EC2 SUPPLEMENT: PAPERBACK EDITION OF 2001

Richard P. Stanley

version of 21 April 2023

Here I will maintain supplementary material for *Enumerative Combinatorics*, volume 2 (paperback edition of 2001). This will include errata, updated references, and new material. I will be continually updating this supplement.

NOTE. References to math.CO refer to the combinatorics section of the xxx Mathematics Archive at xxx.lanl.gov/archive/math. A front end site for math.CO is front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.CO.

- p. 6, line 10. Change situations to situations.
- p. 11, line 3. Change $E_c(n)$ to $E_c(x)$.
- p. 18, line 3. Change $(n)_2$ to n(n-2).
- p. 20, line 9. Change $Z(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ to $\tilde{Z}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$.
- p. 24, line 4 (after figure). Change $\lim_{n\to\infty}$ to $\lim_{k\to\infty}$.
- p. 25, line 5. Change \subseteq to \in .
- p. 33, line 5–. Change $\operatorname{ord}(\tau_k)$ to $\operatorname{ord}(\tau_i)$.
- p. 34, Lemma 5.3.9. Delete the first sentence, viz., "Let $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$."
- p. 35, line 10. Change $w \in \mathcal{B}^*$ to $w \in \mathcal{B}_r^*$.
- p. 35, line 8–. Change A to \mathcal{A} .
- p. 36, lines 15–16. Change "beginning with a 1" to "ending with a -1".
- p. 36, line 1–. Insert $+\cdots$ before =. (The left-hand side is an infinite sum.)

- p. 42, line 11. Change t^{r_1} to $t_1^{r_1}$.
- p. 51, line 9–. Change $Q_i = \Pi_i^{(2)}$ to "when Q_i is given by Example 5.5.2(d) for r=2".
- p. 59, line 9. Change "Since the rows" to "Since the columns".
- p. 59, line 13. Change "Because the columns" to "Because the rows".
- p. 62. Example 5.6.12, line 5. Change "modulo n" to "modulo 2^n ".
- p. 63, line 12. Change "sequence" to "sequences".
- p. 65, line 8. Change "Theorem" to "Lemma".
- page 80, Exercise 5.21, line 1. The equation reference to the second edition of EC1 should be (4.41).
- p. 87, equation (5.111). We need to add the further condition that $p_n(0) = \delta_{0n}$. Otherwise, for instance, the polynomials $p_n(x) = (1+x)^n$ satisfy (iv) with $Q = \frac{d}{dx}$ but fail to satisfy (i)–(iii).
- p. 97, Exercise 5.51. It is not true that (ii) implies (i), e.g., when C(x) = c. One needs to add the hypothesis that $[x]C(x) \neq 0$, so that $(C(x) c)^{(-1)}$ exists. Substituting xC(B(x)) for x in (ii) yields

$$xC(B(x))/C(A(xC(B(x)))) = x,$$

so C(B(x)) = C(A(xC(B(x)))). Substituting $B(x)^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ for x yields $C(x) = C(A(B(x)^{\langle -1 \rangle}C(x)))$. Subtract c from both sides and apply $(C-c)^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ to get $x = A(B(x)^{\langle -1 \rangle}C(x))$. Applying $A^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ to both sides gives (i). This argument is due to Daniel Giaimo and Amit Khetan and (independently) to Yumi Odama.

- p. 101, line 3. Change $J_0[(2-t)/\sqrt{t-1}]$ to $J_0(\sqrt{-t}(2-t)/(1-t))$.
- p. 102, Exercise 5.71. It would be better not to specify the degree d of G, since (as stated in the solution) $d = \lambda_1$.
- p. 103, Exercise 5.74(d). Replace the first two sentences with: Show that all vertices have the same outdegree d. (By (c), all vertices then also have indegree d.)

