P_{RN}(A)$  describes the market's best guess at the probability that  $A$  will occur.
- ▶ But suppose  $A$  is the event that the government is dissolved and all dollars become worthless. What is  $P_{RN}(A)$ ?

## Risk neutral probability differ vs. “ordinary probability”

- ▶ At first sight, one might think that  $P_{RN}(A)$  describes the market's best guess at the probability that  $A$  will occur.
- ▶ But suppose  $A$  is the event that the government is dissolved and all dollars become worthless. What is  $P_{RN}(A)$ ?
- ▶ Should be 0. Even if people think  $A$  is *likely*, a contract paying a dollar when  $A$  occurs is worthless.

## Risk neutral probability differ vs. “ordinary probability”

- ▶ At first sight, one might think that  $P_{RN}(A)$  describes the market's best guess at the probability that  $A$  will occur.
- ▶ But suppose  $A$  is the event that the government is dissolved and all dollars become worthless. What is  $P_{RN}(A)$ ?
- ▶ Should be 0. Even if people think  $A$  is *likely*, a contract paying a dollar when  $A$  occurs is worthless.
- ▶ Now, suppose there are only 2 outcomes:  $A$  is event that economy booms and everyone prospers and  $B$  is event that economy sags and everyone is needy. Suppose purchasing power of dollar is the same in both scenarios. If people think  $A$  has a .5 chance to occur, do we expect  $P_{RN}(A) > .5$  or  $P_{RN}(A) < .5$ ?

## Risk neutral probability differ vs. “ordinary probability”

- ▶ At first sight, one might think that  $P_{RN}(A)$  describes the market's best guess at the probability that  $A$  will occur.
- ▶ But suppose  $A$  is the event that the government is dissolved and all dollars become worthless. What is  $P_{RN}(A)$ ?
- ▶ Should be 0. Even if people think  $A$  is *likely*, a contract paying a dollar when  $A$  occurs is worthless.
- ▶ Now, suppose there are only 2 outcomes:  $A$  is event that economy booms and everyone prospers and  $B$  is event that economy sags and everyone is needy. Suppose purchasing power of dollar is the same in both scenarios. If people think  $A$  has a .5 chance to occur, do we expect  $P_{RN}(A) > .5$  or  $P_{RN}(A) < .5$ ?
- ▶ Answer:  $P_{RN}(A) < .5$ . People are risk averse. In second scenario they need the money more.



## Non-systemic event

- ▶ Suppose that  $A$  is the event that the Boston Red Sox win the World Series. Would we expect  $P_{RN}(A)$  to represent (the market's best assessment of) the probability that the Red Sox will win?

## Non-systemic event

- ▶ Suppose that  $A$  is the event that the Boston Red Sox win the World Series. Would we expect  $P_{RN}(A)$  to represent (the market's best assessment of) the probability that the Red Sox will win?
- ▶ Arguably yes. The amount that *people in general* need or value dollars does not depend much on whether  $A$  occurs (even though the financial needs of specific individuals may depend on heavily on  $A$ ).

## Non-systemic event

- ▶ Suppose that  $A$  is the event that the Boston Red Sox win the World Series. Would we expect  $P_{RN}(A)$  to represent (the market's best assessment of) the probability that the Red Sox will win?
- ▶ Arguably yes. The amount that *people in general* need or value dollars does not depend much on whether  $A$  occurs (even though the financial needs of specific individuals may depend on heavily on  $A$ ).
- ▶ Even if some people bet based on loyalty, emotion, insurance against personal financial exposure to team's prospects, etc., there will arguably be enough in-it-for-the-money statistical arbitrageurs to keep price near a reasonable guess of what well-informed experts would consider the true probability.

# Extensions of risk neutral probability

- ▶ Definition of risk neutral probability depends on choice of currency (the so-called *numéraire*).

## Extensions of risk neutral probability

- ▶ Definition of risk neutral probability depends on choice of currency (the so-called *numéraire*).
- ▶ Risk neutral probability can be defined for variable times and variable interest rates — e.g., one can take the numéraire to be amount one dollar in a variable-interest-rate money market account has grown to when outcome is known. Can define  $P_{RN}(A)$  to be price of contract paying this amount if and when  $A$  occurs.

