$\boldsymbol{S_n}$: symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$

$\boldsymbol{\ell(w)} = \#\{(i,j) \,:\, i < j, w(i) > w(j)\}$

$\boldsymbol{s_i} = (i, i+1)$ (**adjacent transposition**)

$\boldsymbol{W(S_n)}$: **weak (Bruhat) order** on S_n, with cover relations:

$$\boldsymbol{u} \lessdot^* \boldsymbol{v} \text{ if } v = us_i,\ \ell(v) = 1 + \ell(u)$$

$\boldsymbol{S_n}$: **(strong) Bruhat order** on S_n, with cover relations:

$$\boldsymbol{u} \lessdot \boldsymbol{v} \text{ if } v = u(i,j),\ \ell(v) = 1 + \ell(u)$$

$$u = 6\underbrace{27184}_{\text{all } < 2 \text{ or } > 4}53 \lessdot 64718253 = u(2,6)$$


321
231
312
213
132
123


4321
3421
4231
4312
2431
4132
3412
3241
4213
1432
3142
2341
4123
2413
3214
1342
1423
2143
3124
2314
1243
1324
2134
1234
S4

S_n is a graded poset, where $\mathrm{rank}(w) = \ell(w)$. Thus the **rank-generating function** of S_n is given by

$$\boldsymbol{F(S_n, q)} := \sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\mathrm{rank}(w)}$$

$$= (1+q)(1+q+q^2)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}).$$

Motivation. Let K be a field and

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}(K^n)} = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})/B$$

the set of all (complete) **flags**

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_n = K^n$$

of subspaces of K^n (so $\dim V_i = i$).

For every such flag F, there are unique vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in K^n$ such that:

- $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$ is a basis for V_i
- The $n \times n$ matrix with rows $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ has the form

$$\begin{matrix} * & * & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & * & \mathbf{0} & * & * & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & * & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & * & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{matrix}.$$

The positions of the **1**'s define a permutation $\boldsymbol{w_F} = 316452$. The number of $*$'s is $\ell(w_F)$.

For $w \in S_n$ define the **Bruhat cell**

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega_w} = \{F \in \mathcal{F}(K^n) \,:\, w = w_F\}.$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{F}(K^n) = \bigsqcup_{w \in S_n} \Omega_w,$$

the **Bruhat decomposition** of $\mathcal{F}(K^n)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 1 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 1 \\ * & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\overline{\Omega}_w$: **closed** Bruhat cell

Theorem (Ehresmann, 1934)

$$\overline{\Omega}_v \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_w \Leftrightarrow v \leq w$$

(Bruhat order).

Example. $213 < 312$

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad < \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & * & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} ax & x & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \overset{x \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Topology of the Bruhat order

$\boldsymbol{P}$: finite poset

Define the **Möbius function**

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} : P \times P \to \mathbb{Z}$$

recursively by:

$$\mu(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ unless } x \leq y \\ 1, \ x = y \\ -\sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu(x,z), \ x < y \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$x < y \Rightarrow \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} \mu(x,z) = 0.$$



Theorem (Verma, 1971) *For $v \leq w$ in S_n we have*

$$\mu(v, w) = (-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(v)}.$$

For $x \leq y$ in any finite poset P, let $\boldsymbol{c_i}$ be the number of chains

$$x < x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_i < y,$$

with $c_{-1} = 1$.

Theorem (P. Hall, 1936)

$$\mu(x, y) = -c_{-1} + c_0 - c_1 + c_2 - \cdots$$

order complex $\boldsymbol{\Delta(x, y)}$: the abstract simplicial complex on the set

$$\boldsymbol{(x, y)} = \{z \in P : x < z < y\}$$

whose faces (simplices) are the chains in (x, y).

P. Hall's theorem restated:

$$\mu(x, y) = \boldsymbol{\tilde{\chi}}(\Delta(x, y)),$$

the **reduced Euler characteristic** of $\Delta(x, y)$.





$\Delta(x,y)$

Verma's theorem on μ for S_n suggests:

Conjecture. For all $v \leq w$ in S_n, $\Delta(x, y)$ is a triangulation of a sphere.

Note. Given an abstract simplicial complex Δ, it is **undecidable** whether Δ triangulates a sphere.

Basic tool: **lexicographic shellability** (Björner, Wachs). Let P be a finite graded poset with $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$, with

$$\mu(x, y) = (-1)^{\text{rank}(y)-\text{rank}(x)} \quad \forall x \leq y$$

(i.e., P is **Eulerian**). Let

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} : \mathcal{E}_P \to \{1, 2, , \ldots\}$$

be a labeling of the edges of the (Hasse) diagram of P satisfying

- For all $x < y$, $\exists$ a unique saturated **increasing** chain

$$\boldsymbol{C} \ : \ x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \cdots \prec x_r = y, \ \text{i.e.},$$

$$\lambda(x_0, x_1) \leq \lambda(x_1, x_2) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda(x_{r-1}, x_r).$$

- The label sequence of C lexicographically precedes that of all other saturated chains from x to y.

