#### 18.600: Lecture 22

## Sums of independent random variables

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions  $f_X$  and  $f_Y$ .

- Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions f<sub>X</sub> and f<sub>Y</sub>.
- ▶ Now let's try to find  $F_{X+Y}(a) = P\{X + Y \le a\}$ .

- Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions f<sub>X</sub> and f<sub>Y</sub>.
- Now let's try to find  $F_{X+Y}(a) = P\{X + Y \le a\}$ .
- ► This is the integral over  $\{(x,y): x+y \leq a\}$  of  $f(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ . Thus,

- Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions f<sub>X</sub> and f<sub>Y</sub>.
- Now let's try to find  $F_{X+Y}(a) = P\{X + Y \le a\}$ .
- ► This is the integral over  $\{(x,y): x+y \leq a\}$  of  $f(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ . Thus,

•

$$P\{X + Y \le a\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{a-y} f_X(x) f_Y(y) dx dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy.$$

- ► Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions f<sub>X</sub> and f<sub>Y</sub>.
- ▶ Now let's try to find  $F_{X+Y}(a) = P\{X + Y \le a\}$ .
- ► This is the integral over  $\{(x,y): x+y \leq a\}$  of  $f(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ . Thus,

•

$$P\{X + Y \le a\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{a-y} f_X(x) f_Y(y) dx dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy.$$

► Differentiating both sides gives

$$f_{X+Y}(a) = \frac{d}{da} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy.$$

- ► Say we have independent random variables X and Y and we know their density functions f<sub>X</sub> and f<sub>Y</sub>.
- ▶ Now let's try to find  $F_{X+Y}(a) = P\{X + Y \le a\}$ .
- ► This is the integral over  $\{(x,y): x+y \le a\}$  of  $f(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$ . Thus,

$$P\{X + Y \le a\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{a-y} f_X(x) f_Y(y) dx dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy.$$

- ▶ Differentiating both sides gives  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \frac{d}{da} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- Latter formula makes some intuitive sense. We're integrating over the set of x, y pairs that add up to a.

# Independent identically distributed (i.i.d.)

► The abbreviation i.i.d. means independent identically distributed.

## Independent identically distributed (i.i.d.)

- ➤ The abbreviation i.i.d. means independent identically distributed.
- It is actually one of the most important abbreviations in probability theory.

# Independent identically distributed (i.i.d.)

- ➤ The abbreviation i.i.d. means independent identically distributed.
- It is actually one of the most important abbreviations in probability theory.
- Worth memorizing.

▶ Suppose that X and Y are i.i.d. and uniform on [0,1]. So  $f_X = f_Y = 1$  on [0,1].

- ▶ Suppose that X and Y are i.i.d. and uniform on [0,1]. So  $f_X = f_Y = 1$  on [0,1].
- ▶ What is the probability density function of X + Y?

- ▶ Suppose that X and Y are i.i.d. and uniform on [0,1]. So  $f_X = f_Y = 1$  on [0,1].
- ▶ What is the probability density function of X + Y?
- $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^1 f_X(a-y)$  which is the length of  $[0,1] \cap [a-1,a]$ .

- ▶ Suppose that X and Y are i.i.d. and uniform on [0,1]. So  $f_X = f_Y = 1$  on [0,1].
- ▶ What is the probability density function of X + Y?
- $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy = \int_0^1 f_X(a-y)$  which is the length of  $[0,1] \cap [a-1,a]$ .
- ▶ That's a when  $a \in [0,1]$  and 2-a when  $a \in [1,2]$  and 0 otherwise.

▶ A geometric random variable X with parameter p has  $P\{X = k\} = (1 - p)^{k-1}p$  for  $k \ge 1$ .

- ▶ A geometric random variable X with parameter p has  $P\{X = k\} = (1 p)^{k-1}p$  for  $k \ge 1$ .
- ▶ Sum *Z* of *n* independent copies of *X*?

- ▶ A geometric random variable X with parameter p has  $P\{X = k\} = (1 p)^{k-1}p$  for  $k \ge 1$ .
- ▶ Sum *Z* of *n* independent copies of *X*?
- ▶ We can interpret Z as time slot where nth head occurs in i.i.d. sequence of p-coin tosses.

- A geometric random variable X with parameter p has  $P\{X=k\}=(1-p)^{k-1}p$  for  $k\geq 1$ .
- ▶ Sum *Z* of *n* independent copies of *X*?
- ▶ We can interpret Z as time slot where nth head occurs in i.i.d. sequence of p-coin tosses.
- ▶ So *Z* is negative binomial (n, p). So  $P\{Z = k\} = \binom{k-1}{n-1} p^{n-1} (1-p)^{k-n} p$ .

▶ Suppose  $X_1, ... X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- ▶ What is the law of  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ?

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, ... X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- ▶ What is the law of  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ?
- ▶ We claimed in an earlier lecture that this was a gamma distribution with parameters  $(\lambda, n)$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, ... X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- ▶ What is the law of  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ?
- ▶ We claimed in an earlier lecture that this was a gamma distribution with parameters  $(\lambda, n)$ .
- ► So  $f_Z(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}$ .

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, ... X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- ▶ What is the law of  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ?
- ▶ We claimed in an earlier lecture that this was a gamma distribution with parameters  $(\lambda, n)$ .
- So  $f_Z(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}$ .
- We argued this point by taking limits of negative binomial distributions. Can we check it directly?

