

18.175: Lecture 26

More on martingales

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Recall: conditional expectation

- ▶ Say we're given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$ and a σ -field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_0 , with $E|X| < \infty$. The **conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F}** is a new random variable, which we can denote by $Y = E(X|\mathcal{F})$.

Recall: conditional expectation

- ▶ Say we're given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$ and a σ -field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_0 , with $E|X| < \infty$. The **conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F}** is a new random variable, which we can denote by $Y = E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ We require that Y is \mathcal{F} measurable and that for all A in \mathcal{F} , we have $\int_A X dP = \int_A Y dP$.

Recall: conditional expectation

- ▶ Say we're given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$ and a σ -field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_0 , with $E|X| < \infty$. The **conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F}** is a new random variable, which we can denote by $Y = E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ We require that Y is \mathcal{F} measurable and that for all A in \mathcal{F} , we have $\int_A X dP = \int_A Y dP$.
- ▶ Any Y satisfying these properties is called a **version** of $E(X|\mathcal{F})$.

Recall: conditional expectation

- ▶ Say we're given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$ and a σ -field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_0 , with $E|X| < \infty$. The **conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F}** is a new random variable, which we can denote by $Y = E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ We require that Y is \mathcal{F} measurable and that for all A in \mathcal{F} , we have $\int_A X dP = \int_A Y dP$.
- ▶ Any Y satisfying these properties is called a **version** of $E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Up to redefinition on a measure zero set, the random variable $E(X|\mathcal{F})$ exists and is unique.

Recall: conditional expectation

- ▶ Say we're given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$ and a σ -field $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and a random variable X measurable w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_0 , with $E|X| < \infty$. The **conditional expectation of X given \mathcal{F}** is a new random variable, which we can denote by $Y = E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ We require that Y is \mathcal{F} measurable and that for all A in \mathcal{F} , we have $\int_A X dP = \int_A Y dP$.
- ▶ Any Y satisfying these properties is called a **version** of $E(X|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Up to redefinition on a measure zero set, the random variable $E(X|\mathcal{F})$ exists and is unique.
- ▶ This follows from Radon-Nikodym theorem.

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).
- ▶ If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ then

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).
- ▶ If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ then
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)|\mathcal{F}_2) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).
- ▶ If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ then
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)|\mathcal{F}_2) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_2)|\mathcal{F}_1) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).
- ▶ If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ then
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)|\mathcal{F}_2) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_2)|\mathcal{F}_1) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.
- ▶ Second is kind of interesting: says, after I learn \mathcal{F}_1 , my best guess of what my best guess for X will be after learning \mathcal{F}_2 is simply my current best guess for X .

Conditional expectation observations

- ▶ Linearity: $E(aX + Y|\mathcal{F}) = aE(X|\mathcal{F}) + E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X \leq Y$ then $E(X|\mathcal{F}) \leq E(Y|\mathcal{F})$.
- ▶ If $X_n \geq 0$ and $X_n \uparrow X$ with $EX < \infty$, then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}) \uparrow E(X|\mathcal{F})$ (by dominated convergence).
- ▶ If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ then
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)|\mathcal{F}_2) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.
 - ▶ $E(E(X|\mathcal{F}_2)|\mathcal{F}_1) = E(X|\mathcal{F}_1)$.
- ▶ Second is kind of interesting: says, after I learn \mathcal{F}_1 , my best guess of what my best guess for X will be after learning \mathcal{F}_2 is simply my current best guess for X .
- ▶ Deduce that $E(X|\mathcal{F}_i)$ is a martingale if \mathcal{F}_i is an increasing sequence of σ -algebras and $E(|X|) < \infty$.

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Regular conditional probability

- ▶ Consider probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , a measurable map $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ a σ -field. Then $\mu : \Omega \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **regular conditional distribution for X given \mathcal{G}** if

Regular conditional probability

- ▶ Consider probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , a measurable map $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ a σ -field. Then $\mu : \Omega \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **regular conditional distribution for X given \mathcal{G}** if
 - ▶ For each A , $\omega \rightarrow \mu(\omega, A)$ is a version of $P(X \in A | \mathcal{G})$.