- p. 103, Exercise 5.74(f). For further information, see F. Curtis, J. Drew, C.-K. Li and D. Pragel, *J. Combinatorial Theory (A)* **105** (2004), 35–50, and the references given there.
- p. 108, Exercise 5.7(a), line 7. Change b_{2n} to b_{2n-k} .
- p. 137, Exercise 5.45, line 1. Change kxy^k to $(k+1)xy^k$.
- p. 137, Exercise 5.45, line 4. Change this equation to

$$y = x + 2xy + 3xy^2 + \dots = \frac{x}{(1-y)^2}.$$

- p. 139, Exercise 5.47(c), line 7. A direct combinatorial proof was given by M. Bousquet-Mélou and G. Schaeffer, *Advances in Applied Math.* **24** (2000), 337–368.
- p. 147, Third Solution. The first two lines should be: Equation (5.53) can be rewritten (after substituting n + k for n)

$$(n+k)[x^n]\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{F^{\langle -1\rangle}(x)}{x}\right)^k = [x^n]\left(\frac{x}{F(x)}\right)^{n+k}.$$
 (5.140)

- p. 162, lines 13– to 12–. Change "Thus any algebraic power series, as defined in Definition 6.1.1" to "Thus any algebraic function, i.e, any solution η to (6.2)".
- p. 175, line 1. Change $\{9,11\}$ to $\{9,14\}$.
- p. 175, line 2. Change x^{11} to x^{14} .
- p. 175, line 4. Change v^{11} to v^{14} .
- p. 175, line 11. Change Theorem 5.4.1 to Theorem 5.4.2.
- p. 176, line 4–. Change (n+2)-gon to (n+1)-gon.
- p. 178, line 16. Change intersect to intersect.
- p. 190, Theorem 6.4.6. It should be assumed that char K = 0, since this assumption is used for (6.12). On the other hand, *every* power series over a field of characteristic p > 0 is D-finite since its pth derivative is 0. See MathOverflow 420530.

- p. 192, line 9–. Change u(0) = 0 to v(0) = 0.
- p. 192, lines 8– to 7–. The example $v = \log(1+x^2) 1$ is confusing since $v(0) \neq 0$. Nevertheless the series $u(v(x)) = \sqrt{\log(1+x^2)}$ is well-defined formally since we can write

$$\sqrt{\log(1+x^2)} = x\sqrt{\frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^2}}.$$

It would have been more accurate to define

$$v(x) = \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^2} - 1.$$

The same remarks apply to Exercise 6.59.

- p. 200, line 5. Change $[r]^3$ to $[n]^2 \times [r]$.
- p. 212, line 1. The statement that Catalan number enumeration originated with Segner and Euler in 1760 (or actually 1758/59 in the cited references) is inaccurate. The enumeration of polygon dissections was stated by Euler in a letter to Goldbach in 1751. This letter is printed in P.-H. Fuss, Correspondance Mathématique et Physique, Tome. 1, Acad. Sci. St. Petersburg, 1843; reprinted in The Sources of Science, No. 35, Johnson Reprint Corporation, New York and London, 1968, pp. 549–552.
- p. 212, lines 16–17. It seems to be incorrect that Netto was the first to use the term "Catalan number." According to I. Pak, Appendix B of R. Stanley, *Catalan Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2015, the first person was actually John Riordan.
- p. 219, Exercise 6.16. A combinatorial proof was first given by R. Sulanke, *Electronic J. Combinatorics* **7**(1), R40, 2000. A sharper result was subsequently proved combinatorially by D. Callan, A uniformly distributed parameter on a class of lattice paths, math.CO/0310461.
- p. 221, Exercise 6.19(j). This problem appeared as Problem A5 on the 2003 William Lowell Putnam Mathematical Competition. Many participants found the following bijection with 6.19(i) (Dyck paths from (0,0) to (2n,0)): Let D be a Dyck path from (0,0) to (2n,0). If D

has no maximal sequence of (1, -1) steps of even length ending on the x-axis, then just prepend the steps (1, 1) and (1, -1) to the beginning of D. Otherwise let R be the rightmost maximal sequence of (1, -1) steps of even length ending on the x-axis. Insert an extra (1, 1) step at the beginning of D and a (1, -1) step after R. This gives the desired bijection.

