

A Survey of Promotion and Evacuation

Richard P. Stanley
M.I.T.

$$w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \quad w \xrightarrow{\text{rsk}} (P, Q)$$


$$w^r := a_n \cdots a_2 a_1 \xrightarrow{\text{rsk}} (P^t, (Q\epsilon)^t)$$

Note. $Q\epsilon\epsilon = Q$


Schützenberger (1971): “direct” description of $Q\epsilon$

(1972): extended to any linear extension f of a finite poset P

The promotion operator ∂



The evacuation operator ϵ



∂ and ϵ are bijections on $\mathcal{L}(P)$, the set of linear extensions of P

Dual promotion ∂^* : remove **largest** label and slide up, etc.

Clear: $\partial^{-1} = \partial^*$

Dual evacuation ϵ^* : evacuate from top, etc.

Theorem (Schützenberger).

- (a) $\epsilon^2 = 1$
- (b) $\partial^p = \epsilon\epsilon^*$, where $\textcolor{red}{p} = \#P$
- (c) $\partial\epsilon = \epsilon\partial^{-1}$
- (d) omitted

Restatement. ϵ and ϵ^* generate a dihedral group D (possibly isomorphic to $\{1\}$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$). If not $\epsilon = \epsilon^* = 1$, then $\#D = 2m$, where $m = \text{ord}(\partial^p)$.

$\textcolor{red}{M}$ = monoid, $\textcolor{red}{\tau_1}, \dots, \textcolor{red}{\tau_{p-1}} \in M$

$$\tau_i^2 = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p - 1$$

$$\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i, \quad \text{if } |j - i| > 1.$$

Define $\delta_j, \delta_j^*, \gamma_j, \gamma_j^* \in M$ by

$$\delta_j = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_j$$

$$\delta_j^* = \tau_j \tau_{j-1} \cdots \tau_1 \quad (= \delta_j^{-1})$$

$$\gamma_j = \delta_j \delta_{j-1} \cdots \delta_1$$

$$\gamma_j^* = \delta_j^* \delta_{j-1}^* \cdots \delta_1^*.$$

Lemma. For $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$:

$$(a) \gamma_j^2 = (\gamma_j^*)^2 = 1$$

$$(b) \delta_j^{j+1} = \gamma_j \gamma_j^*$$


$$(c) \delta_j \gamma_j = \gamma_j \delta_j^{-1}.$$

Proof. Formal consequence of the relations. E.g., write $\textcolor{red}{i}$ for τ_i . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3^2 &= (123121)^2 \\ &= 1231 \underbrace{2}_{\substack{\text{11} \\ \text{13}}} \underbrace{2}_{\substack{\text{31} \\ \text{13}}} 3121 \\ &= 12 \underbrace{31}_{\substack{\text{33} \\ \text{13}}} 3121 \\ &= 1 \underbrace{21}_{\substack{\text{33} \\ \text{121}}} 1 \\ &= \text{id.} \end{aligned}$$

Malvenuto-Reutenauer:

Regard a linear extension f of P as a **word** t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p , i.e., a permutation of the elements of P .



$b \ d \ a \ e \ c$

For $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ define operators
 $\tau_i: \mathcal{L}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(P)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_i(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_p) \\ = & \begin{cases} u_1 u_2 \cdots u_p, & \text{if } u_i < u_{i+1} \text{ in } P \\ u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{i+1} u_i \cdots u_p, & \text{if } u_i \parallel u_{i+1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Clear: τ_i is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i^2 &= 1 \\ \tau_i \tau_j &= \tau_j \tau_i, \quad |j - i| > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial &= \delta_{p-1} := \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_{p-1} \\ (\text{so } \gamma &= \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (Schützenberger)

- (a) $\epsilon^2 = 1$
- (b) $\partial^p = \epsilon^* \epsilon$, where $\textcolor{red}{p} = \#P$
- (c) $\partial \epsilon = \epsilon \partial^{-1}$

Self-evacuating linear extensions

self-evacuating $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$:

$$f = f\epsilon$$

order ideal I $\subseteq P$:

$$t \in I, s < t \Rightarrow s \in I$$

dual P -domino tableau: chain

$$\emptyset = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_r = P$$

of order ideals I_i such that

$I_i - I_{i-1}$ = 2-element chain, $2 \leq i \leq r$

I_1 = 1 or 2-element chain,

so $r = \lceil p/2 \rceil$.