- ▶ Consider probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , a measurable map $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ a σ -field. Then $\mu : \Omega \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **regular conditional distribution for X given \mathcal{G}** if
 - ▶ For each A , $\omega \rightarrow \mu(\omega, A)$ is a version of $P(X \in A | \mathcal{G})$.
 - ▶ For a.e. ω , $A \rightarrow \mu(\omega, A)$ is a probability measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) .

- ▶ Consider probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , a measurable map $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ a σ -field. Then $\mu : \Omega \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a **regular conditional distribution for X given \mathcal{G}** if
 - ▶ For each A , $\omega \rightarrow \mu(\omega, A)$ is a version of $P(X \in A | \mathcal{G})$.
 - ▶ For a.e. ω , $A \rightarrow \mu(\omega, A)$ is a probability measure on (S, \mathcal{S}) .
- ▶ **Theorem:** Regular conditional probabilities exist if (S, \mathcal{S}) is nice.

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

- ▶ Let \mathcal{F}_n be increasing sequence of σ -fields (called a **filtration**).

- ▶ Let \mathcal{F}_n be increasing sequence of σ -fields (called a **filtration**).
- ▶ A sequence X_n is **adapted** to \mathcal{F}_n if $X_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for all n . If X_n is an adapted sequence (with $E|X_n| < \infty$) then it is called a **martingale** if

$$E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$$

for all n . It's a **supermartingale** (resp., **submartingale**) if same thing holds with $=$ replaced by \leq (resp., \geq).

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.

Martingale observations

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n | \mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Follows if $n = m + 1$ by definition; take $n = m + k$ and use induction on k .

Martingale observations

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Follows if $n = m + 1$ by definition; take $n = m + k$ and use induction on k .
- ▶ Similar result holds for submartingales. Also, if X_n is a martingale and $n > m$ then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) = X_m$.

Martingale observations

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Follows if $n = m + 1$ by definition; take $n = m + k$ and use induction on k .
- ▶ Similar result holds for submartingales. Also, if X_n is a martingale and $n > m$ then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) = X_m$.
- ▶ **Claim:** if X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n and ϕ is convex with $E|\phi(X_n)| < \infty$ then $\phi(X_n)$ is a submartingale.

Martingale observations

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Follows if $n = m + 1$ by definition; take $n = m + k$ and use induction on k .
- ▶ Similar result holds for submartingales. Also, if X_n is a martingale and $n > m$ then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) = X_m$.
- ▶ **Claim:** if X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n and ϕ is convex with $E|\phi(X_n)| < \infty$ then $\phi(X_n)$ is a submartingale.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Immediate from Jensen's inequality and martingale definition.

Martingale observations

- ▶ **Claim:** If X_n is a supermartingale then for $n > m$ we have $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) \leq X_m$.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Follows if $n = m + 1$ by definition; take $n = m + k$ and use induction on k .
- ▶ Similar result holds for submartingales. Also, if X_n is a martingale and $n > m$ then $E(X_n|\mathcal{F}_m) = X_m$.
- ▶ **Claim:** if X_n is a martingale w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_n and ϕ is convex with $E|\phi(X_n)| < \infty$ then $\phi(X_n)$ is a submartingale.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Immediate from Jensen's inequality and martingale definition.
- ▶ Example: take $\phi(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$.

Predictable sequence

- ▶ Call H_n **predictable** if each H_{t+n} is \mathcal{F}_{t-1} measurable.

Predictable sequence

- ▶ Call H_n **predictable** if each H_{t+n} is \mathcal{F}_{t-1} measurable.
- ▶ Maybe H_n represents amount of shares of asset investor has at n th stage.

Predictable sequence

- ▶ Call H_n **predictable** if each H_{n+1} is \mathcal{F}_n measurable.
- ▶ Maybe H_n represents amount of shares of asset investor has at n th stage.
- ▶ Write $(H \cdot X)_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m(X_m - X_{m-1})$.