- p. 224, item ii, line 5. Change S(w) = w to $S(w) = 12 \cdots n$.
- p. 228, item **iii**, line 3. To be precise, the displayed sequences should have the intial and final 1's deleted.
- p. 230, Exercise 6.21(b), line 3. Change 5.3.11 to 5.3.12
- p. 230, Exercise 6.23. Brian Rothbach has pointed out that this problem can be given another stipulation: serieshelpmate in 20. By parity considerations (Black cannot lose an odd number of moves with a knight) the solution is the same as before except Black plays Pa7-a6 instead of Pa7-a5. Thus the number of solutions is $C_{10} = 16796$.
- p. 233, Exercise 6.30, line 3. It would be less ambiguous to change "this exercise" to "that exercise".
- p. 235, Exercise 6.34, line 4. At the end of the line it should be mentioned that the polynomial $g(L_n, q)$ of Exercise 3.71(f) is a further q-analogue of C_n . An additional reference for this polynomial is R. Stanley, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), 805–851 (Prop. 8.6).
- p. 236, Exercise 6.34(b,c). While (b) is correct as stated (in the paper-back edition of 2001), it would be best to change $q^n c_n(q)$ on line 6 to $c_n(q)$ (as it was in the hardcover edition of 1999) and "nonnegative" on line 8 to "nonpositive". In this way part (c) remains valid. If part (b) is kept as it is, then change $c_n(t;q)$ in line 4 of part (c) to $q^n c_n(t;q)$.
- p. 238, Exercise 6.38(d), line 1. Change (n, n) to (n, 0).
- p. 239, Exercise 6.39(h). A period is missing at the end of the sentence.
- p. 241, Exercise 6.41, line 1. Change $S^2(w) = w$ to $S^2(w) = 12 \cdots n$.
- p. 247, Exercise 6.59. See the item above for p. 192, lines 8– to 7–.

- p. 250, Exercise 6.3, line 3. Replace " $r = s + \frac{1}{2}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ " with "r cannot be a negative integer".
- p. 250, Exercise 6.3, paragraph 3. The earliest proof that $\sum_{n\geq 0} {2n \choose n}^t x^n$ isn't algebraic for any $t\in \mathbb{N}, t>1$, appears in the paper P. Flajolet, Theoretical Computer Science 49 (1987), 283–309 (page 294). Flajolet shows that if $\sum a_n x^n$ is algebraic and each $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, then a_n satisfies an asymptotic formula

$$a_n = \frac{\beta^n n^s}{\Gamma(s+1)} \sum_{i=0}^m C_i \omega_i^n + O(\beta^n n^t),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{Q} - \{-1, -2, -3, \dots\}$, t < s, β is a positive algebraic number, and the C_i and ω_i are algebraic with $|\omega_i| = 1$. A simple application of Stirling's formula shows that if $a_n = \binom{2n}{n}^t$, then a_n does not have this asymptotic form when $t \in \mathbb{N}$, t > 1.

- p. 256, Exercise 6.19(d), line 2. Delete "ignoring the root edge".
- p. 257, Exercise 6.19(k). Update the reference to *J. Integer Seq.* 4 (2001), Article 01.1.3; available electronically at

http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/JIS.

- p. 258, Exercise 6.19(s), line 1. Change a_i to $a_i 1$.
- p. 260, Exercise 6.19(ee), lines 10–12. The statement that the first published proof of the enumeration of 321-avoiding permutations is due to D. G. Rogers is inaccurate. Knuth provided such a proof in 1973 in the reference given in the first paragraph of the solution. Moreover, a bijective proof was found by D. Rotem, *Inf. Proc. Letters* 4 (1975), 58–61.
- p. 274, line 2. Change "D. Vanquelin" to "B. Vauquelin".
- p. 275, Exercise 6.40, line 6. Change M. O. J. to W. O. J.
- p. 278, Exercise 6.53, line 3. Change Q(x) = x 2 to Q(x) = -x 2.
- p. 281, Exercise 6.60. An elegant proof based on Gröbner bases was given by Chris Hillar, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004), 2693–2701.

- p. 282, Exercise 6.63(b), line 2. Change 1847 to 1848.
- p. 284–285, Exercise 6.74. Change $\frac{2k-1}{k}$ to $\frac{2k-1}{2k}$ (three times).
- p. 293, lines 11-13. Replace ", and such that the ... exist.)" with a period. (The deleted condition automatically holds.)
- p. 295, Figure 7-3. In the expansion of h_{41} , the coefficient of m_{41} should be 2.
- p. 298, line 10-. Change "if follows" to "it follows".
- p. 301, line 7. Change 1.1.9(b) to 1.9(b).
- pp. 314–315, proof of Proposition 7.10.4. Change λ to λ/μ throughout proof.
- p. 317, line 12–. Change "clearly impossible" to "clear".
- p. 326, line 14–. Change v_{im_1} to v_{1m_1} .
- p. 329, line 15–. Change x's to X's.
- p. 336, line 7 (counting the displayed tableau as a single line). Change 7.8.2(b) to 7.8.2(a).
- p. 346, line 3–. Change "forms a border strip" to "forms a border strip" or is empty".
- p. 346, line 1–. Change λ^i/λ^{i+1} to λ^{i+1}/λ^i .
- p. 348, line 9. Change $\chi_{\lambda}(\mu)$ to $\chi^{\lambda}(\mu)$.
- p. 352, line 2 of proof of Proposition 7.18.1. Change $\sum_{\mu} z_{\lambda}^{-1} f(\lambda) p_{\mu}$ to $\sum_{\lambda} z_{\lambda}^{-1} f(\lambda) p_{\lambda}$.
- p. 354, line 4. Change "in" to "is".
- p. 354, line 5. Change "a integral" to "an integral".
- p. 355, line 4. Add a period after "nonnegative".

