

18.600: Lecture 36

Call functions and Black-Scholes

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Outline

Call function

Black-Scholes

Outline

Call function

Black-Scholes

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
- ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^{\infty} (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
- ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^{\infty} (1 - F(x)) dx$?
- ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
- ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?
- ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
- ▶ By translation argument, it is also $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
- ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?
- ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
- ▶ By translation argument, it is also $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
- ▶ Note: $C'(x) = -(1 - F(x)) = F(x) - 1$ and $C''(x) = f(x)$.

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
- ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
- ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?
- ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
- ▶ By translation argument, it is also $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
- ▶ Note: $C'(x) = -(1 - F(x)) = F(x) - 1$ and $C''(x) = f(x)$.
- ▶ Let's give C a name: we'll call it the **call function** of X .
 1. $C(K)$ is an expectation: $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
 2. $C(K)$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
 3. $C(K)$ is an anti-anti-derivative of the density function f .

Note that $C(0) = E[X]$ and $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} C(K) = 0$. C is convex with slope increasing from -1 to 0 .

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
 - ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
 - ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?
 - ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
 - ▶ By translation argument, it is also $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
 - ▶ Note: $C'(x) = -(1 - F(x)) = F(x) - 1$ and $C''(x) = f(x)$.
 - ▶ Let's give C a name: we'll call it the **call function** of X .
 1. $C(K)$ is an expectation: $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
 2. $C(K)$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
 3. $C(K)$ is an anti-anti-derivative of the density function f .
- Note that $C(0) = E[X]$ and $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} C(K) = 0$. C is convex with slope increasing from -1 to 0 .
- ▶ So now any random variable X comes with a pdf $f = f_X$, a cdf $F = F_X$ (an anti-derivative of f_X) and this call function $C = C_X$ (an anti-anti-derivative of f).

Call function: pretty cool whether you love finance or not

- ▶ **Recall:** if X is non-negative random variable with cumulative distribution function F , then $\int_0^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx = E[X]$.
 - ▶ So $E[X]$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = 0$.
 - ▶ What is the meaning of $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x)) dx$?
 - ▶ It is area bounded between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
 - ▶ By translation argument, it is also $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
 - ▶ Note: $C'(x) = -(1 - F(x)) = F(x) - 1$ and $C''(x) = f(x)$.
 - ▶ Let's give C a name: we'll call it the **call function** of X .
 1. $C(K)$ is an expectation: $E[\max(X - K, 0)]$.
 2. $C(K)$ is area between $y = F(x)$ and $y = 1$ and $x = K$.
 3. $C(K)$ is an anti-anti-derivative of the density function f .
- Note that $C(0) = E[X]$ and $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} C(K) = 0$. C is convex with slope increasing from -1 to 0 .
- ▶ So now any random variable X comes with a pdf $f = f_X$, a cdf $F = F_X$ (an anti-derivative of f_X) and this call function $C = C_X$ (an anti-anti-derivative of f).
 - ▶ Wonder if C is good for anything....

Goals for today

- ▶ **Define:** $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x))dx = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$

Goals for today

- ▶ **Define:** $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x))dx = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$
- ▶ **Math goal:** understand C and how to compute it the special case that $X = e^N$, where N is a normal random variable.

Goals for today

- ▶ **Define:** $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x))dx = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$
- ▶ **Math goal:** understand C and how to compute it the special case that $X = e^N$, where N is a normal random variable.
- ▶ **Story goal:** give some financial motivation for all of this. Explain what C has to do with *option pricing* and what the special case $X = e^N$ has to do with the *Black-Scholes formula*.

Goals for today

- ▶ **Define:** $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x))dx = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$
- ▶ **Math goal:** understand C and how to compute it the special case that $X = e^N$, where N is a normal random variable.
- ▶ **Story goal:** give some financial motivation for all of this. Explain what C has to do with *option pricing* and what the special case $X = e^N$ has to do with the *Black-Scholes formula*.
- ▶ **Weird fact:** If X is a real world random quantity (such as the price of gold or euros or stock shares at a future date) and we use risk neutral probability, then sometimes the call function C (or a related “put function”) is what we can look up online. One then uses the quoted C values to work out F_X and f_X .

