

Math 430 – Problem Set 5 Solutions

Due April 1, 2016

13.2. Find all of the abelian groups of order 200 up to isomorphism.

Solution. Every abelian group is a direct product of cyclic groups. Using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \cong \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ if and only if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, the list of groups of order 200 is determined by the factorization of 200 into primes:

- $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$
- $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$
- $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$
- $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$
- $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$
- $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$.

13.5. Show that the infinite direct product $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots$ is not finitely generated.

Solution. Note that every element of G has order 2 and that G is abelian. The group generated by any finite set of k elements thus has at order at most 2^k , while G has infinite order. Thus G cannot be finitely generated.

16.1. Which of the following sets are rings with respect to the usual operations of addition and multiplication? If the set is a ring, is it also a field?

(a) $7\mathbb{Z}$

Solution. This is a subring of \mathbb{Z} and thus a ring:

- $(7n) + (7m) = 7(m + n)$ so it is closed under addition;
- $(7n)(7m) = 7(7mn)$ so it is closed under multiplication;
- $-(7n) = (-7)(n)$, so it is closed under negation.

It is not a field since it does not have an identity.

(b) \mathbb{Z}_{18}

Solution. This is a ring: the operations of arithmetic modulo 18 are well defined. It is not a field, since $2 \cdot 9 = 0$ gives a pair of zero divisors.

(c) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

Solution. This is a subfield of \mathbb{R} and thus a field (in addition to being a ring:

- $(a + b\sqrt{2}) + (c + d\sqrt{2}) = (a + c) + (b + d)\sqrt{2}$ so it is closed under addition;
- $(a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2}) = (ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}$ so it is closed under multiplication;
- $-(a + b\sqrt{2}) = (-a) + (-b)\sqrt{2}$ so it is closed under negation;
- $(a + b\sqrt{2})^{-1} = \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2 - 2b^2}\sqrt{2}$ and $a^2 - 2b^2 \neq 0$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ (unless both are zero)

(f) $R = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{3} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

Solution. This is not a ring since $\sqrt[3]{3} \cdot \sqrt[3]{3}$ is not in R .

(h) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{3})$

Solution. This is a subfield of \mathbb{R} and thus a field:

- $(a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9}) + (d + e\sqrt[3]{3} + f\sqrt[3]{9}) = (a + d) + (b + e)\sqrt[3]{3} + (c + f)\sqrt[3]{9}$ so it is closed under addition;
- $(a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9})(d + e\sqrt[3]{3} + f\sqrt[3]{9}) = (ad + 3bf + 3ce) + (ae + bd + 3cf)\sqrt[3]{3} + (af + be + cd)\sqrt[3]{9}$ so it is closed under multiplication;
- $-(a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9}) = (-a) + (-b)\sqrt[3]{3} + (-c)\sqrt[3]{9}$ so it is closed under negation.
- Closure under inverses takes a bit more work, since there is no single conjugate. We give two arguments.
 - In our first approach, we show directly that each element has an inverse. Given $(a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9})$ we prove that there is some $(d + e\sqrt[3]{3} + f\sqrt[3]{9})$ with $(a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9})(d + e\sqrt[3]{3} + f\sqrt[3]{9}) = 1$ using the formula for multiplication above. This requires solving

$$\begin{aligned} ad + 3ce + 3bf &= 1 \\ bd + ae + 3cf &= 0 \\ cd + be + af &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This system will have a solution as long as the determinant

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a & 3c & 3b \\ b & a & 3c \\ c & b & a \end{pmatrix} = a^3 + 3b^3 + 9c^3 - 9abc$$

is nonzero. Multiplying all of a, b and c by a common rational value we may assume that they are all integers and share no common factor. If $a^3 + 3b^3 + 9c^3 - 9abc = 0$ then a^3 is a multiple of 3, and thus a is by unique factorization (say $a = 3a'$). Then

$$9a'^3 + b^3 + 3c^3 - 9a'bc = 0.$$

Repeating this for b and c , we see that all are divisible by 3, contradicting the fact that we chose them to have no common factor.

- The other approach uses the extended Euclidean algorithm for polynomials. Since $\sqrt[3]{3}$ is irrational, $x^3 - 3$ is irreducible and thus $\gcd(x^3 - 3, a + bx + cx^2) = 1$. Therefore, there exist $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with

$$f(x)(x^3 - 3) + g(x)(a + bx + cx^2) = 1.$$

Evaluating this equation at $x = \sqrt[3]{3}$ shows that $g(\sqrt[3]{3})$ is the inverse of $a + b\sqrt[3]{3} + c\sqrt[3]{9}$.

16.2. Let R be the ring of 2×2 matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that although R is a ring that has no identity, we can find a subring S of R with an identity.

Solution. Suppose that $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an identity for R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so $a = 1$ and $b = 1$. But $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is not an identity, since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus R has no identity.

Let S be the subring of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an identity for S , since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

16.6. Find all homomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/15\mathbb{Z}$.

Solution. Since ϕ is a ring homomorphism, it must also be a group homomorphism (of additive groups). Thus $6\phi(1) = \phi(0) = 0$, and therefore $\phi(1) = 0, 5$ or 10 (and ϕ is determined by $\phi(1)$). If $\phi(1) = 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &= \phi(1 \cdot 1) \\ &= \phi(1) \cdot \phi(1) \\ &= 5 \cdot 5 \\ &= 10, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So the only two possibilities are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(n) &= 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_6. \\ \phi_2(n) &= 10n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_6. \end{aligned}$$

We show that these are both well defined ring homomorphisms.