- p. 359, line 6. Change the subscript α_S to co(S).
- p. 364, line 1. Change e(D(T)) to $e(\operatorname{co}(D(T)))$.
- p. 370, line 3 of second proof. Change 1.22(d) to 1.23(d).
- p. 377, line 7; p. 378, line 8; page 378, line 10–. Change $\pi \in B(r, c, t)$ to $\pi \subseteq B(r, c, t)$.
- p. 379, line 5–. Insert π after the first "partition", and change λ^* to π^* .
- p. 379, line 4—. Change "similary" to "similarly".
- p. 381, line 4–. Change 4.5.6 to 4.5.8.
- p. 383, line 9. Change "D(w) = T' and $D(w^{-1}) = T$ " to "D(w) = D(T') and $D(w^{-1}) = D(T)$ ".
- p. 395, line 10–. Change "Burnside's theorem" to "Burnside's lemma".
- p. 404, line 3—. Littlewood first introduced plethysm in his paper "Polynomial concomitants and invariant matrices," *J. London Math. Soc.* **11** (1936), 49–55 (page 52).
- p. 416, line 7-. Change $u_{i_{t+2}}$ to $u_{j_{t+2}}$.
- p. 418, line 7. Change "subsequences" to "subsequence".
- p. 419, line 16. Change "was" to "is".
- p. 421, line 9–. Insert "a" after "such".
- p. 421, lines 8– to 7–. Change "second statement of Theorem A1.1.4" to "first assertion of Theorem A1.1.6".
- p. 422, line 3. Change A1.1.4 to A1.1.6.
- p. 424, line 11. Delete "by".
- p. 426, line 6—. Change "tableaux in (A1.137)" to "tableau defined by (A1.137)".
- p. 439, line 7. Delete comma after 156.

- p. 442, Theorem A2.4, line 9. Change "Hence" to "Moreover,".
- p. 443, line 11. Change

$$\operatorname{char} \varphi = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-1} = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{-1} s_{\emptyset}$$

to

char
$$\varphi = x_1^{-1} + \dots + x_n^{-1} = (x_1 \dots x_n)^{-1} s_{1^{n-1}}$$

- p. 444, line 12. Delete "char".
- p. 444, line 11–. Change "given by (A2.156)" to "generated (as a \mathbb{C} -algebra) by (A2.156)".
- p. 447, line 3–. Change $s_1(x_1^{\lambda_i})$ to $s_1(x_1^{\lambda_i}, x_2^{\lambda_i}, \dots)$.
- p. 451, Exercise 7.13(a). This exercise is stated incorrectly. For instance, $K_{777,6654} = 1$, contrary to the statement of the exercise. One way to state the correct result is as follows. Let the parts of λ' be given by

$$\lambda'_1 = \dots = \lambda'_{n_1} > \lambda'_{n_1+1} = \dots = \lambda'_{n_2} > \lambda'_{n_2+1} = \dots$$

 $> \lambda'_{n_{n_1+1}+1} = \dots = \lambda'_{n_n} > 0.$

Define $\lambda^{(j)} = (\lambda'_{n_{j-1}+1}, \dots, \lambda'_{n_j})$ (with $n_0 = 0$), so $\lambda^{(j)}$ is a partition of rectangular shape. Let μ be a partition with $|\mu| = |\lambda|$, and let

$$\mu^{(j)} = (\mu_{n_{j-1}+1}, \dots, \mu_{n_j}).$$

Then $K_{\lambda\mu} = 1$ if and only if $\lambda \geq \mu$ (dominance order) and

- (i) $|\lambda^{(j)}| = |\mu^{(j)}|$ and $\lambda^{(j)} \ge \mu^{(j)}$ for all j.
- (ii) For all $1 \le j \le k$ either $0 \le \mu'_{n_{j-1}+1} \lambda'_{n_{j-1}+1} \le 1$ or $0 \le \lambda'_{n_j} \mu'_{n_j} \le 1$.
- p. 452, line 6. Change "k times" to "n times".
- p. 452, Exercise 7.16(a), line 5. Change $c_{i-j} + c_{i+j}$ to $c_{i-j} c_{i+j}$.