Predictable sequence

- ▶ Call H_n **predictable** if each H_{n+1} is \mathcal{F}_n measurable.
- ▶ Maybe H_n represents amount of shares of asset investor has at n th stage.
- ▶ Write $(H \cdot X)_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m(X_m - X_{m-1})$.
- ▶ **Observe:** If X_n is a supermartingale and the $H_n \geq 0$ are bounded, then $(H \cdot X)_n$ is a supermartingale.

Predictable sequence

- ▶ Call H_n **predictable** if each H_{n+1} is \mathcal{F}_n measurable.
- ▶ Maybe H_n represents amount of shares of asset investor has at n th stage.
- ▶ Write $(H \cdot X)_n = \sum_{m=1}^n H_m(X_m - X_{m-1})$.
- ▶ **Observe:** If X_n is a supermartingale and the $H_n \geq 0$ are bounded, then $(H \cdot X)_n$ is a supermartingale.
- ▶ Example: take $H_n = 1_{N \geq n}$ for stopping time N .

Two big results

- ▶ **Optional stopping theorem:** Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.

Two big results

- ▶ **Optional stopping theorem:** Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.
- ▶ **Proof:** Just a special case of statement about $(H \cdot X)$.

Two big results

- ▶ **Optional stopping theorem:** Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.
- ▶ **Proof:** Just a special case of statement about $(H \cdot X)$.
- ▶ **Martingale convergence:** A non-negative martingale almost surely has a limit.

Two big results

- ▶ **Optional stopping theorem:** Can't make money in expectation by timing sale of asset whose price is non-negative martingale.
- ▶ **Proof:** Just a special case of statement about $(H \cdot X)$.
- ▶ **Martingale convergence:** A non-negative martingale almost surely has a limit.
- ▶ **Idea of proof:** Count upcrossings (times martingale crosses a fixed interval) and devise gambling strategy that makes lots of money if the number of these is not a.s. finite.

- ▶ How many primary candidates ever get above twenty percent in expected probability of victory? (Asked by Aldous.)

- ▶ How many primary candidates ever get above twenty percent in expected probability of victory? (Asked by Aldous.)
- ▶ Compute probability of having conditional probability reach a before b .

- ▶ **Wald's equation:** Let X_i be i.i.d. with $E|X_i| < \infty$. If N is a stopping time with $EN < \infty$ then $ES_N = EX_1EN$.

- ▶ **Wald's equation:** Let X_j be i.i.d. with $E|X_j| < \infty$. If N is a stopping time with $EN < \infty$ then $ES_N = EX_1EN$.
- ▶ **Wald's second equation:** Let X_j be i.i.d. with $E|X_j| = 0$ and $EX_j^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$. If N is a stopping time with $EN < \infty$ then $ES_N = \sigma^2EN$.

- ▶ $S_0 = a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and at each time step S_j independently changes by ± 1 according to a fair coin toss. Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $N = \inf\{k : S_k \in \{0, A\}\}$. What is $\mathbb{E}S_N$?

Wald applications to SRW

- ▶ $S_0 = a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and at each time step S_j independently changes by ± 1 according to a fair coin toss. Fix $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $N = \inf\{k : S_k \in \{0, A\}\}$. What is $\mathbb{E}S_N$?
- ▶ What is $\mathbb{E}N$?

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Outline

Conditional expectation

Regular conditional probabilities

Martingales

Arcsin law, other SRW stories

Reflection principle

- ▶ How many walks from $(0, x)$ to (n, y) that don't cross the horizontal axis?

Reflection principle

- ▶ How many walks from $(0, x)$ to (n, y) that don't cross the horizontal axis?
- ▶ Try counting walks that *do* cross by giving bijection to walks from $(0, -x)$ to (n, y) .

Ballot Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that in election candidate A gets α votes and B gets $\beta < \alpha$ votes. What's probability that A is ahead throughout the counting?

Ballot Theorem

- ▶ Suppose that in election candidate A gets α votes and B gets $\beta < \alpha$ votes. What's probability that A is ahead throughout the counting?
- ▶ Answer: $(\alpha - \beta)/(\alpha + \beta)$. Can be proved using reflection principle.

- ▶ Theorem for last hitting time.

Arcsin theorem

- ▶ Theorem for last hitting time.
- ▶ Theorem for amount of positive time.