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## 1 The main result.

The irreducible characters $\chi^{\lambda}$ of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda$ of $n$ (denoted $\lambda \vdash n$ or $|\lambda|=n$ ), as discussed e.g. in [5, §1.7] or [ $8, \S 7.18]$. If $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ has cycle type $\nu \vdash n$ then we write $\chi^{\lambda}(\nu)$ for $\chi^{\lambda}(w)$. If $\lambda$ has exactly $p$ parts, all equal to $q$, then we say that $\lambda$ has rectangular shape and write $\lambda=p \times q$. In this paper we give a new formula for the values of the character $\chi^{p \times q}$.

Let $\mu$ be a partition of $k \leq n$, and let $\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$ be the partition obtained by adding $n-k$ ''s to $\mu$. Thus $\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right) \vdash n$. Define the normalized character $\widehat{\chi}^{\lambda}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$ by

$$
\widehat{\chi}^{\lambda}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)=\frac{(n)_{k} \chi^{\lambda}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)}{\chi^{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)},
$$

where $\chi^{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)$ denotes the dimension of the character $\chi^{\lambda}$ and $(n)_{k}=n(n-$ $1) \cdots(n-k+1)$. Thus $[5,(7.6)(i i)]\left[8\right.$, p. 349] $\chi^{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)$ is the number $f^{\lambda}$ of standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$. Identify $\lambda$ with its diagram $\{(i, j)$ : $\left.1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i}\right\}$, and regard the points $(i, j) \in \lambda$ as squares (forming the Young diagram of $\lambda$ ). We write diagrams in "English notation," with the first coordinate increasing from top to bottom and the second coordinate from left to right. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right)$, where $\lambda^{\prime}$ is the

[^0]conjugate partition to $\lambda$. The hook length of the square $u=(i, j) \in \lambda$ is defined by
$$
h(u)=\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}^{\prime}-i-j+1,
$$
and the Frame-Robinson-Thrall hook length formula [5, Exam. I.5.2][8, Cor. 7.21.6] states that
$$
f^{\lambda}=\frac{n!}{\prod_{u \in \lambda} h(u)}
$$

For $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}$ let $\kappa(w)$ denote the number of cycles of $w$ (in the disjoint cycle decomposition of $w$ ). The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\mu \vdash k$ and fix a permutation $w_{\mu} \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ of cycle type $\mu$. Then

$$
\widehat{\chi}^{p \times q}\left(\mu, 1^{p q-k}\right)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{u v=w_{\mu}} p^{\kappa(u)}(-q)^{\kappa(v)}
$$

where the sum ranges over all $k$ ! pairs $(u, v) \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \times \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ satisfying $u v=w_{\mu}$.
The proof of Theorem 1 hinges on a combinatorial identity involving hook lengths and contents. Recall [5, Exam. I.1.3][8, p. 373] that the content $c(u)$ of the square $u=(i, j) \in \lambda$ is defined by $c(u)=j-i$. We write $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right)$ for the Schur function $s_{\lambda}$ evaluated at $x_{1}=\cdots=x_{p}=1, x_{i}=0$ for $i>p$. A well known identity [5, Exam. I.3.4][8, Cor. 7.21.4] in the theory of symmetric functions asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right)=\prod_{u \in \lambda} \frac{p+c(u)}{h(u)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side is a polynomial in $p$, it makes sense to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right)=\prod_{u \in \lambda} \frac{-q+c(u)}{h(u)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right)=(-1)^{|\lambda|} s_{\lambda^{\prime}}\left(1^{q}\right)$. Regard $p$ and $q$ as fixed, and let $\underset{\sim}{\lambda}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \subseteq p \times q$ (containment of diagrams). Define the partition $\tilde{\lambda}=\left(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\lambda}_{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}=q-\lambda_{p+1-i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the diagram of $\tilde{\lambda}$ is obtained by removing from the bottom-right corner of $p \times q$ the diagram of $\lambda$ rotated $180^{\circ}$. Write