Let P be a **natural partial order** on $[p]$, i.e.,


$$i \stackrel{P}{<} j \Rightarrow i \stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{<} j.$$

For $f = t_1 \cdots t_p \in \mathcal{L}(P) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_p$, define

$$\textbf{comaj}(f) = \sum_{i : t_i > t_{i+1}} (p - i).$$

Theorem. The following are equal.

- (1) $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}(P)} (-1)^{\text{comaj}(f)}$.
- (2) the number of dual P -domino tableaux
- (3) the number of self-evacuating linear extensions of P



| f | $\text{comaj}(f)$ |
|---------|-------------------|
| 1 2 3 4 | 0 |
| 2 1 3 4 | 3 |
| 1 2 4 3 | 1 |
| 2 1 4 3 | 4 |
| 2 4 1 3 | 2 |

$$(-1)^0 + (-1)^3 + (-1)^1 + (-1)^4 + (-1)^2 = 1$$

dual P -domino tableau : $\emptyset \subset \{2, 4\} \subset P$

$$1243\epsilon = 1243$$

- (1) $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}(P)} (-1)^{\text{comaj}(f)}$
- (2) # dual P -domino tableaux
- (3) # self-evacuating $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$

Idea of proof. (1)=(2) Simple involution argument (2005).

(2)=(3) Follows from: f is a dual domino linear extension if and only if

$$f\tau_1\cdot\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1\cdot\tau_5\tau_4\tau_3\tau_2\tau_1 \cdots \tau_m\tau_{m-1}\cdots\tau_1$$

is self-evacuating, where $m = p - 1$ if p is even, and $m = p - 2$ if p is odd. Proved by an elementary formal argument.

Proved by Stembridge (1996) and Berenstein-Kirillov (2000) for SYT. Above argument follows Berenstein-Kirillov.

When is $D = \langle \epsilon, \epsilon^* \rangle$ “nice”?


Recall: $\partial^p = \epsilon\epsilon^*$, where $p = \#P$

Schützenberger (1977), Edelman-Greene(1987), Haiman(1992):

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

$$f\partial^p = f$$


$$D \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$



$$f\partial^p = f^t \text{ (transpose)}$$


$$D \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & \\ \hline 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array}
 \quad \circlearrowleft^6 = \quad
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 6 & \\ \hline 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$



$$f\partial^p = f$$

$$D \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$



$$f\partial^p = f$$

$$D \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

Cyclic sieving

Let $\mathbf{p} = mn$, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{n}$ ($m \times n$ rectangle).

$$f = \begin{matrix} & 1 & 3 & 4 & 8 \\ 2 & 5 & \textcolor{red}{6} & \textcolor{red}{11} \\ & 7 & 9 & 10 & 12 \end{matrix}$$

$$\mathbf{maj}(f) = 1 + 4 + 6 + 11 = 22$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{q}) &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{L}(P)} q^{\text{maj}(f)} \\ &= \frac{q^*(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^p)}{\prod_{t \in P}(1-q^{h(t)})}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$$

Recall for any $f \in \mathcal{L}(P)$: $f\partial^p = f$.

Theorem (Rhoades, 2007) For any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\#\{f \in \mathcal{L}(P) : f = f\partial^d\} = F(\zeta^d).$$

Is there a simpler proof?

Generalizations

order ideal $\textcolor{red}{I} \subseteq P$:

$$t \in I, s < t \Rightarrow s \in I$$

$\textcolor{red}{J(P)}$: poset of order ideals of P , ordered by inclusion (= finite **distributive lattice**)

maximal chain of $J(P)$:

$$\textcolor{red}{m} : \emptyset = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_p = P$$

corresponds to linear extension t_1, \dots, t_p
via $t_i \in I_i - I_{i-1}$.


Transferred action of $\tau_i: \mathcal{L}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(P)$
on set $\mathfrak{M}(J(P))$ of maximal chains of
 $J(P)$:

$$\mathfrak{m}\tau_i = \mathfrak{m},$$

if $[I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}] = \{t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}\}$.

$$\mathfrak{m}\tau_i = \mathfrak{m} \cup \{t'_i\} - \{t_i\},$$

if $[I_{i-1}, I_{i+1}] = \{t_{i-1}, t_i, t'_i, t_{i+1}\}$.