• pp. 452–453, Exercise 7.16(b,e). The formulas for $y_i(n)$ and $u_i(n)$ have been extended to $i \le 6$ by F. Gascon, Fonctions de Bessel et combinatoire, Publ. LACIM **28**, Univ. du Québec à Montréal, 2002 (page 75). In particular,

$$y_6(2n) = 6(2n)! \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(10n - 13k + 8)C_{k+1}}{(n - k + 2)!(n - k)!(k + 4)!k!},$$

where C_{k+1} denotes a Catalan number.

- p. 459, Exercise 7.30(c), line 4. Change d-1 to d.
- p. 460, Exercise 7.37. For further information on expanding a_{δ}^2 in terms of Schur functions, see

http://www.phys.uni.torun.pl/~bgw/vanex.html.

- p. 461, Exercise 7.42, line 2. Change $s_{\tilde{\chi}}(y)$ to $s_{\tilde{\chi}}(y)$.
- p. 466, line 3-. Change $(\lambda_i 1)!(\lambda'_i 1)!$ to $(\lambda_i i)!(\lambda'_i i)!$.
- p. 467, Exercise 7.55(b). Let f(n) be the number of $\lambda \vdash n$ satisfying (7.177). Then

$$(f(1), f(2), \dots, f(30)) = (1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 7, 7, 10, 10, 34, 40, 53, 61, 103, 112, 143, 145, 369, 458, 579, 712, 938, 1127, 1383, 1638, 2308, 2754, 3334, 3925, 5092).$$

The problem of finding a formula for f(n) was solved by Arvind Ayyer, Amritanshu Prasad, and Steven Spallone, arXiv:1604.08837.

• p. 467, Exercise 7.59. In order for the bijection $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^{p-1})$ given in the solution to part (e) (page 517) to be correct, it is necessary to define a specific indexing of the terms of C_{λ} . Namely, index a term a by c_i if $i = i_1 - i_0$, where i_1 is the number of 1's weakly to the left of a, and i_0 is the number of 0's strictly to the right of a (so if a = 1, then this contributes to i_1). The sequence becomes $\cdots c_{-2}c_{-1}c_0c_1c_2\cdots$ as before, so it suffices to define the indexing by letting the first 1 be c_{i_0-1} , where i_0 is the number of 0's following this 1.

Example. If $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 3, 1)$, then $C_{\lambda} = \cdots 0010110001011 \cdots$. The first 1 in this sequence is $c_{1-4} = c_{-3}$. On the other hand, if $\lambda = (3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1)$, then $C_{\lambda} = \cdots 001010010011 \cdots$. Now the first 1 is $c_{1-6} = c_{-5}$.

- p. 468, Exercise 7.59(e), line 3. Change Y^k to Y^p .
- p. 469, Exercise 7.61, line 2. Change "0 or 1" to "0 or ± 1 ".
- p. 474, Exercise 7.70, line 3. Under the second summation sign insert a space between "in" and \mathfrak{S}_n .
- p. 485, line 3—. The asymptotic formula for a(n) should be multiplied by a factor of $1/\sqrt{3\pi}$. The factor $1/\sqrt{\pi}$ was included by Wright and omitted here by mistake. The additional factor $1/\sqrt{3}$ was omitted by Wright, though his proof makes it clear that it should appear. See L. Mutafchiev and E. Kamenov, math.CO/0601253.
- p. 491, Exercise 7.9, line 1. Insert ε_{λ} before $a_{\lambda\mu}e_{\lambda}$.
- p. 492, Exercise 7.11. Change $\binom{j}{\ell(\mu)-1}$ to $\binom{\ell(\mu)-1}{j}$ (three times).
- p. 493, Exercise 7.13(a). For another proof, see A. N. Kirillov, Europ. J. Combinatorics 21 (2000), 1047–1055, arXiv:hep-th/9304099 (Prop. 2.2).
- p. 494, line 4. Change 169–172 to 175–177.
- p. 494, Figure 7-20. Change the labels R₁h6, R₁h5, and R₂h6 to R₁a6, R₁a5, and R₂a6, respectively.
- p. 496, equation (7.199). Change $(m_i(\lambda)!)^{-1}$ to $[\prod_i (m_i(\lambda)!)^{-1}]$.
- p. 498, Exercise 7.22(h), line 7. Update the Fomin and Greene reference to *Discrete Math.* **193** (1998), 179–200.
- p. 500, displayed tableaux near end of Exercise 7.24. The tableaux T_8 and T_9 are missing the element 8 to the right of 3. Also, the $\{3, 10\}$ under T_9 should be under T_{10} .
- p. 502, Exercise 7.27, first displayed equation. Change $(n)_m$ to $(n)_{n-m}$.