Call λ an **EL-labeling**.

Theorem (Björner) *Let P be a finite Eulerian poset with an EL-labeling. Then for all $x < y$ in P, $\Delta(x, y)$ triangulates a sphere.*



First EL-labeling of S_n due to Edelman (1981): Let $\boldsymbol{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{\binom{n}{2}}}$ be the transpositions in S_n in lexicographic order. E.g., $n = 4$:

$$\tau_1 = (1,2),\ \tau_2 = (1,3), \tau_3 = (1,4)$$

$$\tau_4 = (2,3),\ \tau_5 = (2,4), \tau_6 = (3,4)$$

Let $w \succ v$ in S_n. Define

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda(v,w)} = j \ \text{ if } \ \tau_j v = w.$$

Theorem (Edelman). *λ is an EL-labeling of S_n, so $\forall\, v < w$ in S_n, $\Delta(v,w)$ triangulates a sphere.*


321
1
3
231
312
3
2
2
1
213
132
1
3
123

Counting maximal chains in S_n

(with A. Postnikov)

Let $u \lessdot^* us_i$ in $W(S_n)$. Define

$$\boldsymbol{m^*}(u, us_i) = i.$$

If

$$C : u_0 \lessdot^* u_1 \lessdot^* u_2 \lessdot^* \cdots \lessdot^* u_k$$

in $W(S_n)$, then define

$$\boldsymbol{m^*_C} = m^*(u_0, u_1)m^*(u_1, u_2) \cdots m^*(u_{k-1}, u_k).$$

Similarly let $u \lessdot u(i, j)$ in S_n, and define

$$\boldsymbol{m}(u, u(i, j)) = j - i.$$

If

$$C : u_0 \lessdot u_1 \lessdot u_2 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot u_k$$

in S_n, then define

$$\boldsymbol{m_C} = m(u_0, u_1)m(u_1, u_2) \cdots m(u_{k-1}, u_k).$$





Let $\mathcal{M}(P)$ denote the set of maximal chains of the poset P. Thus

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{M}(W(S_3))} m_C^* = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = 6$$

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{M}(S_3)} m_C = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 6.$$

Theorem. (a) (Macdonald; Fomin & RS)

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{M}(W(S_n))} m_C^* = \binom{n}{2}!$$

(b) (Stembridge (explicitly))

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{M}(S_n)} m_C = \binom{n}{2}!$$

Open. A bijective proof of (a) or (b), or a bijective proof that (a) = (b).

Generalize the definition $m(u, u(i,j))$ to

$$\boldsymbol{m}(u, u(i,j)) = \lambda_i - \lambda_j.$$

(Original definition corresponds to $\lambda_i = -i$.)
As before, if

$$C : u_0 \lessdot u_1 \lessdot u_2 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot u_k$$

in S_n, then define

$$\boldsymbol{m_C}(\lambda) = m(u_0, u_1)m(u_1, u_2)\cdots m(u_{k-1}, u_k).$$

If $u \le v$ in S_n, define

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}_{u,v}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(\ell(v) - \ell(u))!} \sum_C m_C(\lambda),$$

where C ranges over all saturated chains from u to v in S_n.

Set

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}_w}(\lambda) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{id},w}(\lambda).$$

Write $a = \lambda_1$, $b = \lambda_2$, $c = \lambda_3$.



$$u = 123 = \mathrm{id}, \quad v = 321 = w_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{321}(\lambda) \;=\; & \frac{1}{3!}((a-b)(b-c)(a-b) \\ & +(a-b)(a-c)(b-c) \\ & +(b-c)(a-c)(a-b) \\ & +(b-c)(a-b)(b-c)) \\ =\; & \frac{1}{2}(a-b)(a-c)(b-c)\end{aligned}$$

Schubert polynomials. Define the **divided difference operator ∂_i** by

$$\partial_i f(x_i, x_{i+1}) = \frac{f(x_i, x_{i+1}) - f(x_{i+1}, x_i)}{x_i - x_{i+1}}.$$

Let $(a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_p)$ be a **reduced decomposition** of $w^{-1}w_0 \in S_n$, i.e.,

$$w^{-1}w_0 = s_{a_1} \cdots s_{a_p}, \quad p = \ell(w^{-1})w_0.$$

Schubert polynomial:

$$\mathfrak{S}_w = \partial_{a_1} \cdots \partial_{a_p} x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}.$$

Example. (a) $\mathfrak{S}_{w_0} = x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}$
(b) $(s_2)^{-1}w_0 = s_1 s_2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{s_2} &= \partial_1 \partial_2 \, x_1^2 x_2 \\ &= x_1 + x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (B-J-S).

$$\mathfrak{S}_w = \sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_p)} \sum_{(i_1,\ldots,i_p)} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p},$$

where

- $(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$ ranges over all reduced decompositions of w
- $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_p$
- $i_j < i_{j+1}$ if $a_j < a_{j+1}$
- $i_j \leq a_j$

Example. $w = 2143 = s_1 s_3 = s_3 s_1$

$$(a_1, a_2) = (1, 3) \Rightarrow (i_1, i_2) = (1, 2), (1, 3)$$
$$(a_1, a_2) = (3, 1) \Rightarrow (i_1, i_2) = (1, 1),$$

so $\mathfrak{S}_{2143} = x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3$.