Goals for today

- ▶ **Define:** $C(K) := \int_K^\infty (1 - F(x))dx = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$
- ▶ **Math goal:** understand C and how to compute it the special case that $X = e^N$, where N is a normal random variable.
- ▶ **Story goal:** give some financial motivation for all of this. Explain what C has to do with *option pricing* and what the special case $X = e^N$ has to do with the *Black-Scholes formula*.
- ▶ **Weird fact:** If X is a real world random quantity (such as the price of gold or euros or stock shares at a future date) and we use risk neutral probability, then sometimes the call function C (or a related “put function”) is what we can look up online. One then uses the quoted C values to work out F_X and f_X .
- ▶ **Grand story goal:** Say something about the link between probability and the real world. What is the probability that price of Microsoft stock will rise by more than ten dollars over the next month? What is the probability that price of oil will drop more than ten percent next year? How can I (using internet and math) come up with a reasonable answer?

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays X at time T should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ where E_{RN} denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays X at time T should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ where E_{RN} denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-dividend-paying stock will be worth X at time T , then its price today should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays X at time T should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ where E_{RN} denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-dividend-paying stock will be worth X at time T , then its price today should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Risk neutral probability basically *defined* so price of asset today is e^{-rT} times risk neutral expectation of time T price.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays X at time T should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ where E_{RN} denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-dividend-paying stock will be worth X at time T , then its price today should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Risk neutral probability basically *defined* so price of asset today is e^{-rT} times risk neutral expectation of time T price.
- ▶ In particular, the risk neutral expectation of tomorrow's (interest discounted) stock price is today's stock price.

Asset price as discounted expectation: $X_0 = E_{RN}(X_T)e^{-rT}$

- ▶ If r is risk free interest rate, then by definition, price of a contract paying dollar at time T if A occurs is $P_{RN}(A)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ If A and B are disjoint, what is the price of a contract that pays 2 dollars if A occurs, 3 if B occurs, 0 otherwise?
- ▶ Answer: $(2P_{RN}(A) + 3P_{RN}(B))e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Generally, in absence of arbitrage, price of contract that pays X at time T should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$ where E_{RN} denotes expectation with respect to the risk neutral probability.
- ▶ Example: if a non-dividend-paying stock will be worth X at time T , then its price today should be $E_{RN}(X)e^{-rT}$.
- ▶ Risk neutral probability basically *defined* so price of asset today is e^{-rT} times risk neutral expectation of time T price.
- ▶ In particular, the risk neutral expectation of tomorrow's (interest discounted) stock price is today's stock price.
- ▶ Implies **fundamental theorem of asset pricing**, which says discounted price $\frac{X(n)}{A(n)}$ (where A is a risk-free asset) is a martingale with respect to **risk neutral probability**.

European call options

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS

European call options

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS
--

- ▶ If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. If we use the risk neutral probability measure, then the price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E[g(X)] = e^{-rT} C(K),$$

where C is the call function corresponding to X .

European call options

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS
--

- ▶ If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. If we use the risk neutral probability measure, then the price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E[g(X)] = e^{-rT} C(K),$$

where C is the call function corresponding to X .

- ▶ Recall first-slide observation:

$$C'(K) = F_X(K) - 1 \quad , \quad C''(K) = f_X(K).$$

European call options

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS
--

- ▶ If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. If we use the risk neutral probability measure, then the price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E[g(X)] = e^{-rT} C(K),$$

where C is the call function corresponding to X .

- ▶ Recall first-slide observation:

$$C'(K) = F_X(K) - 1 \quad , \quad C''(K) = f_X(K).$$

- ▶ Can look up $C(K)$ values for stock (say GOOG) at cboe.com, apply smoothing, take derivatives, approximate F_X and f_X .

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .
- ▶ Analysis is basically the same as for call options except that one replaces the “call function” $C(K) = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$ with the “put function” defined by

$$P(K) = E[\max(K - X, 0)].$$

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .
- ▶ Analysis is basically the same as for call options except that one replaces the “call function” $C(K) = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$ with the “put function” defined by

$$P(K) = E[\max(K - X, 0)].$$

- ▶ $\max(a, 0) - \max(-a, 0) = a$. So $C(K) - P(K) = E[X - K]$.

$$P(K) = C(K) - E[X] + K = \int_0^K F(x) dx.$$

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .
- ▶ Analysis is basically the same as for call options except that one replaces the “call function” $C(K) = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$ with the “put function” defined by

$$P(K) = E[\max(K - X, 0)].$$

- ▶ $\max(a, 0) - \max(-a, 0) = a$. So $C(K) - P(K) = E[X - K]$.

$$P(K) = C(K) - E[X] + K = \int_0^K F(x)dx.$$

- ▶ The put function is an anti-anti-derivative of f (like the call function) but it has a slope that increases from 0 to 1 (instead of from -1 to 0) and it satisfies $P(0) = 0$.