- ▶ Suppose  $X_1, ... X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda$ . So  $f_{X_i}(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .
- ▶ What is the law of  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ?
- ▶ We claimed in an earlier lecture that this was a gamma distribution with parameters  $(\lambda, n)$ .
- ► So  $f_Z(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n)}$ .
- We argued this point by taking limits of negative binomial distributions. Can we check it directly?
- ▶ By induction, would suffice to show that a gamma  $(\lambda, 1)$  plus an independent gamma  $(\lambda, n)$  is a gamma  $(\lambda, n + 1)$ .

▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, X is amount of time till we see s events, and Y is amount of subsequent time till we see t more events.

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, X is amount of time till we see s events, and Y is amount of subsequent time till we see t more events.
- ▶ So  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)}$ .

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, X is amount of time till we see s events, and Y is amount of subsequent time till we see t more events.
- ▶ So  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)}$ .
- Now  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, *X* is amount of time till we see *s* events, and *Y* is amount of subsequent time till we see *t* more events.
- ▶ So  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)}$ .
- Now  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- ▶ Up to an a-independent multiplicative constant, this is

$$\int_0^a e^{-\lambda(a-y)} (a-y)^{s-1} e^{-\lambda y} y^{t-1} dy = e^{-\lambda a} \int_0^a (a-y)^{s-1} y^{t-1} dy.$$

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, *X* is amount of time till we see *s* events, and *Y* is amount of subsequent time till we see *t* more events.
- ▶ So  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)}$ .
- Now  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- ▶ Up to an a-independent multiplicative constant, this is

$$\int_0^a e^{-\lambda(a-y)} (a-y)^{s-1} e^{-\lambda y} y^{t-1} dy = e^{-\lambda a} \int_0^a (a-y)^{s-1} y^{t-1} dy.$$

Letting x = y/a, this becomes  $e^{-\lambda a}a^{s+t-1}\int_0^1 (1-x)^{s-1}x^{t-1}dx$ .

- ▶ Say X is gamma  $(\lambda, s)$ , Y is gamma  $(\lambda, t)$ , and X and Y are independent.
- ▶ Intuitively, *X* is amount of time till we see *s* events, and *Y* is amount of subsequent time till we see *t* more events.
- ▶ So  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y} (\lambda y)^{t-1}}{\Gamma(t)}$ .
- Now  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- ▶ Up to an a-independent multiplicative constant, this is

$$\int_0^a e^{-\lambda(a-y)} (a-y)^{s-1} e^{-\lambda y} y^{t-1} dy = e^{-\lambda a} \int_0^a (a-y)^{s-1} y^{t-1} dy.$$

- Letting x = y/a, this becomes  $e^{-\lambda a}a^{s+t-1}\int_0^1 (1-x)^{s-1}x^{t-1}dx$ .
- ▶ This is (up to multiplicative constant)  $e^{-\lambda a}a^{s+t-1}$ . Constant must be such that integral from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  is 1. Conclude that X + Y is gamma  $(\lambda, s + t)$ .

▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .

▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .

• 
$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1}e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$$
 and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2}e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$ .

- ▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .
- $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \text{ and } f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}.$
- ▶ We just need to compute  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .

- ▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .
- $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} \text{ and } f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}.$
- ▶ We just need to compute  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- We could compute this directly.

- ▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .
- $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1}e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2}e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$ .
- ▶ We just need to compute  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- We could compute this directly.
- ▶ Or we could argue with a multi-dimensional bell curve picture that if X and Y have variance 1 then  $f_{\sigma_1X+\sigma_2Y}$  is the density of a normal random variable (and note that variances and expectations are additive).

- ▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .
- $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1}e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2}e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$ .
- ▶ We just need to compute  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- We could compute this directly.
- ▶ Or we could argue with a multi-dimensional bell curve picture that if X and Y have variance 1 then  $f_{\sigma_1X+\sigma_2Y}$  is the density of a normal random variable (and note that variances and expectations are additive).
- ▶ Or use fact that if  $A_i \in \{-1,1\}$  are i.i.d. coin tosses then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma^2 N} A_i$  is approximately normal with variance  $\sigma^2$  when N is large.

- ▶ X is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , Y is normal with mean zero, variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .
- $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma_1^2}}$  and  $f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_2} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma_2^2}}$ .
- ▶ We just need to compute  $f_{X+Y}(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(a-y) f_Y(y) dy$ .
- We could compute this directly.
- ▶ Or we could argue with a multi-dimensional bell curve picture that if X and Y have variance 1 then  $f_{\sigma_1X+\sigma_2Y}$  is the density of a normal random variable (and note that variances and expectations are additive).
- ▶ Or use fact that if  $A_i \in \{-1,1\}$  are i.i.d. coin tosses then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma^2 N} A_i$  is approximately normal with variance  $\sigma^2$  when N is large.
- ▶ Generally: if independent random variables  $X_j$  are normal  $(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$  then  $\sum_{j=1}^n X_j$  is normal  $(\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2)$ .

▶ Sum of an independent binomial (m, p) and binomial (n, p)?

- ▶ Sum of an independent binomial (m, p) and binomial (n, p)?
- ▶ Yes, binomial (m + n, p). Can be seen from coin toss interpretation.

- ▶ Sum of an independent binomial (m, p) and binomial (n, p)?
- ▶ Yes, binomial (m + n, p). Can be seen from coin toss interpretation.
- ▶ Sum of independent Poisson  $\lambda_1$  and Poisson  $\lambda_2$ ?

- ▶ Sum of an independent binomial (m, p) and binomial (n, p)?
- ▶ Yes, binomial (m + n, p). Can be seen from coin toss interpretation.
- ▶ Sum of independent Poisson  $\lambda_1$  and Poisson  $\lambda_2$ ?
- ▶ Yes, Poisson  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . Can be seen from Poisson point process interpretation.