P : any graded poset such that

$$\#[s, t] = 3, 4 \text{ if } \ell(s, t) = 2$$

E.g., Eulerian poset (face lattices of convex polytopes, intervals in Bruhat order, etc.)

$$\mathfrak{M}(P) = \{\text{maximal chains}\}$$

Define

$$\tau_{\textcolor{red}{i}} : \mathfrak{M}(P) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(P)$$

as above, viz., if $\mathfrak{m} : t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$ is a maximal chain and $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, then

$$\mathfrak{m}\tau_i = \mathfrak{m}, \text{ if } [t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}] = \{t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}\tau_i &= \mathfrak{m} - \{t_i\} \cup \{t'_i\}, \\ &\text{if } [t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}] = \{t_{i-1}, t_i, t'_i, t_{i+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define as before

$$\partial_{\textcolor{red}{j}} = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_j$$

$$\partial_{\textcolor{red}{j}}^* = \tau_j \tau_{j-1} \cdots \tau_1 \quad (= \partial_j^{-1})$$

$$\epsilon_{\textcolor{red}{j}} = \partial_j \partial_{j-1} \cdots \partial_1$$

$$\epsilon_{\textcolor{red}{j}}^* = \partial_j^* \partial_{j-1}^* \cdots \partial_1^*.$$

Since

$$\tau_i^2 = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p - 1$$


$$\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i, \quad \text{if } |j - i| > 1,$$

Schützenberger's results hold, i.e.,


(a) $\epsilon^2 = 1$

(b) $\partial^p = \epsilon \epsilon^*$, where $\textcolor{red}{p} = \ell(P)$


(c) $\partial \epsilon = \epsilon \partial^{-1}$




f




$$f\tau_1$$




$$f\tau_1\tau_2$$




$$f\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3 = f\partial$$



$$f\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau_1$$




$$f\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau_1\tau_2$$



$$f\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 = f\epsilon$$

$$\epsilon^2 = 1$$



$$f\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3 = \tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 = f\epsilon$$

$$\epsilon^2 = 1$$

For the face lattice of the n -cube, a maximal chain corresponds to a **signed permutation** $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, e.g., $4\overline{2}\overline{5}3\overline{1}$. Then


$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \epsilon = \overline{a_n} \cdots \overline{2} \overline{1}$$

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \epsilon^* = a_{n-1} \cdots a_2 a_1 \overline{a_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \epsilon \epsilon^* &= a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \partial^{n+1} \\ &= \overline{a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{n-1}} a_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ord}(\epsilon \epsilon^*) = \begin{cases} 2n, & n \text{ even} \\ n, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

What about more general posets?



Need to work in $\mathbb{Q}\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{P})$, the \mathbb{Q} -vector space with basis $\mathfrak{M}(P)$. Let

$$\textcolor{red}{m} : t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_p.$$

Want

$$m\tau_i = \alpha m + \beta \sum_{\substack{m' \neq m \\ m \cap m' = m - \{t_i\}}} m'.$$

Let

$$\textcolor{red}{q+1} = \#\{t : t_{i-1} < t < t_{i+1}\}.$$

$$\tau_i^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \beta = 0 \quad (\text{trivial})$$

$$\text{or } \alpha = \pm \frac{q-1}{q+1}, \quad \beta = \pm \frac{2}{q+1}.$$

Special case. $P = \mathbf{B}_p(q)$, the lattice of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^p .

$q = 1, P = B_p$:

maximal chain $\leftrightarrow w = a_1 \cdots a_p \in \mathfrak{S}_p$

$$w\epsilon = a_p \cdots a_1.$$

For $B_p(q)$, equivalent to expanding

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 E_2 \cdots E_{p-1} E_1 E_2 \cdots E_{p-2} \cdots E_1 E_2 E_1 \\ = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_p} c_w(q) T_w, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}_i = \frac{1}{q+1}(q-1-2T_i)$$

in the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_p(q)$ of \mathfrak{S}_p .

Generators: of $\mathcal{H}_p(q)$: T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{p-1}

Relations:

$$\begin{aligned}(T_i - 1)(T_i + q) &= 0 \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, \quad |i - j| \geq 2 \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}\end{aligned}$$

In general, $c_w(q)$ is not “nice,” though many values are nice.

Theorem. $c_{\text{id}}(q) = \left(\frac{1-q}{1+q}\right)^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}$