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .
- ▶ Analysis is basically the same as for call options except that one replaces the “call function” $C(K) = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$ with the “put function” defined by

$$P(K) = E[\max(K - X, 0)].$$

- ▶ $\max(a, 0) - \max(-a, 0) = a$. So $C(K) - P(K) = E[X - K]$.

$$P(K) = C(K) - E[X] + K = \int_0^K F(x)dx.$$

- ▶ The put function is an anti-anti-derivative of f (like the call function) but it has a slope that increases from 0 to 1 (instead of from -1 to 0) and it satisfies $P(0) = 0$.
- ▶ Many trading platforms sell call and put options side by side.

European put options

- ▶ **European put option** gives holder write to *sell* stock for K dollars at time T .
- ▶ Analysis is basically the same as for call options except that one replaces the “call function” $C(K) = E[\max(X - K, 0)]$ with the “put function” defined by

$$P(K) = E[\max(K - X, 0)].$$

- ▶ $\max(a, 0) - \max(-a, 0) = a$. So $C(K) - P(K) = E[X - K]$.

$$P(K) = C(K) - E[X] + K = \int_0^K F(x)dx.$$

- ▶ The put function is an anti-anti-derivative of f (like the call function) but it has a slope that increases from 0 to 1 (instead of from -1 to 0) and it satisfies $P(0) = 0$.
- ▶ Many trading platforms sell call and put options side by side.
- ▶ For simplicity we focus on call functions in this lecture.

Outline

Call function

Black-Scholes

Outline

Call function

Black-Scholes

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:** N normal $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$ implies $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:** N normal $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$ implies $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** If X_0 is the current price then
$$X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}.$$

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:** N normal $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$ implies $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** If X_0 is the current price then $X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** This implies $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:** N normal $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$ implies $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** If X_0 is the current price then $X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** This implies $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$.
- ▶ **General Black-Scholes conclusion:** If g is any function then the price of a contract that pays $g(X)$ at time T is

$$E[g(e^N)]e^{-rT}$$

where N is normal with mean μ and variance $T\sigma^2$.

Black-Scholes: main assumption and conclusion

- ▶ More famous MIT professors: Black, Scholes, Merton.
- ▶ 1997 Nobel Prize.
- ▶ **Assumption:** the log of an asset price X at fixed future time T is a normal random variable (call it N) with some known variance (call it $T\sigma^2$) and some mean (call it μ) with respect to risk neutral probability.
- ▶ **Observation:** N normal $(\mu, T\sigma^2)$ implies $E[e^N] = e^{\mu + T\sigma^2/2}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** If X_0 is the current price then $X_0 = E_{RN}[X]e^{-rT} = E_{RN}[e^N]e^{-rT} = e^{\mu + (\sigma^2/2 - r)T}$.
- ▶ **Observation:** This implies $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$.
- ▶ **General Black-Scholes conclusion:** If g is any function then the price of a contract that pays $g(X)$ at time T is

$$E[g(e^N)]e^{-rT}$$

where N is normal with mean μ and variance $T\sigma^2$.

- ▶ **Surprise:** No need to guess μ . It is fixed by X_0, r, σ, T .

Black-Scholes for European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS

Black-Scholes for European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS
--

- ▶ **Recall:** If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. Price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E_{RNG}(X) = e^{-rT} C(K).$$

Black-Scholes for European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. SS
--

- ▶ **Recall:** If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. Price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E_{RN} g(X) = e^{-rT} C(K).$$

- ▶ **Black-Scholes:** this is $e^{-rT} E[g(e^N)]$ where N is normal with variance $T\sigma^2$ and mean $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$.

Black-Scholes for European call option

- ▶ A **European call option** on a stock at **maturity date** T , **strike price** K , gives the holder the right (but not obligation) to purchase a share of stock for K dollars at time T .