In both cases, adding a multiple of 6 to n changes the result by a multiple of 15 (0 in the first case and 60 in the second), so they are well defined. They are additive group homomorphisms by the distributive law in \mathbb{Z}_{15} . They are multiplicative since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \cdot 0 &= 0 \\ (10n) \cdot (10m) &= 100nm = 10nm. \end{aligned}$$

16.10. Define a map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\phi(a + bi) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that ϕ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} with its image in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Solution. We first show that ϕ is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi((a+bi) + (c+di)) &= \phi((a+c) + (b+d)i) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ -b-d & a+c \end{pmatrix} \\
\phi(a+bi) + \phi(c+di) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} a+c & b+d \\ -b-d & a+c \end{pmatrix} \\
\phi((a+bi)(c+di)) &= \phi((ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} ac-bd & ad+bc \\ -ad-bc & ac-bd \end{pmatrix} \\
\phi(a+bi)\phi(c+di) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ -d & c \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} ac-bd & ad+bc \\ -ad-bc & ac-bd \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that ϕ is injective and thus an isomorphism onto its image. If $\phi(a+bi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $a = 0$ and $b = 0$.

16.17. Let a be any element in a ring R with identity. Show that $(-1)a = -a$.

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)a + a &= (-1)a + (1)a \\
&= (-1+1)a \\
&= (0)a \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from the uniqueness of additive inverses.

16.22. Prove the Correspondence Theorem: Let I be an ideal of a ring R . Then $S \rightarrow S/I$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of subrings S containing I and the set of subrings of R/I . Furthermore, the ideals of R correspond to the ideals of R/I .

Solution.

- We first show that the function $S \mapsto S/I$ sends subrings of R to subrings of R/I . If $s, t \in S$ then $(s+I) + (t+I) = (s+t) + I \in S/I$ since S is closed under addition, $(s+I)(t+I) = (st) + I \in S/I$ since S is closed under multiplication and $-(s+I) = (-s) + I \in S/I$ since S is closed under negation. Thus S/I is a subring.
- We now show that this function is surjective. Let $T \subseteq R/I$ be a subring and set $S = \{x \in R : x+I \in T\}$. Then S is a subring of R : if $s, t \in S$ then $s+t \in S$ since $(s+t) + I = (s+I) + (t+I)$ and T is closed under addition, $st \in S$ since $(st) + I = (s+I)(t+I)$ and T is closed under multiplication, and $-s \in S$ since $(-s) + I = -(s+I)$ and T is closed under negation. Moreover, S contains I since $i + I = 0 + I \in T$ for every $i \in I$. Finally, $S/I = T$ by construction.
- Next, we show that this function is injective. Suppose S_1 and S_2 are two subrings of R that contain I and that $S_1/I = S_2/I$ inside R/I . We show that $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ (the opposite inclusion is analogous). Suppose $x \in S_1$. Since $S_1/I = S_2/I$, there is some $y \in S_2$ with $x+I = y+I$. Thus there is an $i \in I$ with $x = y+i$. Since $I \subseteq S_2$, both y and i are in S_2 and thus x is as well.

- Next, suppose that S is actually an ideal of R . To show that S/I is an ideal of R/I , we take an arbitrary $s + I \in S/I$ and $x + I \in R/I$. Then $xs + I \in S/I$ and $sx + I \in S/I$ since S is an ideal of R .
- Finally, suppose that $T \subseteq R/I$ is an ideal. Let $S = \{x \in R : x + I \in T\}$ as before. We show that S is an ideal of R , proving that the correspondence restricts to a correspondence on ideals. If $s \in S$ and $x \in R$ then $xs + I = (x + I)(s + I) \in S/I$ since S/I is an ideal; similarly for sx . Thus S is an ideal.

16.26. Let R be an integral domain. Show that if the only ideals in R are $\{0\}$ and R itself, R must be a field.

Solution. In order to show that R is a field it suffices to prove that every nonzero $a \in R$ has an inverse. Let $a \in R$ be nonzero, and consider the ideal $\langle a \rangle$ generated by a . Since $a \neq 0$, this ideal is nonzero and thus is all of R by assumption. Therefore it contains 1; by the definition of a principal ideal there is some $b \in R$ with $ab = 1$, providing the inverse of a .

16.31. Let R be a ring such that $1 = 0$. Prove that $R = \{0\}$.

Solution. If $a \in R$ then $a = (1)a = (0)a = 0$, so $R = \{0\}$.

16.34. Let p be a prime. Prove that

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(p)} = \{a/b : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \gcd(b, p) = 1\}$$

is a ring.

Solution. We show that this is a subring of \mathbb{Q} and thus a ring. If $a/b, c/d \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ to show that $a/b + c/d = (ad + bc)/(bd)$ and $(a/b)(c/d) = ac/(bd) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ it suffices to show that $\gcd(bd, p) = 1$. This follows from the fact that p is prime: if it does not divide b or d then it cannot divide bd . Negation is even easier: $-(a/b) = (-a)/b \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ since it has the same denominator.

16.38. An element x in a ring is called an idempotent if $x^2 = x$. Prove that the only idempotents in an integral domain are 0 and 1. Find a ring with an idempotent x not equal to 0 or 1.

Solution. If $x^2 = x$ then $(x - 1)x = x^2 - x = 0$. An integral domain has no zero divisors, so the only possibilities for x are 0 and 1.

$3 \in \mathbb{Z}_6$ is an example of an idempotent that is neither 0 nor 1.