

# 18.175: Lecture 26

## Last lecture

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Recollections

Strong Markov property

Recollections

Strong Markov property

## More $\sigma$ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$ .

## More $\sigma$ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$ .
- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$

## More $\sigma$ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$ .
- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$
- ▶ Note right continuity:  $\bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^+ = \mathcal{F}_s^+$ .

# More $\sigma$ -algebra thoughts

- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^o = \sigma(B_r : r \leq s)$ .
- ▶ Write  $\mathcal{F}_s^+ = \bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^o$
- ▶ Note right continuity:  $\bigcap_{t>s} \mathcal{F}_t^+ = \mathcal{F}_s^+$ .
- ▶  $\mathcal{F}_s^+$  allows an “infinitesimal peek at future”

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If  $Z$  is bounded and measurable then for all  $s \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If  $Z$  is bounded and measurable then for all  $s \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Proof idea:** Consider case that  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^m f_m(B(t_m))$  and the  $f_m$  are bounded and measurable. Kind of obvious in this case. Then use same measure theory as in Markov property proof to extend general  $Z$ .

- ▶ **Expectation equivalence theorem** If  $Z$  is bounded and measurable then for all  $s \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  have

$$E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o).$$

- ▶ **Proof idea:** Consider case that  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^m f_m(B(t_m))$  and the  $f_m$  are bounded and measurable. Kind of obvious in this case. Then use same measure theory as in Markov property proof to extend general  $Z$ .
- ▶ **Observe:** If  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_s^+$  then  $Z = E_x(Z|\mathcal{F}_s^o)$ . Conclude that  $\mathcal{F}_s^+$  and  $\mathcal{F}_s^o$  agree up to null sets.

## Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$ , then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$  (if  $P$  is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value  $x$  at time 0).

# Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$ , then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$  (if  $P$  is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value  $x$  at time 0).
- ▶ There's nothing you can learn from infinitesimal neighborhood of future.

## Blumenthal's 0-1 law

- ▶ If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$ , then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$  (if  $P$  is probability law for Brownian motion started at fixed value  $x$  at time 0).
- ▶ There's nothing you can learn from infinitesimal neighborhood of future.
- ▶ **Proof:** If we have  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0^+$ , then previous theorem implies

$$1_A = E_x(1_A | \mathcal{F}_0^+) = E_x(1_A | \mathcal{F}_0^o) = P_x(A) \quad P_x \text{ a.s.}$$

- ▶ If  $s \geq 0$  and  $Y$  is bounded and  $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$E_x(Y \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_{B_s} Y,$$

where the RHS is function  $\phi(x) = E_x Y$  evaluated at  $x = B_s$ .

- ▶ If  $s \geq 0$  and  $Y$  is bounded and  $\mathcal{C}$ -measurable, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$E_x(Y \circ \theta_s | \mathcal{F}_s^+) = E_{B_s} Y,$$

where the RHS is function  $\phi(x) = E_x Y$  evaluated at  $x = B_s$ .

- ▶ **Proof idea:** First establish this for some simple functions  $Y$  (depending on finitely many time values) and then use measure theory (monotone class theorem) to extend to general case.

- ▶ If  $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$  then  $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$ .

## More observations

- ▶ If  $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$  then  $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t = 0\}$  then  $P_0(T_0 = 0) = 1$ .

## More observations

- ▶ If  $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t > 0\}$  then  $P_0(\tau = 0) = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $T_0 = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t = 0\}$  then  $P_0(T_0 = 0) = 1$ .
- ▶ If  $B_t$  is Brownian motion started at 0, then so is process defined by  $X_0 = 0$  and  $X_t = tB(1/t)$ . (Proved by checking  $E(X_s X_t) = stE(B(1/s)B(1/t)) = s$  when  $s < t$ . Then check continuity at zero.)

Recollections

Strong Markov property

Recollections

Strong Markov property

- ▶ A random variable  $S$  taking values in  $[0, \infty]$  is a **stopping time** if for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $\{S > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .

- ▶ A random variable  $S$  taking values in  $[0, \infty]$  is a **stopping time** if for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $\{S > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .
- ▶ Distinction between  $\{S < t\}$  and  $\{S \leq t\}$  doesn't make a difference for a right continuous filtration.

# Stopping time

- ▶ A random variable  $S$  taking values in  $[0, \infty]$  is a **stopping time** if for all  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $\{S > t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ .
- ▶ Distinction between  $\{S < t\}$  and  $\{S \leq t\}$  doesn't make a difference for a right continuous filtration.
- ▶ Example: let  $S = \inf\{t : B_t \in A\}$  for some open (or closed) set  $A$ .

## Strong Markov property

- ▶ Let  $(s, \omega) \rightarrow Y_s(\omega)$  be bounded and  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{C}$ -measurable. If  $S$  is a stopping time, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$E_x(Y_S \circ \theta_S | \mathcal{F}_S) = E_{B(S)} Y_S \text{ on } \{S < \infty\},$$

where RHS means function  $\phi(x, t) = E_x Y_t$  evaluated at  $x = B(S)$ , and  $t = S$ .

## Strong Markov property

- ▶ Let  $(s, \omega) \rightarrow Y_s(\omega)$  be bounded and  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{C}$ -measurable. If  $S$  is a stopping time, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$E_x(Y_S \circ \theta_S | \mathcal{F}_S) = E_{B(S)} Y_S \text{ on } \{S < \infty\},$$

where RHS means function  $\phi(x, t) = E_x Y_t$  evaluated at  $x = B(S)$ , and  $t = S$ .