## Extensions of risk neutral probability

- ▶ Definition of risk neutral probability depends on choice of currency (the so-called *numéraire*).
- ▶ Risk neutral probability can be defined for variable times and variable interest rates — e.g., one can take the numéraire to be amount one dollar in a variable-interest-rate money market account has grown to when outcome is known. Can define  $P_{RN}(A)$  to be price of contract paying this amount if and when  $A$  occurs.
- ▶ For simplicity, we focus on fixed time  $T$ , fixed interest rate  $r$  in this lecture.

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.



## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.
- ▶ Unlike “true probability” (what does that mean?) the “risk neutral probability” is an objectively measurable price.

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.
- ▶ Unlike “true probability” (what does that mean?) the “risk neutral probability” is an objectively measurable price.
- ▶ Pundit: The market predictions are ridiculous. I can estimate probabilities much better than they can.

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.
- ▶ Unlike “true probability” (what does that mean?) the “risk neutral probability” is an objectively measurable price.
- ▶ Pundit: The market predictions are ridiculous. I can estimate probabilities much better than they can.
- ▶ Listener: Then why not make some bets and get rich? If your estimates are so much better, law of large numbers says you'll surely come out way ahead eventually.

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.
- ▶ Unlike “true probability” (what does that mean?) the “risk neutral probability” is an objectively measurable price.
- ▶ Pundit: The market predictions are ridiculous. I can estimate probabilities much better than they can.
- ▶ Listener: Then why not make some bets and get rich? If your estimates are so much better, law of large numbers says you'll surely come out way ahead eventually.
- ▶ Pundit: Well, you know... been busy... scruples about gambling... more to life than money...

## Risk neutral probability is objective

- ▶ Check out binary prediction contracts at [predictwise.com](http://predictwise.com), [oddschecker.com](http://oddschecker.com), [predictit.com](http://predictit.com), etc.
- ▶ Many financial derivatives are essentially bets of this form.
- ▶ Unlike “true probability” (what does that mean?) the “risk neutral probability” is an objectively measurable price.
- ▶ Pundit: The market predictions are ridiculous. I can estimate probabilities much better than they can.
- ▶ Listener: Then why not make some bets and get rich? If your estimates are so much better, law of large numbers says you'll surely come out way ahead eventually.
- ▶ Pundit: Well, you know... been busy... scruples about gambling... more to life than money...
- ▶ Listener: Yeah, that's what I thought.

## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .

## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if  $A$  occurs, 3 if  $B$  occurs, 0 otherwise?

## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if  $A$  occurs, 3 if  $B$  occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer:  $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$ .



## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if  $A$  occurs, 3 if  $B$  occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer:  $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays  $X$  at time  $T$  should be  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$  where  $E_{RN}$  denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.

## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if  $A$  occurs, 3 if  $B$  occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer:  $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays  $X$  at time  $T$  should be  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$  where  $E_{RN}$  denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-divided paying stock will be worth  $X$  at time  $T$ , then its price today should be  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ .

## Prices as expectations

- ▶ By assumption, the price of a contract that pays one dollar at time  $T$  if  $A$  occurs is  $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if  $A$  occurs, 3 if  $B$  occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer:  $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays  $X$  at time  $T$  should be  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$  where  $E_{RN}$  denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-divided paying stock will be worth  $X$  at time  $T$ , then its price today should be  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ .
- ▶ **Aside:** So-called **fundamental theorem of asset pricing** states that (assuming no arbitrage) interest-discounted asset prices are martingales with respect to risk neutral probability. Current price of stock being  $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$  follows from this.

Risk neutral probability

Black-Scholes

Risk neutral probability

Black-Scholes

# Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.

# Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.

## Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price  $X$  at fixed future time  $T$  is a normal random variable (call it  $N$ ) with some known variance (call it  $T\sigma^2$ ) and some mean (call it  $\mu$ ) with respect to risk neutral probability.



# Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price  $X$  at fixed future time  $T$  is a normal random variable (call it  $N$ ) with some known variance (call it  $T\sigma^2$ ) and some mean (call it  $\mu$ ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:**  $N$  normal  $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$  implies  $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$ .

## Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price  $X$  at fixed future time  $T$  is a normal random variable (call it  $N$ ) with some known variance (call it  $T\sigma^2$ ) and some mean (call it  $\mu$ ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:**  $N$  normal  $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$  implies  $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$ .
- ▶ **Observation:** If  $X_0$  is the current price then 
$$X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}.$$

# Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price  $X$  at fixed future time  $T$  is a normal random variable (call it  $N$ ) with some known variance (call it  $T\sigma^2$ ) and some mean (call it  $\mu$ ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:**  $N$  normal  $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$  implies  $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$ .
- ▶ **Observation:** If  $X_0$  is the current price then  $X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}$ .
- ▶ **Observation:** This implies  $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$ .

# Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price  $X$  at fixed future time  $T$  is a normal random variable (call it  $N$ ) with some known variance (call it  $T\sigma^2$ ) and some mean (call it  $\mu$ ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:**  $N$  normal  $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$  implies  $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$ .
- ▶ **Observation:** If  $X_0$  is the current price then  $X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}$ .
- ▶ **Observation:** This implies  $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$ .
- ▶ **Conclusion:** If  $g$  is any function then the price of a contract that pays  $g(X)$  at time  $T$  is

$$E_{RN}[g(X)]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[g(e^N)]e^{-rT}$$

where  $N$  is normal with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $T\sigma^2$ .

## Black-Scholes example: European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date**  $T$ , **strike price**  $K$ , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for  $K$  dollars at time  $T$ .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars.  $SS$

## Black-Scholes example: European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date**  $T$ , **strike price**  $K$ , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for  $K$  dollars at time  $T$ .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars.  $SS$

- ▶ If  $X$  is the value of the stock at  $T$ , then the value of the option at time  $T$  is given by  $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$ .

# Black-Scholes example: European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date**  $T$ , **strike price**  $K$ , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for  $K$  dollars at time  $T$ .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars.  $SS$

- ▶ If  $X$  is the value of the stock at  $T$ , then the value of the option at time  $T$  is given by  $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$ .
- ▶ **Black-Scholes:** price of contract paying  $g(X)$  at time  $T$  is  $E_{RN}[g(X)]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[g(e^N)]e^{-rT}$  where  $N$  is normal with variance  $T\sigma^2$ , mean  $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$ .

# Black-Scholes example: European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date**  $T$ , **strike price**  $K$ , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for  $K$  dollars at time  $T$ .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. $SS$
--

- ▶ If  $X$  is the value of the stock at  $T$ , then the value of the option at time  $T$  is given by  $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$ .
- ▶ **Black-Scholes:** price of contract paying  $g(X)$  at time  $T$  is  $E_{RN}[g(X)]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[g(e^N)]e^{-rT}$  where  $N$  is normal with variance  $T\sigma^2$ , mean  $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$ .
- ▶ Write this as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-rT} E_{RN}[\max\{0, e^N - K\}] &= e^{-rT} E_{RN}[(e^N - K)1_{N \geq \log K}] \\ &= \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx. \end{aligned}$$



# The famous formula

- ▶ Let  $T$  be time to maturity,  $X_0$  current price of underlying asset,  $K$  strike price,  $r$  risk free interest rate,  $\sigma$  the volatility.

# The famous formula

- ▶ Let  $T$  be time to maturity,  $X_0$  current price of underlying asset,  $K$  strike price,  $r$  risk free interest rate,  $\sigma$  the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute  $e^{-rT} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$  where  $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$ .

# The famous formula

- ▶ Let  $T$  be time to maturity,  $X_0$  current price of underlying asset,  $K$  strike price,  $r$  risk free interest rate,  $\sigma$  the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute  $e^{-rT} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$  where  $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$ .
- ▶ Can use complete-the-square tricks to compute the two terms explicitly in terms of standard normal cumulative distribution function  $\Phi$ .