$$
H_{\lambda}=\prod_{u \in \lambda} h(u)
$$

the product of the hook lengths of $\lambda$.
Lemma. With notation as above we have

$$
H_{p \times q}=(-1)^{|\lambda|} H_{\lambda} H_{\tilde{\lambda}} s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda^{\natural}$ denote the shape $\lambda$ rotated $180^{\circ}$. Let $\mathrm{SQ}(\lambda)$ denote the skew shape obtained by removing $\lambda^{\natural}$ from the lower right-hand corner of $p \times q$ and adjoining $\lambda^{\natural}$ at the right-hand end of the top edge of $p \times q$ and at the bottom end of the left edge. See Figure 1 for the case $p=4, q=6$, and $\lambda=(4,3,1)$. It follows immediately from [6, Thm. 1] that

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\mathrm{SQ}(\lambda)} & =H_{\tilde{\lambda}} \prod_{u \in \lambda}(p+c(u)) \prod_{v \in \lambda^{\prime}}(q+c(u)) \\
& =(-1)^{|\lambda|} H_{\tilde{\lambda}} \prod_{u \in \lambda}(p+c(u))(-q+c(u)) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

It was proved in [1][3][7] that the multiset of hook lengths of the shape $\operatorname{SQ}(\lambda)$ is the union of those of the shapes $p \times q$ and $\lambda$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{SQ}(\lambda)}=H_{p \times q} H_{\lambda} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof now follows from equations (1), (2), (4), and (5).
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\ell=\ell(\mu)$. We first obtain an expression for $\chi^{p \times q}\left(\mu, 1^{p q-k}\right)$ using the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule [5, Exam. I.7.5][8, Thm. 7.17.3]. According to this rule,

$$
\chi^{p \times q}\left(\mu, 1^{p q-k}\right)=\sum_{T}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(T)}
$$

where $T$ ranges over all border-strip tableaux $\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{\ell+p q-k}\right)$ of shape $p \times q$ and type $\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$. Here we are regarding $T$ as a sequence of border strips removed successively from the shape $p \times q$. (See [5] or [8] for further details.) The first $\ell$ border strips $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}$ will occupy some shape $\lambda \vdash k$, rotated $180^{\circ}$, in the lower right-hand corner of $p \times q$. If we fix this shape $\lambda$, then the number of choices for $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{\ell}$, weighted by $(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\left(B_{1}\right)+\cdots+\mathrm{ht}\left(B_{\ell}\right)}$, is by the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule just $\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)$. The remaining border strips


Figure 1: The shape $\operatorname{SQ}(4,3,1)$ for $p=4, q=6$
$B_{\ell+1}, \ldots, B_{\ell+p q-k}$ all have one square (and hence height 0 ) and can be added in $f^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ ways, where $\tilde{\lambda}$ has the same meaning as in (3). Hence

$$
\chi^{p \times q}\left(\mu, 1^{p q-k}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq p \times q \\ \lambda \nmid r k}} \chi^{\lambda}(\mu) f^{\tilde{\lambda}}
$$

so

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\chi}\left(\mu, 1^{p q-k}\right) & =\frac{(p q)_{k}}{f^{p \times q}} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq p \times q \\
\lambda+k}} \chi^{\lambda}(\mu) f^{\tilde{\lambda}} \\
& =\frac{(p q)_{k} H_{p \times q}}{(p q)!} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq p \times q}} \chi^{\lambda}(\mu) \frac{(p q-k)!}{H_{\tilde{\lambda}}} \\
& =H_{p \times q} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq p \times q \\
\lambda+k}} \chi^{\lambda}(\mu) H_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $\rho(w)$ denote the cycle type of a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$. The following identity appears in [2, Prop. 2.2] and [8, Exer. 7.70]:

