## 16. Lecture 13: Involutions and K<sup>0</sup> Friday, 3 October

Last time I introduced the space of smooth involutions  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , let me immediately note some properties of it.

Proposition 14. There is a surjective index, or relative dimension, map

(16.1) 
$$\operatorname{ind} : \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \ \operatorname{ind}(I_{\infty} + a) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(a)$$

which labels the components,  $\mathcal{H}_k^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , of  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . The base component, where the index vanishes, is a homogeneous space

(16.2) 
$$\mathcal{H}_0^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k) = G_{\rm iso}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k;\mathbb{C}^2) / \left(G_{\rm iso}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k) \oplus G_{\rm iso}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)\right)$$

through conjugation and the other components are isomorphic to the base component – but not naturally so.

*Proof.* We use finite rank approximation to prove this. In the construction of the quantized Bott element I used the idea which lies behind:

**Lemma 18.** For each  $I \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  there is a neighbourhood

$$0 \in B \subset \Psi^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$$

such that if  $b \in B$  then the complex integral

(16.3) 
$$J(b) = -\operatorname{Id} -\frac{\pi i}{\int}_{|z-1|=\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1} dz$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ .

*Proof.* As Boris said: Just use the functional calculus!

If b = 0 in (16.3), then the inverse of  $\frac{1}{2}I - (z - \frac{1}{2})$  Id  $= (1 - z)B_+ - zB_-$  is  $(1 - z)^{-1}B_+ - z^{-1}B_-$  where  $I = B_+ - B_-$  is the decomposition into projections. The inverse is uniformly bounded on  $|z - 1| = \frac{1}{2}$  so remains invertible there if perturbed by b/2 in a small ball around the origin. Thus the integrand in (16.3) does exist and is of the form

(16.4) 
$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1} = (1-z)^{-1}B_{+} - z^{-1}B_{-} + \gamma(z;b)$$

where  $\gamma(z; b)$  is holomorphic near  $|z - 1| = \frac{1}{2}$  and valued in smoothing operators. The integral of the first term on the right in (16.4) is  $-B_+$  so J(b) = I + b' with  $b' \in \Psi^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Moreover, b' is small with b and depends continuously on it. It remains to check that J(b) is an involution. The square can be written

(16.5) 
$$J(b)^{2} = \operatorname{Id} + 2\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{|z-1| = \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} dz + \frac{1}{(\pi i)^{2}} \int_{|z-1| = \frac{1}{2}} \int_{|t-1| = \frac{1}{2} + \delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (t-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} dz dt$$

where the t contour has been moved slightly where  $\delta > 0$ . Applying the resolvent identity

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (t-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1} = (z-t)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1} - (z-t)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}(I+b) - (t-\frac{1}{2})\operatorname{Id}\right)^{-1}$$

and inserting this into the the last term allows it to be evaluated by residues as

(16.6) 
$$\frac{1}{(\pi i)^2} \int_{|z-1| = \frac{1}{2}} \int_{|t-1| = \frac{1}{2} + \delta} \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} \\ \times \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (t-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} dz dt \\ = -2 \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{|z-1| = \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (I+b) - (z-\frac{1}{2}) \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} dz.$$

Thus indeed,  $J(b)^2 = \text{Id}$ .

This 'retraction onto  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ ' allows any element  $I_{\infty} + a$  to be connected to a finite rank perturbation of  $I_{\infty}$ . Namely, if k is large enough, depending on a, then

(16.7) 
$$I_{\infty} + (1-t)a + t\Pi_k a \Pi_k$$

is sufficiently close to  $I_{\infty} + a$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for the Lemma to apply. Moreover it follows directly from the formula for J(b) that

$$(16.8) J(\Pi_k a \Pi_k) = I + \Pi_k a' \Pi_k$$

is indeed a finite rank perturbation. Thus, as an involution it is equal to

(16.9) 
$$I_{\infty}(\mathrm{Id} - \Pi_k) + \Pi_k A \Pi_k$$

where the second term is an involution in  $M(2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes M(k, \mathbb{C})$ , the latter being matrices acting on the range of  $\Pi_k$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ .

For finite rank involutions the first statements in the Proposition become obvious. In a given vector space they correspond to a decomposition as a direct sum, of the 1 and -1 eigenspaces, of dimensions  $d_+$  and  $d_-$ ,  $d_+ + d_- = N$  being the dimension of the space on which the involution acts. Moreover, for fixed N any two such decompositions are linearly equivalent if and only the positive eigenspaces have the same dimension,  $d_+$ . The trace of the involution,  $d_+ - d_- = -2N + 2d_-$ , is an even integer which determines the involution up to linear equivalence. It follows that for the decomposition (16.9), in which  $\Pi_k$  acts as a multiple of the identity on the  $\mathbb{C}^2$  factor,

(16.10) 
$$\operatorname{tr}(J(\Pi_k a \Pi_k) - I_{\infty}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Pi_k A \Pi_k) - \operatorname{tr}(I_{\infty} \Pi_k) = 2p \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

determines the linear equivalence class.

So, it remains to show that  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(a)$  is locally constant. However differentiating the identity  $I_t^2 = \operatorname{Id}$  shows that

(16.11) 
$$I_t I'_t + I'_t I_t = 0 \Longrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(I'_t) = 0$$
hence  $\frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{tr}(I_t - I) = 0.$ 

since  $I'_t$  is off-diagonal with respect to  $I_t$ .

This proves (16.1) and that the 'index' map is constant on the components of  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ .

In the case that  $\operatorname{ind}(I_{\infty} + a) = 0$  it follows from the discussion above that I + a is connected by a smooth path  $I_{\infty} + a(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , in  $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  to  $I_{\infty}$  itself, so a(1) = a, a(0) = 0. For each  $I \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , if b is small enough and  $I + b \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  then

(16.12) 
$$T = (I+b)_+ I_+ + (I+b)_- I_- \in G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$$

where  $I + b = (I_b)_+ - (I + b)_-$  is the decomposition into projections. Moreover,

$$TI = (I+b)T \Longrightarrow (I+b) = T^{-1}IT.$$

Thus, nearby involutions are conjugate under the action of  $G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

Apply this at each point  $t \in [0, 1]$  it follows that there is a finite decomposition of the interval such that I + a(t) at each lower end-point is so conjugate to the upper end-point. Composing the action shows that I + a is conjugate to  $I_{\infty}$ .

Thus we see that the action by conjugation of  $G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$ , is transitive on  $\mathcal{H}_0^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . It is clear that the subgroup fixing  $I_\infty$  is the diagonal group  $G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k) \oplus G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  which is (16.2).

In each  $\mathcal{H}_k^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k)$  there is a 'base point'

(16.13) 
$$\begin{cases} I_{\infty} + (\mathrm{Id} - I_{\infty}) \Pi_k &\in \mathcal{H}_k^{-\infty} \\ I_{\infty} - (\mathrm{Id} + I_{\infty}) \Pi_k &\in \mathcal{H}_{-k}^{-\infty} \end{cases}, k > 0$$

Thus it suffices to show that these are conjugate to  $I_{\infty}$ . This can be done by renumbering the bases – of course these conjugating operators are not in  $G^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^k; \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

This result has quite a few consequences for our definition of  $K_c^0(X)$ . However, the first thing I need to do – to finish the proof of Bott periodicity – is to go back and look at the quantized Bott involution constructed in Lemma 9. What we want to do is to compute  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(D_{\epsilon})$ , which we now know to be constant as a function of  $\epsilon > 0$ . Of course we must somehow compute it in terms of the semiclassical limit as  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ . By construction  $D_{\epsilon}$  comes from a semiclassical family, with kernels