# The famous formula

- ▶ Let  $T$  be time to maturity,  $X_0$  current price of underlying asset,  $K$  strike price,  $r$  risk free interest rate,  $\sigma$  the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute  $e^{-rT} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$  where  $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$ .
- ▶ Can use complete-the-square tricks to compute the two terms explicitly in terms of standard normal cumulative distribution function  $\Phi$ .
- ▶ Price of European call is  $\Phi(d_1)X_0 - \Phi(d_2)Ke^{-rT}$  where  $d_1 = \frac{\ln(\frac{X_0}{K}) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$  and  $d_2 = \frac{\ln(\frac{X_0}{K}) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$ .

## Determining risk neutral probability from call quotes

- ▶ If  $C(K)$  is price of European call with strike price  $K$  and  $f = f_X$  is risk neutral probability density function for  $X$  at time  $T$ , then  $C(K) = e^{-rT} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \max\{0, x - K\} dx$ .

## Determining risk neutral probability from call quotes

- ▶ If  $C(K)$  is price of European call with strike price  $K$  and  $f = f_X$  is risk neutral probability density function for  $X$  at time  $T$ , then  $C(K) = e^{-rT} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \max\{0, x - K\} dx$ .
- ▶ Differentiating under the integral, we find that

$$e^{rT} C'(K) = \int f(x) (-1_{x > K}) dx = -P_{RN}\{X > K\} = F_X(K) - 1,$$

$$e^{rT} C''(K) = f(K).$$

## Determining risk neutral probability from call quotes

- ▶ If  $C(K)$  is price of European call with strike price  $K$  and  $f = f_X$  is risk neutral probability density function for  $X$  at time  $T$ , then  $C(K) = e^{-rT} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \max\{0, x - K\} dx$ .
- ▶ Differentiating under the integral, we find that

$$e^{rT} C'(K) = \int f(x)(-1_{x>K}) dx = -P_{RN}\{X > K\} = F_X(K) - 1,$$

$$e^{rT} C''(K) = f(K).$$

- ▶ We can look up  $C(K)$  for a given stock symbol (say GOOG) and expiration time  $T$  at [cboe.com](http://cboe.com) and work out approximately what  $F_X$  and hence  $f_X$  must be.

## Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.



## Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.
- ▶ “Implied volatility” is the value of  $\sigma$  that (when plugged into Black-Scholes formula along with known parameters) predicts the current market price.

## Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.
- ▶ “Implied volatility” is the value of  $\sigma$  that (when plugged into Black-Scholes formula along with known parameters) predicts the current market price.
- ▶ If Black-Scholes were completely correct, then given a stock and an expiration date, the implied volatility would be the same for all strike prices. In practice, when the implied volatility is viewed as a function of strike price (sometimes called the “volatility smile”), it is not constant.

## Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.

## Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large  $T$ .

## Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large  $T$ .
- ▶ **Replicating portfolio point of view:** in the simple binary tree models (or continuum Brownian models), we can transfer money back and forth between the stock and the risk free asset to ensure our wealth at time  $T$  equals the option payout. Option price is required initial investment, which is risk neutral expectation of payout. “True probabilities” are irrelevant.

## Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large  $T$ .
- ▶ **Replicating portfolio point of view:** in the simple binary tree models (or continuum Brownian models), we can transfer money back and forth between the stock and the risk free asset to ensure our wealth at time  $T$  equals the option payout. Option price is required initial investment, which is risk neutral expectation of payout. “True probabilities” are irrelevant.
- ▶ **Where arguments for assumption break down:** Fluctuation sizes vary from day to day. Prices can have big jumps.

## Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large  $T$ .
- ▶ **Replicating portfolio point of view:** in the simple binary tree models (or continuum Brownian models), we can transfer money back and forth between the stock and the risk free asset to ensure our wealth at time  $T$  equals the option payout. Option price is required initial investment, which is risk neutral expectation of payout. “True probabilities” are irrelevant.
- ▶ **Where arguments for assumption break down:** Fluctuation sizes vary from day to day. Prices can have big jumps.
- ▶ **Fixes:** variable volatility, random interest rates, Lévy jumps....