Regard $S_n \subset S_{n+1}$ via $w(n+1) = n+1$ for $w \in S_n$. Let

$$\boldsymbol{S_\infty} = \bigcup S_n,$$

the permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$ moving finitely many letters. Then $\{\mathfrak{S}_w : w \in S_\infty\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots]$.

Monk's rule for $(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_i)\mathfrak{S}_w$ gives:

$$(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \cdots)^k \mathfrak{S}_u = k! \sum_{\ell(v)=k+\ell(u)} \mathcal{D}_{u,v}(\lambda)\mathfrak{S}_v.$$

Geometric interpretation of $\mathfrak{S}_w$.

$\boldsymbol{H^*(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{R})}$: cohomology ring

basis : $\{[\bar{\Omega}_w] \ : \ w \in S_n\}$

Let

$$e_j = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq n} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_j}.$$

Theorem.

$$H^*(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n); \mathbb{R}) \ \cong \ \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$$
$$[\bar{\Omega}_w] \ \leftrightarrow \ \mathfrak{S}_w$$

Geometric interpretation of $\mathcal{D}_w(\lambda)$.

Let $\mathbf{\Phi} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the root lattice for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}* \, : \, \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } \alpha \in \Phi\},$$

the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ be a dominant weight. Let

$$\boldsymbol{V_\lambda} = \lambda\text{-weight space}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v_\lambda} \in V_\lambda : \text{ highest weight vector}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{V_\lambda}) = \text{projectivization of } V_\lambda$$

$$\boldsymbol{e} : G/B \to \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$$

$$e(gB) = g(v_\lambda)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\bar{\Omega}_w} \subset G/B \quad \text{(Schubert variety)}$$

Thus e is a projective embedding $G/B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$. Define the **$\lambda$-degree** of $\bar{\Omega}_w$ by:

$$\mathbf{deg_\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}_w) = \#(e(\bar{\Omega}_w) \cap L),$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}$ is a generic linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ of complex codimension $\ell(w)$.

Theorem. $\deg_\lambda(\bar{\Omega}_w) = \ell(w)!\, \mathcal{D}_w(\lambda)$

An expression for $\mathcal{D}_{u,v}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Theorem. *Let $w \in S_n$ and*

$$\boldsymbol{V_n} = \frac{1}{1!\,2!\,\cdots(n-1)!} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{u,v} =$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_u\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}, \dots\right) \mathfrak{S}_{w_0 v}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}, \dots\right) \cdot V_n.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{D}_w = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{id},w} = \mathfrak{S}_{w_0 w}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda_2}, \dots\right) \cdot V_n.$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{w_0} = V_n \quad (\dots, \text{Stembridge})$$

Corollary. *$\{\mathcal{D}_w : w \in S_\infty\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots]$. Let*

$$\mathfrak{S}_u \mathfrak{S}_v = \sum_w \boldsymbol{c_{u,v}^w} \mathfrak{S}_w.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{u,w} = \sum_v c_{u,v}^w \mathcal{D}_v.$$

Note. (1) $\{\mathcal{D}_w : w \in S_n\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for Har_n, the harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n]$.

(2) $\langle \mathfrak{S}_u, \mathcal{D}_v \rangle = \delta_{uv}$ under the "D-pairing"

$$\langle f, g \rangle = f\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots\right) g(x_1, x_2, \ldots)\Big|_{x_i=0}.$$

Corollary. *Let $w \in S_n$ be 312-avoiding, i.e.,*

$$a < b < c \Rightarrow \textbf{not } w(b) < w(c) < w(a).$$

Let **code**$(w_0 w) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots)$, *where*

$$c_i = \#\{j \,:\, i < j,\ w(i) > w(j)\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}_w = \det\left(\frac{\lambda_i^{n-c_i-j}}{(n-c_i-j)!}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n},$$

where $\alpha^k/k! = 0$ *if* $k < 0$.

Idea of proof.

w 312-avoiding $\Rightarrow$ $w_0 w$ 132-avoiding

(dominant)

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w_0 w} = x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2} \cdots$, etc.

Other special values are interesting, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{D}_{41532} = \frac{1}{12}(f(5,4,2) - f(5,4,1) - f(5,3,2) \\ +f(5,3,1) + f(4,3,2) - f(4,3,1)),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{f(i,j,k)} = (x_i - x_j)(x_i - x_k)(x_j - x_k).$$

Note that $\mathrm{code}(4,1,5,3,2) = (3,0,2,1,0)$.

Further connections:

- Demazure characters (key polynomials)
- Gelfand-Tsetlin polytopes
- inverse "Schubert Kostka" matrix