(16.14) 
$$D_{\epsilon} = \epsilon^{-1} D(\epsilon, \frac{\epsilon(t+t')}{2}, \frac{t-t'}{\epsilon})$$

valued in  $2 \times 2$  matrices. So, for  $\epsilon > 0$  (16.15)

$$\operatorname{tr}(D_{\epsilon}) = \epsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr} D(\epsilon, \epsilon t, 0) dt = \epsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} D(\epsilon, T, 0) dT = \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{tr} \hat{D}(\epsilon, t, \tau) dt d\tau.$$

So, what we know is  $\hat{D}(0, t, \tau) = \delta(t, \tau)$  and what we need to compute is the (integral of the trace of) the coefficient of  $\epsilon^2$  in the Taylor series expansion of  $\hat{D}(\epsilon, ...)$ . Fortunately, the  $\epsilon^2$  term is the next after the leading term.

66

BKLY08

In fact if you recall the construction of D what we did was start with  $D_0$  which is a quantization of  $\delta$ ; we can take it not to depend explicitly on  $\epsilon$ . Then we need to compute the semiclassical symbol of the error term

(16.16) 
$$(I_{\infty} + D_0)^2 - \mathrm{Id} = \epsilon^2 E_1, \ \sigma_{\mathrm{sl}}(E_1) = \frac{1}{2i} \left( \partial_t \delta \partial_\tau \delta - \partial_\tau \delta \partial_t \delta \right).$$

Now, the *correction term* is  $\epsilon^2 D_1$  where  $\sigma_{\rm sl}(D_1)$  has to satisfy

(16.17) 
$$b\sigma_{\rm sl}(D_1) + \sigma_{\rm sl}(D_1)b = \sigma_{\rm sl}(E_1)$$

which we did by noting that the right side satisfies

$$b\sigma_{\rm sl}(E_1) = \sigma_{\rm sl}(E_1)b$$
 so  $\sigma_{\rm sl}(D_1) = \frac{1}{2}b\sigma_{\rm sl}(E_1)$ 

works. Thus combining these formulæ we need to compute

(16.18) 
$$-\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{tr} \left( b \left( \partial_t \delta \partial_\tau \delta - \partial_\tau \delta \partial_t \delta \right) \right) dt t \tau$$

Since  $\partial_t \delta$  and  $\partial_\tau \delta$  are derivatives of  $b = I_{\infty} + \delta$  we know that  $b(\partial_t \delta) = -(\partial_t \delta)b$ , etc, anticommute. So in fact the two terms in (16.18) are the same. Since  $\delta$  is written in terms of polar coordinates, it is natural to change variable and use a similar rearrangement to reduce to the integral

(16.19) 
$$-\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{tr}\left(b(\partial_r \delta)(\partial_\theta \delta)\right) dr d\theta.$$

Now, recall what  $b = I_{\infty} + \delta$  is! It was defined in terms of Pauli matrices

(16.20) 
$$b(t,\tau) = \cos(\Theta(-r))\gamma_1 + \sin(\Theta(-r))\cos(\theta)\gamma_2 - \sin(\Theta(-r))\sin(\theta)\gamma_3.$$

There are three constant matrices in (16.20). Each of them has trace zero and the product of any two of them (which is  $\pm i$  times the other one) has trace zero. The product of all three  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 = -\operatorname{Id}_{2\times 2}$  has trace -2. Thus there are four terms which can contribute. Namely the product of  $\Theta'(-r)$  and

(16.21)  

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^{3}(\Theta) \sin^{2} \theta \gamma_{3} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} - \sin(\Theta) \cos^{2}(\Theta) \sin^{2} \theta \gamma_{1} \gamma_{3} \gamma_{2} \\
- \sin^{3}(\Theta) \cos^{2} \theta \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{3} + \sin(\Theta) \cos^{2}(\Theta) \cos^{2} \theta \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{3} \\
&= -\sin(\Theta) \operatorname{Id},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Theta = \Theta(-r)$ . The integral is therefore

(16.22) 
$$-\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\sin^2\theta\sin(\Theta)d\theta d\Theta = 8\pi.$$

Combining all this we conclude that

(16.23) 
$$\operatorname{ind}(B) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(D) = 1$$

Phew, that proves Bott periodicity.