$$
\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} H_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y) s_{\lambda}(z)=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{u v w=1 \\ \text { in } \mathfrak{E}_{k}}} p_{\rho(u)}(x) p_{\rho(v)}(y) p_{\rho(w)}(z),
$$

where $p_{\nu}(x)$ is a power sum symmetric function in the variables $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$. Set $x=1^{p}, y=1^{-q}$, take the scalar product (as defined in [5, §I.4] or [8, $\S 7.9]$ ) of both sides with $p_{\mu}$, and multiply by $(-1)^{k}$. Since (in standard symmetric function notation) the number of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_{k}$ of cycle type $\mu$ is $k!/ z_{\mu}$, and since $\left\langle p_{\mu}, p_{\mu}\right\rangle=z_{\mu}$ and $\left\langle s_{\lambda}, p_{\mu}\right\rangle=\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} H_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right) \chi^{\lambda}(\mu)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{u v=w_{\mu}} p^{\kappa(u)}(-q)^{\kappa(v)} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right)=0$ unless $\lambda \subseteq p \times q$. Hence we can assume that $\lambda \subseteq p \times q$ in the sum on the left-hand side of (7).

Now the coefficient of $\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)$ in (6) is $H_{p \times q} H_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$, while the coefficient of $\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)$ on the left-hand side of $(7)$ is $(-1)^{k} H_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}\left(1^{p}\right) s_{\lambda}\left(1^{-q}\right)$. By the lemma these two coefficients are equal, and the proof follows.

## 2 Generalizations.

The next step after rectangular shapes would be shapes that are the union of two rectangles, then three rectangles, etc. Figure 2 shows a shape $\sigma \vdash$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} q_{i}$ that is a union of $m$ rectangles of sizes $p_{i} \times q_{i}$, where $q_{1}>q_{2}>$ $\cdots>q_{m}$.

Proposition 1. Let $\sigma$ be the shape in Figure 2, and fix $k \geq 1$. Set $n=|\sigma|$ and

$$
F_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)=\widehat{\chi}^{\sigma}\left(k, 1^{n-k}\right)
$$

Then $F_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ is a polynomial function of the $p_{i}$ 's and $q_{i}$ 's with integer coefficients, satisfying

$$
(-1)^{k} F_{k}(1, \ldots, 1 ;-1, \ldots,-1)=(k+m-1)_{k} .
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right) \vdash n$ and

$$
\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{r}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}+r-1, \lambda_{2}+r-2, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)
$$

Define $\varphi(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(x-\mu_{i}\right)$. A theorem of Frobenius (see [5, Exam. I.7.7]) asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}^{\lambda}\left(k, 1^{n-k}\right)=-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{(x)_{k} \varphi(x-k)}{\varphi(x)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: A union of $m$ rectangles
where $\left[x^{-1}\right] f(x)$ denotes the coefficient of $x^{-1}$ in the expansion of $f(x)$ in descending powers of $x$ (i.e., as a Taylor series at $x=\infty$ ).

If we let $\lambda=\sigma$ in (8) and cancel common factors from the numerator and denominator, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\chi}^{\sigma}\left(k, 1^{n-k}\right) & =-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{(x)_{k} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x-\left(q_{i}+p_{i}+p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{m}\right)\right)_{k}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x-\left(q_{i}+p_{i+1}+p_{i+2}+\cdots+p_{m}\right)\right)_{k}}  \tag{9}\\
& =-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] H_{k}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

say. Since

$$
\frac{1}{x-a}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{a}{x^{2}}+\frac{a^{2}}{x^{3}}+\cdots,
$$

it is clear that $\left[x^{-1}\right] H_{k}(x)$ will be a polynomial $F_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ in the $p_{i}$ 's and $q_{i}$ 's with integer coefficients. If we put $p_{i}=1$ and $q_{i}=-1$ then
we obtain (after cancelling common factors)

$$
F_{k}(1, \ldots, 1 ;-1, \ldots,-1)=-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{(x-k+1)(x-m+1)_{k}}{x+1}
$$