- p. 505, Exercise 7.32(a). Stembridge's more general result appears in "Computational aspects of root systems, Coxeter groups, and Weyl characters," in *Interactions of Combinatorics and Representation Theory*, MSJ Memoirs **11**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001, pp. 1–38 (Theorem 7.4).
- p. 506, Exercise 7.37(b), line 2. Change $s_{\tilde{\lambda}'}(x)$ to $s'_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x)$. (The tilde is moved slightly to the left, possibly the most obscure correction appearing in this Supplement.)
- p. 508, Exercise 5.41, line 3 of "Combinatorial proof." Change \tilde{T} to T'.
- p. 514, Exercise 7.47(m), lines 1–3. Update the reference to R. Stanley, *Discrete Math.* **193** (1998), 267–286.
- p. 514, Exercise 7.47(m). The conjecture of Hamidoune was proved (without using symmetric functions) by M. Chudnovsky and P. D. Seymour, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B* **97** (2007), 350–357.
- p. 514, Exercise 7.48(b), lines 2–4. Update the reference to R. Simion and R. Stanley, *Discrete Math.* **204** (1999), 369–396.
- p. 514, line 1–, and p. 515, line 1. "*Ibid*." refers to the reference in the item above, not to the previous reference in the book.
- p. 515, line 3. "the reference" refers to the reference two items above, viz., R. Stanley, *Electron. J. Combinatorics* **3**, R6 (1996), 22 pp.
- p. 515, Exercise 7.49. Update this reference to C. Lenart, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **11** (2000), 69–78.
- p. 516, line 8. Change $(\lambda_i 1)!(\lambda'_i 1)!$ to $(\lambda_i i)!(\lambda'_i i)!$.
- p. 516, Exercise 7.54. The following elegant solution is due to Katerina Kalampogia-Evangelinou. Expand s_{λ} in terms of power sums and set $x_i = q^{i-1}$ (principal specialization). If μ has no even part, then $p_{\mu}(1, q, q^2, ...)$ has no pole at q = -1. If λ has an even hook length, then by Corollary 7.21.3 $s_{\lambda}(1, q, q^2, ...)$ has a pole at q = -1, and the proof follows.
- p. 517, Exercise 7.59(e), line 3. Change Y^k to Y^p .

- p. 517, Exercise 7.59(e), line 10. Change Y_{\emptyset} to $Y_{p,\emptyset}$, and change Y^k to Y^p .
- p. 518, Exercise 7.59(h), line 1. Change Y_{\emptyset} to $Y_{p,\emptyset}$, and change Y^k to Y^p .
- p. 518, Exercise 7.59(h), line 2. Change Y^k to Y^p (three times).
- p. 518, Exercise 7.59(h), line 3. Change Y^k to Y^p .
- p. 520, line 3–. Change $\sum_{n\geq 0} h_{2n+1} t^{2n+1}$ to $\sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n h_{2n+1} t^{2n+1}$
- p. 535, lines 7–10. Replace the sentence "No proof ... are known." with "A bijective proof of the unimodality of $s_{\lambda}(1, q, \ldots, q^n)$ was given by A. N. Kirillov, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Sér. I **315** (1992), 497–501."
- p. 537, Exercise 7.78(f), line 6. Change $s_{\mu}(x)$ to $s_{\mu}(y)$ and $s_{\nu}(x)$ to $s_{\nu}(z)$.
- p. 544, part (e), lines 1–2. Change "the dual Jacobi-Trudi identity (Corollary 7.16.2)" to "iterating the dual Pieri identity (page 340)".
- p. 550, Exercise 7.100(c), line 3. Insert } after $\leq a$.
- p. 576, line 7. Change work to word.
- p. 580. Change "Valquelin, D." to "Vauquelin, B.".