## 18.175: Lecture 14

# Weak convergence and characteristic functions

Scott Sheffield

MIT

## Outline

Weak convergence

## Outline

Weak convergence

▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n \to F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n \to Y_\infty$  almost surely.

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n o F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n o Y_\infty$  almost surely.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Take  $\Omega = (0,1)$  and  $Y_n = \sup\{y : F_n(y) < x\}$ .

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n o F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n o Y_\infty$  almost surely.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Take  $\Omega = (0,1)$  and  $Y_n = \sup\{y : F_n(y) < x\}$ .
- ▶ **Theorem:**  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  if and only if for every bounded continuous g we have  $Eg(X_n) \to Eg(X_\infty)$ .

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n \to F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n \to Y_\infty$  almost surely.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Take  $\Omega = (0,1)$  and  $Y_n = \sup\{y : F_n(y) < x\}$ .
- ▶ **Theorem:**  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  if and only if for every bounded continuous g we have  $Eg(X_n) \to Eg(X_\infty)$ .
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Define  $X_n$  on common sample space so converge a.s., use bounded convergence theorem.

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n o F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n o Y_\infty$  almost surely.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Take  $\Omega = (0,1)$  and  $Y_n = \sup\{y : F_n(y) < x\}$ .
- ▶ **Theorem:**  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  if and only if for every bounded continuous g we have  $Eg(X_n) \to Eg(X_\infty)$ .
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Define  $X_n$  on common sample space so converge a.s., use bounded convergence theorem.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose g is measurable and its set of discontinuity points has  $\mu_X$  measure zero. Then  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  implies  $g(X_n) \Longrightarrow g(X)$ .

- ▶ **Theorem:** If  $F_n o F_\infty$ , then we can find corresponding random variables  $Y_n$  on a common measure space so that  $Y_n o Y_\infty$  almost surely.
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Take  $\Omega = (0,1)$  and  $Y_n = \sup\{y : F_n(y) < x\}$ .
- ▶ **Theorem:**  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  if and only if for every bounded continuous g we have  $Eg(X_n) \to Eg(X_\infty)$ .
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Define  $X_n$  on common sample space so converge a.s., use bounded convergence theorem.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Suppose g is measurable and its set of discontinuity points has  $\mu_X$  measure zero. Then  $X_n \Longrightarrow X_\infty$  implies  $g(X_n) \Longrightarrow g(X)$ .
- ▶ **Proof idea:** Define  $X_n$  on common sample space so converge a.s., use bounded convergence theorem.

▶ **Theorem:** Every sequence  $F_n$  of distribution has subsequence converging to right continuous nondecreasing F so that  $\lim F_{n(k)}(y) = F(y)$  at all continuity points of F.

- ▶ **Theorem:** Every sequence  $F_n$  of distribution has subsequence converging to right continuous nondecreasing F so that  $\lim F_{n(k)}(y) = F(y)$  at all continuity points of F.
- ▶ Limit may not be a distribution function.

- ▶ **Theorem:** Every sequence  $F_n$  of distribution has subsequence converging to right continuous nondecreasing F so that  $\lim F_{n(k)}(y) = F(y)$  at all continuity points of F.
- Limit may not be a distribution function.
- Need a "tightness" assumption to make that the case. Say  $\mu_n$  are **tight** if for every  $\epsilon$  we can find an M so that  $\mu_n[-M,M] < \epsilon$  for all n. Define tightness analogously for corresponding real random variables or distributions functions.

- ▶ **Theorem:** Every sequence  $F_n$  of distribution has subsequence converging to right continuous nondecreasing F so that  $\lim F_{n(k)}(y) = F(y)$  at all continuity points of F.
- Limit may not be a distribution function.
- Need a "tightness" assumption to make that the case. Say  $\mu_n$  are **tight** if for every  $\epsilon$  we can find an M so that  $\mu_n[-M,M] < \epsilon$  for all n. Define tightness analogously for corresponding real random variables or distributions functions.
- ▶ **Theorem:** Every subsequential limit of the *F<sub>n</sub>* above is the distribution function of a probability measure if and only if the *F<sub>n</sub>* are tight.

If we have two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we define the **total variation distance** between them is  $||\mu - \nu|| := \sup_{B} |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|$ .

If we have two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we define the **total variation distance** between them is  $||\mu - \nu|| := \sup_{B} |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|$ .

Intuitively, it two measures are close in the total variation sense, then (most of the time) a sample from one measure looks like a sample from the other.

If we have two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we define the **total variation distance** between them is  $||\mu - \nu|| := \sup_{B} |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|$ .

- Intuitively, it two measures are close in the total variation sense, then (most of the time) a sample from one measure looks like a sample from the other.
- ► Corresponds to *L*<sub>1</sub> distance between density functions when these exist.

- If we have two probability measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we define the **total variation distance** between them is  $||\mu \nu|| := \sup_{B} |\mu(B) \nu(B)|.$
- Intuitively, it two measures are close in the total variation sense, then (most of the time) a sample from one measure looks like a sample from the other.
- ► Corresponds to *L*<sub>1</sub> distance between density functions when these exist.
- Convergence in total variation norm is much stronger than weak convergence. Discrete uniform random variable  $U_n$  on  $(1/n, 2/n, 3/n, \ldots, n/n)$  converges weakly to uniform random variable U on [0,1]. But total variation distance between  $U_n$  and U is 1 for all n.