The document gives the bearer the right to purchase one share of MSFT from me on May 31 for 35 dollars. $\$S$

- ▶ **Recall:** If X is time T stock price, then value of option at time T is $g(X) = \max\{0, X - K\}$. Price now should be

$$e^{-rT} E_{RN} g(X) = e^{-rT} C(K).$$

- ▶ **Black-Scholes:** this is $e^{-rT} E[g(e^N)]$ where N is normal with variance $T\sigma^2$ and mean $\mu = \log X_0 + (r - \sigma^2/2)T$.
- ▶ Write this as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-rT} E[\max\{0, e^N - K\}] &= e^{-rT} E[(e^N - K)1_{N \geq \log K}] \\ &= \frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx. \end{aligned}$$

The famous formula

- ▶ Let T be time to maturity, X_0 current price of underlying asset, K strike price, r risk free interest rate, σ the volatility.

The famous formula

- ▶ Let T be time to maturity, X_0 current price of underlying asset, K strike price, r risk free interest rate, σ the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute $\frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$ where $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$.

The famous formula

- ▶ Let T be time to maturity, X_0 current price of underlying asset, K strike price, r risk free interest rate, σ the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute $\frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$ where $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$.
- ▶ Can use complete-the-square tricks to compute the two terms explicitly in terms of standard normal cumulative distribution function Φ .

The famous formula

- ▶ Let T be time to maturity, X_0 current price of underlying asset, K strike price, r risk free interest rate, σ the volatility.
- ▶ We need to compute $\frac{e^{-rT}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\log K}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2T\sigma^2}} (e^x - K) dx$ where $\mu = rT + \log X_0 - T\sigma^2/2$.
- ▶ Can use complete-the-square tricks to compute the two terms explicitly in terms of standard normal cumulative distribution function Φ .
- ▶ Price of European call is $\Phi(d_1)X_0 - \Phi(d_2)Ke^{-rT}$ where $d_1 = \frac{\ln(\frac{X_0}{K}) + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$ and $d_2 = \frac{\ln(\frac{X_0}{K}) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T)}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$.

Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.

Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.
- ▶ “Implied volatility” is the value of σ that (when plugged into Black-Scholes formula along with known parameters) predicts the current market price.

Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.
- ▶ “Implied volatility” is the value of σ that (when plugged into Black-Scholes formula along with known parameters) predicts the current market price.
- ▶ If Black-Scholes were completely correct, then given a stock and an expiration date, the implied volatility would be the same for all strike prices K . In practice, when the implied volatility is viewed as a function of K (sometimes called the “volatility smile”), it is not constant.

Perspective: implied volatility

- ▶ Risk neutral probability densities derived from call quotes are not quite lognormal in practice. Tails are too fat. Main Black-Scholes assumption is only approximately correct.
- ▶ “Implied volatility” is the value of σ that (when plugged into Black-Scholes formula along with known parameters) predicts the current market price.
- ▶ If Black-Scholes were completely correct, then given a stock and an expiration date, the implied volatility would be the same for all strike prices K . In practice, when the implied volatility is viewed as a function of K (sometimes called the “volatility smile”), it is not constant.
- ▶ Nonetheless, “implied volatility” has become a standard part of the finance lexicon. When traders want to get a rough sense of how a financial derivative is priced, they often ask for the implied volatility (a number automatically computed in many financial software packages).

Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.

Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large T .

Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large T .
- ▶ **Replicating portfolio point of view:** in simple models (e.g., where wealth always goes up or down by fixed factor each day) can transfer money between the stock and the risk free asset to ensure our wealth at time T equals option payout. Option price is required initial investment, which is risk neutral expectation of payout.

Perspective: why is Black-Scholes not exactly right?

- ▶ **Main Black-Scholes assumption:** risk neutral probability densities are lognormal.
- ▶ **Heuristic support for this assumption:** If price goes up 1 percent or down 1 percent each day (with no interest) then the risk neutral probability must be .5 for each (independently of previous days). Central limit theorem gives log normality for large T .
- ▶ **Replicating portfolio point of view:** in simple models (e.g., where wealth always goes up or down by fixed factor each day) can transfer money between the stock and the risk free asset to ensure our wealth at time T equals option payout. Option price is required initial investment, which is risk neutral expectation of payout.
- ▶ **Where arguments for assumption break down:** Fluctuation sizes vary from day to day. Prices can have big jumps. Past volatility does not determine future volatility.
- ▶ **Fixes:** variable volatility, random interest rates, Lévy jumps....