Since the sum of the residues of a rational function $R(x)$ in the extended complex plane is 0 , it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{(x-k+1)(x-m+1)_{k}}{x+1} & =-\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Res}_{x=-1}\left(\frac{(x-k+1)(x-m+1)_{k}}{x+1}\right) \\
& =(-m)_{k} \\
& =(-1)^{k}(k+m-1)_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to show that the coefficients of $F_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ are integers. Equivalently, the coefficients of the polynomial

$$
\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{(x)_{k} \varphi(x-k)}{\varphi(x)}
$$

are divisible by $k$. But

$$
\frac{(x)_{k} \varphi(x-k)}{\varphi(x)} \equiv(x)_{k}(\bmod k)
$$

and

$$
\left[x^{-1}\right](x)_{k}=0
$$

so the proof follows.
Note. For any fixed $\mu \vdash k$, J. Katriel has shown (private communication), based on a method [4] for expressing $\widehat{\chi}^{\lambda}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$ in terms of the values $\widehat{\chi}^{\lambda}\left(j, 1^{n-j}\right)$, that $\widehat{\chi}^{\sigma}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$ is a polynomial $F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ with rational coefficients satisfying

$$
(-1)^{k} F_{\mu}(1, \ldots, 1 ;-1, \ldots,-1)=(k+m-1)_{k} .
$$

It can be deduced from the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule that in fact the function $F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients. We conjecture that in fact the coefficients of $F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ are nonnegative:

Conjecture 1. For fixed $\mu \vdash k, \widehat{\chi}^{\sigma}\left(\mu, 1^{n-k}\right)$ is a polynomial $F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right.$; $\left.q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ with integer coefficients such that $(-1)^{k} F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ;-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}\right)$ has nonnegative coefficients summing to $(k+m-1)_{k}$.

We do not have a conjectured combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of $(-1)^{k} F_{\mu}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ;-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}\right)$. When $m=2$ we have the following data, where we write $a=p_{1}, p=p_{2}, b=q_{1}, q=q_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
-F_{1}(a, p ;-b,-q)= & a b+p q \\
F_{2}(a, p ;-b,-q)= & a^{2} b+a b^{2}+2 a p q+p^{2} q+p q^{2} \\
-F_{3}(a, p ;-b,-q)= & a^{3} b+3 a^{2} b^{2}+3 a^{2} p q+a b^{3}+3 a b p q+3 a p^{2} q+3 a p q^{2} \\
& +p^{3} q+3 p^{2} q^{2}+p q^{3}+a b+p q \\
F_{4}(a, p ;-b-q)= & a^{4} b+6 a^{3} b^{2}+4 a^{3} p q+6 a^{2} b^{3}+12 a^{2} b p q+6 a^{2} p^{2} q \\
& +6 a^{2} p q^{2}+a b^{4}+4 a b^{2} p q+4 a b p^{2} q+4 a b p q^{2}+4 a p^{3} q \\
& +14 a p^{2} q^{2}+4 a p q^{3}+p^{4} q+6 p^{3} q^{2}+6 p^{2} q^{3}+p q^{4}+5 a^{2} b \\
& +5 a b^{2}+10 a p q+5 p^{2} q+5 p q^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can say something more specific about the leading terms of $F_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right.$; $\left.q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$. Let $G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ denote these leading terms, viz., the terms of total degree $k+1$.

Proposition 2. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{k \geq 0} G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right) x^{k}= \\
\left(\frac{1}{x \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\left(q_{i}+p_{i+1}+p_{i+2}+\cdots+p_{m}\right) x\right)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\left(q_{i}+p_{i}+p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{m}\right) x\right)}{\prod^{2}}\right)^{\langle-1\rangle} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }^{\langle-1\rangle}$ denotes compositional inverse $[8, \S 5.4]$ with respect to $x$. In particular, the generating function $\sum G_{k} x^{k}$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}, x\right)$.