#### 18.175 Lecture 14

## Outline

Weak convergence

## Outline

Weak convergence

▶ Let *X* be a random variable.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- ▶ Characteristic function  $\phi_X$  similar to moment generating function  $M_X$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- ▶ Characteristic function  $\phi_X$  similar to moment generating function  $M_X$ .
- $\phi_{X+Y} = \phi_X \phi_Y$ , just as  $M_{X+Y} = M_X M_Y$ , if X and Y are independent.

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- ▶ Characteristic function  $\phi_X$  similar to moment generating function  $M_X$ .
- $\phi_{X+Y} = \phi_X \phi_Y$ , just as  $M_{X+Y} = M_X M_Y$ , if X and Y are independent.
- ▶ And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- ▶ Characteristic function  $\phi_X$  similar to moment generating function  $M_X$ .
- $\phi_{X+Y} = \phi_X \phi_Y$ , just as  $M_{X+Y} = M_X M_Y$ , if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- ▶ And if X has an mth moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .

- Let X be a random variable.
- ► The **characteristic function** of X is defined by  $\phi(t) = \phi_X(t) := E[e^{itX}].$
- ▶ Recall that by definition  $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$ .
- ▶ Characteristic function  $\phi_X$  similar to moment generating function  $M_X$ .
- $\phi_{X+Y} = \phi_X \phi_Y$ , just as  $M_{X+Y} = M_X M_Y$ , if X and Y are independent.
- And  $\phi_{aX}(t) = \phi_X(at)$  just as  $M_{aX}(t) = M_X(at)$ .
- ▶ And if X has an mth moment then  $E[X^m] = i^m \phi_X^{(m)}(0)$ .
- Characteristic functions are well defined at all t for all random variables X.

$$\phi(0) = 1$$

$$\phi(0) = 1$$

$$\phi(0) = 1$$

$$\phi(-t) = \overline{\phi(t)}$$

- $\phi(0) = 1$
- $\qquad \phi(-t) = \overline{\phi(t)}$
- $|\phi(t)| = |Ee^{itX}| \le E|e^{itX}| = 1.$

- $\phi(0) = 1$
- $\phi(-t) = \overline{\phi(t)}$
- $|\phi(t)| = |Ee^{itX}| \le E|e^{itX}| = 1.$
- ▶  $|\phi(t+h) \phi(t)| \le E|e^{ihX} 1|$ , so  $\phi(t)$  uniformly continuous on  $(-\infty, \infty)$

- $\phi(0) = 1$
- $\phi(-t) = \overline{\phi(t)}$
- $|\phi(t)| = |Ee^{itX}| \le E|e^{itX}| = 1.$
- ▶  $|\phi(t+h) \phi(t)| \le E|e^{ihX} 1|$ , so  $\phi(t)$  uniformly continuous on  $(-\infty, \infty)$
- $ightharpoonup Ee^{it(aX+b)} = e^{itb}\phi(at)$

► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$

- ▶ Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$
- ▶ Why does doubling  $\lambda$  amount to squaring  $\phi_X$ ?

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$
- Why does doubling  $\lambda$  amount to squaring  $\phi_X$ ?
- ▶ **Normal:** If X is standard normal, then  $\phi_X(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$ .

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$
- ▶ Why does doubling  $\lambda$  amount to squaring  $\phi_X$ ?
- ▶ **Normal:** If X is standard normal, then  $\phi_X(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$ .
- ▶ Is  $\phi_X$  always real when the law of X is symmetric about zero?

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$
- ▶ Why does doubling  $\lambda$  amount to squaring  $\phi_X$ ?
- ▶ **Normal:** If *X* is standard normal, then  $\phi_X(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$ .
- ▶ Is  $\phi_X$  always real when the law of X is symmetric about zero?
- **Exponential:** If X is standard exponential (density  $e^{-x}$  on  $(0,\infty)$ ) then  $\phi_X(t)=1/(1-it)$ .

- ► Coin: If P(X = 1) = P(X = -1) = 1/2 then  $\phi_X(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})/2 = \cos t$ .
- ► That's periodic. Do we always have periodicity if *X* is a random integer?
- ▶ **Poisson:** If X is Poisson with parameter  $\lambda$  then  $\phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{itk}}{k!} = \exp(\lambda(e^{it} 1)).$
- Why does doubling  $\lambda$  amount to squaring  $\phi_X$ ?
- ▶ **Normal:** If X is standard normal, then  $\phi_X(t) = e^{-t^2/2}$ .
- ▶ Is  $\phi_X$  always real when the law of X is symmetric about zero?
- **Exponential:** If X is standard exponential (density  $e^{-x}$  on  $(0,\infty)$ ) then  $\phi_X(t)=1/(1-it)$ .
- ▶ Bilateral exponential: if  $f_X(t) = e^{-|x|}/2$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  then  $\phi_X(t) = 1/(1+t^2)$ . Use linearity of  $f_X \to \phi_X$ .