- ▶ In fact, similar result holds for more general Markov processes (Feller processes).

## Strong Markov property

- ▶ Let  $(s, \omega) \rightarrow Y_s(\omega)$  be bounded and  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{C}$ -measurable. If  $S$  is a stopping time, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$E_x(Y_S \circ \theta_S | \mathcal{F}_S) = E_{B(S)} Y_S \text{ on } \{S < \infty\},$$

where RHS means function  $\phi(x, t) = E_x Y_t$  evaluated at  $x = B(S)$ , and  $t = S$ .

- ▶ In fact, similar result holds for more general Markov processes (Feller processes).
- ▶ **Proof idea:** First consider the case that  $S$  a.s. belongs to an increasing countable sequence (e.g.,  $S$  is a.s. a multiple of  $2^{-n}$ ). Then this essentially reduces to discrete Markov property proof. Then approximate a general stopping time by a discrete time by rounding down to multiple of  $2^{-n}$ . Use some continuity estimates, bounded convergence, monotone class theorem to conclude.

## Strong Markov property

- ▶ Let  $(s, \omega) \rightarrow Y_s(\omega)$  be bounded and  $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{C}$ -measurable. If  $S$  is a stopping time, then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$E_x(Y_S \circ \theta_S | \mathcal{F}_S) = E_{B(S)} Y_S \text{ on } \{S < \infty\},$$

where RHS means function  $\phi(x, t) = E_x Y_t$  evaluated at  $x = B(S)$ , and  $t = S$ .

- ▶ In fact, similar result holds for more general Markov processes (Feller processes).
- ▶ **Proof idea:** First consider the case that  $S$  a.s. belongs to an increasing countable sequence (e.g.,  $S$  is a.s. a multiple of  $2^{-n}$ ). Then this essentially reduces to discrete Markov property proof. Then approximate a general stopping time by a discrete time by rounding down to multiple of  $2^{-n}$ . Use some continuity estimates, bounded convergence, monotone class theorem to conclude.
- ▶ Extend optional stopping to continuous martingales similarly.

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  are independent Brownian motions, then is  $B_t\tilde{B}_t$  a martingale?

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  are independent Brownian motions, then is  $B_t\tilde{B}_t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a martingale, then is  $e^{B_t - t/2}$  a martingale?

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  are independent Brownian motions, then is  $B_t\tilde{B}_t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a martingale, then is  $e^{B_t-t/2}$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{C}$  (i.e., real and imaginary parts are independent Brownian motions) and  $f$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , is  $f(B_t)$  a complex martingale?

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  are independent Brownian motions, then is  $B_t\tilde{B}_t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a martingale, then is  $e^{B_t-t/2}$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{C}$  (i.e., real and imaginary parts are independent Brownian motions) and  $f$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , is  $f(B_t)$  a complex martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f$  is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is  $f(B_t)$  a martingale?

# Continuous martingales

- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion, then is  $B_t^2 - t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  and  $\tilde{B}_t$  are independent Brownian motions, then is  $B_t\tilde{B}_t$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a martingale, then is  $e^{B_t-t/2}$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{C}$  (i.e., real and imaginary parts are independent Brownian motions) and  $f$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , is  $f(B_t)$  a complex martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** If  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $f$  is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is  $f(B_t)$  a martingale?
- ▶ **Question:** Suppose  $B_t$  is a one dimensional Brownian motion, and  $g_t : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is determined by solving the ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - 2B_t}, \quad g_0(z) = z.$$

Is  $\arg(g_t(z) - W_t)$  a martingale?

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.
- ▶ Future probability graduate courses include 18.176 and 18.177. (See also statistics courses 18.655 and 18.657.)

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.
- ▶ Future probability graduate courses include 18.176 and 18.177. (See also statistics courses 18.655 and 18.657.)
- ▶ Probability seminar: Mondays at 4:15.

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.
- ▶ Future probability graduate courses include 18.176 and 18.177. (See also statistics courses 18.655 and 18.657.)
- ▶ Probability seminar: Mondays at 4:15.
- ▶ I am happy to help with quals and reading.

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.
- ▶ Future probability graduate courses include 18.176 and 18.177. (See also statistics courses 18.655 and 18.657.)
- ▶ Probability seminar: Mondays at 4:15.
- ▶ I am happy to help with quals and reading.
- ▶ Talk to other friendly postdocs and faculty in probability: Stéphane Benoist, Vadim Gorin, Alexei Borodin, Philippe Rigollet, Elchanan Borodin, Boris Hanin, Alexey Bufetov, etc.

## Farewell... and for future reference..

- ▶ Course has reached finite stopping time. Process goes on.
- ▶ Future probability graduate courses include 18.176 and 18.177. (See also statistics courses 18.655 and 18.657.)
- ▶ Probability seminar: Mondays at 4:15.
- ▶ I am happy to help with quals and reading.
- ▶ Talk to other friendly postdocs and faculty in probability: Stéphane Benoist, Vadim Gorin, Alexei Borodin, Philippe Rigollet, Elchanan Borodin, Boris Hanin, Alexey Bufetov, etc.
- ▶ Thanks for taking the class!