Proof. From (9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k}\right) & =-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] \frac{x^{k} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x-\left(q_{i}+p_{i}+p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{m}\right)\right)^{k}}{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(x-\left(q_{i}+p_{i+1}+p_{i+2}+\cdots+p_{m}\right)\right)^{k}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{k}\left[x^{-1}\right] L(x)^{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Let $L(1 / x)=M(x) / x$, so $M(0)=1$. Regard $M(x)$ as a power series in ascending powers of $x$, i.e., an ordinary Taylor series at $x=0$. Then by the Lagrange inversion formula [8, Thm. 5.4.2] we have

$$
\left[x^{-1}\right] L(x)^{k}=\left[x^{k+1}\right] M(x)^{k}=-k\left[x^{k}\right] \frac{1}{(x / M(x))^{\langle-1\rangle}},
$$

so equation (10) follows.

Proposition 2 was also proved by Philippe Biane (private communication) in the same way as here, though using the language of free probability theory.

It follows from Proposition 1 or Proposition 2 that $(-1)^{k} G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right.$; $\left.-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}\right)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients summing to

$$
S_{k}:=(-1)^{k} G_{k}(1, \ldots, 1 ;-1, \ldots,-1)
$$

From Proposition 2 we have

$$
-\frac{1}{x}+\sum_{k \geq 0} S_{k} x^{k}=\frac{-1}{\left(\frac{x(1-x)}{1-(m-1) x}\right)^{\langle-1\rangle}},
$$

an algebraic function of degree two. When $m=1$ we have $S_{k}=C_{k}$, the $k$ th Catalan number. Hence by Theorem $1 C_{k}$ is equal to the number of pairs $(u, v) \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \times \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ such that $\kappa(u)+\kappa(v)=k+1$ and $u v=(1,2, \ldots, k)$, a known result (e.g., [8, Exer. 6.19(hh)]). Moreover, it follows easily from Proposition 2 that

$$
(-1)^{k} G_{k}(p ;-q)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} N(k, i) p^{k+1-i} q^{i}
$$

where $N(k, i)=\frac{1}{k}\binom{k}{i}\binom{k}{i-1}$, a Narayana number [8, Exer 6.36]. Hence $N(k, i)$ is equal to the number of pairs $(u, v) \in \mathfrak{S}_{k} \times \mathfrak{S}_{k}$ such that $\kappa(u)=i, \kappa(v)=$ $k+1-i$, and $u v=(1,2, \ldots, k)$. When $m=2$ we have $S_{k}=r_{k}$, a (big) Schröder number [8, p. 178].

It would follow from Conjecture 1 that the polynomial $(-1)^{k} G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right.$; $-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}$ ) has nonnegative coefficients. In fact, Sergi Elizalde has shown (private communication of May, 2002) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{k} G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ;-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{m}+j_{1}+\cdots+j_{m}=k+1}\binom{k}{i_{1}}\left(\binom{i_{1}}{j_{1}}\right) \\
\prod_{s=2}^{m}\left(\sum _ { r = 0 } ^ { \operatorname { m i n } ( i _ { s } , j _ { s } ) } ( \begin{array} { c } 
{ k } \\
{ r }
\end{array} ) ( ( \begin{array} { c } 
{ r } \\
{ j _ { s } - r }
\end{array} ) ) \left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.\left.k-r-i_{1}-\cdots-i_{s-1}-j_{1}-\cdots-j_{s-1}\right)\right) \\
i_{s}-r_{s}
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
p_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots p_{m}^{i_{m}} q_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots q_{m}^{j_{m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\binom{a}{b}=\binom{a+b-1}{b}$. Thus in particular $(-1)^{k} G_{k}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m} ;-q_{1}, \ldots,-q_{m}\right)$ indeed does have nonnegative coefficients. Do they have a simple combinatorial interpretation?
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